



Some results on K -contact and Trans-Sasakian Manifolds

Bagewadi Channabasappa^{1,*}, Basavarajappa N.S², Prakasha D.G¹, and Venkatesha¹

¹ Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Kuvempu University, Jnana Sahyadri-577 451, Shimoga, Karnataka, INDIA.

² Department of Mathematics, SBM Jain College of Engineering, 562 112, Jakkasandra BANGALORE.

Abstract. We obtain results on the vanishing of divergence of Pseudo projective curvature tensor \tilde{P} with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection on k -contact and trans-Sasakian manifolds.

AMS subject classifications: 53D15,53B15.

Key words: k -contact manifold, Trans-Sasakian manifold, Pseudo projective curvature tensor, η -Einstein manifold.

1. Introduction

In 1924, Friedman and Schouten [11] introduced the notion of semi-symmetric linear connection on a differentiable manifold. Then in 1932, Hayden [14] introduced the idea of metric connection with a torsion on a Riemannian manifold. A systematic study of semi-symmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold has been given by Yano [18] in 1970 and later studied by K.S.Amur and S.S.Pujar [1], C.S.Bagewadi [2], U.C.De et al [10], Sharafuddin and Hussain [16] and others. The authors U.C.De [10] and C.S.Bagewadi et al [[3, 12]] have obtained results on the conservativeness of Projective, Pseudo projective, Conformal, Concircular, Quasi conformal curvature tensors on k -contact, Kenmotsu and trans-sasakian manifolds.

In this paper we extend the conservativeness of Pseudo projective curvature tensor to k -contact and trans-Sasakian manifolds admitting semi-symmetric metric connection. After preliminaries in section 2, we study in section 3 the Pseudo projective curvature tensor with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection on k -contact manifold. In the section 4 we study some properties regarding Pseudo projective curvature tensor with respect to this connection on trans-Sasakian manifold under the condition $\phi(\text{grad}\alpha) = (n - 2)\text{grad}\beta$ and obtained some interesting results.

*Corresponding author. *Email addresses:* prof.bagewadi@yahoo.co.in (Bagewadi Channabasappa), nsb.sbmjce@yahoo.co.in (Basavarajappa N.S)

2. Preliminaries

Let M^n be an almost contact metric manifold [9] with an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , that is, ϕ is a $(1, 1)$ tensor field, ξ is a vector field; η is a 1-form and g is a compatible Riemannian metric such that

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \phi(\xi) = 0, \quad \eta \cdot \phi = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \tag{2.2}$$

$$g(X, \phi Y) = -g(\phi X, Y), \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \tag{2.3}$$

for all $X, Y \in TM$.

If M^n is a k -contact Riemannian manifold, then besides (2.1), (2.2) and (2.9) the following relations hold [15]:

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X, \tag{2.4}$$

$$(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = -g(\phi X, Y), \tag{2.5}$$

$$S(X, \xi) = (n - 1)\eta(X), \tag{2.6}$$

$$\eta(R(X, Y)Z) = g(Y, Z)\eta(X) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y), \tag{2.7}$$

for any vector fields X, Y , where R and S denote respectively the curvature tensor of type $(1, 3)$ and the Ricci tensor of type $(0, 2)$.

An almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) in M is called trans-Sasakian structure [14] if $(M \times R, J, G)$ belongs to the class w_4 [[8], [13]] where J is the almost complex structure on $M \times R$ defined by $J(X, \lambda d/dt) = (\phi X - \lambda \xi, \eta(X)d/dt)$ for all vector fields X on M and smooth functions λ on $M \times R$ and G is the product metric on $M \times R$. This may be expressed by the condition [8]

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \alpha(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X) + \beta(g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X) \tag{2.8}$$

for some smooth functions α and β on M , and we say that the trans-Sasakian structure is of type (α, β) .

Let M be a n -dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold. From (2.8) it is easy to see that

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\alpha \phi X + \beta(X - \eta(X)\xi), \tag{2.9}$$

$$(\nabla_X \eta)Y = -\alpha g(\phi X, Y) + \beta g(\phi X, \phi Y). \tag{2.10}$$

In a n -dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold, we have

$$R(\xi, X)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \xi\beta)(\eta(X)\xi - X), \tag{2.11}$$

$$2\alpha\beta + \xi\alpha = 0, \tag{2.12}$$

$$S(X, \xi) = ((n - 1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - \xi\beta)\eta(X) - (n - 2)X\beta - (\phi X)\alpha. \tag{2.13}$$

If in a n -dimensional trans Sasakian manifold of type (α, β) , we have [4]

$$\phi(grad\alpha) = (n - 2)grad\beta, \tag{2.14}$$

then (2.11) and (2.13) reduces to

$$R(\xi, X)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)(\eta(X)\xi - X), \tag{2.15}$$

$$S(X, \xi) = (n - 1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\eta(X). \tag{2.16}$$

In this paper we study trans Sasakian manifold under the condition (2.14).

Let (M^n, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold of class C^∞ with metric tensor g and let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection on M^n . A linear connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on (M^n, g) is said to be semi symmetric [16] if the torsion tensor T of the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ satisfies

$$T(X, Y) = \pi(Y)X - \pi(X)Y, \tag{2.17}$$

where π is a 1-form on M^n with ρ as associated vector field, i.e., $\pi(X) = g(X, \rho)$ for any differentiable vector field X on M^n .

A semi-symmetric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is called semi-symmetric metric connection [5] if it further satisfies $\tilde{\nabla}g = 0$.

In an almost contact manifold semi-symmetric metric connection is defined by identifying the 1-form π of (2.17) with the contact-form η , i.e., by setting [16]

$$T(X, Y) = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y \tag{2.18}$$

with ξ as associated vector field. i.e., $g(X, \xi) = \eta(X)$.

The relation between the semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of (M^n, g) has been obtained by K.Yano [18], which is given by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi, \tag{2.19}$$

where $\eta(Y) = g(Y, \xi)$.

Further, a relation between the curvature tensor R and \tilde{R} of type (1, 3) of the connections ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ respectively is given by [18].

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - K(Y, Z)X + K(X, Z)Y - g(Y, Z)FX + g(X, Z)FY. \tag{2.20}$$

where K is a tensor field of type (0, 2) defined by

$$K(Y, Z) = g(FY, Z) = (\nabla_Y \eta)(Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(\xi)g(Y, Z), \tag{2.21}$$

for any vector fields X and Y .

From (2.20), it follows that

$$\tilde{S}(Y, Z) = S(Y, Z) - (n - 2)K(Y, Z) - a.g(Y, Z) \tag{2.22}$$

where \tilde{S} denotes the Ricci tensor with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $a = \text{Tr}.K$. Differentiating (2.22) covariantly with respect to X , we obtain [6]

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y, Z) &= (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) - (n - 2)(\nabla_X K)(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)S(X, Z) - \eta(Z)S(X, Y) \\ &+ (n - 2)\eta(Y)K(X, Z) + (n - 2)\eta(Z)K(Y, X) + g(X, Y)S(\xi, Z) \\ &+ g(X, Z)S(Y, \xi) - (n - 2)g(X, Z)K(Y, \xi) - (n - 2)g(X, Y)K(Y, \xi) \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Now let e_i be an orthogonal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold M^n for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Putting $Y = Z = e_i$ in (2.23) and then taking summation over the index i , we get

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{r} = \nabla_X r - (n - 2)(\nabla_X a) \tag{2.24}$$

Further, since ξ is a killing vector in k -contact manifold. S, α, r , and a are invariant under it, i.e.,

$$L_\xi S = 0, \quad L_\xi r = 0 \tag{2.25}$$

$$L_\xi K = 0, \quad L_\xi a = 0 \tag{2.26}$$

We recall some definitions which are used in later section, A Riemannian manifold is said to be η -Einstein manifold if the Ricci tensor S is of the form

$$S(X, Y) = \lambda g(X, Y) + \mu \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

where λ, μ are the associated functions on the manifold. A Riemannian manifold is said to be cyclic-Ricci tensor, if the Ricci tensor S satisfies the condition

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y S)(Z, X) + (\nabla_Z S)(X, Y) = 0$$

3. k -contact Manifold Admitting a Semi-symmetric Metric Connection With

$$Div.\tilde{P} = 0$$

The pseudo projective curvature tensor on a Riemannian manifold is given by ([7], [17])

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}(X, Y)Z &= aR(X, Y)Z + b(S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y) \\ &\quad + \frac{r}{n} \left[\frac{a}{n-1} + b \right] [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

In this section we prove the following: If a k -contact manifold M^n ($n > 2$) admits a semi-symmetric metric connection and if the Pseudo projective curvature tensor with respect to this connection is conservative, then the manifold is η -Einstein; the scalar curvature of such a manifold is given by (3.14).

Proof. : Let us suppose that in a k -contact Manifold M^n with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection $Div.C = 0$ where Div denotes the divergence. Differentiate (3.1) covariantly and then contracting we get $Div.\tilde{P}$. By virtue of conserva-

tiveness of \tilde{P} i.e., $div.\tilde{P} = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (a + b)[(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X, Z)] - [a + b(n - 2)][(\nabla_X K)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y K)(X, Z)] \\ = & (a - b)[S(Y, Z)\eta(X) - S(X, Z)\eta(Y)] - a(n - 1)\eta(R(X, Y)Z) + a.S(X, Y)\eta(Z) \\ & + a(n - A - 1)[g(Y, Z)\eta(X) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)] + b[g(Y, Z)S(X, \xi) - g(X, Z)S(Y, \xi)] \\ & + (a + b(n - 2))[K(X, Y)\eta(Z) - K(X, Z)\eta(Y) + K(Y, X)\eta(Z) - K(Y, Z)\eta(X)] \\ & - b(n - 2)[g(Y, Z)K(X, \xi) + g(X, Z)K(Y, \xi)] + \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{a + (n - 1)}{(n - 1)} \right] [g(Y, Z)\nabla_X r \\ & - g(X, Z)\nabla_Y r] + \left[a + \frac{1}{n} \frac{a + (n - 1)}{(n - 1)} \right] [g(Y, Z)\nabla_X A - g(X, Z)\nabla_Y A]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

By virtue of (2.1) and (2.4) we obtain from (2.21) that

$$K(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) + \frac{1}{2}g(X, Y). \tag{3.3}$$

$$K(X, \xi) = -\frac{1}{2}\eta(X) \tag{3.4}$$

$$LX = -\phi X - \eta(X)\xi + \frac{1}{2}X. \tag{3.5}$$

Now putting $X = \xi$ in (3.2), then using (2.1), (2.6), (2.7), (3.3) and (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (a + b)[(\nabla_\xi S)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(\xi, Z)] - [a + n(n - 2)][(\nabla_\xi K)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y K)(\xi, Z)] \\ = & [a + n(n - 2)]g(\phi Y, Z) + (a - b)S(Y, Z) + \left[a \left(A + \frac{1}{2} \right) + b(2n - 3) \right] g(Y, Z) \\ & - \left[a \left(A + \frac{1}{2} \right) + b(n - 2) \right] \eta(Y)\eta(Z) + \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{a + (n - 1)}{(n - 1)} \right] [g(Y, Z)\nabla_\xi r - \eta(Z)\nabla_Y r] \\ & + \left[a + \frac{1}{n} \frac{a + (n - 1)}{(n - 1)} \right] [g(Y, Z)\nabla_\xi A - \eta(Z)\nabla_Y A]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

From (2.25) and (2.26), we obtain

$$(\nabla_\xi S)(Y, Z) = -S(\nabla_Y \xi, Z) - S(Y, \nabla_Z \xi), \quad (\nabla_\xi r) = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

$$(\nabla_\xi K)(Y, Z) = -K(\nabla_Y \xi, Z) - K(Y, \nabla_Z \xi), \quad (\nabla_\xi a) = 0, \tag{3.8}$$

respectively.

By using (3.7) and (3.8) in (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (a + b)[-S(\nabla_Y \xi, Z) - S(Y, \nabla_Z \xi) - (\nabla_Y S)(\xi, Z)] \\ = & [a + n(n - 2)][-K(\nabla_Y \xi, Z) - K(Y, \nabla_Z \xi) - (\nabla_Y K)(\xi, Z)] \\ & + [a + n(n - 2)]g(\phi Y, Z) + (a - b)S(Y, Z) + \left[a \left(A + \frac{1}{2} \right) + b(2n - 3) \right] g(Y, Z) \\ & - \left[a \left(A + \frac{1}{2} \right) + b(n - 2) \right] \eta(Y)\eta(Z) + \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{a + (n - 1)}{(n - 1)} \right] [g(Y, Z)\nabla_\xi r - \eta(Z)\nabla_Y r] \\ & + \left[a + \frac{1}{n} \frac{a + (n - 1)}{(n - 1)} \right] [g(Y, Z)\nabla_\xi A - \eta(Z)\nabla_Y A]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Using (2.4) ,(2.6) and (3.4) in (3.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -(a + b)S(\phi Y, Z) - (a - b)S(Y, Z) \\
 = & \left[a \left(A + \frac{3}{2} \right) + b(3n - 5) \right] g(Y, Z) - \left[a \left(A + \frac{3}{2} \right) - 2b(n - 2) \right] \eta(Y)\eta(Z) \\
 & + [a(n + 1) + b(3n - 5)]g(\phi Y, Z) - \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{a + (n - 1)}{(n - 1)} \right] \eta(Z)\nabla_Y r \\
 & - \left[a + \frac{1}{n} \frac{a + (n - 1)}{(n - 1)} \right] \eta(Z)\nabla_Y A. \tag{3.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, by replacing Z by ϕZ in above and then using (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -(a + b)S(\phi Y, \phi Z) - (a - b)S(Y, \phi Z) \\
 & \left[a \left(A + \frac{3}{2} \right) + b(3n - 5) \right] g(Y, \phi Z) + [a(n + 1) + b(3n - 5)] g(\phi Y, \phi Z) \tag{3.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging Y and Z in (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -(a + b)S(\phi Y, \phi Z) - (a - b)S(\phi Y, Z) \\
 & \left[a \left(A + \frac{3}{2} \right) + b(3n - 5) \right] g(\phi Y, Z) + [a(n + 1) + b(3n - 5)] g(\phi Y, \phi Z) \tag{3.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

By adding (3.11) with (3.12), and then by using the skew-symmetric property of ϕ , one can get

$$S(Y, Z) = P_1.g(Y, Z) + Q_1.\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \tag{3.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{where } P_1 &= \left[-\frac{a}{a + b}(n - 1) - \frac{b}{a + b}(3n - 5) \right] \text{ and} \\
 Q_1 &= \left[\frac{2a + b}{a + b}(n - 1) + \frac{b}{a + b}(3n - 5) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

There fore the manifold is η -Einstein.

Let e_i be an orthogonal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold M^n for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Putting $Y = Z = e_i$ in (3.13) and then taking summation over the index i , we get

$$r = -(n - 1)(n - 2) \frac{(a + 3b)}{(a + b)}. \tag{3.14}$$

This proves the theorem.

Suppose in k -contact manifold admitting a semi-symmetric metric connection, the Pseudo projective curvature tensor with respect to this connection is conservative. Then the manifold has a cyclic-Ricci tensor with respect to Levi-Civita connection; and moreover the scalar curvature of the manifold is constant if and only if the vector field ξ is harmonic provided $(a + b) \neq 0$.

Proof. Differentiating (3.13) covariantly with respect to X , we have

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = \left[\frac{2a+b}{a+b}(n-1) + \frac{b}{a+b}(3n-5) \right] [g(\phi Y, X)\eta(Z) + g(\phi X, Z)\eta(Y)] \quad (3.15)$$

Similarly

$$(\nabla_Y S)(Z, X) = \left[\frac{2a+b}{a+b}(n-1) + \frac{b}{a+b}(3n-5) \right] [g(\phi Y, Z)\eta(X) + g(\phi X, Y)\eta(Z)] \quad (3.16)$$

$$(\nabla_Z S)(X, Y) = \left[\frac{2a+b}{a+b}(n-1) + \frac{b}{a+b}(3n-5) \right] [g(\phi X, Z)\eta(Y) + g(\phi Z, Y)\eta(X)] \quad (3.17)$$

Adding the equations (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17), then using skew-symmetry of ϕ , we obtain

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y S)(Z, X) + (\nabla_Z S)(X, Y) = 0 \quad (3.18)$$

Thus the manifold has a cyclic-Ricci tensor.

Taking an orthonormal frame field and contracting (3.15) over X and Z , we obtain

$$dr(Y) = \left[\frac{2a+b}{a+b}(n-1) + \frac{b}{a+b}(3n-5) \right] \psi \eta(Y) \quad (3.19)$$

where $\psi = Tr.\phi$. From (3.19), it follows that

$$dr(Y) = 0 \text{ if and only } \psi = 0 \text{ provided } (a+b) \neq 0. \quad (3.20)$$

4. Trans-Sasakian Manifold Admitting a Semi-symmetric Metric Connection

With $Div.\tilde{P} = 0$

Here we recall some results which will be used in further. [5]: In a trans-Sasakian manifold under the condition (2.14), we have

$$[(\nabla_\xi S)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(\xi, Z)] = \beta S(Y, Z) - (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\beta g(Y, Z) - (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\alpha g(Y, \phi Z) + \alpha S(Y, \phi Z). \quad (4.1)$$

[5]: For trans-Sasakian manifold under the condition (2.14), the following results are

true

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i) \quad K(Y, Z) &= \alpha g(Y, \phi Z) + \left(\beta + \frac{1}{2}\right) g(Y, Z) - (\beta + 1)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \\
 (ii) \quad K(Y, \xi) &= K(\xi, Y) = -\frac{1}{2}\eta(Y) \\
 (iii) \quad K(\nabla_Y \xi, Z) &= -\alpha^2[g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)] - 2\alpha\beta g(\phi Y, Z) \\
 &\quad -\frac{\alpha}{2}g(\phi Y, Z) + \beta\left(\beta + \frac{1}{2}\right)[g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)] \quad (4.2) \\
 (iv) \quad K(Y, \nabla_Z \xi) &= \alpha^2[g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)] + \frac{\alpha}{2}g(\phi Y, Z) \\
 &\quad + \beta\left(\beta + \frac{1}{2}\right)[g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)].
 \end{aligned}$$

[5]: In a trans-Sasakian manifold under the condition (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [(\nabla_\xi K)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y K)(\xi, Z)] &= \alpha g(Y, \phi Z) - 2\alpha\beta g(\phi Y, Z) \\
 &\quad - [(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - (2\beta + 1)][g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)] \quad (4.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

In this section we prove the following: Let in a trans-Sasakian manifold M^n ($n > 2$) under the condition (2.14) admits a semi-symmetric metric connection the Pseudo projective curvature tensor with respect to this connection is conservative. Then the manifold M^n is η -Einstein with respect to Levi-Civita connection; the scalar curvature of such a manifold is given by (4).

Proof.

Let us suppose that in a trans-Sasakian Manifold M^n under the condition (2.14) with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection $Div. \tilde{P} = 0$.

Putting $X = \xi$ in (3.2) then using (2.1), (2.3), (2.16) and (4.2(ii)) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(a + b)[(\nabla_\xi S)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(\xi, Z)] - (a + b(n - 2))[(\nabla_\xi K)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y K)(\xi, Z)] \\
 = &(a - b)S(Y, Z) + (a + b(n - 2))\alpha g(Y, \phi Z) - a(n - 1)\eta(R(\xi, Y)Z) \\
 &+ \left[(a + b(n - 2))\left(\beta + \frac{1}{2}\right) + a(n - A - 1) + b(n - 1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) + \frac{b}{2}(n - 2) \right] g(Y, Z) \\
 &- \left[a(n - A - 1) + (a + b(n - 2))\left(\beta + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{b}{2}(n - 2) \right] \eta(Y)\eta(Z) \quad (4.4) \\
 &+ \left[\frac{1}{n} \frac{a + (n - 1)}{(n - 1)}(n - 2) - a \right] [\eta(Z)\nabla_Y A - g(Y, Z)\nabla_\xi A] \\
 &- \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{a + (n - 1)}{(n - 1)} \right] [\eta(Z)\nabla_Y r - g(Y, Z)\nabla_\xi r].
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.15) ,(4.1), (4.2(i)) and (4.3) in above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (a + b)(\beta - 1)S(Y, Z) + (a + b)\alpha S(Y, \phi Z) \\
 = & -[2\alpha(\beta + 1)(a + b(n - 2)) + (a + b)(n - 1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\alpha]g(\phi Y, Z) \\
 & + \dot{P}.g(Y, Z) + \dot{Q}.\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \\
 & + \left[\frac{1}{n} \frac{a + (n - 1)}{(n - 1)}(n - 2) - a \right] [\eta(Z)\nabla_Y A - g(Y, Z)\nabla_\xi A] \\
 & - \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{a + (n - 1)}{(n - 1)} \right] [\eta(Z)\nabla_Y r - g(Y, Z)\nabla_\xi r].
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where $\dot{P} = [a(\beta - 1) + b(\beta + 1)](n - 1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) + a(n - A - 1) + \frac{b}{2}(n - 2) + [a + b(n - 2)] \left[2 \left(\beta + \frac{1}{4} \right) - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \right]$ and

$$\dot{Q} = [(a - b)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - b](n - 2) - a \left(n - A - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Next, by replacing Z by ϕZ in (4.5) and then using (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -(a + b)\alpha S(Y, Z) - (a + b)(\beta - 1)S(\phi Y, Z) \\
 = & -[2\alpha(\beta + 1)[a + b(n - 2) + (a + b)(n - 1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\alpha]g(Y, Z) \\
 & - \dot{P}.g(Y, \phi Z) + [2\alpha(\beta + 1)(a + b(n - 2))]\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \\
 & + \left[\frac{1}{n} \frac{a + (n - 1)}{(n - 1)}(n - 2) - a \right] g(Y, \phi Z)\nabla_\xi A - \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{a + (n - 1)}{(n - 1)} \right] g(Y, \phi Z)\nabla_\xi r.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Interchanging Y and Z in above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -(a + b)\alpha S(Y, Z) - (a + b)(\beta - 1)S(Y, \phi Z) \\
 = & -[2\alpha(\beta + 1)[a + b(n - 2) + (a + b)(n - 1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\alpha]g(Y, Z) \\
 & - \dot{P}.g(\phi Y, Z) + [2\alpha(\beta + 1)(a + b(n - 2))]\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \\
 & + \left[\frac{1}{n} \frac{a + (n - 1)}{(n - 1)}(n - 2) - a \right] g(\phi Y, Z)\nabla_\xi A - \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{a + (n - 1)}{(n - 1)} \right] g(\phi Y, Z)\nabla_\xi r.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

By adding (4.6) and (4.7), then by using skew-symmetric property of ϕ , one can obtain

$$S(Y, Z) = P_2.g(Y, Z) + Q_2.\eta(Y)\eta(Z). \tag{4.8}$$

where $P_2 = \left[2 \frac{(\beta + 1)}{(a + b)} [a + b(n - 2)] + (n - 1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \right]$ and

$$Q_2 = -2 \frac{(\beta + 1)}{(a + b)} [a + b(n - 2)].$$

Therefore the manifold is η -Einstein.

Let e_i be an orthogonal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold M^n for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Putting $Y = Z = e_i$ in (4.8) and then taking summation over the index i , we get

$$r = (n - 1) \left[2 \frac{(\beta + 1)}{(a + b)} [a + b(n - 2)] + n(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \right].$$

This proves the theorem.

5. Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the referrers and Eyüp Çetin for their valuable suggestions in the improvement of the paper .

References

- [1] K.Amur and S.S.Pujar, *On Submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold admitting a metric semi-symmetric connection*, Tensor, N.S., 32 (1978), 35-38.
- [2] C.S.Bagewadi, *On totally real submanifolds of a Kahlerian manifold admitting Semi symmetric metric F-connection*, Indian. J. Pure. Appl. Math,13(5);528-536, May 1982.
- [3] C.S.Bagewadi and E.Girish Kumar, *Note on Trans-Sasakian Manifolds*, Tensor.N.S., 65 (2004), no.1, 80-88.
- [4] C.S.Bagewadi and Venkatesha, *Some curvature tensors on Trans sasakian manifolds*, Turk. J. Math, 31(2007), 111-121.
- [5] C.S.Bagewadi, D.G.Prakasha and Venkatesha, *Conservative Projective Curvature tensor on Trans-Sasakian Manifold with respect to Semi-symmetric Metric Connection*, Analele St. ale Univ. Ovidius Constanta, Seria Matematica, Vol. 15(2), 2007, 518.
- [6] C.S.Bagewadi, D.G.Prakasha and Venkatesha, *Conservative conformal and quasi-conformal Curvature tensor on k-contact manifold manifold with respect to Semi-symmetric Metric Connection*, To appear in Tamsui Oxford J. Math.Sci.,
- [7] Bhagwat Prasad, *On Pseudo-projective curvature tensor on a Riemanian manifold*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 94(3) (2002), 163-166.
- [8] D.E.Blair and J.A.Oubina, *Conformal and related changes of metric on the product of two almost contact metric manifolds*, Publ. Mat. 34 (1990), no.1, 199-207.

- [9] D.E.Blair, *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry, Lecture notes in Mathematics*, 509, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [10] U.C.De and Absos Ali Shaikh, *K-contact and Sasakian manifolds with conservative quasi-conformal curvature tensor*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 89 (1997), 349-354
- [11] A.Friedmann and J.A.Schouten, *Über die geometrie der holbsymmetrischen Übertragungen*, Math. Zeitschr. 21 (1924), 211-233.
- [12] N.B.Gatti and C.S.Bagewadi, *On irrotational quasi-conformal curvature tensor*, Tensor.N.S., 64 (2003),no.3, 248-258.
- [13] A.Gray and L.M.Harvella, *The sixteen clases of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 123(1980),no.4, 35-58.
- [14] H.A.Hayden, *Subspaces of space with torsion*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 34(1932), 27-50.
- [15] J.A.Oubina, *New classes of almost contact metric structures*, Publ.Math.Debrecen. 32(1985), no.3-4,187-193.
- [16] A.Sharafuddin and S.I.Hussain, *Semi-symmetric metric connections in almost contact manifolds*, Tensor, N.S.,30 (1976), 133-139.
- [17] Venkatesha and C.S.Bagewadi, *On Pseudo Projective ϕ -Recurrent Kenmotsu Manifolds*, Soochow Journal of Mathematics, 32(2006), no.3, 433-439.
- [18] K.Yano, *On semi-symmetric metric connections*, Revue Roumaine de Math. Pures et Appliques 15 (1970), 1579-1586.