EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

Vol. 6, No. 3, 2013, 340-351 ISSN 1307-5543 – www.ejpam.com



A New Generalization of the Operator-Valued Poisson Kernel

Sharifa Al-Sharif¹, Fatima Salem¹, Basem Frasin²

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to give a new generalization of the operator-valued Poisson kernel and discuss integral formulas for them.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 45P05, 47A60; 46E40, 47B38 Key Words and Phrases: Poisson kernel, Operator-valued Poisson kernel

1. Introduction

Let \mathscr{H} be a complex Hilbert space and $\mathfrak{L}(\mathscr{H})$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators from \mathscr{H} into \mathscr{H} . For $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathscr{H})$, its spectrum $\sigma(T)$ is the non-empty compact subset of the complex plane \mathbb{C} consisting of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $T - \lambda I$ is non-invertible in $\mathfrak{L}(\mathscr{H})$, where I is the identity operator on \mathscr{H} . We write \mathbb{D} for the open unit disk in \mathbb{C} , $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$.

Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. For a complex valued function f analytic on a domain \mathbb{E} of the complex plane containing the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of A, we recall Riesz-Dunford integral f(A) which is given by

$$f(A) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} f(z)(zI - A)^{-1} dz,$$
 (1)

where C is a positively oriented simple closed rectifiable contour containing $\sigma(A)$.

By differentiating the integral in equation (1) with respect to A we get

$$f'(A) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C f(z) (zI - A)^{-2} dz.$$
 (2)

Email addresses: sharifa@yu.edu.jo (S. Sharif) fatimabayui@yahoo.com (F. Salem), bafrasin@yahoo.com (B. Frasin)

¹ Department of Mathematics, Yarmouk University, Irbed, Jordan

² Department of Mathematics, Al al-Bayt University, Almafrag, Jordan

If we differentiate the integral in equation (2) with respect to A, (n-1) times, we get

$$f^{(n)}(A) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{C} f(z) (zI - A)^{-n-1} dz, \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, ...).$$
 (3)

Note that, expression (3) is an extension of the Riesz-Dunford integral in equation (1). For $re^{it} \in \mathbb{D}$, the (scalar) Poisson kernel $P_{r,t}$ is defined by

$$\begin{split} P_{r,t}\left(e^{i\theta}\right) &= \frac{1 - r^2}{\left(1 - re^{it}e^{-i\theta}\right)\left(1 - re^{-it}e^{i\theta}\right)} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - re^{it}e^{-i\theta}} + \frac{1}{1 - re^{-it}e^{i\theta}} - 1 \\ &= \sum_{n \ge 0} r^n e^{int} e^{-in\theta} + \sum_{n \ge 0} r^n e^{-int} e^{in\theta} - 1. \end{split} \tag{4}$$

The integral formula of the (scalar) Poisson kernel

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} P_{r,t} \left(e^{i\theta} \right) d\theta = 1,$$

holds, where r is a real parameter satisfying |r| < 1, see[3].

For $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $re^{it} \in \mathbb{D}$, the author in [2], define the operator-valued Poisson kernel $K_{r,t}(T)$ as follows

$$K_{r,t}(T) = \left(I - re^{it}T^*\right)^{-1} + \left(I - re^{-it}T\right)^{-1} - I,\tag{5}$$

and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. For $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, we have

$$K_{r,t}(T) = \left(I - re^{it}T^*\right)^{-1} \left(I - r^2T^*T\right) \left(I - re^{-it}T\right)^{-1}$$
$$= \sum_{n \ge 0} r^n e^{int}T^{*n} + \sum_{n \ge 0} r^n e^{-int}T^n - I.$$

Afterwards, in [1] Bulut proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2. For $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} K_{r,t}(T) dt = I,$$
 (6)

where r is a real parameter satisfying |r| < 1.

A generalization of the (scalar) Poisson kernel, (4) in [3] is given by

$$Q_{a,b,t}\left(e^{i\theta}\right) = \frac{1 - ab}{\left(1 - ae^{it}e^{-i\theta}\right)\left(1 - be^{-it}e^{i\theta}\right)},\tag{7}$$

where a and b are complex parameters satisfying |a| < 1 and |b| < 1.

In [1], Bulut introduced a generalization of the operator-valued Poisson kernel $K_{r,t}(T)$ for $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $re^{it} \in \mathbb{D}$ in the following way

$$Q_{a,b,t}(T) = \left(I - ae^{it}T^*\right)^{-1} + \left(I - be^{-it}T\right)^{-1} - I,\tag{8}$$

where a and b are complex parameters satisfying |a| < 1 and |b| < 1 and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3 ([1]). Let $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} Q_{a,b,t}(T) dt = I,$$
(9)

where a and b are complex parameters satisfying |a| < 1 and |b| < 1.

Remark 1. We note that (8) and (9) are generalizations of (5) and (6), respectively, by taking a = b = r.

2. A New Generalization of the Operator-Valued Poisson Kernel

In this section, we set the following definition and open problem.

Definition 1. Let $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. For n = 0, 1, 2, ..., let

$$I_n = def \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} Q_{a,b,t}^{n+1}(T) dt,$$

where a, b, are complex parameters satisfying |a| < 1 and |b| < 1.

Open Problem: Compute I_n , n = 0, 1, 2, ...

In the following theorem we give a partial answer to the open problem to certain class of operators in $\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$.

Theorem 4. Let $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $(I - ae^{it}T^*)$ is self adjoint. Then

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} Q_{a,\overline{a},t}(T)^{n+1} dt = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{k} {n+1 \choose k} {k \choose l} (-I)^{l},$$
(10)

for n = 0, 1, 2, ..., and a complex parameter a satisfying |a| < 1.

Proof. Let

$$I_{n} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} Q_{a,\overline{a},t}(T)^{n+1} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(\left(I - ae^{it}T^{*} \right)^{-1} + \left(I - \overline{a}e^{-it}T \right)^{-1} - I \right)^{n+1} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} {n+1 \choose k} \left(I - ae^{it}T^{*} \right)^{-n-1+k} \left(\left(I - \overline{a}e^{-it}T \right)^{-1} + (-I) \right)^{k} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{k} {n+1 \choose k} {k \choose l} \left(I - ae^{it}T^{*} \right)^{-n-1+k} \left(I - \overline{a}e^{-it}T \right)^{-k+l} (-I)^{l} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{k} {n+1 \choose k} {k \choose l} \left(I - ae^{it}T^{*} \right)^{-n-1+k} \left(\left(I - ae^{it}T^{*} \right)^{*} \right)^{-k+l} (-I)^{l} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{k} {n+1 \choose k} {k \choose l} \left(I - ae^{it}T^{*} \right)^{-n-1+l} (-I)^{l} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{k} {n+1 \choose k} {k \choose l} e^{-(n+1-l)it} \left(e^{-it}I - aT^{*} \right)^{-n-1+l} (-I)^{l} dt. \tag{11}$$

By the change of variables, with $z = e^{-it}$, (11) becomes

$$I_{n} = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1}^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{k} {n+1 \choose k} {k \choose l} (zI - aT^{*})^{-n-1+l} (-I)^{l} z^{n-l} dz$$

$$= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{k} {n+1 \choose k} {k \choose l} \oint_{|z|=1} (zI - aT^{*})^{-n-1+l} (-I)^{l} z^{n-l} dz,$$

where the integral along |z| = 1 is taken in the negative direction. Hence, by the Riesz-Dunford integral (3), we have

$$I_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{k} {n+1 \choose k} {k \choose l} (-I)^l, \quad (n=0,1,2,\ldots).$$

Corollary 1. For $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $\left(I - ae^{it}T^*\right)$ is self adjoint, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} Q_{a,\overline{a},t}(T)^{2} dt = I,$$
(12)

 \Box .

where a is complex parameter satisfying |a| < 1.

Remark 2. By taking n = 0 in (10), we obtain (9).

Definition 2. For $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, we set a generalization of the operator-valued Poisson kernel, $Q_{a,b,t}(T)$ in the following way:

$$R_{a,b,c,d,t}(T) = \left(I - ae^{it}T^*\right)^{-1} + \left(I - be^{-it}T\right)^{-1} + \left(I - ce^{it}T^*\right)^{-1} - \left(I - de^{-it}T\right)^{-1} - I, (13)$$

where a, b, c, and d are complex parameters satisfying |a| < 1, |b| < 1, |c| < 1, and |d| < 1.

Remark 3. *Note that* $R_{a,b,c,d,t}(T) \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$.

Lemma 1. For $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, we have

$$R_{a,b,c,d,t}(T) = \sum_{n>0} a^n e^{int} T^{*n} + \sum_{n>0} b^n e^{-int} T^n + \sum_{n>0} c^n e^{int} T^{*n} - \sum_{n>0} d^n e^{-int} T^n - I.$$
 (14)

Proof. Since $||ae^{it}T^*|| < 1$, $||be^{-it}T|| < 1$, $||ce^{it}T^*|| < 1$, and $||de^{-it}T|| < 1$, we have

$$\sum_{n>0} a^n e^{int} T^{*n} = \left(I - a e^{it} T^* \right)^{-1},$$

$$\sum_{n>0} b^n e^{-int} T^n = \left(I - b e^{-it} T\right)^{-1},$$

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} c^n e^{int} T^{*n} = \left(I - ce^{it} T^*\right)^{-1},$$

and

$$\sum_{n>0} d^n e^{-int} T^n = \left(I - de^{-it} T\right)^{-1}.$$

By the above four equalities and (13), we get (14).

For an operator $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and a polynomial $r(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{s} c_k z^k \in \mathbb{C}[z]_{|\overline{\mathbb{D}}}$, $r(T) \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by

$$r(T) = \sum_{k=0}^{s} c_k T^k.$$

Lemma 2. Let $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. For $r(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]_{|\overline{\mathbb{D}}}$, we have

$$r(bT) - r(dT) + c_0 I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} r(e^{it}) R_{a,b,c,d,t}(T) dt,$$

where a, b, c, and d are complex parameters satisfying |a| < 1, |b| < 1, |c| < 1, and |d| < 1.

Proof. Let
$$r(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{s} c_k z^k$$
. By (14), and since $\int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{ilt} dt = 0$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}/\{0\}$, we get

$$\begin{split} &\int\limits_{0}^{2\pi} r\left(e^{it}\right) R_{a,b,c,d,t}(T) dt \\ &= \int\limits_{0}^{2\pi} \sum\limits_{k=0}^{s} c_{k} e^{ikt} \left(\begin{array}{c} \sum\limits_{n\geq 0} a^{n} e^{int} T^{*n} + \sum\limits_{n\geq 0} b^{n} e^{-int} T^{n} \\ + \sum\limits_{n\geq 0}^{s} c^{n} e^{int} T^{*n} - \sum\limits_{n\geq 0} d^{n} e^{-int} T^{n} - I \end{array} \right) dt \\ &= 2\pi c_{0} I + \sum\limits_{k=0}^{s} \int\limits_{0}^{2\pi} c_{k} b^{k} T^{k} dt + 2\pi c_{0} I - \sum\limits_{k=0}^{s} \int\limits_{0}^{2\pi} c_{k} d^{k} T^{k} dt - 2\pi c_{0} I \\ &= 2\pi \sum\limits_{k=0}^{s} c_{k} b^{k} T^{k} - 2\pi \sum\limits_{k=0}^{s} c_{k} d^{k} T^{k} + 2\pi c_{0} I \\ &= 2\pi r \left(bT \right) - 2\pi r \left(dT \right) + 2\pi c_{0} I. \end{split}$$

Corollary 2. *Note that, if r identically equal to 1, then*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} R_{a,b,c,d,t}(T) dt = I,$$
(15)

for |a| < 1, |b| < 1, |c| < 1, |d| < 1 and $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

In the next theorem, we give a different proof of equation (15) independent of a polynomial. For this purpose we will use the Riesz-Dunford integral formula.

Theorem 5. Let $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} R_{a,b,c,d,t}(T) dt = I,$$

where a, b, c, and d are complex parameters satisfying |a| < 1, |b| < 1, |c| < 1, and |d| < 1.

Proof. From (13), we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} R_{a,b,c,d,t}(T) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(\frac{\left(I - ae^{it}T^*\right)^{-1} + \left(I - be^{-it}T\right)^{-1} + \left(I - be^{-it}T\right)^{-1} + \left(I - be^{-it}T\right)^{-1} - I \right) dt.$$
 (16)

We set

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(I - ae^{it}T^* \right)^{-1} dt, \tag{17}$$

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(I - be^{-it} T \right)^{-1} dt, \tag{18}$$

$$I_3 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(I - ce^{it} T^* \right)^{-1} dt, \tag{19}$$

$$I_4 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(I - de^{-it} T \right)^{-1} dt, \tag{20}$$

and

$$I_5 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} I dt. \tag{21}$$

Therefore, it follows from (16)- (21) that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} R_{a,b,c,d,t}(T) dt = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 - I_4 - I_5.$$
 (22)

It is clear that

$$I_5 = I. (23)$$

Next, we shall calculate I_1 , I_2 , I_3 and I_4 . Firstly, we have

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(I - ae^{it}T^* \right)^{-1} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{-it} \left(e^{-it}I - aT^* \right)^{-1} dt.$$

Making substitution $z = e^{-it}$ in the last integral, we get

$$I_1 = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1} (zI - aT^*)^{-1} dz,$$

where the integral along |z| = 1 is taken in the negative direction. Hence, by the Riesz-Dunford integral in the equation (1), we have

$$I_1 = I. (24)$$

Similarly, we get

$$I_3 = I. (25)$$

Secondly, we have

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(I - be^{-it} T \right)^{-1} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{it} \left(e^{it} I - bT \right)^{-1} dt.$$

If we set $z = e^{it}$, then the last integral is of the form

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\substack{|z|=1}} (zI - bT)^{-1} dz,$$

where the integral along |z| = 1 is taken in the positive direction. Hence, by the Riesz-Dunford integral (1), we have

$$I_2 = I. (26)$$

Similarly, we get

$$I_4 = I. (27)$$

Therefore, from (22)-(27), we get (15).

Remark 4. By taking c = 0 and d = 0 in (13) and (15) we find that (13) and (15) are generalizations of (8) and (9), respectively.

3. The Finite Sum of the Operator- Valued Poisson Kernel

In this section we definite a new generalization of the operator-valued Poisson kernel $M_{(a_k,b_k)_{k-n}^n,t}(T)$ in 2(n+1) complex parameters. Let us begin by the following definition.

Definition 3. For $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, define the finite sum of the operator-valued *Poisson kernel in the following way.*

$$M_{(a_k,b_k)_{k=0}^n,t}(T) = \left(I - a_0 e^{it} T^*\right)^{-1} + \left(I - b_0 e^{-it} T\right)^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(I - a_k e^{it} T^*\right)^{-1} - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(I - b_k e^{-it} T\right)^{-1} - I,$$
(28)

where a_k and b_k are complex parameters satisfying $|a_k| < 1$ and $|b_k| < 1$, $0 \le k \le n$, and for n = 0, 1, 2, ...

Remark 5. By taking n = 0 and n = 1 in (28), we obtain (8) and (13), respectively.

Remark 6. Note that $M_{(a_k,b_k)_{k=0}^n,t}(T) \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$.

Lemma 3. For $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, we have

$$M_{(a_k,b_k)_{k=0}^n,t}(T) = \sum_{m\geq 0} a_0^m e^{imt} T^{*m} + \sum_{m\geq 0} b_0^m e^{-imt} T^m + \sum_{m\geq 0} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^m e^{imt} T^{*m} - \sum_{m\geq 0} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^m e^{-imt} T^m - I.$$
(29)

Proof. Since $\left|\left|a_{k}e^{it}T^{*}\right|\right| < 1$, and $\left|\left|b_{k}e^{-it}T\right|\right| < 1$, $0 \le k \le n$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(I - a_k e^{it} T^* \right)^{-1} = \sum_{m \ge 0} \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k^m e^{imt} T^{*m},$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(I - b_k e^{-it} T \right)^{-1} = \sum_{m \ge 0} \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_k^m e^{-imt} T^m$$

respectively. By the two equalities above and (28), we get (29).

For an operator $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and a polynomial $r(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{s} c_j z^j \in \mathbb{C}[z]_{|\overline{\mathbb{D}}}$, $r(T) \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by

$$r(T) = \sum_{j=0}^{s} c_j T^j.$$

Lemma 4. Let $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. For $r(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]_{|\overline{\mathbb{D}}}$. Then

$$r(b_0T) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} r(b_kT) + nc_0I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} r(e^{it}) M_{(a_k,b_k)_{k=0}^n,t}(T) dt,$$

where a_k and b_k are complex parameters satisfying $|a_k| < 1$ and $|b_k| < 1$, $0 \le k \le n$, and for n = 0, 1, 2, ...

Proof. From (29) and since $\int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{ilt} dt = 0$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}/\{0\}$, we get

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} r(e^{it}) M_{(a_k,b_k)_{k=0}^n,t}(T) dt = \sum_{j=0}^{s} \sum_{m \ge 0} c_j a_0^m T^{*m} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i(m+j)t} dt + \sum_{j=0}^{s} \sum_{m \ge 0} c_j b_0^m T^m \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i(j-m)t} dt$$

$$\begin{split} &+\sum_{j=0}^{s}\sum_{m\geq 0}\sum_{k=1}^{n}c_{j}a_{k}^{m}T^{*m}\int_{0}^{2\pi}e^{i(j+m)t}dt\\ &-\sum_{j=0}^{s}\sum_{m\geq 0}\sum_{k=1}^{n}c_{j}b_{k}^{m}T^{m}\int_{0}^{2\pi}e^{i(j-m)t}dt -\sum_{j=0}^{s}c_{j}\int_{0}^{2\pi}e^{ijt}dt\\ &=2\pi c_{0}I + 2\pi\sum_{j=0}^{s}c_{j}b_{0}^{j}T^{j} + 2\pi\sum_{k=1}^{n}c_{0}I\\ &-2\pi\sum_{j=0}^{s}\sum_{k=1}^{n}c_{j}b_{k}^{j}T^{j} - 2\pi c_{0}I\\ &=2n\pi c_{0}I + 2\pi r\left(b_{0}T\right) - 2\pi\sum_{k=1}^{n}r\left(b_{k}T\right). \end{split}$$

Corollary 3. Note that if r identically equal to 1, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} M_{(a_k,b_k)_{k=0}^n,t}(T) dt = I,$$
(30)

for complex parameters a_k and b_k satisfying $\left|a_k\right|<1$ and $\left|b_k\right|<1,\ 0\leq k\leq n,\ n=0,1,2,\ldots$ and $T\in\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(T)\subset\overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

Now, we give a different proof of equation (30) independent of a polynomial.

Theorem 6. Let $T \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} M_{(a_k,b_k)_{k=0}^n,t}(T) dt = I,$$

where a_k and b_k are complex parameters satisfying $|a_k| < 1$ and $|b_k| < 1$, $0 \le k \le n$, n = 0, 1, 2, ...

Proof. From (28), we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} M_{(a_{k},b_{k})_{k=0}^{n},t}(T) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(\int_{k=1}^{2\pi} \left(I - a_{0}e^{it}T^{*} \right)^{-1} + \left(I - b_{0}e^{-it}T \right)^{-1} + \int_{k=1}^{2\pi} \left(I - b_{k}e^{-it}T \right)^{-1} - I \right) dt.$$
(31)

We set

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(I - a_0 e^{it} T^* \right)^{-1} dt, \tag{32}$$

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(I - b_0 e^{-it} T \right)^{-1} dt, \tag{33}$$

$$I_3 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(I - a_k e^{it} T^* \right)^{-1} dt, \tag{34}$$

$$I_4 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(I - b_k e^{-it} T \right)^{-1} dt, \tag{35}$$

and

$$I_5 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} I dt. \tag{36}$$

Therefore, it follows from (32)- (36) that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} M_{\left(a_{k},b_{k}\right)_{k=0}^{n},t}\left(T\right)dt = I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3} - I_{4} - I_{5}.$$
(37)

It is clear that

$$I_5 = I. (38)$$

Following similarly the proof of Theorem 5, we get

$$I_1 = I. (39)$$

$$I_2 = I. (40)$$

Next, we shall calculate I_3 and I_4 . First, we have

$$I_3 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(I - a_k e^{it} T^* \right)^{-1} dt = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-it} \left(e^{-it} I - a_k T^* \right)^{-1} dt \right).$$

Making substitution $z = e^{-it}$ in the last integral, we get

$$I_3 = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1} (zI - a_k T^*)^{-1} dz\right),$$

REFERENCES 351

where the integral along |z| = 1 is taken in the negative direction. Hence, by the Riesz-Dunford integral in the equation (1), we have

$$I_3 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} I = nI. (41)$$

Similarly, we get

$$I_4 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(I - b_k e^{-it} T \right)^{-1} dt = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{it} \left(e^{it} I - b_k T \right)^{-1} dt \right).$$

If we set $z = e^{it}$, then the last integral is of the form

$$I_4 = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1} (zI - b_k T)^{-1} dz\right),$$

where the integral along |z| = 1 is taken in the positive direction. Hence, by the Riesz-Dunford integral (1), we have

$$I_4 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} I = nI. (42)$$

Therefore, from (37)-(42) we get (30).

References

- [1] S Bulut. A note on the operator-valued poisson kernel. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 2(2):296–301, 2009.
- [2] I Chalendar. The operator-valued poisson kernel and its application. *Irish Mathematical Society Bulletin*, 51:21–44, 2003.
- [3] H Haruki. A new generalization of the poisson kernel. *Journal of Applied Analysis and Stochastic Analysis*, 10(2):191–196, 1997.