



Bi-Univalent Function Families Involving q -Rabotnov Function and q -Analogues of Fibonacci Numbers

Abdullah Alsoboh^{1,*}, Ahmad Almalkawi², Ala Amourah³, Fahad Al Abri^{1,*}, Tala Sasa⁴

¹ *Department of Basic and Applied Sciences, College of Applied and Health Sciences, A'Sharqiyah University, Post Box No. 42, Post Code No. 400, Ibra, Sultanate of Oman*

² *Modern College of Business and Science, Muscat, Sultanate of Oman*

³ *Mathematics Education Program, Faculty of Education and Arts, Sohar University, Sohar 311, Oman*

⁴ *Applied Science Research Center, Applied Science Private University, Amman, Jordan*

Abstract. Motivated by the interplay between q -calculus and geometric function theory, this paper introduces and investigates a new subclass of bi-univalent functions associated with shell-like curves defined via the q -Rabotnov function and the q -analogue of Fibonacci numbers. By employing the subordination principle, we derive coefficient bounds for the initial Taylor–Maclaurin coefficients, specifically $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$, and further establish sharp Fekete–Szegő type inequalities for the proposed function class. Our results not only extend and generalize several recent contributions in the theory of bi-univalent functions but also highlight novel connections between q -special functions, shell-like domains, and analytic inequalities. The findings presented herein contribute to a deeper understanding of the structural properties of bi-univalent functions and open avenues for future applications in operator theory and related analytic frameworks.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 30A36, 30C45, 81P68, 11B37

Key Words and Phrases: Analytic functions, univalent functions, Fibonacci numbers, Fekete–Szegő inequality, q -Rabotnov function, quantum calculus

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the family of all analytic functions defined on the open unit disk \mathcal{O} , where \mathcal{O} is the set of all complex numbers $z = a + ib$ (with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$) satisfying $|z| < 1$. Geometrically, \mathcal{O} represents the collection of all points in the complex plane that lie strictly inside the unit circle centered at the origin.

*Corresponding author.

*Corresponding author.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v19i1.7113>

Email addresses: fahad.alabri@asu.edu.om (F. Al Abri)

The functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ are normalized to satisfy the following initial conditions:

$$f(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f'(0) = 1.$$

These normalization conditions ensure that the functions are uniquely determined and facilitate the study of their properties within the unit disk. For every function $f \in \mathcal{A}$, the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion can be expressed in the following form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n, \quad (z \in \mathcal{O}). \tag{1}$$

An analytic function f that satisfies $|f(z)| < 1$ and $f(0) = 0$ within the domain \mathcal{O} is called a Schwartz functions. When considering two functions f_1 and f_2 from \mathcal{A} , f_1 is referred to as subordinate to f_2 , denoted by $f_1 \prec f_2$, if a Schwarz function g exists such that $f_1(z) = f_2(g(z))$ for all $z \in \mathcal{O}$. Additionally, examine the class \mathbf{S} , which includes all functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ that are univalent (injective) in the unit disk \mathcal{O} . Let \mathbf{P} represent the collection of functions within \mathcal{A} that possess positive real parts, defined as follows:

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \dots, \tag{2}$$

where

$$|p_n| \leq 2, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \tag{3}$$

This is in accordance with the renowned Carathéodory’s Lemma (for more details, see [1]). Essentially, $\varphi \in \mathbf{P}$ if and only if $\varphi(z) \prec (1+z)(1-z)^{-1}$ for $z \in \mathcal{O}$.

As the foundation upon which many important subclasses of analytic functions are built, the class \mathbf{P} is crucial to the study of analytic functions. For any function f in the subfamily \mathbf{S} of \mathcal{A} , there exists an inverse function denoted as f^{-1} and defined by

$$z = f^{-1}(f(z)) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = f(f^{-1}(\xi)), \quad (r_0(f) \geq 0.25; |\xi| < r_0(f); z \in \mathcal{O}). \tag{4}$$

where

$$\eta(\xi) = f^{-1}(\xi) = \xi - \alpha_2 \xi^2 + (2\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_3) \xi^3 - (5\alpha_2^3 + \alpha_4 - 5\alpha_3\alpha_2) \xi^4 + \dots. \tag{5}$$

function $f \in \mathbf{S}$ is said to be bi-univalent if its inverse function $f^{-1} \in \mathbf{S}$. The subclass of \mathbf{S} denoted by Σ contains all bi-univalent functions in \mathcal{O} . A table illustrating certain functions within the class Σ and their inverse functions is provided below.

Rabotnov-type kernels, originally introduced by Rabotnov [2] within the framework of linear viscoelasticity, provide a powerful tool for modeling hereditary phenomena such as creep and relaxation. These kernels are typically expressed in terms of convolution operators involving Mittag-Leffler-type functions and thus offer a rigorous representation of fractional-order operators in constitutive equations [3]. Due to their remarkable flexibility, Rabotnov kernels have become a standard device in the mathematical description of stress-strain relations with memory effects in mechanics and engineering.

Table 1: Lists several of the starlike classes defined by the subordination principle.

f	f^{-1}
$f_1(z) = \frac{z}{1+z}$	$f_1^{-1}(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$
$f_2(z) = -\log(1-z)$	$f_1^{-1}(z) = \frac{e^{2z}-1}{e^{2z}+1}$
$f_3(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$	$f_1^{-1}(z) = \frac{e^z-1}{e^z}$

Moreover, their deep connection with fractional calculus has established them as a central component in the modern analysis of viscoelastic materials and complex dynamical systems [4–10].

Definition 1. [11] Let $\varrho, \varphi, \vartheta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\varrho) > 0, \Re(\varphi) > 0, \Re(\vartheta)$, and $|q| < 1$. The generalized q -Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\varrho, \varphi}^{\vartheta}$ is defined by

$$E_{\varrho, \varphi}^{\vartheta}(z; q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{\vartheta}; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma_q(\varrho n + \varphi)}, \tag{6}$$

where Γ_q denotes the q -gamma function.

The q -gamma function Γ_q , which serves as the q -analogue of Euler’s gamma function, is defined recursively (see [12, 13]) by

$$\Gamma_q(\kappa + 1) = \frac{1 - q^{\kappa}}{1 - q} \Gamma_q(\kappa) = [\kappa]_q \Gamma_q(\kappa),$$

where

$$[\kappa]_q = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - q^{\kappa}}{1 - q}, & 0 < q < 1, \kappa \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \\ 1, & q \mapsto 0^+, \kappa \in \mathbb{C}^* \\ \kappa, & q \mapsto 1^-, \kappa \in \mathbb{C}^* \\ q^{\gamma-1} + q^{\gamma-2} + \dots + q + 1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\gamma-1} q^n, & 0 < q < 1, \kappa = \gamma \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

This formulation ensures that Γ_q retains many of the structural properties of the classical gamma function while encoding the discrete deformation induced by the parameter q .

The q -analogue of the Pochhammer symbol, also known as the q -shifted factorial, is given by (see [13])

$$(\kappa; q)_n = \begin{cases} (1 - \kappa)(1 - \kappa q) \dots (1 - \kappa q^{n-1}), & n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ 1, & n = 0, \end{cases}$$

and admits the representation

$$(\kappa; q)_n = \frac{(1 - q)^n \Gamma_q(\kappa + n)}{\Gamma_q(\kappa)}, \quad n > 0,$$

which highlights its intrinsic connection to the q -gamma function.

Remark 1. *In the limiting case $q \rightarrow 1^-$, the q -Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\varrho, \varphi}^\varphi$ reduces to the classical generalized Mittag-Leffler function, thereby bridging the discrete q -framework with its continuous counterpart. This makes the function an effective tool in geometric function theory, particularly when exploring analytic classes generated by fractional and q -calculus operators.*

Definition 2. *Let $\varrho \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\varrho) > 0$, $\lambda > 0$, and $|q| < 1$. We define the function $\Phi_{\varrho, \lambda}^\varphi(z; q)$ by*

$$\Phi_{\varrho, \lambda}^\varphi(z; q) = z^\varrho \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^\varphi; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} \frac{[\lambda]_q^n}{\Gamma_q((n+1)(1+\varrho))} z^{n(1+\varrho)}. \tag{7}$$

When $q \rightarrow 1^-$, the function $\Phi_{\varrho, \lambda}^\varphi(z; q)$ reduces to the classical Rabotnov function $\Phi_{\varrho, \lambda}(z)$ (see [2]). Since $\Phi_{\varrho, \lambda}^\varphi(z; q)$ is not normalized, we adopt the following normalized form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_{\varrho, \lambda}^\varphi(z; q) &= z^{\frac{1}{1+\varrho}+1} \Gamma_q(1+\varrho) \Phi_{\varrho, \lambda}^\varphi(z^{\frac{1}{1+\varrho}}; q) \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(q^\varphi; q)_{n-1}}{(q; q)_{n-1}} \frac{[\lambda]_q^{n-1} \Gamma_q(1+\varrho)}{\Gamma_q((1+\varrho)n)} z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Remark 2. *The function $\Phi_{\varrho, \lambda}^\varphi(z; q)$ may be regarded as a q -analogue of kernel-type generating functions frequently employed in the study of analytic and bi-univalent function classes. In particular, it encodes the combined influence of the q -Mittag-Leffler structure and the parameter λ , and for $q \rightarrow 1^-$, it reduces to its classical counterpart involving Euler’s gamma function. Such kernels play a central role in the construction of subclasses of analytic functions defined through subordination, convolution, and fractional q -calculus operators.*

We now introduce a linear operator of Hadamard-convolution type associated with the q -Rabotnov kernel.

Definition 3. *For $\varrho, \varphi \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\varrho) > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, the linear operator $\mathcal{F}_{\varrho, \lambda}^\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is defined by*

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varrho, \lambda}^\varphi(f(z); q) = \mathbb{R}_{\varrho, \lambda}^\varphi(z; q) * f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(q^\varphi; q)_{n-1}}{(q; q)_{n-1}} \frac{[\lambda]_q^{n-1} \Gamma_q(1+\varrho)}{\Gamma_q(n(1+\varrho))} \alpha_n z^n, \tag{9}$$

where f is of the form (1), and $*$ denotes the Hadamard (or coefficient-wise) product of power series.

Remark 3. *The operator $\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta, \lambda}^\varphi$ generalizes classical convolution operators by incorporating q -Rabotnov kernels. Such operators play a crucial role in constructing and investigating subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, particularly in deriving sharp coefficient bounds and Fekete–Szegő type inequalities.*

Alsoboh et al. [14], by employing the subordination mapping

$$\Upsilon(z; q) = \frac{1 + q\vartheta_q^2 z^2}{1 - \vartheta_q z - q\vartheta_q^2 z^2}, \quad (10)$$

introduced a new family of q -starlike functions. They also established a fundamental connection between the q -analogue of Fibonacci numbers ϑ_q and their associated Fibonacci polynomials

$$\vartheta_q = \frac{1 - \sqrt{4q + 1}}{2q}. \quad (11)$$

In particular, they proved that if

$$\Upsilon(z; q) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{p}_n z^n,$$

then the coefficients \widehat{p}_n satisfy the recurrence relation

$$\widehat{p}_n = \begin{cases} \vartheta_q, & n = 1, \\ (2q + 1)\vartheta_q^2, & n = 2, \\ (3q + 1)\vartheta_q^3, & n = 3, \\ (\varphi_{n+1}(q) + q\varphi_{n-1}(q))\vartheta_q^n, & s \geq 4, \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

where the q -Fibonacci polynomials are given by

$$\varphi_n(q) = \frac{(1 - q\vartheta_q)^n - \vartheta_q^n}{\sqrt{4q + 1}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (13)$$

The advent of q -calculus has significantly advanced the study of analytic function theory by enabling the discovery of novel subclasses with intricate geometric and algebraic properties. These developments underscore the versatility of q -calculus, demonstrating its potential to enrich classical function theory and uncover new mathematical phenomena. The relevance of these findings extends to both theoretical and applied settings, providing a robust foundation for future research and innovation in the field [15–21].

2. Definition and example

Motivated by q -Fibonacci numbers and the q -Rabotnov operator, this section will now look at a novel subclasses of bi-univalent functions related to shell-like curves.

Definition 4. A function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1). We say that f belongs to the class $\mathfrak{R}_{\Sigma_q}(\varrho, \varphi, \lambda)$ if the following subordinations hold:

$$\partial_q \left(\mathcal{F}_{\varrho, \lambda}^\varphi(f(z); q) \right) \prec \Upsilon(z; q) = \frac{1 + q\vartheta_q^2 z^2}{1 - \vartheta_q z - q\vartheta_q^2 z^2}, \quad (z \in \mathcal{O}) \tag{14}$$

and

$$\partial_q \left(\mathcal{F}_{\varrho, \lambda}^\varphi(\eta(\xi); q) \right) \prec \Upsilon(\xi; q) = \frac{1 + q\vartheta_q^2 \xi^2}{1 - \vartheta_q \xi - q\vartheta_q^2 \xi^2}, \quad (\xi \in \mathcal{O}) \tag{15}$$

where $\eta = f^{-1}$ is the inverse of f , ∂_q denotes the q -derivative, and ϑ_q is given by (11).

By imposing suitable specializations on the parameter q , one can generate a number of well-known subclasses of the bi-univalent function class Σ . For clarity, we record below some representative examples which illustrate how the general class $\mathfrak{R}_{\Sigma_q}(\varrho, \varphi, \lambda)$ reduces to particular families under these parameter choices.

Example 1. If $q \rightarrow 1^-$, then a function $f \in \mathfrak{R}_{\Sigma}(\varrho, \varphi, \lambda)$ is characterized as belonging to the family of bi-univalent functions $f \in \Sigma$ that satisfy the subordinations

$$\left(\mathcal{F}_{\varrho, \lambda}^\varphi(f(z); q) \right)' \prec \frac{1 + \vartheta^2 z^2}{1 - \vartheta z - \vartheta^2 z^2}, \quad (z \in \mathcal{O})$$

and

$$\left(\mathcal{F}_{\varrho, \lambda}^\varphi(\eta(\xi); q) \right)' \prec \frac{1 + q\vartheta^2 \xi^2}{1 - \vartheta \xi - \vartheta^2 \xi^2}, \quad (\xi \in \mathcal{O})$$

where $\vartheta = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ denotes the golden ratio, arising from the classical Fibonacci numbers.

3. Main Results

In this section, we obtain the initial Taylor coefficients $|\alpha_2|$ and $|\alpha_3|$ for the bi-univalent starlike and convex subclass $\mathfrak{R}_{\Sigma_q}(\varrho, \varphi, \lambda)$.

Firstly, let $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \dots$, and $p(z) \prec \Upsilon(z; q)$. Then there exist $\varphi \in \mathbf{P}$ such that $|\varphi(z)| < 1$ in \mathcal{O} and $p(z) = \Upsilon(\varphi(z); q)$, we have

$$h(z) = (1 + \varphi(z))(1 - \varphi(z))^{-1} = 1 + \ell_1 z + \ell_2 z^2 + \dots \in \mathbf{P} \quad (z \in \mathcal{O}). \tag{16}$$

It follows that

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{\ell_1 z}{2} + \left(\ell_2 - \frac{\ell_1^2}{2} \right) \frac{z^2}{2} + \left(\ell_3 - \ell_1 \ell_2 - \frac{\ell_1^3}{4} \right) \frac{z^3}{2} + \dots \tag{17}$$

Moreover, by expanding $\Upsilon(\varphi(z); q)$ into its Taylor–Maclaurin series, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(\varphi(z); q) &= 1 + \widehat{p}_1 \left[\frac{\ell_1 z}{2} + \left(\ell_2 - \frac{\ell_1^2}{2} \right) \frac{z^2}{2} + \left(\ell_3 - \ell_1 \ell_2 - \frac{\ell_1^3}{4} \right) \frac{z^3}{2} + \dots \right] \\ &\quad + \widehat{p}_2 \left[\frac{\ell_1 z}{2} + \left(\ell_2 - \frac{\ell_1^2}{2} \right) \frac{z^2}{2} + \left(\ell_3 - \ell_1 \ell_2 - \frac{\ell_1^3}{4} \right) \frac{z^3}{2} + \dots \right]^2 \\ &\quad + \widehat{p}_3 \left[\frac{\ell_1 z}{2} + \left(\ell_2 - \frac{\ell_1^2}{2} \right) \frac{z^2}{2} + \left(\ell_3 - \ell_1 \ell_2 - \frac{\ell_1^3}{4} \right) \frac{z^3}{2} + \dots \right]^3 + \dots \quad (18) \\ &= 1 + \frac{\widehat{p}_1 \ell_1}{2} z + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\ell_2 - \frac{\ell_1^2}{2} \right) \widehat{p}_1 + \frac{\ell_1^2}{2} \widehat{p}_2 \right] z^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\ell_3 - \ell_1 \ell_2 + \frac{\ell_1^3}{4} \right) \widehat{p}_1 + \ell_1 \left(\ell_2 - \frac{\ell_1^2}{2} \right) \widehat{p}_2 + \frac{\ell_1^3}{4} \widehat{p}_3 \right] z^3 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

And similarly, there exists an analytic function ν such that $|\nu(\xi)| < 1$ in \mathcal{O} and $p(\xi) = \Upsilon(\nu(\xi); q)$. Therefore, the function

$$\kappa(\xi) = (1 + \nu(\xi))(1 - \nu(\xi))^{-1} = 1 + \tau_1 \xi + \tau_2 \xi^2 + \dots \in \mathcal{P}. \quad (19)$$

It follows that

$$\nu(\xi) = \frac{\tau_1 \xi}{2} + \left(\tau_2 - \frac{\tau_1^2}{2} \right) \frac{\xi^2}{2} + \left(\tau_3 - \tau_1 \tau_2 - \frac{\tau_1^3}{4} \right) \frac{\xi^3}{2} + \dots, \quad (20)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(\nu(\xi); q) &= 1 + \frac{\widehat{p}_1 \tau_1}{2} \xi + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\tau_2 - \frac{\tau_1^2}{2} \right) \widehat{p}_1 + \frac{\tau_1^2}{2} \widehat{p}_2 \right] \xi^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\tau_3 - \tau_1 \tau_2 + \frac{\tau_1^3}{4} \right) \widehat{p}_1 + \tau_1 \left(\tau_2 - \frac{\tau_1^2}{2} \right) \widehat{p}_2 + \frac{\tau_1^3}{4} \widehat{p}_3 \right] \xi^3 + \dots \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

In the following theorem we determine the initial Taylor coefficients $|\alpha_2|$ and $|\alpha_3|$ for the class $\mathfrak{R}_{\Sigma_q}(\varrho, \varphi, \lambda)$. Later we will reduce these bounds to other classes for special cases.

Theorem 1. *Let f given by (1) be in the class $\mathfrak{R}_{\Sigma_q}(\varrho, \varphi, \lambda)$. Then $|\alpha_2| \leq$*

$$\min \left\{ \frac{\vartheta_q^2 \Gamma_q^2(2(1 + \varrho))}{[2]_q^2 [\varphi]_q^2 [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q^2(1 + \varrho)}, \frac{2 |\vartheta_q| [2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1 + \varrho)) \Gamma_q^2(2(1 + \varrho))}{\sqrt{\left| 2[3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi + 1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho) \Gamma_q^2(2(1 + \varrho)) - \left(4q + 2 - \frac{2}{\vartheta_q} \right) [2]_q^3 [\varphi]_q^2 [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q^2(1 + \varrho) \Gamma_q(3(1 + \varrho)) \right|}} \right\},$$

and

$$|\alpha_3| = \frac{\vartheta_q^2 \Gamma_q^2(2(1 + \varrho))}{[2]_q^2 [\varphi]_q^2 [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q^2(1 + \varrho)} + \frac{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1 + \varrho)) |\vartheta_q|}{[3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi + 1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathfrak{R}_{\Sigma_q}(\varrho, \varphi, \lambda)$ and $\eta = f^{-1}$. Considering (14) and (15) we have

$$\partial_q \left(\mathcal{F}_{\varrho, \lambda}^\varphi(f(z); q) \right) = \Upsilon(\varphi(z); q), \quad (z \in \mathcal{O}), \tag{22}$$

and

$$\partial_q \left(\mathcal{F}_{\varrho, \lambda}^\varphi(\eta(\xi); q) \right) = \Upsilon(\nu(\xi); q), \quad (\xi \in \mathcal{O}). \tag{23}$$

Using (8), we have

$$\partial_q \left(\mathcal{F}_{\varrho, \lambda}^\varphi(f(z); q) \right) = 1 + \frac{[2]_q [\varphi]_q [\lambda]_q \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)}{\Gamma_q(2(1 + \varrho))} \alpha_2 z + \frac{[3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi + 1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)}{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1 + \varrho))} \alpha_3 z^2 + O(z^3), \tag{24}$$

and

$$\partial_q \left(\mathcal{F}_{\varrho, \lambda}^\varphi(\eta(\xi); q) \right) = 1 - \frac{[2]_q [\varphi]_q [\lambda]_q \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)}{\Gamma_q(2(1 + \varrho))} \alpha_2 \xi + \frac{[3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi + 1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)}{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1 + \varrho))} (2\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_3) \xi^2 + O(\xi^3). \tag{25}$$

By comparing (22) and (24), along (18), yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{[2]_q [\varphi]_q [\lambda]_q \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)}{\Gamma_q(2(1 + \varrho))} \alpha_2 z + \frac{[3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi + 1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)}{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1 + \varrho))} \alpha_3 z^2 + \dots \\ &= \frac{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_1 \ell_1}{2} z + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\ell_2 - \frac{\ell_1^2}{2} \right) \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_1 + \frac{\ell_1^2}{2} \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_2 \right] z^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Besied that, by comparing (18) and (25), along (21), yields

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{[2]_q [\varphi]_q [\lambda]_q \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)}{\Gamma_q(2(1 + \varrho))} \alpha_2 \xi + \frac{[3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi + 1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)}{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1 + \varrho))} (2\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_3) \xi^2 + \dots \\ &= \frac{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_1 \tau_1}{2} \xi + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\tau_2 - \frac{\tau_1^2}{2} \right) \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_1 + \frac{\tau_1^2}{2} \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_2 \right] \xi^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Equating the pertinent coefficient in (26) and (27), we obtain

$$\frac{[2]_q [\varphi]_q [\lambda]_q \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)}{\Gamma_q(2(1 + \varrho))} \alpha_2 = \frac{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_1 \ell_1}{2} \tag{28}$$

$$- \frac{[2]_q [\varphi]_q [\lambda]_q \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)}{\Gamma_q(2(1 + \varrho))} \alpha_2 = \frac{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_1 \tau_1}{2} \tag{29}$$

$$\frac{[3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi + 1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)}{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1 + \varrho))} \alpha_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\ell_2 - \frac{\ell_1^2}{2} \right) \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_1 + \frac{\ell_1^2}{2} \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_2 \right] \tag{30}$$

$$\frac{[3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi + 1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)}{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1 + \varrho))} (2\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_3) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\tau_2 - \frac{\tau_1^2}{2} \right) \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_1 + \frac{\tau_1^2}{2} \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_2 \right] \tag{31}$$

From (28) and (29), we have

$$\ell_1 = -\tau_1 \iff \ell_1^2 = \tau_1^2, \tag{32}$$

and using (12), we have

$$\alpha_2^2 = \frac{\vartheta_q^2 \Gamma_q^2(2(1 + \varrho))}{8 [2]_q^2 [\varphi]_q^2 [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q^2(1 + \varrho)} (\ell_1^2 + \tau_1^2), \tag{33}$$

or equivalent to

$$\ell_1^2 + \tau_1^2 = \frac{8}{\vartheta_q^2} \left(\frac{[2]_q [\varphi]_q [\lambda]_q \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)}{\Gamma_q(2(1 + \varrho))} \right)^2 \alpha_2^2. \tag{34}$$

Now, by summing (30) and (31), we obtain

$$\frac{2 [3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi + 1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)}{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1 + \varrho))} \alpha_2^2 = \frac{(\ell_2 + \tau_2) \vartheta_q}{2} + \left[\frac{(2q + 1) \vartheta_q^2}{4} - \frac{\vartheta_q}{4} \right] (\ell_1^2 + \tau_1^2). \tag{35}$$

By putting (33) in (35), we obtain

$$\alpha_2^2 = \frac{\frac{\vartheta_q}{2} (\ell_2 + \tau_2) [2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1 + \varrho)) \Gamma_q(2(1 + \varrho))^2}{2 [3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi + 1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho) \Gamma_q(2(1 + \varrho))^2 - \left(4q + 2 - \frac{2}{\vartheta_q}\right) [2]_q^3 [\varphi]_q^2 [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)^2 \Gamma_q(3(1 + \varrho))}. \tag{36}$$

Using (3) for (36), we have

$$|\alpha_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2|\vartheta_q| [2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1 + \varrho)) \Gamma_q(2(1 + \varrho))^2}{\left| 2 [3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi + 1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho) \Gamma_q(2(1 + \varrho))^2 - \left(4q + 2 - \frac{2}{\vartheta_q}\right) [2]_q^3 [\varphi]_q^2 [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)^2 \Gamma_q(3(1 + \varrho)) \right|}}. \tag{37}$$

Besided that, from (33)

$$|\alpha_2| \leq \frac{\vartheta_q^2 \Gamma_q^2(2(1 + \varrho))}{[2]_q^2 [\varphi]_q^2 [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q^2(1 + \varrho)}.$$

Now, so as to find the bound on $|\alpha_3|$, let's subtract from (30) and (31) along (33), we obtain

$$\alpha_3 = \alpha_2^2 + \frac{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1 + \varrho)) \vartheta_q (\ell_2 - \tau_2)}{4 [3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi + 1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)}. \tag{38}$$

Hence, we get

$$|\alpha_3| = |\alpha_2|^2 + \frac{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1 + \varrho)) |\vartheta_q|}{[3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi + 1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1 + \varrho)}. \tag{39}$$

Then, in view of (33), we obtain

$$|\alpha_3| \leq \frac{\vartheta_q^2 \Gamma_q^2(2(1+\varrho))}{[2]_q^2 [\varphi]_q^2 [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q^2(1+\varrho)} + \frac{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1+\varrho)) |\vartheta_q|}{[3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi+1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1+\varrho)}. \tag{40}$$

In the following theorem, we find the Fekete-Szegö functional for $f \in \mathfrak{R}_{\Sigma_q}(\varrho, \varphi, \lambda)$.

Theorem 2. *Let f given by (1) be in the class $\mathfrak{R}_{\Sigma_q}(\varrho, \varphi, \lambda)$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have*

$$|\alpha_3 - \rho \alpha_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1+\varrho)) |\vartheta_q|}{[3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi+1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1+\varrho)}, & 0 \leq |\mathcal{K}(\rho)| \leq \frac{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1+\varrho)) |\vartheta_q|}{4 [3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi+1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1+\varrho)} \\ 4 |\mathcal{K}(\rho)|, & |\mathcal{K}(\rho)| \geq \frac{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1+\varrho)) |\vartheta_q|}{4 [3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi+1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1+\varrho)} \end{cases},$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}(\rho) = \frac{(1-\rho) \frac{\vartheta_q}{2} [2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1+\varrho)) \Gamma_q(2(1+\varrho))^2}{2 [3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi+1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1+\varrho) \Gamma_q(2(1+\varrho))^2 - \left(4q+2 - \frac{2}{\vartheta_q}\right) [2]_q^3 [\varphi]_q^2 [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1+\varrho)^2 \Gamma_q(3(1+\varrho))}. \tag{41}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathfrak{R}_{\Sigma_q}(\varrho, \varphi, \lambda)$, from (36) and (38) we have $\alpha_3 - \rho \alpha_2^2 =$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1+\varrho)) \vartheta_q (\ell_2 - \tau_2)}{4 [3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi+1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1+\varrho)} \\ & + \frac{(1-\rho) (\ell_2 + \tau_2) \frac{\vartheta_q}{2} [2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1+\varrho)) \Gamma_q(2(1+\varrho))^2}{2 [3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi+1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1+\varrho) \Gamma_q(2(1+\varrho))^2 - \left(4q+2 - \frac{2}{\vartheta_q}\right) [2]_q^3 [\varphi]_q^2 [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1+\varrho)^2 \Gamma_q(3(1+\varrho))} \\ & = \left(\mathcal{K}(\rho) + \frac{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1+\varrho)) \vartheta_q}{4 [3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi+1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1+\varrho)} \right) \ell_2 + \left(\mathcal{K}(\rho) - \frac{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1+\varrho)) \vartheta_q}{4 [3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi+1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1+\varrho)} \right) \tau_2, \tag{42} \\ & \frac{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1+\varrho)) |\vartheta_q|}{4 [3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi+1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1+\varrho)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{K}(\rho)$ is given by (41).

Then, by taking modulus of (42), we conclude that

$$|\alpha_3 - \rho \alpha_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1+\varrho)) |\vartheta_q|}{[3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi+1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1+\varrho)}, & 0 \leq |\mathcal{K}(\rho)| \leq \frac{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1+\varrho)) |\vartheta_q|}{4 [3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi+1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1+\varrho)} \\ 4 |\mathcal{K}(\rho)|, & |\mathcal{K}(\rho)| \geq \frac{[2]_q \Gamma_q(3(1+\varrho)) |\vartheta_q|}{4 [3]_q [\varphi]_q [\varphi+1]_q [\lambda]_q^2 \Gamma_q(1+\varrho)} \end{cases}.$$

Corollary 1. *Let f given by (1) be in the class $\mathfrak{A}_\Sigma(\varrho, \varphi, \lambda)$ and let $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, we have*

$$|\alpha_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\vartheta^2 \Gamma^2(2(1 + \varrho))}{4 \varphi^2 \lambda^2 \Gamma^2(1 + \varrho)}, \sqrt{\frac{4 |\vartheta| \Gamma(3(1 + \varrho)) \Gamma^2(2(1 + \varrho))}{\left| 6 \varphi(\varphi + 1) \lambda^2 \Gamma(1 + \varrho) \Gamma^2(2(1 + \varrho)) - \left(6 - \frac{2}{\vartheta}\right) 8 \varphi^2 \lambda^2 \Gamma^2(1 + \varrho) \Gamma(3(1 + \varrho)) \right|}} \right\}.$$

$$|\alpha_3| = \frac{\vartheta^2 \Gamma^2(2(1 + \varrho))}{4 \varphi^2 \lambda^2 \Gamma^2(1 + \varrho)} + \frac{2 \Gamma(3(1 + \varrho)) |\vartheta|}{3 \varphi(\varphi + 1) \lambda^2 \Gamma(1 + \varrho)},$$

and

$$|\alpha_3 - \rho \alpha_2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2 \Gamma(3(1 + \varrho)) |\vartheta|}{3 \varphi(\varphi + 1) \lambda^2 \Gamma(1 + \varrho)}, & 0 \leq |\mathcal{K}(\rho)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(3(1 + \varrho)) |\vartheta|}{6 \varphi(\varphi + 1) \lambda^2 \Gamma(1 + \varrho)} \\ 4 |\mathcal{K}(\rho)|, & |\mathcal{K}(\rho)| \geq \frac{\Gamma(3(1 + \varrho)) |\vartheta|}{6 \varphi(\varphi + 1) \lambda^2 \Gamma(1 + \varrho)} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}(\rho) = \frac{(1 - \rho) \vartheta \Gamma(3(1 + \varrho)) \Gamma(2(1 + \varrho))^2}{6 \varphi(\varphi + 1) \lambda^2 \Gamma(1 + \varrho) \Gamma(2(1 + \varrho))^2 - \left(6 - \frac{2}{\vartheta}\right) 8 \varphi^2 \lambda^2 \Gamma(1 + \varrho)^2 \Gamma(3(1 + \varrho))}.$$

4. Conclusion

Conclusion

In this paper, we have introduced and studied a new subclass of bi-univalent functions associated with shell-like curves defined via the q -Rabotnov function and the q -analogue of Fibonacci numbers. By applying the subordination principle, we derived coefficient estimates for the initial Taylor–Maclaurin coefficients, namely $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$, and established sharp Fekete–Szegő type inequalities for the proposed class.

The obtained results not only extend and refine several existing contributions in the theory of bi-univalent functions but also emphasize the rich interplay between q -special functions, shell-like domains, and analytic inequalities. In particular, the connections drawn between the q -Rabotnov function, q -Fibonacci numbers, and geometric function theory provide new insights that deepen the structural understanding of bi-univalent functions.

We anticipate that the techniques and findings presented herein will serve as a foundation for further developments in q -calculus and its applications to operator theory, approximation theory, and other areas of complex analysis. Future work may focus on exploring additional subclasses defined via other q -special functions and extending the present results to multivalent or harmonic settings.

References

- [1] P. L. Duren. *Univalent functions*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer, New York, 1983.
- [2] Y. Rabotnov. *Prikladnaya Matematika i Mekhanika*, 12(1):53–62, 1948. Reprinted: *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, 17(3) (2014), 684–696.
- [3] F. Mainardi. *Fractional Calculus and Waves in Linear Viscoelasticity*. Imperial College Press, 2010.
- [4] A. Arif et al. On a new subclass of analytic functions defined via q -calculus and fibonacci numbers. *AIMS Mathematics*, 9(3), 2024.
- [5] A. El-Ashwah and M. K. Aouf. Some subclasses of analytic bi-univalent functions associated with fractional q -integral operators. *Filomat*, 37(2), 2023.
- [6] S. Kavitha, P. Sharma, and S. Sivasubramanian. Faber polynomial coefficient bounds for analytic bi-close-to-convex functions with bounded boundary rotation. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 15(5):107–119, 2024.
- [7] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo. *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. Elsevier, 2006.
- [8] M. Raza and N. Khan. On subclasses of analytic functions associated with quantum operators. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2022.
- [9] P. Sharma, A. Alharbi, S. Sivasubramanian, and S. M. El-Deeb. On ozaki close-to-convex functions with bounded boundary rotation. *Symmetry*, 16:839, 2024.
- [10] P. Sharma, S. Sivasubramanian, and N. E. Cho. Initial coefficient bounds for certain new subclasses of bi-univalent functions with bounded boundary rotation. *AIMS Mathematics*, 8(12):29535–29554, 2023.
- [11] S. K. Sharma and R. Jain. On some properties of generalized q -mittag-leffler function. *Mathematica Aeterna*, 4(6):613–619, 2008.
- [12] R. Askey and M. E. H. Ismail. A generalization of ultraspherical polynomials. In *Studies of Pure Mathematics*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983.
- [13] G. Gasper and M. Rahman. *Basic Hypergeometric Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [14] A. Alsoboh, A. Amourah, O. Alnajar, M. Ahmed, and T. M. Seoudy. Exploring q -fibonacci numbers in geometric function theory: Univalence and shell-like starlike curves. *Mathematics*, 13:1294, 2025.
- [15] B. Khan, H. M. Srivastava, N. Khan, M. Darus, M. Tahir, and Q. Z. Ahmad. Coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic functions associated with a certain leaf-like domain. *Mathematics*, 8:1334, 2020.
- [16] M. Arif, O. Barkub, H. M. Srivastava, S. Abdullah, and S. A. Khan. Some janowski type harmonic q -starlike functions associated with symmetrical points. *Mathematics*, 8:629, 2020.
- [17] H. M. Srivastava, M. K. Aouf, and A. O. Mostafa. Some properties of analytic functions associated with fractional q -calculus operators. *Miskolc Math. Notes*, 20:1245–1260, 2019.
- [18] H. M. Srivastava and S. M. El-Deeb. A certain class of analytic functions of complex order connected with a q -analogue of integral operators. *Miskolc Math. Notes*,

- 21:417–433, 2020.
- [19] M. Shafiq, H. M. Srivastava, N. Khan, Q. Z. Ahmad, M. Darus, and S. Kiran. An upper bound of the third hankel determinant for a subclass of q -starlike functions associated with k -fibonacci numbers. *Symmetry*, 12:1043, 2020.
- [20] S. Mahmood, Q. Z. Ahmad, H. M. Srivastava, N. Khan, B. Khan, and M. Tahir. A certain subclass of meromorphically q -starlike functions associated with the janowski functions. *J. Inequal. Appl.*, page 88, 2019.
- [21] S. Mahmood, H. M. Srivastava, N. Khan, Q. Z. Ahmad, B. Khan, and I. Ali. Upper bound of the third hankel determinant for a subclass of q -starlike functions. *Symmetry*, 11:347, 2019.