EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

Vol. 12, No. 1, 2019, 226-250 ISSN 1307-5543 – www.ejpam.com Published by New York Business Global



Characterizations of non-associative rings by their intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals

Nasreen Kausar^{1,*}, Muhammad Azam Waqar²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam University Islamabad, Pakistan

² Department of School of Business Mangment, NFC IEFR FSD, Pakistan

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to initiate and study on the generalization of the fuzzification of ideals in a class of non-associative and non-commutative algebraic structures (LA-ring). We characterize different classes of LA-ring in terms of intuitionistic fuzzy left (resp. right, bi-, generalized bi-, (1, 2)-) ideals.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 17D05, 17D99 **Key Words and Phrases**: Intuitionistic fuzzy left (right, bi-, generalized bi-, (1,2)-) ideals

In 1972, a generalization of abelian semigroups initiated by Kazim et al [11]. In ternary commutative (abelian) law: abc = cba, they introduced braces on the left side of this law and explored a new pseudo associative law, that is (ab)c = (cb)a. This law (ab)c = (cb)a is called the left invertive law. A groupoid S is said to be a left almost semigroup (abbreviated as LA-semigroup), if it satisfies the left invertive law: (ab)c = (cb)a. An LA-semigroup is a midway structure between an abelian semigroup and a groupoid. Ideals in LA-semigroup have been investigated by [16].

In [9] (resp. [4]), a groupoid S is said to be medial (resp. paramedial) if (ab)(cd) = (ac)(bd) (resp. (ab)(cd) = (db)(ca)). In [11], an LA-semigroup is medial, but in general an LA-semigroup needs not to be paramedial. Every LA-semigroup with left identity is paramedial by Protic et al [16] and also satisfies a(bc) = b(ac), (ab)(cd) = (dc)(ba).

Kamran [10], extended the notion of LA-semigroup to the left almost group (LAgroup). An LA-semigroup S is said to be a left almost group, if there exists left identity $e \in S$ such that ea = a for all $a \in S$ and for every $a \in S$, there exists $b \in S$ such that ba = e.

Shah et al [20], initiated the concept of left almost ring (abbreviated as LA-ring) of finitely nonzero functions, which is a generalization of a commutative semigroup ring. By a left almost ring, we mean a non-empty set R with at least two elements such that (R, +)is an LA-group, (R, \cdot) is an LA-semigroup, both left and right distributive laws hold. For example, from a commutative ring $(R, +, \cdot)$, we can always obtain an LA-ring (R, \oplus, \cdot) by

Email addresses: kausar.nasreen570gmail.com (N. Kausar), azamwaqar40gmail.com (M. A. Waqar)

http://www.ejpam.com

© 2019 EJPAM All rights reserved.

^{*}Corresponding author.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v12i1.3344

defining for all $a, b \in R$, $a \oplus b = b - a$ and $a \cdot b$ is same as in the ring. Despite the fact that the structure is non-associative and non-commutative, however it possesses properties which usually come across in associative and commutative algebraic structures.

A non-empty subset A of an LA-ring R is called an LA-subring of R if a - b and $ab \in A$ for all $a, b \in A$. A is called a left (resp. right) ideal of R if (A, +) is an LA-group and $RA \subseteq A$ (resp. $AR \subseteq A$). A is called an ideal of R if it is both a left ideal and a right ideal of R.

An LA-subring A of R is called a bi-ideal of R if $(AR)A \subseteq A$. A non-empty subset A of R is called a generalized bi-ideal of R if (A, +) is an LA-group and $(AR)A \subseteq A$. Every bi-ideal of R is a generalized bi-ideal of R. An LA-subring A of R is called (1, 2)-ideal of R if $(AR)A^2 \subseteq A$.

We will initiate the concept of regular (resp. left regular, right regular, (2, 2)-regular, left weakly regular, right weakly regular, intra-regular) LA-rings. We will also define the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy left (resp. right, bi-,generalized bi-, (1, 2)-) ideals.

We will describe a study of regular (resp. left regular, right regular, (2,2)-regular, left weakly regular, right weakly regular, intra-regular) LA-rings by the properties of intuitionistic fuzzy left (right, bi-, generalized bi-) ideals. In this regard, we will prove that in regular (resp. left weakly regular) LA-rings, the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy (right, two-sided) ideals coincides. We will also show that in right regular (resp. (2, 2)regular, right weakly regular, intra-regular) LA-rings, the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy (left, right, two-sided) ideals coincides. Also in left regular LA-rings with left identity, the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy (left, right, two-sided) ideals coincides. We will also characterize left weakly regular LA-rings in terms of intuitionistic fuzzy right (two-sided, bi-, generalize bi-) ideals.

1. Basic Definitions and Preliminary Results

After the introduction of fuzzy set by Zadeh [22], several researchers explored on the generalization of the notion of fuzzy set. The concept of intuitionistic fuzzy set was introduced by Atanassov [1, 2], as a generalization of the notion of fuzzy set.

Liu [13], introduced the concept of fuzzy subrings and fuzzy ideals of a ring. Many authors have explored the theory of fuzzy rings (for example [6, 12, 14, 15, 21]). Gupta et al [6], gave the idea of intrinsic product of fuzzy subsets of a ring. Kuroki [12], characterized regular (intra-regular, both regular and intra-regular) rings in terms of fuzzy left (right, quasi, bi-) ideals.

An intuitionistic fuzzy set (briefly, IFS) A in a non-empty set X is an object having the form $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) : x \in X\}$, where the functions $\mu_A \colon X \to [0, 1]$ and $\gamma_A \colon X \to [0, 1]$ denote the degree of membership and the degree of nonmembership, respectively and $0 \le \mu_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) \le 1$ for all $x \in X$ [1, 2].

An intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) : x \in X\}$ in X can be identified to be an ordered pair (μ_A, γ_A) in $I^X \times I^X$, where I^X is the set of all functions from X to [0, 1]. For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ for the IFS $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) : x \in X\}.$

Banerjee et al [3] and Hur et al [7], initiated the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy subrings and intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of a ring. Subsequently many authors studied the intuitionistic fuzzy subrings and intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of a ring by describing the different properties (see [8]). Shah et al [18], have initiated the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy normal LA-subrings of an LA-ring.

We initiate the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy left (resp. right, bi-, generalized bi-,(1, 2)-) ideals of an LA-ring R.

[18] An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of an LA-ring R is called an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R if

(1) $\mu_A(x-y) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\},\$

(2) $\gamma_A(x-y) \leq max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\},\$

(3) $\mu_A(xy) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\},\$

(4) $\gamma_A(xy) \leq max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in R$.

An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of an LA-ring R is called an intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal of R if (1) $\mu_A(x-y) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\},\$

(2) $\gamma_A(x-y) \leq max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\},\$

(3) $\mu_A(xy) \ge \mu_A(y)$,

(4) $\gamma_A(xy) \leq \gamma_A(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of an LA-ring R is called an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R f

(1) $\mu_A(x-y) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\},\$

(2)
$$\gamma_A(x-y) \leq max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\},\$$

(3) $\mu_A(xy) \ge \mu_A(x)$,

(4) $\gamma_A(xy) \leq \gamma_A(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of an LA-ring R is called an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R if it is both an intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal and an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R.

Example 1. Let $R = \{a, b, c, d\}$. Define + and \cdot in R as follows :

| + | a | b | c | d | | • | a | b | c | d |
|---|---|---|---|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|
| a | a | b | c | d | | a | a | a | a | a |
| b | d | a | b | c | and | b | a | b | a | b |
| c | c | d | a | b | | | a | | | |
| d | b | c | d | a | | d | a | b | c | d |

Then R is an LA-ring and $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an IFS of R. We define $\mu_A(a) = \mu_A(c) = 0.7$, $\mu_A(b) = \mu_A(d) = 0$ and $\gamma_A(a) = \gamma_A(c) = 0$, $\gamma_A(b) = \gamma_A(d) = 0.7$. Then $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R.

Every intuitionistic fuzzy left (resp. right, two-sided) ideal of an LA-ring R is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R, but the converse is not true.

Example 2. $R = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ is an *LA*-ring.

| + | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | $\overline{7}$ | | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----------------|-----|----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 5 | | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 6 | | 2 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | and | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 5 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | | 5 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| 6 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | | 6 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | | 7 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |

Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an IFS of an LA-ring R. We define $\mu_A(0) = \mu_A(4) = 0.7$, $\mu_A(1) = \mu_A(2) = \mu_A(3) = \mu_A(5) = \mu_A(6) = \mu_A(7) = 0$ and $\gamma_A(0) = \gamma_A(4) = 0$, $\gamma_A(1) = \gamma_A(2) = \gamma_A(3) = \gamma_A(5) = \gamma_A(6) = \gamma_A(7) = 0.7$. Then $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R, but not an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R, because

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mu_A(41) &=& \mu_A(3)=0.\\ \mu_A(4) &=& 0.7.\\ &\Rightarrow& \mu_A(41) \nsucceq \mu_A(4).\\ \text{and } \gamma_A(41) &=& \gamma_A(3)=0.7.\\ \gamma_A(4) &=& 0.\\ &\Rightarrow& \gamma_A(41) \nleq \gamma_A(4). \end{array}$$

An Intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of an LA-ring R is called an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R if

(1) $\mu_A((xy)z) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(z)\},\$

(2) $\gamma_A((xy)z) \leq max \{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\}$ for all $x, y, z \in R$.

An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of an LA-ring R is called an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of R if

(1) $\mu_A(x-y) \ge \min \{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\},\$

(2) $\gamma_A(x-y) \leq max \{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\},\$

(3) $\mu_A((xy)z) \ge \min \{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(z)\},\$

(4) $\gamma_A((xy)z) \le max \{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(z)\}$ for all $x, y, z \in R$.

An intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of an LA-ring R is called an intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R if

(1) $\mu_A((xw)(yz)) \ge \min \{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y), \mu_A(z)\},\$

(2) $\gamma_A((xw)(yz)) \leq max \{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y), \gamma_A(z)\}$ for all $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{R}$.

We note that an LA-ring R can be considered an intuitionistic fuzzy set of itself and we write $R = I_R$, i.e., $R = (\mu_R, \gamma_R) = (1, 0)$ for all $x \in R$.

Let A and B be two intuitionistic fuzzy sets of an LA-ring R. Then

(1) $A \subseteq B \Leftrightarrow \mu_A \subseteq \mu_B$ and $\gamma_A \supseteq \gamma_B$,

(2) $A = B \Leftrightarrow A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$,

- (3) $A^{c} = (\gamma_{A}, \mu_{A}),$
- (4) $A \cap B = (\mu_A \wedge \mu_B, \gamma_A \vee \gamma_B) = (\mu_{A \wedge B}, \gamma_{A \vee B}),$
- (5) $A \cup B = (\mu_A \vee \mu_B, \gamma_A \wedge \gamma_B) = (\mu_{A \vee B}, \gamma_{A \wedge B}),$
- (6) $0 \sim = (0, 1), 1 \sim = (1, 0).$

[18] Let A be a non-empty subset of an LA-ring R. Then the intuitionistic characteristic of A is denoted by $\chi_A = \langle \mu_{\chi_A}, \gamma_{\chi_A} \rangle$ and defined by

$$\mu_{\chi_{A}}\left(x\right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 \text{ if } x \in A \\ 0 \text{ if } x \notin A \end{array} \right. \text{ and } \gamma_{\chi_{A}}\left(x\right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 \text{ if } x \in A \\ 1 \text{ if } x \notin A \end{array} \right.$$

The product of $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ is denoted by $A \circ B = (\mu_A \circ \mu_B, \gamma_A \circ \gamma_B)$ and defined by:

$$(\mu_A \circ \mu_B)(x) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{\substack{x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i}} \{ \wedge_{i=1}^n \{ \mu_A(a_i) \wedge \mu_B(b_i) \} \} \text{ if } x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i, \ a_i, b_i \in R \\ 0 \qquad \text{ if } x \neq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \end{cases}$$

and $(\gamma_A \circ \gamma_B)(x) = \begin{cases} \wedge_{\substack{x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i}} \{ \vee_{i=1}^n \{ \gamma_A(a_i) \vee \gamma_B(b_i) \} \} \text{ if } x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i, \ a_i, b_i \in R \\ 1 \qquad \text{ if } x \neq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \end{cases}$

Now we are giving the some fundamental properties, which will be very helpful for next section.

Theorem 1. Let A and B be two non-empty subsets of an LA-ring R. Then the following conditions hold.

- (1) If $A \subseteq B$ then $\chi_A \subseteq \chi_B$. (2) $\chi_A \circ \chi_B = \chi_{AB}$. (3) $\chi_A \cup \chi_B = \chi_{A \cup B}$.
- (4) $\chi_A \cap \chi_B = \chi_{A \cap B}$.

Proof. Straight forward.

Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ be two intuitionistic fuzzy sets of an LA-ring R. The sum of A and B is denoted by $A + B = (\mu_A + \mu_B, \gamma_A + \gamma_B)$ and defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_A + \mu_B)(x) &= & \lor_{x=y+z}(\mu_A(y) \land \mu_B(z)) \\ \text{and} & (\gamma_A + \gamma_B)(x) &= & \land_{x=y+z}(\gamma_A(y) \lor \gamma_B(z)), \text{ for all } x \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ be two intuitionistic fuzzy sets of an LA-ring R. Then A + B is also an intuitionistic fuzzy set of R.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $0 \le (\mu_A + \mu_B)(x) + (\gamma_A + \gamma_B)(x) \le 1$ for all $x \in R$. Now

$$(\mu_A + \mu_B)(x) = \bigvee_{x=y+z} (\mu_A(y) \land \mu_B(z)) \le \bigvee_{x=y+z} ((1 - \gamma_A(y)) \land (1 - \gamma_B(z)))$$

$$= 1 - \wedge_{x=y+z}(\gamma_A(y) \vee \gamma_B(z)) = 1 - (\gamma_A + \gamma_B)(x)$$

Since $\mu_A(y) \leq 1 - \gamma_A(y)$ and $\mu_A(z) \leq 1 - \gamma_A(z)$ for all $y, z \in R$. Hence A + B is an intuitionistic fuzzy set of R.

Lemma 2. Every intuitionistic fuzzy left (resp. right, two-sided) ideal of an LA-ring R is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R.

Proof. Straight forward.

Lemma 3. Every intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of an LA-ring R is an intuitionistic fuzzy (1,2)-ideal of R.

Proof. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R and $a, x, y, z \in R$. Thus

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mu_A((xa)(yz)) &\geq & \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(yz)\} \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y), \mu_A(z)\} \\ \text{and} & \gamma_A((xa)(yz)) &\leq & \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(yz)\} \leq \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y), \gamma_A(z)\}. \end{array}$$

Hence $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R.

Remark 1. Every intuitionistic fuzzy left (resp. right, two-sided) ideal of an LA-ring R is an intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R.

Proposition 1. Let R be an LA-ring having the property $a = a^2$ for every $a \in R$. Then every intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R.

Proof. Suppose that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R and $a, x, y \in R$. Thus

$$\mu_A((xa)y) = \mu_A((xa)(yy)) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y), \mu_A(y)\}$$

= $\min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$
and $\gamma_A((xa)y) = \gamma_A((xa)(yy)) \le \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y), \gamma_A(y)\}$
= $\max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\}.$

Therefore $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R.

Theorem 2. If $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)-ideals of an LA-ring R, then $\cap A_i$ is also an intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R, where $\cap A_i = (\wedge \mu_{A_i}, \vee \gamma_{A_i})$ and

$$\wedge \mu_{A_i}(x) = \inf \left\{ \mu_{A_i}(x) \mid i \in I, \ x \in R \right\}$$

and $\vee \gamma_{A_i}(x) = \sup \left\{ \gamma_{A_i}(x) \mid i \in I, \ x \in R \right\}.$

Proof. Straight forward.

Remark 2. Intersection of a family of intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals of an LA-ring R, is also an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R.

Lemma 4. [18] Let R be an LA-ring and $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq R$. Then A is an LA-subring of R if and only if the intuitionistic characteristic function $\chi_A = \langle \mu_{\chi_A}, \gamma_{\chi_A} \rangle$ of A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R.

Proposition 2. Let R be an LA-ring and $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq R$. Then A is a left (resp. right) ideal of R if and only if the intuitionistic characteristic function $\chi_A = \langle \mu_{\chi_A}, \gamma_{\chi_A} \rangle$ of A is an intuitionistic fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R.

Proof. Straight forward.

Theorem 3. Let R be an LA-ring and $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq R$. Then A is a (1,2)-ideal of R if and only if the intuitionistic characteristic function $\chi_A = \langle \mu_{\chi_A}, \gamma_{\chi_A} \rangle$ of A is an intuitionistic fuzzy (1,2)-ideal of R.

Proof. Let A be a (1, 2)-ideal of R, this implies that A is an LA-subring of R. Then χ_A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R by the Lemma 4. Let $a, x, y, z \in R$. If $x, y, z \in A$, then by definition of intuitionistic characteristic function $\mu_{\chi_A}(x) = 1 = \mu_{\chi_A}(y) = \mu_{\chi_A}(z)$ and $\gamma_{\chi_A}(x) = 0 = \gamma_{\chi_A}(y) = \mu_{\chi_A}(z)$. Since $(xa)(yz) \in A$, A being a (1, 2)-ideal of R, so $\mu_{\chi_A}((xa)(yz)) = 1$ and $\gamma_{\chi_A}((xa)(yz)) = 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{\chi_A}((xa)(yz)) & \geq \min\{\mu_{\chi_A}(x), \mu_{\chi_A}(y), \mu_{\chi_A}(z)\} \\ & \text{and } \gamma_{\chi_A}((xa)(yz)) & \leq \max\{\gamma_{\chi_A}(x), \gamma_{\chi_A}(y), \gamma_{\chi_A}(z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_{\chi_A}((xa)(yz)) &\geq \min\{\mu_{\chi_A}(x), \mu_{\chi_A}(y), \mu_{\chi_A}(z)\} \\ &\text{and } \gamma_{\chi_A}((xa)(yz)) &\leq \max\{\gamma_{\chi_A}(x), \gamma_{\chi_A}(y), \gamma_{\chi_A}(z)\}, \end{aligned}$$

when $x, y, z \notin A$. Hence the intuitionistic characteristic function $\chi_A = \langle \mu_{\chi_A}, \gamma_{\chi_A} \rangle$ of A is an intuitionistic fuzzy (1,2)-ideal of R.

Conversely, suppose that the intuitionistic characteristic function $\chi_A = \langle \mu_{\chi_A}, \gamma_{\chi_A} \rangle$ of A is an intuitionistic fuzzy (1,2)-ideal of R, this means that χ_A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R. Then A is an LA-subring of R by the Lemma 4. Let $t \in (AR)A^2$, this implies that t = (xa)(yz), where $x, y, z \in A$ and $a \in R$. Then by definition $\mu_{\chi_A}(x) = 1 = \mu_{\chi_A}(y) = \mu_{\chi_A}(z)$ and $\gamma_{\chi_A}(x) = 0 = \gamma_{\chi_A}(y) = \gamma_{\chi_A}(z)$. Now

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\chi_A}((xa)(yz)) &\geq & \mu_{\chi_A}(x) \wedge \mu_{\chi_A}(y) \wedge \mu_{\chi_A}(z) = 1 \\ \text{and } \gamma_{\chi_A}((xa)(yz)) &\leq & \gamma_{\chi_A}(x) \vee \gamma_{\chi_A}(y) \vee \gamma_{\chi_A}(z) = 0, \end{split}$$

 χ_A being an intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R. Thus $\mu_{\chi_A}((xa)(yz)) = 1$ and $\gamma_{\chi_A}((xa)(yz)) = 0$, i.e., $(xa)(yz) \in A$. Hence A is a (1, 2)-ideal of R.

Remark 3. Let R be an LA-ring and $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq R$. Then A is a bi-ideal of R if and only if the intuitionistic characteristic function $\chi_A = \langle \mu_{\chi_A}, \gamma_{\chi_A} \rangle$ of A is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R.

Zadeh [22], introduced the concept of level set. Das [5], studied the fuzzy groups, level subgroups and gave the proper definition of a level set such that: let μ be a fuzzy subset of a non-empty set S, for $t \in [0, 1]$, the set $\mu_t = \{x \in S \mid \mu(x) \geq t\}$, is called a level subset of the fuzzy subset μ . Now we give the definition of strong level set.

Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy set of an LA-ring R, then for all $r, t \in (0, 1]$, we define a set $A^{(r,t)} = \{x \in R \mid \mu_A(x) \ge r \text{ and } \gamma_A(x) \le t\}$, which is called the (r, t)-strong level set of A. It is clear that $A^{(r,t)} = U(\mu_A; r) \cap L(\gamma_A; t)$ for all $r, t \in (0, 1]$.

Lemma 5. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an IFS of an LA-ring R. Then A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R if and only if $A^{(r,t)}$ is an LA-subring of R for all $r, t \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. Straight forward.

Proposition 3. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an IFS of an LA-ring R. Then A is an intuitionistic fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R if and only if $A^{(r,t)}$ is a left (resp. right) ideal of R for all $r, t \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. Straight forward.

Theorem 4. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an IFS of an LA-ring R. Then A is an intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R if and only if $A^{(r,t)}$ is a (1, 2)-ideal of R for all $r, t \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R, this implies that A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R. Then $A^{(r,t)}$ is an LA-subring of R by the Lemma 5. Let $x, y, z \in A^{(r,t)}$ and $a \in R$, so $\mu_A(y), \mu_A(y), \mu_A(z) \ge r$ and $\gamma_A(y), \gamma_A(y), \gamma_A(z) \le t$. By our assumption

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mu_A((xy)(az)) & \geq & \mu_A(y) \wedge \mu_A(y) \wedge \mu_A(z) \geq r \\ \text{and } \gamma_A((xy)(az)) & \leq & \mu_A(x) \vee \mu_A(y) \vee \mu_A(z) \leq t. \end{array}$$

Thus $\mu_A((xy)(az)) \ge r$ and $\gamma_A((xy)(az)) \le t$, i.e., $(xy)(az) \in A^{(r,t)}$. So $A^{(r,t)}$ is a (1,2)-ideal of R.

Conversely, suppose that $A^{(r,t)}$ is a (1,2)-ideal of R, this means that $A^{(r,t)}$ is an LAsubring of R. Then A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R by the Lemma 5. Let $x, y, z, a \in R$. We have to show that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mu_A((xy)(az)) &\geq & \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(y) \wedge \mu_A(y) \\ \text{and} & \gamma_A((xy)(az)) &\leq & \gamma_A(x) \vee \gamma_A(y) \vee \gamma_A(y). \end{array}$$

We assume a contradiction

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mu_A((xy)(az)) &\leq & \mu_A(x) ee \mu_A(y) ee \mu_A(y) \ {
m and} & \gamma_A((xy)(az)) &\geq & \gamma_A(x) \wedge \gamma_A(y) \wedge \gamma_A(y). \end{array}$$

Let $\mu_A(x) = r = \mu_A(y) = \mu_A(z)$ and $\gamma_A(x) = t = \gamma_A(y) = \gamma_A(z)$, this implies that $\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y), \mu_A(z) \ge r$ and $\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y), \gamma_A(z) \le t$, i.e., $x, y, z \in A^{(r,t)}$. But $\mu_A((xy)(az)) \le r$ and $\gamma_A((xy)(az)) \ge t$, i.e., $(xy)(az) \notin A^{(r,t)}$, which is a contradiction. So

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mu_A((xy)(az))&\geq&\mu_A(x)\wedge\mu_A(y)\wedge\mu_A(y)\ {
m and}\ \gamma_A((xy)(az))&\leq&\gamma_A(x)ee\gamma_A(y)ee\gamma_A(y). \end{array}$$

Remark 4. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an IFS of an LA-ring R. Then A is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R if and only if $A^{(r,t)}$ is a bi-ideal of R for all $r, t \in (0,1]$.

2. Characterizations of LA-rings

In this section, we characterize different classes of LA-ring in terms of intuitionistic fuzzy left (right, bi-, generalized bi-) ideals. An LA-ring R is called regular, if for every element $x \in R$, there exists an element $a \in R$ such that x = (xa)x. An LA-ring R is called intra-regular, if for every element $x \in R$, there exist elements $a_i, b_i \in R$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i x^2) b_i$.

An LA-ring R is called left (resp. right) regular, if for every element $x \in R$, there exists an element $a \in R$ such that $x = ax^2$ (resp. x^2a). An LA-ring R is called completely regular, if it is regular, left regular and right regular. An LA-ring R is called (2, 2)-regular, if for every element $x \in R$, there exists an element $a \in R$ such that $x = (x^2a)x^2$. An LA-ring Ris called locally associative LA-ring if (a.a).a = a.(a.a) for all $a \in R$.

A ring R is called left (resp. right) weakly regular if $I^2 = I$, for every left (resp. right) ideal I of R, equivalently $x \in RxRx(x \in xRxR)$ for every $x \in R$. An LA-ring R is called weakly regular if it is both left weakly regular and right weakly regular [17]. Now we define this notion in a class of non-associative and non-commutative rings (LA-ring).

An LA-ring R is called left (resp. right) weakly regular, if for every element $x \in R$, there exist elements $a, b \in R$ such that x = (ax)(bx) (resp. x = (xa)(xb)). An LA-ring R is called weakly regular if it is both left weakly regular and right weakly regular.

Lemma 6. Every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of an LA-ring R with left identity e, is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R.

Proof. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R and $x, y \in R$. Thus

$$\mu_A(xy) = \mu_A((ex) y) = \mu_A((yx) e) \ge \mu_A(yx) \ge \mu_A(y)$$

and $\gamma_A(xy) = \gamma_A((ex) y) = \gamma_A((yx) e) \le \gamma_A(yx) \le \gamma_A(y)$.

Hence A is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R.

Lemma 7. Every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of a regular LA-ring R, is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R.

Proof. Suppose that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R. Let $x, y \in R$, this implies that there exists $a \in R$, such that x = (xa)x. Thus $\mu_A(xy) = \mu_A(((xa)x)y) = \mu_A((yx)(xa)) \ge \mu_A(yx) \ge \mu_A(y)$ and $\gamma_A(xy) = \gamma_A(((xa)x)y) = \gamma_A((yx)(xa)) \le \gamma_A(yx) \le \gamma_A(y)$. Therefore A is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R.

Proposition 4. Let R be a regular LA-ring having the property $a = a^2$ for every $a \in R$, with left identity e. Then every intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R.

Proof. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of R and $x, y \in R$, this implies that there exists $a \in R$ such that x = (xa)x. We have to show that A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R. Thus

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mu_A(xy) &=& \mu_A(((xa)x)y) = \mu_A(((xa)x^2)y) = \mu_A(((xa)(xx))y) \\ &=& \mu_A((x((xa)x))y) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\} \\ \text{and } \gamma_A(xy) &=& \gamma_A(((xa)x)y) = \gamma_A(((xa)x^2)y) = \gamma_A(((xa)(xx))y) \\ &=& \gamma_A((x((xa)x))y) \le \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\}. \end{array}$$

Hence A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R.

Lemma 8. Let R be an LA-ring with left identity e. Then Ra is the smallest left ideal of R containing a.

Proof. Let $x, y \in Ra$ and $r \in R$. This implies that $x = r_1 a$ and $y = r_2 a$, where $r_1, r_2 \in R$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} x - y &= r_1 a - r_2 a = (r_1 - r_2) a \in Ra \\ \text{and } rx &= r(r_1 a) = (er)(r_1 a) = ((r_1 a)r)e = ((r_1 a)(er))e \\ &= ((r_1 e)(ar))e = (e(ar))(r_1 e) = (ar)(r_1 e) \\ &= ((r_1 e)r)a \in Ra. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a = ea \in Ra$. Thus Ra is a left ideal of R containing a. Let I be another left ideal of R containing a. So $ra \in I$, where $ra \in Ra$, i.e., $Ra \subseteq I$. Hence Ra is the smallest left ideal of R containing a.

Lemma 9. Let R be an LA-ring with left identity e. Then aR is a left ideal of R.

Proof. Straight forward.

Proposition 5. Let R be an LA-ring with left identity e. Then $aR \cup Ra$ is the smallest right ideal of R containing a.

Proof. Let $x, y \in aR \cup Ra$, this means that $x, y \in aR$ or Ra. Since aR and Ra both are left ideals of R, so $x - y \in aR$ and Ra, i.e., $x - y \in aR \cup Ra$. We have to show that $(aR \cup Ra)R \subseteq (aR \cup Ra)$. Now

$$(aR \cup Ra)R = (aR)R \cup (Ra)R = (RR)a \cup (Ra)(eR)$$
$$\subseteq Ra \cup (Re)(aR) = Ra \cup R(aR)$$
$$= Ra \cup a(RR) \subseteq Ra \cup aR = aR \cup Ra.$$
$$\Rightarrow (aR \cup Ra)R \subseteq aR \cup Ra.$$

As $a \in Ra$, i.e., $a \in aR \cup Ra$. Let I be another right ideal of R containing a. Since $aR \in IR \subseteq I$ and $Ra = (RR)a = (aR)R \in (IR)R \subseteq IR \subseteq I$, i.e., $aR \cup Ra \subseteq I$. Therefore $aR \cup Ra$ is the smallest right ideal of R containing a.

Lemma 10. Let R be an LA-ring. Then $A \circ B \subseteq A \cap B$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal A and every intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal B of R.

Proof. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy right and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal of R and $x \in R$. If x cannot be expressible as $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i$, where $a_i, b_i \in R$ and n is any positive integer, then obvious $A \circ B \subseteq A \cap B$, otherwise we have

$$(\mu_A \circ \mu_B) (x) = \bigvee_{x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i} \{ \wedge_{i=1}^n \{ \mu_A (a_i) \wedge \mu_B (b_i) \} \}$$

$$\leq \bigvee_{x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i} \{ \wedge_{i=1}^n \{ \mu_A (a_i b_i) \wedge \mu_B (a_i b_i) \} \}$$

$$= \bigvee_{x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i} \{ \wedge_{i=1}^n (\mu_A \wedge \mu_B) (a_i b_i) \}$$

$$= (\mu_A \wedge \mu_B) (x) = (\mu_A \cap \mu_B) (x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_A \circ \mu_B \subseteq \mu_A \cap \mu_B$$

Similarly, we have $\gamma_A \circ \gamma_B \supseteq \gamma_A \cup \gamma_B$. Hence $A \circ B \subseteq A \cap B$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal A and every intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal B of R.

Theorem 5. Let R be an LA-ring with left identity e, such that (xe)R = xR for all $x \in R$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(1) R is a regular.

(2) $A \cap B = A \circ B$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal A and every intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal B of R.

Proof. Suppose that (1) holds. Since $A \circ B \subseteq A \cap B$, for every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal A and every intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal B of R by the Lemma 10. Let $x \in R$, this implies that there exists an element $a \in R$ such that x = (xa)x. Thus

$$(\mu_A \circ \mu_B)(x) = \bigvee_{x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i} \{ \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \{ \mu_A(a_i) \land \mu_B(b_i) \} \}$$

$$\ge \min\{ \mu_A(xa), \mu_B(x) \} \ge \min\{ \mu_A(x), \mu_B(x) \}$$

$$= (\mu_A \land \mu_B)(x) = (\mu_A \cap \mu_B)(x).$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \mu_A \cap \mu_B \subseteq \mu_A \circ \mu_B.$$

Similarly, we have $\gamma_A \cup \gamma_B \supseteq \gamma_A \circ \gamma_B$. Hence $A \cap B = A \circ B$, i.e., $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$. Assume that (2) is true and $a \in R$. Then Ra is a left ideal of R containing a by the Lemma 8 and $aR \cup Ra$ is a right ideal of R containing a by the Proposition 5. So χ_{Ra} is an intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal and $\chi_{aR\cup Ra}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R, by the Lemma 2. By our assumption $\chi_{aR\cup Ra} \cap \chi_{Ra} = \chi_{aR\cup Ra} \circ \chi_{Ra}$, i.e., $\chi_{(aR\cup Ra)\cap Ra} = \chi_{(aR\cup Ra)Ra}$. Thus $(aR \cup Ra) \cap Ra = (aR \cup Ra)Ra$. Since $a \in (aR \cup Ra) \cap Ra$, i.e., $a \in (aR \cup Ra)Ra$, so $a \in (aR)(Ra) \cup (Ra)(Ra)$. Now (Ra)(Ra) = ((Re)a)(Ra) = ((ae)R)(Ra) = (aR)(Ra). This implies that

$$(aR)(Ra) \cup (Ra)(Ra) = (aR)(Ra) \cup (aR)(Ra) = (aR)(Ra).$$

Thus $a \in (aR)(Ra)$. Then

$$a = (ax)(ya) = ((ya)x)a = (((ey)a)x)a = (((ay)e)x)a$$

= $((xe)(ay))a = (a((xe)y))a \in (aR)a$, for any $x, y \in R$.

This means that $a \in (aR)a$, i.e., a is regular. Hence R is a regular, i.e., $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$.

Theorem 6. Let R be a regular locally associative LA-ring having the property $a = a^2$ for every $a \in R$. Then for every intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of R, $A(a^n) =$ $A(a^{2n})$ for all $a \in R$, where n is any positive integer.

Proof. For n = 1. Let $a \in R$, this implies that there exists an element $x \in R$ such that a = (ax)a. Now $a = (ax)a = (a^2x)a^2$, because $a = a^2$. Thus

$$\mu_A(a) = \mu_A((a^2x)a^2) \ge \min\{\mu_A(a^2), \mu_A(a^2)\} = \mu_A(a^2) \\ = \mu_A(aa) \ge \min\{\mu_A(a), \mu_A(a)\} = \mu_A(a).$$

Similarly, $\gamma_A(a) = \gamma_A(a^2)$, therefore $A(a) = A(a^2)$. Now $a^2 = aa = ((a^2x)a^2)((a^2x)a^2) = (a^4x^2)a^4$, then the result is true for n = 2. Suppose that the result is true for n = k, i.e., $A(a^k) = A(a^{2k})$. Now $a^{k+1} = a^k a =$ $((a^{2k}x^k)a^{2k})((a^2x)a^2) = (a^{2(k+1)}x^{k+1})a^{2(k+1)}$. Thus

$$\begin{split} \mu_A\left(a^{k+1}\right) &= & \mu_A\left((a^{2(k+1)}x^{k+1})a^{2(k+1)}\right) \ge \min\{\mu_A(a^{2(k+1)}), \mu_A\left(a^{2(k+1)}\right)\}\\ &= & \mu_A\left(a^{2(k+1)}\right) = \mu_A\left(a^{k+1}a^{k+1}\right)\\ &\ge & \min\{\mu_A\left(a^{k+1}\right), \mu_A\left(a^{k+1}\right)\} = \mu_A(a^{k+1}). \end{split}$$

Similarly, $\gamma_A(a^{k+1}) = \gamma_A(a^{2(k+1)})$, therefore $A(a^{k+1}) = A(a^{2(k+1)})$. Hence by induction method, the result is true for all positive integers.

Lemma 11. Every intuitionistic fuzzy left (right) ideal of (2, 2)-regular LA-ring R, is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R.

Proof. Suppose that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R and $x, y \in R$, this means that there exists $a \in R$ such that $x = (x^2 a)x^2$. Thus

$$\mu_A(xy) = \mu_A(((x^2a)x^2)y) = \mu_A((yx^2)(x^2a)) \ge \mu_A(yx^2) \ge \mu_A(y)$$

and $\gamma_A(xy) = \gamma_A(((x^2a)x^2)y) = \gamma_A((yx^2)(x^2a)) \le \gamma_A(yx^2) \le \gamma_A(y).$

Therefore A is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R. Similarly, for left ideal.

Remark 5. The concept of intuitionistic fuzzy (left, right, two-sided) ideals coincides in (2,2)-regular LA-rings.

Proposition 6. Every intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of (2, 2)-regular LA-ring R with left identity e, is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R.

Proof. Assume that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of R and $x, y \in R$, then there exists an element $a \in R$ such that $x = (x^2 a)x^2$. We have to show that A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R. Thus

$$\mu_A(xy) = \mu_A(((x^2a)x^2)y) = \mu_A(((x^2a)(xx))y)$$

$$= \mu_A((x((x^2a)x))y) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$$
and $\gamma_A(xy) = \gamma_A(((x^2a)x^2)y) = \gamma_A(((x^2a)(xx))y)$

$$= \gamma_A((x((x^2a)x))y) \le \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\}.$$

So A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R.

Theorem 7. Let R be a (2,2)-regular locally associative LA-ring. Then for every intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of R, $A(a^n) = A(a^{2n})$ for all $a \in R$, where n is any positive integer.

Proof. Same as Theorem 6.

Lemma 12. Let R be a right regular LA-ring. Then every intuitionistic fuzzy left (right) ideal of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R.

Proof. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R and $x, y \in R$, this implies that there exists $a \in R$ such that $x = x^2 a$. Thus

$$\mu_A(xy) = \mu_A((x^2a)y) = \mu_A(((xx)a)y) = \mu_A(((ax)x)y)$$

= $\mu_A((yx)(ax)) \ge \mu_A(yx) \ge \mu_A(y)$
and $\gamma_A(xy) = \gamma_A((x^2a)y) = \gamma_A(((xx)a)y) = \gamma_A(((ax)x)y)$
= $\gamma_A((yx)(ax)) \le \gamma_A(yx) \le \gamma_A(y).$

Hence A is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R. Similarly, for left ideal.

Remark 6. The concept of intuitionistic fuzzy (left, right, two-sided) ideals coincides in right regular LA-rings.

Proposition 7. Let R be a right regular LA-ring with left identity e. Then every intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R.

Proof. Suppose that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of R and $x, y \in R$, this means that there exists $a \in R$ such that $x = x^2 a$. We have to show that A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R. Thus

$$\begin{split} \mu_A(xy) &= \mu_A((x^2a)y) = \mu_A(((xx)(ea))y) = \mu_A(((ae)(xx))y) \\ &= \mu_A((x((ae)x))y) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\} \\ \text{and } \gamma_A(xy) &= \gamma_A((x^2a)y) = \gamma_A(((xx)(ea))y) = \gamma_A(((ae)(xx))y) \\ &= \gamma_A((x((ae)x))y) \le \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\}. \end{split}$$

Therefore A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R.

Lemma 13. Let R be a left regular LA-ring with left identity e. Then every intuitionistic fuzzy left (right) ideal of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R.

Proof. Assume that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R and $x, y \in R$, then there exists an element $a \in R$ such that $x = ax^2$. Thus

$$\mu_A(xy) = \mu_A((ax^2)y) = \mu_A((a(xx))y) = \mu_A((x(ax))y)$$

= $\mu_A((y(ax))x) \ge \mu_A(y(ax)) \ge \mu_A(y)$
and $\gamma_A(xy) = \gamma_A((ax^2)y) = \gamma_A((a(xx))y) = \gamma_A((x(ax))y)$
= $\gamma_A((y(ax))x) \le \gamma_A(y(ax)) \le \gamma_A(y).$

So A is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R. Similarly, for left ideal.

Remark 7. The concept of intuitionistic fuzzy (left, right, two-sided) ideals coincides in left regular LA-rings with left identity.

Proposition 8. Every intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of a left regular LA-ring R with left identity e, is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R.

Proof. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of R and $x, y \in R$, this implies that there exists $a \in R$ such that $x = ax^2$. We have to show that A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R. Thus

$$\mu_A(xy) = \mu_A((ax^2)y) = \mu_A((a(xx))y) = \mu_A((x(ax))y) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$$

and $\gamma_A(xy) = \gamma_A((ax^2)y) = \gamma_A((a(xx))y) = \gamma_A((x(ax))y) \le \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\}.$

Hence A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R.

Theorem 8. Let R be a regular and right regular locally associative LA-ring. Then for every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of R, $A(a^n) = A(a^{3n})$ for all $a \in R$, where n is any positive integer.

Proof. For n = 1. Let $a \in R$, this means that there exists an element $x \in R$ such that a = (ax)a and $a = a^2x$. Now $a = (ax)a = (ax)(a^2x) = a^3x^2$. Thus

$$\mu_A(a) = \mu_A(a^3x^2) \ge \mu_A(a^3) = \mu_A(aa^2) \ge \min\{\mu_A(a), \mu_A(a^2)\} \\ \ge \min\{\mu_A(a), \mu_A(a), \mu_A(a)\} = \mu_A(a).$$

Similarly, $\gamma_A(a) = \gamma_A(a^3)$, so $A(a) = A(a^3)$. Here $a^2 = aa = (a^3x^2)(a^3x^2) = a^6x^4$, then the result is true for n = 2. Assume that the result is true for n = k, i.e., $A(a^k) = A(a^{3k})$. Now $a^{k+1} = a^k a = (a^{3k}x^{2k})(a^3x^2) = a^{3(k+1)}x^{2(k+1)}$. Thus

$$\mu_A(a^{k+1}) = \mu_A(a^{3(k+1)}x^{2(k+1)}) \ge \mu_A(a^{3(k+1)}) = \mu_A(a^{3k+3})$$

$$= \mu_A(a^{k+1}a^{2k+2}) \ge \min\{\mu_A\left(a^{k+1}\right), \mu_A\left(a^{2k+2}\right)\}$$

$$\ge \min\{\mu_A\left(a^{k+1}\right), \mu_A\left(a^{k+1}\right), \mu_A\left(a^{k+1}\right)\} = \mu_A\left(a^{k+1}\right)\}$$

Similarly, $\gamma_A(a^{k+1}) = \gamma_A(a^{3(k+1)})$, so $A(a^{k+1}) = A(a^{3(k+1)})$. Hence by induction method, the result is true for all positive integers.

Theorem 9. Let R be a right regular locally associative LA-ring. Then for every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of R, $A(a^n) = A(a^{2n})$ for all $a \in R$, where n is any positive integer.

Proof. For n = 1. Let $a \in R$, then there exists an element $x \in R$ such that $a = a^2 x$. Thus

$$\mu_A(a) = \mu_A(a^2 x) \ge \mu_A(a^2) = \mu_A(aa) \ge \min\{\mu_A(a), \mu_A(a)\} = \mu_A(a).$$

Similarly, $\gamma_A(a) = \gamma_A(a^2)$, therefore $A(a) = A(a^2)$. Now $a^2 = aa = (a^2x)(a^2x) = a^4x^2$, then the result is true for n = 2. Suppose that the result is true for n = k, i.e., $A(a^k) = A(a^{2k})$. Now $a^{k+1} = a^k a = (a^{2k}x^k)(a^2x) = a^{2(k+1)}x^{(k+1)}$. Thus

$$\mu_A(a^{k+1}) = \mu_A(a^{2(k+1)}x^{(k+1)}) \ge \mu_A(a^{2(k+1)}) = \mu_A(a^{2k+2}) = \mu_A(a^{k+1}a^{k+1}) \ge \min\{\mu_A(a^{k+1}), \mu_A(a^{k+1})\} = \mu_A(a^{k+1}).$$

Similarly, $\gamma_A(a^{k+1}) = \gamma_A(a^{2(k+1)})$, therefore $A(a^{k+1}) = A(a^{2(k+1)})$. Hence by induction method, the result is true for all positive integers.

Lemma 14. Let R be a right regular locally associative LA-ring with left identity e. Then for every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of R, A(ab) = A(ba) for all $a, b \in R$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in R$. By using Theorem 9 (for n = 1). Now

$$\mu_A(ab) = \mu_A((ab)^2) = \mu_A((ab)(ab)) = \mu_A((ba)(ba)) = \mu_A((ba)^2) = \mu_A(ba) and $\gamma_A(ab) = \gamma_A((ab)^2) = \gamma_A((ab)(ab)) = \gamma_A((ba)(ba)) = \gamma_A((ba)^2) = \gamma_A(ba).$$$

Thus A(ab) = A(ba).

Remark 8. It is easy to see that, if R is a left regular locally associative LA-ring with left identity e. Then for every intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of R, $A(a^n) = A(a^{2n})$ for all $a \in R$, where n is any positive integer. And also for every intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of R, A(ab) = A(ba) for all $a, b \in R$.

Lemma 15. Let R be a right weakly regular LA-ring. Then every intuitionistic fuzzy left (right) ideal of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R.

Proof. Suppose that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R and $x, y \in R$, this means that there exist $a, b \in R$ such that x = (xa)(xb). Thus

$$\begin{split} \mu_A(xy) &= \mu_A(((xa)(xb))y) = \mu_A((((xb)a)x)y) \\ &= \mu_A((((ab)x)x)y) = \mu_A((yx)((ab)x))) \\ &= \mu_A((yx)(nx)) \text{ say } ab = n \\ &\geq \mu_A(yx) \ge \mu_A(y) \\ \text{and } \gamma_A(xy) &= \gamma_A(((xa)(xb))y) = \gamma_A((((xb)a)x)y) \\ &= \gamma_A((((ab)x)x)y) = \gamma_A(((yx)((ab)x))) \\ &= \gamma_A((yx)(nx)) \le \gamma_A(yx) \le \gamma(y). \end{split}$$

Therefore A is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R. Similarly, for left ideal.

Remark 9. The concept of intuitionistic fuzzy (left, right, two-sided) ideals coincides in right weakly regular LA-rings.

Proposition 9. Every intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of a right weakly regular LA-ring R with left identity e, is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R.

Proof. Assume that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of R and $x, y \in R$, then there exist elements $a, b \in R$ such that x = (xa)(xb). We have to show that A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R. Thus

$$\mu_A(xy) = \mu_A(((xa)(xb))y) = \mu_A((x((xa)b))y) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$$

and $\gamma_A(xy) = \gamma_A(((xa)(xb))y) = \gamma_A((x((xa)b))y) \le \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\}.$

So A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R.

Lemma 16. Let R be a left weakly regular LA-ring. Then every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R.

Proof. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R and $x, y \in R$, this implies that there exist $a, b \in R$ such that x = (ax)(bx). Thus

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mu_A(xy) &=& \mu_A(((ax)(bx))y) = \mu_A(y(bx))(ax) \ge \mu_A(y(bx)) \ge \mu_A(y) \\ \text{and } \gamma_A(xy) &=& \gamma_A(((ax)(bx))y) = \gamma_A(y(bx))(ax) \le \gamma_A(y(bx)) \le \gamma_A(y). \end{array}$$

Hence A is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R.

Remark 10. The concept of intuitionistic fuzzy (right, two-sided) ideals coincides in left weakly regular LA-rings.

Lemma 17. Let R be a left weakly regular LA-ring with left identity e. Then every intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R.

Proof. Suppose that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal of R and $x, y \in R$, this means that there exist $a, b \in R$ such that x = (ax)(bx). Thus

$$\begin{split} \mu_A(xy) &= & \mu_A(((ax)(bx))y) = \mu_A(((ab)(xx))y) \\ &= & \mu_A((x((ab)x))y) = \mu_A((y((ab)x))x) \ge \mu_A(x) \\ \text{and } \gamma_A(xy) &= & \gamma_A(((ax)(bx))y) = \gamma_A(((ab)(xx))y) \\ &= & \gamma_A((x((ab)x))y) = \gamma_A((y((ab)x))x) \le \gamma_A(x). \end{split}$$

Therefore A is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R.

Remark 11. The concept of intuitionistic fuzzy (left, two-sided) ideals coincides in left weakly regular LA-rings with left identity e.

Proposition 10. Every intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of a left weakly regular LA-ring R with left identity e, is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R.

Proof. Assume that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of R and $x, y \in R$, then there exist elements $a, b \in R$ such that x = (ax)(bx). We have to show that A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_A(xy) &= \mu_A(((ax)(bx))y) = \mu_A(((ab)(xx))y) \\ &= \mu_A((x((ab)x))y) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\} \\ \text{and } \gamma_A(xy) &= \gamma_A(((ax)(bx))y) = \gamma_A(((ab)(xx))y) \\ &= \gamma_A((x((ab)x))y) \le \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

So A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R.

Remark 12. It is easy to see that, if R is a left (right) weakly regular locally associative LA-ring. Then for every intuitionistic fuzzy left (right) ideal $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of R, $A(a^n) = A(a^{2n})$ for all $a \in R$, where n is any positive integer.

Theorem 10. Let R be an LA-ring with left identity e, such that (xe)R = xR for all $x \in R$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(1) R is a left weakly regular.

(2) $A \cap B = A \circ B$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal A and every intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal B of R.

Proof. Suppose that (1) holds. Since $A \circ B \subseteq A \cap B$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and every intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ of R by the Lemma 10. Let $x \in R$, this implies that there exist $a, b \in R$ such that x = (ax)(bx) = (ab)(xx) = x((ab)x). Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_A \circ \mu_B)(x) &= \bigvee_{x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i} \{ \wedge_{i=1}^n \{ \mu_A(a_i) \wedge \mu_B(b_i) \} \} \\ &\geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B((ab)x) \geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(x) = (\mu_A \cap \mu_B)(x) \\ \text{and } (\gamma_A \circ \gamma_B)(x) &= \wedge_{x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i} \{ \bigvee_{i=1}^n \{ \gamma_A(a_i) \vee \gamma_B(b_i) \} \} \\ &\leq \gamma_A(x) \vee \gamma_B((ab)x) \leq \gamma_A(x) \vee \gamma_B(x) = (\gamma_A \cup \gamma_B)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mu_A \cap \mu_B \subseteq \mu_A \circ \mu_B$ and $\gamma_A \cup \gamma_B \supseteq \gamma_A \circ \gamma_B$, i.e., $A \cap B \subseteq A \circ B$. Hence $A \cap B = A \circ B$, i.e., $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$. Assume that (2) is true and $a \in R$. Then Ra is a left ideal of R containing a by the Lemma 8 and $aR \cup Ra$ is a right ideal of R containing a by the Proposition 5. So χ_{Ra} is an intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal and $\chi_{aR\cup Ra}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R, by the Proposition 2. Then by our assumption $\chi_{aR\cup Ra} \cap \chi_{Ra} = \chi_{aR\cup Ra} \circ \chi_{Ra}$, i.e., $\chi_{(aR\cup Ra)\cap Ra} = \chi_{(aR\cup Ra)Ra}$ by the Theorem 1. Thus $(aR \cup Ra) \cap Ra = (aR \cup Ra)Ra$. Since $a \in (aR \cup Ra) \cap Ra$, i.e., $a \in (aR \cup Ra)Ra$, so $a \in (aR)(Ra) \cup (Ra)(Ra)$. This implies that $a \in (aR)(Ra)$ or $a \in (Ra)(Ra)$. If $a \in (Ra)(Ra)$, then R is a left weakly regular. If $a \in (aR)(Ra)$, then

$$(aR)(Ra) = ((ea)(RR))(Ra) = ((RR)(ae))(Ra) = (((ae)R)R)(Ra) = ((aR)R)(Ra) = ((RR)a)(Ra) = (Ra)(Ra).$$

Hence R is a left weakly regular, i.e., $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$.

Theorem 11. Let R be an LA-ring with left identity e, such that (xe)R = xR for all $x \in R$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(1) R is a left weakly regular.

(2) $A \cap I \subseteq A \circ I$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal A and every intuitionistic fuzzy ideal I of R.

(3) $B \cap I \subseteq B \circ I$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal B and every intuitionistic fuzzy ideal I of R.

Proof. Assume that (1) holds. Let $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal and $I = (\mu_I, \gamma_I)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R. Let $x \in R$, this means that there exist $a, b \in R$ such that x = (ax)(bx) = (ab)(xx) = x((ab)x). Now

$$(\mu_B \circ \mu_I)(x) = \bigvee_{x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i} \{ \wedge_{i=1}^n \{ \mu_B(a_i) \land \mu_I(b_i) \} \}$$

$$\geq \mu_B(x) \land \mu_I((ab)x)$$

$$\geq \mu_B(x) \land \mu_I(x) = (\mu_B \cap \mu_I)(x).$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_B \cap \mu_I \subseteq \mu_B \circ \mu_I.$$

Similarly, $\gamma_A \cup \gamma_B \supseteq \gamma_A \circ \gamma_B$. Hence $A \cap B \subseteq A \circ B$, i.e., $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$. It is clear that $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$. Suppose that (2) holds. Then $A \cap I \subseteq A \circ I$, where A is an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R. Since $A \circ I \subseteq A \cap I$, so $A \circ I = A \cap I$. Therefore R is a left weakly regular by the Theorem 10, i.e., $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$.

Theorem 12. Let R be an LA-ring with left identity e, such that (xe)R = xR for all $x \in R$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(1) R is a left weakly regular.

(2) $A \cap I \cap C \subseteq (A \circ I) \circ C$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal A, every intuitionistic fuzzy ideal I and every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal C of R.

(3) $B \cap I \cap C \subseteq (B \circ I) \circ C$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal B, every intuitionistic fuzzy ideal I and every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal C of R.

Proof. Suppose that (1) holds. Let $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal, $I = (\mu_I, \gamma_I)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal and $C = (\mu_C, \gamma_C)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R. Let $x \in R$, then there exist elements $a, b \in R$ such that x = (ax)(bx). Here

$$x = (ax)(bx) = (xb)(xa)$$

$$xb = ((ax)(bx))b = ((xx)(ba))b$$

$$= (b(ba))(xx) = c(xx) = x(cx) \text{ say } c = b(ba)$$

Now

$$((\mu_B \circ \mu_I) \circ \mu_C)(x) = \bigvee_{x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i} \{ \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \{ (\mu_B \circ \mu_I) (a_i) \land \mu_C (b_i) \} \}$$

$$\geq (\mu_B \circ \mu_I)(xb) \land \mu_C(xa)$$

$$\geq (\mu_B \circ \mu_I)(xb) \land \mu_C(x)$$

$$= \bigvee_{xb = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_i} \{ \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \{ \mu_B (p_i) \land \mu_I (q_i) \} \} \land \mu_C(x)$$

$$\geq \mu_B(x) \land \mu_I(cx) \land \mu_C(x)$$

$$\geq \mu_B(x) \land \mu_I(x) \land \mu_C(x) = (\mu_B \cap \mu_I \cap \mu_C)(x).$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_B \cap \mu_I \cap \mu_C \subseteq (\mu_B \circ \mu_I) \circ \mu_C.$$

Similarly, $\gamma_B \cup \gamma_I \cup \gamma_C \supseteq (\gamma_B \circ \gamma_I) \circ \gamma_C$, i.e., $B \cap I \cap C \subseteq (B \circ I) \circ C$. Hence $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$. It is clear that $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$, every intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R is an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of R. Assume that (2) is true. Then $A \cap I \cap R \subseteq (A \circ I) \circ R$, where A is an intuitionistic right ideal of R, i.e., $A \cap I \subseteq A \circ I$. Since $A \circ I \subseteq A \cap I$, so $A \circ I = A \cap I$. Therefore R is a left weakly regular by the Theorem 10, i.e., (2) \Rightarrow (1).

Lemma 18. Every intuitionistic fuzzy left (right) ideal of an intra-regular LA-ring R, is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R.

Proof. Suppose that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R. Let $x, y \in R$, this implies that there exist $a_i, b_i \in R$, such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i x^2) b_i$. Thus

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mu_A(xy) &=& \mu_A(((a_ix^2)b_i)y) = \mu_A((yb_i)(a_ix^2)) \\ &\geq& \mu_A(yb_i) \ge \mu_A(y) \\ \text{and } \gamma_A(xy) &=& \gamma_A(((a_ix^2)b_i)y) = \gamma_A((yb_i)(a_ix^2)) \\ &\leq& \gamma_A(yb_i) \le \gamma_A(y). \end{array}$$

Hence A is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R. Similarly, for left ideal.

Remark 13. The concept of intuitionistic fuzzy (left, right, two-sided) ideals coincides in inta-regular LA-rings.

Proposition 11. Every intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of an intra-regular LA-ring R with left identity e, is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R.

Proof. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of R and $x, y \in R$, this implies that there exist $a_i, b_i \in R$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i x^2) b_i$. We have to show that A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R. Now

$$\begin{array}{lll} x & = & (a_i x^2)b_i = (a_i x^2)(eb_i) = (a_i e)(x^2 b_i) \\ & = & (a_i e)((xx)b_i) = (a_i e)((b_i x)x) = (x(b_i x))(ea_i) \\ & = & (x(b_i x))a_i = (a_i(b_i x))x = (a_i(b_i x))(ex) \\ & = & (xe)((b_i x)a_i) = (b_i x)((xe)a_i) = (b_i x)((a_i e)x) \\ & = & (x(a_i e))(xb_i) = x((x(a_i e))b_i) = xn, \quad \text{say } n = (x(a_i e))b_i \end{array}$$

Thus

$$\mu_A(xy) = \mu_A((xn)y) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$$

and $\gamma_A(xy) = \gamma_A((xn)y) \le \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\}.$

Hence A is an intuitionistic fuzzy LA-subring of R.

Theorem 13. Let R be an LA-ring with left identity e, such that (xe)R = xR for all $x \in R$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(1) R is an intra-regular.

(2) $A \cap B \subseteq A \circ B$ for every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal B and every intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal A of R.

Proof. Assume that (1) holds. Let $x \in R$, then there exist elements $a_i, b_i \in R$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i x^2) b_i$. Now

$$x = (a_i x^2) b_i = (a_i (xx)) b_i = (x(a_i x))(eb_i) = (xe)((a_i x) b_i) = (a_i x)((xe) b_i).$$

Thus

$$(\mu_A \circ \mu_B)(x) = \bigvee_{x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i} \{ \wedge_{i=1}^n \mu_A(a_i) \wedge \mu_B(b_i) \}$$

$$\geq \min\{\mu_A(a_i x), \mu_B((x e) b_i) \} \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x) \}$$

$$= (\mu_A \wedge \mu_B)(x) = (\mu_A \cap \mu_B)(x).$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_A \cap \mu_B \subseteq \mu_A \circ \mu_B.$$

Similarly, we have $\gamma_A \cup \gamma_B \supseteq \mu_A \circ \mu_B$. Hence $A \cap B \subseteq A \circ B$, i.e., $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$. Suppose that (2) is true and $a \in R$, then Ra is a left ideal of R containing a by the Lemma 8 and $aR \cup Ra$ is a right ideal of R containing a by the Proposition 5. This means that χ_{Ra} is an intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal and $\chi_{aR\cup Ra}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal of R, by the Lemma 2. By our supposition $\chi_{aR\cup Ra} \cap \chi_{Ra} \subseteq \chi_{Ra} \circ \chi_{aR\cup Ra}$, i.e., $\chi_{(aR\cup Ra)\cap Ra} \subseteq \chi_{(Ra)(aR\cup Ra)}$. Thus $(aR\cup Ra)\cap Ra \subseteq Ra(aR\cup Ra)$. Since $a \in (aR\cup Ra)\cap Ra$, i.e., $a \in Ra(aR \cup Ra) = (Ra)(aR) \cup (Ra)(Ra)$. Now

$$(Ra)(aR) = (Ra)((ea)(RR)) = (Ra)((RR)(ae)) = (Ra)(((ae)R)R) = (Ra)((aR)R) = (Ra)((RR)a) = (Ra)(Ra).$$

This implies that

$$(Ra)(aR) \cup (Ra)(Ra) = (Ra)(Ra) \cup (Ra)(Ra)$$
$$= (Ra)(Ra) = ((Ra)a)R$$
$$= ((Ra)(ea))R = ((Re)(aa))R$$
$$= (Ra^{2})R.$$

Thus $a \in (Ra^2)R$, i.e., a is an intra regular. Therefore R is an intra-regular, i.e., $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$.

Theorem 14. Let R be an intra-regular locally associative LA-ring. Then for every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of R, $A(a^n) = A(a^{2n})$ for all $a \in R$, where n is a positive integer.

Proof. For n = 1. Let $a \in R$, this implies that there exist elements $x_i, y_i \in R$ such that $a = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i a^2) y_i$. Thus

$$\mu_A(a) = \mu_A((x_i a^2) y_i) \ge \mu_A(x_i a^2) \ge \mu_A(a^2)$$

$$= \mu_A(aa) \ge \min\{\mu_A(a), \mu_A(a)\} = \mu_A(a).$$

Similarly, $\gamma_A(a) = \gamma_A(a^2)$, so $A(a) = A(a^2)$. Result is also true for n = 2, as $a^2 = aa = ((x_ia^2)y_i)((x_ia^2)y_i) = (x_i^2a^4)y_i^2$. Assume that the result is true for n = k, i.e., $A(a^k) = A(a^{2k})$. Now $a^{k+1} = a^k a = ((x_i^ka^{2k})y_i^k)((x_ia^2)y_i) = (x_i^{k+1}a^{2(k+1)})y_i^{k+1}$. Thus

$$\mu_A(a^{k+1}) = \mu_A((x_i^{k+1}a^{2(k+1)})y_i^{k+1}) \ge \mu_A(x_i^{k+1}a^{2(k+1)}) \\ \ge \mu_A(a^{2(k+1)}) = \mu_A(a^{k+1}a^{k+1}) \\ \ge \min\{\mu_A\left(a^{(k+1)}\right), \mu_A\left(a^{(k+1)}\right)\} = \mu_A\left(a^{(k+1)}\right).$$

Similarly, $\gamma_A(a) = \gamma_A(a^{2(k+1)})$, so $A(a^{k+1}) = A(a^{2(k+1)})$. Hence by induction method, the result is true for all positive integers.

Proposition 12. Let R be an intra-regular locally associative LA-ring with left identity e. Then for every intuitionistic fuzzy right ideal $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of R, A(ab) = A(ba) for all $a, b \in R$.

Proof. Same as Lemma 14.

Theorem 15. If an IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of an LA-ring R is an intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R, then so is $\Box A = (\mu_A, \overline{\mu}_A)$ (resp. $\Diamond A = (\overline{\gamma}_A, \gamma_A)$).

Proof. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R. We have to show that $\Box A = (\mu_A, \overline{\mu}_A)$ is also an intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R. Now

$$\begin{split} \overline{\mu}_A(x-y) &= 1 - \mu_A(x-y) \leq 1 - \min\left\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{1 - \mu_A(x), 1 - \mu_A(y)\right\} = \max\{\overline{\mu}_A(x), \overline{\mu}_A(y)\}. \\ \overline{\mu}_A(xy) &= 1 - \mu_A(xy) \leq 1 - \min\left\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{1 - \mu_A(x), 1 - \mu_A(y)\right\} = \max\{\overline{\mu}_A(x), \overline{\mu}_A(y)\}. \\ \overline{\mu}_A((xa)(yz)) &= 1 - \mu_A((xa)(yz)) \leq 1 - \min\left\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y), \mu_A(z)\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{1 - \mu_A(x), 1 - \mu_A(y), 1 - \mu_A(z)\right\} \\ &= \max\{\overline{\mu}_A(x), \overline{\mu}_A(y), \overline{\mu}_A(z)\}. \end{split}$$

Hence $\Box A$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy (1,2)-ideal of R. Similarly, for $\Diamond A = (\overline{\gamma}_A, \gamma_A)$.

Remark 14. 1. An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of an LA-ring R is an intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)ideal of R if and only if $\Box A = (\mu_A, \overline{\mu}_A)$ (resp. $\Diamond A = (\overline{\gamma}_A, \gamma_A)$) is an intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R.

2. If an IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of an LA-ring R is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R, then so is $\Box A = (\mu_A, \overline{\mu}_A)$ (resp. $\Diamond A = (\overline{\gamma}_A, \gamma_A)$).

3. An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of an LA-ring R is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R if and only if $\Box A = (\mu_A, \overline{\mu}_A)$ (resp. $\Diamond A = (\overline{\gamma}_A, \gamma_A)$) is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R.

247

Theorem 16. An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of an LA-ring R is an intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R if and only if the fuzzy subsets μ_A and $\overline{\gamma}_A$ are fuzzy (1, 2)-ideals of R.

Proof. Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R, this implies that μ_A is a fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R. We have to show that $\overline{\gamma}_A$ is also a fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R. Now

$$\begin{split} \overline{\gamma}_A(x-y) &= 1 - \gamma_A(x-y) \ge 1 - \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\} \\ &= \min\{1 - \gamma_A(x), 1 - \gamma_A(y)\} = \min\{\overline{\gamma}_A(x), \overline{\gamma}_A(y)\}. \\ \overline{\gamma}_A(xy) &= 1 - \gamma_A(xy) \ge 1 - \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\} \\ &= \min\{1 - \gamma_A(x), 1 - \gamma_A(y)\} = \min\{\overline{\gamma}_A(x), \overline{\gamma}_A(y)\}. \\ \overline{\gamma}_A((xa)(yz)) &= 1 - \gamma_A((xa)(yz)) \ge 1 - \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y), \gamma_A(z)\} \\ &= \min\{1 - \gamma_A(x), 1 - \gamma_A(y), 1 - \gamma_A(z)\} \\ &= \min\{\overline{\gamma}_A(x), \overline{\gamma}_A(y), \overline{\gamma}_A(z)\}. \end{split}$$

Therefore $\overline{\gamma}_A$ is a fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R.

Conversely, suppose that μ_A and $\overline{\gamma}_A$ are fuzzy (1, 2)-ideals of R. We have to show that $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R. Now

$$1 - \gamma_A(x - y) = \overline{\gamma}_A(x - y) \ge \min\{\overline{\gamma}_A(x), \overline{\gamma}_A(y)\}$$

$$= \min\{1 - \gamma_A(x), 1 - \gamma_A(y)\}$$

$$= 1 - \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\}.$$

$$1 - \gamma_A(xy) = \overline{\gamma}_A(xy) \ge \min\{\overline{\gamma}_A(x), \overline{\gamma}_A(y)\}$$

$$= \min\{1 - \gamma_A(x), 1 - \gamma_A(y)\}.$$

$$1 - \gamma_A((xa)(yz)) = \overline{\gamma}_A((xa)(yz)) \ge \min\{\overline{\gamma}_A(x), \overline{\gamma}_A(y), \overline{\gamma}_A(z)\}$$

$$= \min\{1 - \gamma_A(x), 1 - \gamma_A(y), 1 - \gamma_A(z)\}$$

$$= 1 - \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y), \gamma_A(z)\}.$$

Therefore A is an intuitionistic fuzzy (1, 2)-ideal of R.

Remark 15. An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of an LA-ring R is an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of R if and only if the fuzzy subsets μ_A and $\overline{\gamma}_A$ are fuzzy bi-ideals of R.

References

- [1] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and systems, 20(1986) 87-96.
- [2] K. T. Atanassov, New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and systems, 61(1994) 137-142.

- [3] B. Banerjee and D. K. Basnet, Intuitionistic fuzzy subrings and ideals, J. Fuzzy Math., 11(2003) 139-155.
- [4] R. J. Cho, J. Jezek and T. Kepka, Paramedial Groupoids, Czechoslovak Math. J., 49(1999) 277-290.
- [5] P. S. Das, Fuzzy groups and level subgroups, J. Math. Anal. Appli., 84(1981) 264-269.
- [6] K. C. Gupta and M. K. Kantroo, The intrinsic product of fuzzy subsets of a ring, Fuzzy sets and systems, 57(1993) 103-110.
- [7] K. Hur, H. w. Kang and H. k. Song, Intuitionistic Fuzzy subgroups and subrings, Honam Math. J., 25(2003) 19-41.
- [8] K. Hur, S. Y. Jang and H. W. Kang, Intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of a ring, J. Korea Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math., 12 (2005) 193-209.
- [9] J. Jezek and T. Kepka, Medial groupoids, Rozpravy CSAV Rada mat. a prir. ved 93/2, 1983, 93 pp.
- [10] M. S. Kamran, Conditions for LA-semigroups to resemble associative structures, Ph.D. Thesis, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, 1993.
- [11] M. A. Kazim and M. Naseerdin, On almost semigroups, Alig. Bull. Math., 2(1972) 1-7.
- [12] N. Kuroki, Regular fuzzy duo rings, Inform. Sci., 94(1996) 119-139.
- [13] W. J. Liu, Fuzzy invariant subgroups and ideals, Fuzzy sets and systems, 8(1982) 133-139.
- [14] T. K. Mukherjee and M. K. Sen, On fuzzy ideals of a ring 1, Fuzzy sets and systems, 21(1987) 99-104.
- [15] T. K. Mukherjee and M. K. Sen, Prime fuzzy ideals in rings, Fuzzy sets and systems, 32(1989) 337-341.
- [16] P. V. Protic and N. Stevanovic, AG-test and some general properties of Abel-Grassmann's groupoids, Pure Math. Appli., 6(1995) 371-383.
- [17] V. S. Ramamurthi, Weakly regular rings, Canad. Math. Bull., 16 (1973) 317-321.
- [18] T. Shah, N. Kausar and I. Rehman, Intuitionistic fuzzy normal subrings over a nonassociative ring, An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta, 1(2012) 369-386.
- [19] Shah, Kausar, "Characterizations of non-associative ordered semigroups by their fuzzy bi-ideals", Theoretical Computer Science, Vol. 529 (2014) 96-110.

- [20] T. Shah and I. Rehman, On LA-rings of finitely non-zero functions, Int. J. Contempt. Math. Sci., 5(2010) 209-222.
- [21] U. M. Swamy and K. L. N. Swamy, Fuzzy prime ideals of rings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 134(1988) 94-103.
- [22] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and control, 8(1965) 338-363.