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#### Abstract

In this paper we establish new results of squared mean curvature and Ricci curvature for the sub manifolds of S-space from that is the generalization of complex and contact structures. Obtained results are discussed for invariant, anti invariant and CR sub manifolds of S-space from. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 53C40, 53C25
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## 1. Introduction

One of the main and useful idea in submanifolds conjectures is to derive relationship among squared mean curvature and Ricci curvature of submanifolds, was explained by Chen [6], [7]. After this many authors derived Chen inequalities for complex and contact space forms [1], [11], [12] and on hyper surfaces of a Lorentzian manifold [9].
After the generalization of complex and contact space forms into S -space form [4], it is natural to study the inequalities of Ricci curvature for submanifolds of S-space forms. Geometry of S-space forms were studied by many authors i.e. [10], [13].
In this paper we find relations between squared mean curvature and Ricci curvature for the sub manifolds of $S$-space form and discuss this relation for invariant, anti invariant and CR sub manifolds of S-space form. After introduction, second section contains basics of S-space forms and submanifolds. Third section contains main results.

## 2. Preliminaries

This section presents some well known facts related to S -space form and sub manifolds.
Yano[14] presented that almost complex and almost contact structures can be generalized as $f$ - structure on a smooth manifold of dimension $2 m+s$. The idea for the f -structure is to consider a tensor field with condition $f^{3}+f=0$, of type $(1,1)$ and rank $2 m$.
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Consider manifold $M^{2 m+s}$ along an $f$-structure of rank $2 m$. We take $s$ structural vector fields $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{s}$ on $M$ such as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \xi_{\alpha}=0, \quad \eta_{\alpha} \circ f=0, \quad f^{2}=-I+\sum \xi_{\alpha} \otimes \eta_{\alpha} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{\alpha}$ and $\xi_{\alpha}$ are the dual forms to each other, therefore complemented frames exist on $f$-structure. For f- manifold we define a Riemannian metric $g$ as

$$
g(Y, X)=g(f Y, f Z)+\sum \eta_{\alpha}(Y) \eta_{\alpha}(Z)
$$

for vector fields $Y$ and $Z$ on $M$ [4].
An $f$-structure $f$ is normal, if there exist complemented frames and

$$
[f, f]+2 \sum \xi_{\alpha} \otimes d \eta_{\alpha}=0
$$

where $[f, f]$ is Nijenhuis torsion of $f$. Let fundamental 2-form $B$ be defined as $B(Y, Z)=$ $g(Y, f Z), Y, Z \in T(M) . f$-structure that is normal and $d \eta_{1}=\cdots=d \eta_{s}=B$ is known as an $S$-structure. A smooth manifold along with an $S$-structure known as an $S$-manifold. Blair described such types of manifolds in [4].

For Sasakian manifolds we take $s=1$. For $s \geq 2$ we may have some attractive applications discussed in [4].

If $M$ is an $S$-manifold, then we consider the formulas [4]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\nabla}_{Y} \xi_{\alpha}=-f Y, \quad Y \in T(M), \quad \alpha=1, \ldots, s,  \tag{2}\\
& \left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{Y} f\right) Z=\sum_{\alpha}\left\{g(f Y, f Z) \xi_{\alpha}+\eta_{\alpha}(Z) f^{2} Y\right\}, \quad Y, Z \in T(M), \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the Riemannian connection of g . The projection tensor $-f^{2}$ determine the distribution $L$ and $f^{2}+I$ determine the complementary distribution $\mathfrak{M}$ which is determined and spanned by $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{s}$. It can be observe that if $Y \in L$ then $\eta_{\alpha}(Y)=0$ for all $\alpha$, and for $Y \in \mathfrak{M}$, we have $f Y=0$. A plane section $\Pi$ on $M$ is said to be $f$ - section if it is established by a vector $Y \in L(p), p \in M$, such that $\{Y, f Y\}$ span the section. We take the Sectional curvature of $\Pi$ as the f -sectional curvature. If $M$ is an $S$-manifold of constant $f$-sectional curvature k , then its curvature tensor is as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{R}(Y, Z) U= & \sum_{\alpha, \beta}\left\{\eta^{\alpha}(Y) \eta^{\beta}(U) f^{2} Z-\eta^{\alpha}(Z) \eta^{\beta}(U) f^{2} Y-g(f Y, f U) \eta^{\alpha}(Z) \xi_{\beta}\right. \\
& \left.+g(f Z, f U) \eta^{\alpha}(Y) \xi_{\beta}\right\}+\frac{k+3 s}{4}\left\{-g(f Z, f U) f^{2} Y+g(f Y, f U) f^{2} Z\right\} \\
& +\frac{k-s}{4}\{g(Y, f U) f Z-g(Z, f U) f Y+2 g(Y, f Z) f U\}, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{U} \in T(M)$. Such a manifold $M(k)$ will be called an $S$-space form. Examples of $S$-space forms are The Euclidean space $E^{2 n+s}$ and the hyperbolic space $H^{2 n+s}$.

Consider immersed submanifold $M^{m}$ of $N^{2 n+s}$. Then M is an invariant submnaifold if $\xi_{\alpha} \in T M$ for any $\alpha$ and $f Y \in T M$ for any $Y \in T M$. It is said to be anti-invariant submanifold if $f Y \in T M^{\perp}$ for any $Y \in T M$. For a vector field $Y \in T M^{\perp}$, it can be written as $f Y=t Y+S Y$, where $t Y$ represents tangent component of $f Y, S Y$ shows normal component of fY. If $S$ does not disappear, then its an f-structure [5].
For a vector field $Z \in T M$, it can be written as $f Z=P Z+N Z$, where $P Z$ is tangent component of $f Z, N Z$ is normal component of $£ Z$.

Let $\operatorname{dim}(M) \geq s$ and we take the structure vector fields $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{s}$ as tangents to M. M is known as CR-submaifold of N if we have two differentiable distributions $D$ and $D^{\perp}$ on $\mathrm{M}, T M=D+D^{\perp}$ satisfying

- D and $D^{\perp}$ are mutually orthogonal to each other.
- If $f D_{p}=D_{p}$, for any $p \in M$ then the distribution D is known as invariant under f .
- If $f D_{p}^{\perp} \subseteq T_{p} M^{\perp}$, for any $p \in M$ then the distribution $D^{\perp}$ is known as anti invariant under f .

The Gauss equation for the submanifold $M^{m}$ into a $(m+q)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold $N^{m+q}$ is

$$
\widetilde{R}^{\prime}(Y, Z, U, W)=R^{\prime}(Y, Z, U, W)+g\left(h^{\prime}(Y, U), h^{\prime}(Z, W)\right)-g\left(h^{\prime}(Y, W), h^{\prime}(Z, U)\right) .
$$

Consider k-plan section of $T_{p} M$ denoted by D and let X be a unit vector in D . We can choose orthonormal basis $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{k}$ of D and we consider $e_{1}=X$, then Ricci curvature $R_{i c}$ of D at X can be define as

$$
\operatorname{Ric}_{D}(x)=K_{12}+K_{13}+\cdots+K_{1 k},
$$

where $K_{i j}$ represents sectional curvature of 2-plane section spanned by $e_{i}, e_{j}$. Therefore the scalar curvature $\tau$ of the k-plane section D can be written as

$$
\tau(D)=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq k} K_{i j} .
$$

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 1. Let $M^{m}$, be a sub manifold of $S$-space form $N^{2 n+s}(K)$ of constant $f$-sectional curvature $k$, with structural vector fields $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{s}$ tangent to $M^{m}$, then
for each unit vector $X \in T_{p} M$, orthogonal to $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{s}$
$\operatorname{Ric}(X) \leq \frac{1}{4}\left[m^{2}\|H\|^{2}+(m-1)(k+3 s)+\frac{3}{2}\|P X\|^{2}(k-s)-\frac{1}{2}(3 s-1)(k+3 s-4)\right]$

Proof. Let $M^{m}$ be a sub manifold of S-space form $N^{2 n+s}(K)$ with constant $f$-sectional curvature K. For a point $p \in M$, take a unit vector $X \in T_{p} M$. Choose an orthonormal basis
$e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m-s}, e_{m-s+1}, \ldots, e_{m-s+s}, e_{m-s+s+1}, \ldots, e_{2 n+s}$ on $T_{p} N$. Then it is clear that $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m-s}, e_{m-s+1}, \ldots, e_{m-s+s}$ are unit tangent vectors to $M$ at p. We take $X=e_{1}$. From the curvature tensor of S-space form $N^{2 n+s}(K)$, after summation $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ we have

$$
\widetilde{R}^{\prime}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{j}, e_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{4}(k+3 s) m(m-1)+\frac{k+3 s-4}{4}(2 s-2 m s)+\frac{3}{4}(k-1)\|P\|^{2}
$$

Using Gauss equation
$\frac{1}{4}(k+3 s) m(m-1)+\frac{k+3 s-4}{4}(2 s-2 m s)+\frac{3}{4}(k-1)\|P\|^{2}=2 \tau-m^{2}\|H\|^{2}+\|h\|^{2}$,
where H represents mean curvature, h represents second fundamental form and $\tau$ is scalar curvature. Now we take the notation for second fundamental form has $h_{i j}^{r}=$ $g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), e_{r}\right),\|h\|^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right)$ and $\|P\|^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} g^{2}\left(P e_{i}, e_{j}\right)$. Also we know that mean curvature $H(p)=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} h\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)$. Then from (6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
n^{2}\|H\|^{2} & =2 \tau+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=m+1}^{2 n+s}\left[\left(h_{11}^{r}+\cdots+h_{m m}^{r}\right)^{2}+\left(h_{11}^{r}-h_{22}^{r}-\cdots-h_{m m}^{r}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +2 \sum_{r=m+1}^{2 n+s} \sum_{i<j}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}-2 \sum_{r=m+1}^{2 n+s} \sum_{2 \leq i<j \leq n} h_{i i}^{r} h_{j j}^{r} \\
& -\frac{1}{4}(k+3 s) m(m-1)-\frac{k+3 s-4}{4}(2 s-2 m s)-\frac{3}{4}(k-s)\|P\|^{2} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since sectional curvature for sub manifold $M$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{2 \leq i, j \leq n} K_{i j} & =\frac{1}{4}(k+3 s)(m-2)(m-1)-\frac{k+3 s-4}{4}(2(m-2) s-(s-1)) \\
& +\frac{1}{4}(k-s)\left(3\|P\|^{2}-3\left\|P e_{1}\right\|^{2}\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

By Gauss equation, on S-space form we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{2 \leq i, j \leq n} \tilde{K}_{i j} & =\frac{1}{4}(k+3 s)(m-2)(m-1)-\frac{k+3 s-4}{4}(2(m-2) s-(s-1)) \\
& +\frac{1}{4}(k-s)\left(3\|P\|^{2}-3\left\|P e_{1}\right\|^{2}\right)+\sum_{r=m+1}^{2 m+s} \sum_{2 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i i}^{r} h_{j j}^{r}-\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (9) in (7), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} n^{2}\|H\|^{2} & =2 \operatorname{Ric}(X)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=m+1}^{2 n+s}\left(h_{11}^{r}-h_{22}^{r}-\cdots-h_{m m}^{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=m+1}^{2 n+s} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(h_{1 j}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
& -2(m-1) \frac{k+3 s}{4}-3\|P X\|^{2} \frac{k-s}{4}+(3 s-1) \frac{k+3 s-4}{4} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} n^{2}\|H\|^{2} \geq 2 \operatorname{Ric}(X)-2(m-1) \frac{k+3 s}{4}-3\|P X\|^{2} \frac{k-s}{4}+(3 s-1) \frac{k+3 s-4}{4}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

that gives required result.
Corollary 1. In Theorem 1, equality holds at point $p \in M$, if
(i) $H(p)=0$ and $X$ is normal to $T_{p} M$
(ii) $p$ is totally geodesic point

Proof. (1) When $H(p)=0$, we get $h_{11}^{r}=h_{22}^{r}=\cdots=h_{m m}^{r}=0$ and when X is normal to $T_{p} M$, we have $h_{12}^{r}=h_{13}^{r}=\cdots=h_{1 m}^{r}=0, r \in\{m+1, \ldots, 2 n+s\}$ and from (10) equality holds.
(2)When p is totally geodesic point, $h_{i j}^{r}=0$ for all $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, r \in\{m+1, \ldots, 2 n\}$ and from (10) equality holds.

Corollary 2. Let $M$ be an m-dimensional invariant sub manifold tangent to structure vector fields $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \ldots, \xi_{s}$ in $S$-space form $N^{2 n+s}(k)$, then for each unit vector $X \in T_{p} M$ orthogonal to $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \ldots, \xi_{s}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(X) \leq \frac{1}{4}\left[(m-1)(k+3 s)+\frac{3}{2}(k-s)-\frac{1}{2}(3 s-1)(k+3 s-4)\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We know that every invariant sub manifold of S-space form is minimal [14] i.e. mean curvature vanishes and since X in unit tangent vector to $\mathrm{M},\|f X\|=\|P X\|=\|$ $X \|=1$, therefore from (5), we get result.

Corollary 3. Let $M$ be an m-dimensional anti-invariant sub manifold tangent to structure vector fields $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \ldots, \xi_{s}$ in $S$-space form $N^{2 n+s}(k)$, then for each unit vector $X \in T_{p} M$ orthogonal to $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \ldots, \xi_{s}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(X) \leq \frac{1}{4}\left[m^{2}\|H\|^{2}+(m-1)(k+3 s)-\frac{1}{2}(3 s-1)(k+3 s-4)\right] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Here for anti invariant sub manifolds, $\|P X\|=0$ and we have required result.

Corollary 4. Let $M$ be an m-dimensional CR-submanifold of $S$-space form $N^{2 n+s}(K)$ then
(i) For each unit vector $X \in D_{p}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(X) \leq \frac{1}{4}\left[m^{2}\|H\|^{2}+(m-1)(k+3 s)+\frac{3}{2}(k-s)-\frac{1}{2}(3 s-1)(k+3 s-4)\right] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) For each unit vector $X \in D_{p}^{\perp}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(X) \leq \frac{1}{4}\left[m^{2}\|H\|^{2}+(m-1)(k+3 s)-\frac{1}{2}(3 s-1)(k+3 s-4)\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M^{m}$, be a submanifold of $N^{2 n+s}(K)$ s-space form of constant $f$-sectional curvature k , using the results of Theorem 1, we can find a relation between the k-Ricci curvature of $M^{m}$ and the squared mean curvature $\|H\|^{2}$. Before this result first we define shape operator.
Let $p \in M^{m}$ and $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$ an orthonormal basis of $T_{p} M^{m}$. We choose the ortho normal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}, e_{m+1}, \ldots, e_{2 n+s}\right\}$ on $T_{p} N^{2 n+s}$. Then shape operator takes the form (see [12])

$$
A_{e_{m+1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & \ldots & 0 \\
. & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . \\
0 & 0 & 0 & a_{m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$A_{e_{r}}=\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right), i, j=1, \ldots, m ; r=m+2, \ldots, 2 n+s, \operatorname{trace} A_{e_{r}}=0$.
We can take

$$
m^{2}\|H\|^{2}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{2}+2 \sum_{i<j} a_{i} a_{j} \leq m \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{2}
$$

Since we know that $0 \leq \sum_{i<j}\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)^{2}=(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{2}-2 \sum_{i<j} a_{i} a_{j}$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{2} \geq m\|H\|^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.1. Let $M^{m}$, be a submanifold of s-space form $N^{2 n+s}(K)$ of constant $f$ sectional curvature $k$, tangent to $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{s}$ then we have

$$
m^{2}\|H\|^{2} \geq \frac{2 \tau}{m(m-1)}-\frac{1}{4}(k+3 s)-\frac{k+3 s-4}{4} \frac{(2 s-2 m s)}{m(m-1)}-\frac{3}{4}(k-1) \frac{\|P X\|^{2}}{m(m-1)}
$$

Proof. We choose orthonormal basis $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m-s}, e_{m-s+1}, \ldots, e_{m-s+s}, e_{m-s+s+1}, \ldots, e_{2 n+s}$ on $T_{p} N$ with $e_{m+1}$ parallel to mean curvature vector $H(p)$. From (6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
m^{2}\|H\|^{2} & =2 \tau+\|h\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4}(k+3 s) m(m-1)-\frac{k+3 s-4}{4}(2 s-2 m s)-\frac{3}{4}(k-1)\|P\|^{2} \\
& =2 \tau+\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{2}+\sum_{r=m+2}^{2 n} \sum_{i, j=1}^{m}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{4}(k+3 s) m(m-1) \\
& -\frac{k+3 s-4}{4}(2 s-2 m s)-\frac{3}{4}(k-1)\|P X\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by (16)

$$
\begin{aligned}
m^{2}\|H\|^{2} & \geq 2 \tau+m\|H\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4}(k+3 s) m(m-1) \\
& -\frac{k+3 s-4}{4}(2 s-2 m s)-\frac{3}{4}(k-1)\|P X\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the required result.
Remark 1. - For $s=0$, we have the results of Theorem 1, Corollary 3, Corollary 2, Proposition 3.1 for Khaler manifold.

- For $s=1$, we have the results of Theorem 1, Corollary 3, Corollary 2, Proposition 3.1 for Sasakian Manifolds.
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