



## Some Structural Properties of Fully UP-semigroups

Dianne P. Gomisong<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Rowena T. Isla<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, College of Science and Mathematics, Mindanao State University-Iligan Institute of Technology, 9200 Iligan City, Philippines

<sup>2</sup> Center for Graph Theory, Algebra and Analysis, Premier Research Institute of Science and Mathematics, Mindanao State University-Iligan Institute of Technology, 9200 Iligan City, Philippines

---

**Abstract.** This paper investigates a new class of algebra related to UP-algebras and semigroups called fully UP-semigroups (or  $f$ -UP-semigroups). It establishes some structural properties of  $f$ -UP-semigroups. It also introduces and examines  $f$ -UP-fields,  $f$ -UP-domains,  $f$ -UP-ideals, and quotient  $f$ -UP-semigroups. Moreover, it investigates the relationship between an  $f$ -UP-field and an  $f$ -UP-domain.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications:** 03G25, 08A99

**Key Words and Phrases:** UP-algebra,  $f$ -UP-semigroup,  $f$ -UP-field,  $f$ -UP-domain,  $f$ -UP-ideal, Quotient  $f$ -UP-semigroup

---

### 1. Introduction

In 1966, Y. Imai and K. Iseki [5] introduced the idea of BCK-algebra as a generalization of the concept of set-theoretic difference and propositional calculi. In the same year, K. Iseki [6] introduced the notion of BCI-algebra as a generalization of BCK-algebra. Studies on different types of algebraic structures followed, among them B-algebras, G-algebras, BCH-algebras, BE-algebras, and SU-algebras. In 2009, C. Prabpayak and U. Leerawat [11] introduced the notion of KU-algebra and investigated some related properties. In 2017, A. Iampan [3] introduced a class of algebra called UP-algebra (UP means the University of Phayao). He established its structure and defined some concepts such as UP-subalgebras, UP-ideals, congruences, and UP-homomorphism. He determined some properties of UP-homomorphism, which led to four isomorphism theorems for UP-algebras. He also presented some connections between UP-algebras and KU-algebras and showed that the notion of UP-algebra is a generalization of KU-algebra.

---

\*Corresponding author.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v12i4.3527>

Email addresses: [dianne.gomisong@g.msuiit.edu.ph](mailto:dianne.gomisong@g.msuiit.edu.ph) (D. Gomisong), [rowena.isla@g.msuiit.edu.ph](mailto:rowena.isla@g.msuiit.edu.ph) (R. Isla)

In 1993, Jun, Hong, and Roh [7] introduced a class of algebra related to BCI-algebras and semigroups with distributive laws property, called a BCI-semigroup. Jun et al. [8, 9] renamed the BCI-semigroup as the IS-algebra and studied related properties. In 2018, F. Kareem and E. Hasan [10] introduced the concept of KU-semigroup which is a combination of KU-algebra and semigroup. In the same year, A. Iampan [4] introduced a new class of algebra called a fully UP-semigroup (or  $f$ -UP-semigroup) which is a combination of UP-algebra and semigroup. In this study, the notion of  $f$ -UP-semigroup is investigated and some of its properties are established.

## 2. Preliminaries

An algebra of type  $(2, 0)$  is an algebra with a binary operation and a constant element.

**Definition 1.** [11] A *KU-algebra* is an algebra  $(X; *, 0)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  satisfying the following axioms: for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$(KU1) \quad (x * y) * [(y * z) * (x * z)] = 0,$$

$$(KU2) \quad 0 * x = x,$$

$$(KU3) \quad x * 0 = 0,$$

$$(KU4) \quad x * y = y * x = 0 \text{ implies } x = y.$$

**Example 1.** [11] Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$  be a set with a binary operation  $*$  defined by the following Cayley table:

$*$	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	0	0	b	c
b	0	a	0	c
c	0	0	0	0

Then,  $(X; *, 0)$  is a KU-algebra.

**Definition 2.** [3] A *UP-algebra* is an algebra  $(X; *, 0)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  satisfying the following axioms: for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$(UP1) \quad (y * z) * [(x * y) * (x * z)] = 0,$$

$$(UP2) \quad 0 * x = x,$$

$$(UP3) \quad x * 0 = 0,$$

$$(UP4) \quad x * y = y * x = 0 \text{ implies } x = y.$$

**Example 2.** [3] Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$  be a set with a binary operation  $*$  defined by the following Cayley table:

$*$	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	0	0	b	b
b	0	a	0	b
c	0	a	0	0

Then,  $(X; *, 0)$  is a UP-algebra.

**Definition 3.** [3] Let  $X$  be a UP-algebra. A subset  $S$  of  $X$  is called a UP-subalgebra of  $X$  if the constant zero of  $X$  is in  $S$  and  $(S; *, 0)$  itself forms a UP-algebra.

**Definition 4.** [1] Define  $x \wedge y = (y * x) * x$ . Then  $X$  is said to be a commutative UP-algebra if for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $(y * x) * x = (x * y) * y$ , that is,  $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$ .

**Definition 5.** [3] Let  $X$  be a UP-algebra. Then, a subset  $I$  of  $X$  is called a UP-ideal of  $X$  if it satisfies:

- (i) the constant zero of  $X$  is in  $I$ , and
- (ii) for any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,  $x * (y * z) \in I$  and  $y \in I$  imply  $x * z \in I$ .

**Proposition 1.** [3] In a UP-algebra  $(X; *, 0)$ , the following properties hold: for any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

- (i)  $x * x = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $x * y = 0$  and  $y * z = 0$  imply  $x * z = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $x * y = 0$  implies  $(z * x) * (z * y) = 0$ ,
- (iv)  $x * y = 0$  implies  $(y * z) * (x * z) = 0$ ,
- (v)  $x * (y * x) = 0$ ,
- (vi)  $(y * x) * x = 0$  implies  $x = y * x$ , and
- (vii)  $x * (y * y) = 0$ .

The next result gives a relationship between UP-algebras and KU-algebras.

**Theorem 1.** [3] Any KU-algebra is a UP-algebra.

The converse of Theorem 1 does not hold. To see this, consider the UP-algebra  $(X; *, 0)$  in Example 2. Let  $x = 0$ ,  $y = a$ , and  $z = c$ . Observe that  $(x * y) * [(y * z) * (x * z)] = (0 * a) * [(a * c) * (0 * c)] = a * (b * c) = a * b = b \neq 0$ , so (KU1) is not satisfied. Thus,  $(X; *, 0)$  is not a KU-algebra.

In view of Theorem 1, the notion of UP-algebras is a generalization of KU-algebras.

**Proposition 2.** [3] A nonempty subset  $S$  of a UP-algebra  $(X; *, 0)$  is a UP-subalgebra of  $X$  if and only if  $S$  is closed under the  $*$  operation.

Let  $X$  be a UP-algebra and  $A$  be a nonempty subset of  $X$ . Then  $X * A$  is given by 
$$X * A = \bigcup_{x \in X, a \in A} (x * a).$$

**Theorem 2.** [3] *Let  $X$  be a UP-algebra and  $B$  a UP-ideal of  $X$ . Then  $X * B \subseteq B$ . In particular,  $B$  is a UP-subalgebra of  $X$ .*

Let  $(X; *, 0)$  be a UP-algebra and  $B$  be a UP-ideal of  $X$ . Define the binary relation  $\sim_B$  on  $X$  as follows: for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \sim_B y$  if and only if  $x * y \in B$  and  $y * x \in B$ . An equivalence relation  $\rho$  on  $X$  is called a *congruence* if for any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,  $x \rho y$  implies  $(x * z) \rho (y * z)$  and  $(z * x) \rho (z * y)$ .

If  $x \in X$ , then the  $\rho$ -class of  $x$  is  $[x]_\rho$  defined as  $[x]_\rho = \{y \in X : y \rho x\}$ . The set of all  $\rho$ -classes is called the *quotient set of  $X$  by  $\rho$* , and is denoted by  $X/\rho$ . That is,  $X/\rho = \{[x]_\rho : x \in X\}$ .

**Theorem 3.** [3] *Let  $(X; *, 0)$  be a UP-algebra and  $B$  a UP-ideal of  $X$ . Then the following hold:*

- (i) *the  $\sim_B$ -class  $[0]_{\sim_B}$  is a UP-ideal and a UP-subalgebra of  $X$ ,*
- (ii) *a  $\sim_B$ -class  $[x]_{\sim_B}$  is a UP-ideal of  $X$  if and only if  $x \in B$ ,*
- (iii) *a  $\sim_B$ -class  $[x]_{\sim_B}$  is a UP-subalgebra of  $X$  if and only if  $x \in B$ , and*
- (iv)  *$(X/\sim_B; *, [0]_{\sim_B})$  is a UP-algebra under the operation  $*$  defined by  $[x]_{\sim_B} * [y]_{\sim_B} = [x * y]_{\sim_B}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , called the quotient UP-algebra of  $X$  induced by the congruence  $\sim_B$ .*

**Definition 6.** [10] A *KU-semigroup* is a nonempty set  $X$  together with two binary operations  $*$  and  $\cdot$  and a constant  $0$  satisfying the following:

- (KUS1)  $(X; *, 0)$  is a KU-algebra;
- (KUS2)  $(X, \cdot)$  is a semigroup; and
- (KUS3) the operation  $\cdot$  is left and right distributive over the operation  $*$ , that is,  $x \cdot (y * z) = (x \cdot y) * (x \cdot z)$  and  $(x * y) \cdot z = (x \cdot z) * (y \cdot z)$ .

**Example 3.** [10] Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$  be a set with the binary operations  $*$  and  $\cdot$  defined by the following Cayley tables:

$*$	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	0	0	b	c
b	0	a	0	c
c	0	0	0	0

$\cdot$	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	0	0
b	0	0	0	b
c	0	0	b	c

Then,  $(X; *, \cdot, 0)$  is a KU-semigroup.

**Definition 7.** [4] A *fully UP-semigroup* (or *f-UP-semigroup*) is a nonempty set  $X$  together with two binary operations  $*$  and  $\cdot$  and a constant  $0$  satisfying the following:

(fUP1)  $(X; *, 0)$  is a UP-algebra;

(fUP2)  $(X, \cdot)$  is a semigroup; and

(fUP3) the operation  $\cdot$  is left and right distributive over the operation  $*$ .

A. Iampan [4] analogously introduced a *left* [resp., *right*] *UP-semigroup* as a nonempty set  $X$  together with two binary operations  $*$  and  $\cdot$  and a constant  $0$  satisfying (fUP1), (fUP2), and the operation  $\cdot$  is left [resp. right] distributive over the operation  $*$ . Thus, an *f-UP-semigroup* is both a left and a right UP-semigroup.

**Example 4.** [4] Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$  be a set with the binary operations  $*$  and  $\cdot$  defined by the following Cayley tables:

$*$	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	0	0	b	c
b	0	a	0	c
c	0	a	b	0

$\cdot$	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	0	0
b	0	0	0	a
c	0	0	a	0

Then,  $(X; *, \cdot, 0)$  is an *f-UP-semigroup*.

**Example 5.** Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$  be a set with the binary operations  $*$  and  $\cdot$  defined by the following Cayley tables:

$*$	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	0	0	b	c
b	0	a	0	c
c	0	0	0	0

$\cdot$	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	0	0
b	0	0	0	0
c	0	a	b	c

Then, routine calculations show that  $(X; *, \cdot, 0)$  is an *f-UP-semigroup*.

**Example 6.** Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$  be a set with the binary operations  $*$  and  $\cdot$  defined by the following Cayley tables:

$*$	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	0	0	b	c
b	0	a	0	c
c	0	a	b	0

$\cdot$	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	a	b	c
b	0	b	c	a
c	0	c	a	b

Then, routine calculations show that  $(X; *, \cdot, 0)$  is an  $f$ -UP-semigroup.

Hereinafter, let  $X$  denote the  $f$ -UP-semigroup  $(X; *, \cdot, 0)$ , unless otherwise indicated.

**Definition 8.** A nonempty subset  $S$  of an  $f$ -UP-semigroup  $X$  is called an  $f$ -UP-subsemigroup of  $X$  if the constant 0 of  $X$  is in  $S$  and  $(S; *, \cdot, 0)$  itself forms an  $f$ -UP-semigroup.

Obviously,  $\{0\}$  and  $X$  are  $f$ -UP-subsemigroups of  $X$ . In Example 4, the set  $S_1 = \{0, b\}$  is an  $f$ -UP-subsemigroup of  $X$ , while the set  $S_2 = \{0, b, c\}$  is not an  $f$ -UP-subsemigroup since  $b \cdot c = a \notin S_2$ .

The following remark immediately follows from Definitions 8, 7, and 3.

**Remark 1.** Every  $f$ -UP-subsemigroup of  $(X; *, \cdot, 0)$  is a UP-subalgebra of  $X$  with respect to  $*$ .

The converse of Remark 1 does not hold. To see this, consider Example 4. It can be easily verified that  $S = \{0, b, c\}$  is a UP-subalgebra of  $(X; *, 0)$  but  $S$  is not an  $f$ -UP-subsemigroup of  $(X; *, \cdot, 0)$  since  $b \cdot c = a \notin S$ .

**Definition 9.** An  $f$ -UP-semigroup  $X$  is said to be *commutative* if  $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$  for all  $a, b \in X$ . If  $X$  is not commutative, then it is called a *noncommutative*  $f$ -UP-semigroup.

Routine calculations show that the  $f$ -UP-semigroups in Examples 4 and 6 are commutative while the  $f$ -UP-semigroup in Example 5 is noncommutative since  $a \cdot c = 0 \neq a = c \cdot a$ .

**Definition 10.** Let  $X$  be an  $f$ -UP-semigroup. An element  $e \in X$  is called a *unity* in  $X$  if  $x \cdot e = x = e \cdot x$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let  $X$  be an  $f$ -UP-semigroup. If the unity of  $X$  exists, then it is unique.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be an  $f$ -UP-semigroup with unity. Suppose  $1, 1' \in X$  both satisfy the properties of being a unity. Then, for all  $x \in X$ ,  $x \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot x = x$  and  $x \cdot 1' = 1' \cdot x = x$ . If  $x = 1$ , we have  $1 \cdot 1' = 1$ . If  $x = 1'$ , we have  $1 \cdot 1' = 1'$ . Therefore,  $1 = 1'$ .  $\square$

If an  $f$ -UP-semigroup  $X$  has unity, it shall be denoted by 1.

**Definition 11.** Let  $X$  be an  $f$ -UP-semigroup with unity 1. An element  $a$  of  $X$  is called *1-invertible* if there exists  $b \in X$  such that  $a \cdot b = 1 = b \cdot a$ .

We next introduce the concepts of  $f$ -UP-field and  $f$ -UP-domain analogous to the definitions of JB-field and JB-domain given by J. Endam and J. Vilela [2].

**Definition 12.** Let  $X$  be an  $f$ -UP-semigroup with unity 1. Then  $X$  is called an  $f$ -UP-field if the following hold:

- (i) the semigroup  $(X, \cdot)$  is commutative; and
- (ii) every  $0 \neq a \in X$  is 1-invertible.

**Definition 13.** A nonzero element  $a$  of an  $f$ -UP-semigroup  $X$  is called a  $0$ -divisor if there exists  $b \in X$  such that  $b \neq 0$  and either  $a \cdot b = 0$  or  $b \cdot a = 0$ .

Note that  $0$  is not a  $0$ -divisor.

**Remark 2.** An element cannot be  $1$ -invertible and a  $0$ -divisor at the same time. Thus, an  $f$ -UP-field has no  $0$ -divisors.

**Definition 14.** Let  $X$  be an  $f$ -UP-semigroup with unity  $1$ . Then  $X$  is called an  $f$ -UP-domain if the following hold:

- (i) the semigroup  $(X, \cdot)$  is commutative; and
- (ii)  $X$  has no  $0$ -divisors.

The  $f$ -UP-semigroup in Example 6 is an  $f$ -UP-domain.

**Remark 3.** Every  $f$ -UP-field is an  $f$ -UP-domain.

### 3. Elementary Properties of $f$ -UP-semigroups

This section presents some elementary properties of  $f$ -UP-semigroups. Throughout this section,  $X$  means an  $f$ -UP-semigroup  $(X; *, \cdot, 0)$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let  $a, b, c \in X$ . Then the following properties hold:

- (i)  $a \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot a = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $a \cdot (0 * b) = (0 * a) \cdot b = a \cdot b$ ,
- (iii)  $a \cdot (b * (0 * c)) = (a \cdot b) * (a \cdot c)$  and  $(b * (0 * c)) \cdot a = (b \cdot a) * (c \cdot a)$ ,
- (iv)  $a \cdot (b \wedge c) = (a \cdot b) \wedge (a \cdot c)$  and  $(a \wedge b) \cdot c = (a \cdot c) \wedge (b \cdot c)$ ,
- (v) If  $a \cdot b = 0$ , then  $a \cdot (b * c) = a \cdot c$ ,
- (vi) If  $a \cdot c = 0$ , then  $(a * b) \cdot c = b \cdot c$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a, b, c \in X$ .

- (i) By Proposition 1(i) and (fUP3),  $a \cdot 0 = a \cdot (0 * 0) = (a \cdot 0) * (a \cdot 0) = 0$ . Similarly,  $0 \cdot a = 0$ .
- (ii) By (UP2),  $a \cdot (0 * b) = a \cdot b = (0 * a) \cdot b$ .
- (iii) By (UP2) and (fUP3),  $a \cdot (b * (0 * c)) = a \cdot (b * c) = (a \cdot b) * (a \cdot c)$ . Similarly,  $(b * (0 * c)) \cdot a = (b * c) \cdot a = (b \cdot a) * (c \cdot a)$ .
- (iv) By Definition 4 and (fUP3),  $a \cdot (b \wedge c) = a \cdot [(c * b) * b] = [a \cdot (c * b)] * (a \cdot b) = [(a \cdot c) * (a \cdot b)] * (a \cdot b) = (a \cdot b) \wedge (a \cdot c)$  and  $(a \wedge b) \cdot c = [(b * a) * a] \cdot c = [(b \cdot a) \cdot c] * (a \cdot c) = [(b \cdot c) * (a \cdot c)] * (a \cdot c) = (a \cdot c) \wedge (b \cdot c)$ .

- (v) Suppose  $a \cdot b = 0$ . Then by (fUP3) and (UP2),  $a \cdot (b * c) = (a \cdot b) * (a \cdot c) = 0 * (a \cdot c) = a \cdot c$ .
- (vi) If  $a \cdot c = 0$ , then by (fUP3) and (UP2),  $(a * b) \cdot c = (a \cdot c) * (b \cdot c) = 0 * (b \cdot c) = b \cdot c$ .  $\square$

The following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a subset of an  $f$ -UP-semigroup to be an  $f$ -UP-subsemigroup.

**Theorem 5.** *A nonempty subset  $S$  of an  $f$ -UP-semigroup  $(X; *, \cdot, 0)$  is an  $f$ -UP-subsemigroup of  $X$  if and only if  $x * y, x \cdot y \in S$  for all  $x, y \in S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq X$ . Suppose  $S$  is an  $f$ -UP-subsemigroup of  $X$ . Then by Definition 8,  $(S; *, \cdot, 0)$  is an  $f$ -UP-semigroup. Thus, the binary operations  $*$  and  $\cdot$  are closed in  $S$ , that is,  $x * y, x \cdot y \in S$  for all  $x, y \in S$ . Conversely, suppose  $x * y, x \cdot y \in S$  for all  $x, y \in S$ . Then  $0 = x * x \in S$ . By Proposition 2,  $(S; *, 0)$  is a UP-subalgebra of  $X$ , hence (fUP1) holds. Let  $x, y, z \in S \subseteq X$ . Then  $x \cdot y \in S$  by our assumption and  $x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z$  by associativity in  $X$ . Hence,  $(S, \cdot)$  is a semigroup and (fUP2) is satisfied. Moreover, (fUP3) holds for all  $x, y, z \in S \subseteq X$ . Thus,  $S$  is an  $f$ -UP-subsemigroup of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $X$  be an  $f$ -UP-semigroup and  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  a family of  $f$ -UP-subsemigroups of  $X$ . Then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$  is an  $f$ -UP-subsemigroup of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $A_i$  is an  $f$ -UP-subsemigroup of  $X$ ,  $0 \in A_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Thus,  $0 \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$  and  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x, y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ . Then for all  $i \in I$ ,  $x, y \in A_i$  and by Theorem 5,  $x * y, x \cdot y \in A_i$ . Hence,  $x * y, x \cdot y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ . Therefore,  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$  is an  $f$ -UP-subsemigroup of  $X$ .  $\square$

The next result shows a relationship between KU-semigroups and  $f$ -UP-semigroups.

**Theorem 7.** *Any KU-semigroup is an  $f$ -UP-semigroup.*

*Proof.* Let  $X = (X; *, \cdot, 0)$  be a KU-semigroup. By Theorem 1,  $(X; *, 0)$  is a UP-algebra. By Definition 6,  $(X, \cdot)$  is a semigroup and left and right distributivity hold for  $\cdot$  over  $*$ , thus  $X$  is an  $f$ -UP-semigroup.  $\square$

**Remark 4.** *The converse of Theorem 7 does not hold.*

To see this, let  $X = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$  be a set with the binary operations  $*$  and  $\cdot$  defined by the following Cayley tables:

$*$	0	a	b	c	d
0	0	a	b	c	d
a	0	0	0	0	0
b	0	b	0	0	0
c	0	b	b	0	0
d	0	b	b	d	0

$\cdot$	0	a	b	c	d
0	0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	0	0	0
b	0	0	0	0	0
c	0	0	0	0	0
d	0	0	0	0	0



Then by routine calculations,  $(X; *, \cdot, 0)$  is an  $f$ -UP-semigroup. Let  $x = 0, y = c$ , and  $z = a$ . Observe that  $(x * y) * [(y * z) * (x * z)] = (0 * c) * [(c * a) * (0 * a)] = c * (b * a) = c * b = b$ , so (KU1) is not satisfied. Thus,  $(X; *, \cdot, 0)$  is not a KU-semigroup.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $X$  be an  $f$ -UP-semigroup with unity 1 and let  $T$  be the set of all 1-invertible elements of  $X$ . Then*

- (i)  $1 \in T$ ,
- (ii)  $0 \notin T$ , and
- (iii)  $a \cdot b \in T$  for all  $a, b \in T$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be the set of all 1-invertible elements of  $X$ .

- (i) Since  $1 \cdot 1 = 1$ ,  $1 \in T$ . Thus,  $T \neq \emptyset$ .
- (ii) Suppose  $0 \in T$ . Then there exists  $b \in X$  such that  $0 \cdot b = 1 = b \cdot 0$ . But  $0 \cdot b = 0$  and so,  $0 = 1$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $0 \notin T$ .
- (iii) Let  $a, b \in T$ . Then there exist  $c, d \in X$  such that  $a \cdot c = 1 = c \cdot a$  and  $b \cdot d = 1 = d \cdot b$ . Moreover,  $d \cdot c \in X$ . By ( $f$ UP2),  $(a \cdot b) \cdot (d \cdot c) = ((a \cdot b) \cdot d) \cdot c = (a \cdot (b \cdot d)) \cdot c = (a \cdot 1) \cdot c = a \cdot c = 1$  and  $(d \cdot c) \cdot (a \cdot b) = ((d \cdot c) \cdot a) \cdot b = (d \cdot (c \cdot a)) \cdot b = (d \cdot 1) \cdot b = d \cdot b = 1$ . Hence,  $a \cdot b \in T$ .  $\square$

The next result establishes a relation between 0-divisors and the cancellation property of an  $f$ -UP-semigroup.

**Theorem 9.** *If an  $f$ -UP-semigroup  $X$  has no 0-divisors, then left and right cancellation laws hold, that is, for all  $a, b, c \in X$ ,  $a \neq 0$ ,  $a \cdot b = a \cdot c$  implies  $b = c$  (left cancellation) and  $b \cdot a = c \cdot a$  implies  $b = c$  (right cancellation). If either left or right cancellation law holds, then  $X$  has no 0-divisors.*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b, c \in X$  such that  $a \cdot b = a \cdot c$  and  $a \neq 0$ . Then  $a \cdot (b * c) = (a \cdot b) * (a \cdot c) = 0$  by Proposition 1(i). Since  $X$  has no 0-divisors and  $a \neq 0$ , we have  $b * c = 0$ . Since  $a \cdot b = a \cdot c$ , we have  $0 = a \cdot (b * c) = (a \cdot b) * (a \cdot c) = (a \cdot c) * (a \cdot b) = a \cdot (c * b)$  and so,  $c * b = 0$ . By (UP4),  $b = c$ . Hence, the left cancellation law holds. Similarly, the right cancellation law holds.

Conversely, suppose one of the cancellation laws holds, say, the left cancellation. Let  $a$  be a nonzero element of  $X$  and  $b \in X$ . Suppose  $a \cdot b = 0$ . Then by Theorem 4(i),  $a \cdot b = a \cdot 0$  and so by left cancellation,  $b = 0$ . Suppose  $b \cdot a = 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ . Then by Theorem 4(i),  $b \cdot a = b \cdot 0$  and so by left cancellation,  $a = 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $b = 0$  and  $X$  has no 0-divisors. Similarly, the right cancellation law implies that  $X$  has no 0-divisors.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.** *A finite commutative  $f$ -UP-semigroup  $X$  with more than one element and without 0-divisors is an  $f$ -UP-field.*

*Proof.* Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be the distinct elements of  $X$ . Let  $a \in X$  with  $a \neq 0$ . Now,  $a \cdot a_i \in X$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and so  $\{a \cdot a_1, a \cdot a_2, \dots, a \cdot a_n\} \subseteq X$ . If  $a \cdot a_i = a \cdot a_j$ , then by Theorem 9,  $a_i = a_j$ . Thus, the elements  $a \cdot a_1, a \cdot a_2, \dots, a \cdot a_n$  are distinct and so  $X = \{a \cdot a_1, a \cdot a_2, \dots, a \cdot a_n\}$ . Hence, one of the elements, say  $a \cdot a_i$ , must be equal to  $a$ . Since  $X$  is commutative,  $a_i \cdot a = a \cdot a_i = a$ . Let  $b \in X$ . Then there exists  $a_j \in X$  such that  $b = a \cdot a_j$ . Thus,  $b \cdot a_i = a_i \cdot b = a_i \cdot (a \cdot a_j) = (a_i \cdot a) \cdot a_j = a \cdot a_j = b$ . This implies that  $a_i$  is the unity of  $X$ . We denote the unity of  $X$  by 1. Now,  $1 \in X = \{a \cdot a_1, a \cdot a_2, \dots, a \cdot a_n\}$  and so one of the elements, say  $a \cdot a_k$ , must be equal to 1. By commutativity,  $a_k \cdot a = a \cdot a_k = 1$ . Hence, every nonzero element of  $X$  is 1-invertible. Therefore,  $X$  is an  $f$ -UP-field.  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 10, the following corollary holds.

**Corollary 1.** *Every finite  $f$ -UP-domain is an  $f$ -UP-field.*

#### 4. $f$ -UP-Ideal and the Quotient $f$ -UP-semigroup

**Definition 15.** A nonempty subset  $I$  of an  $f$ -UP-semigroup  $X$  is called an  $f$ -UP-ideal of  $X$  if the following hold:

(fUPI1) the constant 0 of  $X$  is in  $I$ ,

(fUPI2) for any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,  $x * (y * z) \in I$  and  $y \in I$  imply  $x * z \in I$ , and

(fUPI3) for any  $a \in I, x \in X$ ,  $a \cdot x, x \cdot a \in I$ .

Obviously, the subsets  $\{0\}$  and  $X$  are  $f$ -UP-ideals of  $X$ . Consider the  $f$ -UP-semigroup in Example 4. Routine calculations show that the set  $I_1 = \{0, a, b\}$  is an  $f$ -UP-ideal of  $X$  while the set  $I_2 = \{0, b, c\}$  is not an  $f$ -UP-ideal of  $X$  since  $b \cdot c = a \notin I_2$ .

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $(X; *, \cdot, 0)$  be an  $f$ -UP-semigroup and  $I$  an  $f$ -UP-ideal of  $X$ . Then  $I$  is an  $f$ -UP-subsemigroup of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* By (fUPI1),  $(X; *, 0)$  is a UP-algebra and by definition,  $I$  is a UP-ideal of the UP-algebra  $X$ . By Theorem 2,  $I$  is a UP-subalgebra of  $X$ . Let  $x, y \in I \subseteq X$ . Then by Proposition 2,  $x * y \in I$ . Since  $I$  is an  $f$ -UP-ideal of the  $f$ -UP-semigroup  $X$ ,  $x \cdot y \in I$  by (fUPI3). Thus,  $I$  is an  $f$ -UP-subsemigroup of  $X$  by Theorem 5.  $\square$

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $X$  be an  $f$ -UP-semigroup and  $\{A_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  be a nonempty collection of  $f$ -UP-ideals of  $X$ . Then  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_i$  is an  $f$ -UP-ideal of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\{A_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  is a nonempty collection of  $f$ -UP-ideals of  $X$ . Since  $0 \in A_i$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $0 \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_i$  and so  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_i \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $x * (y * z) \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_i$  and  $y \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_i$ . Then  $x * (y * z) \in A_i$  and  $y \in A_i$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ . Since

each  $A_i$  is an  $f$ -UP-ideal for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , it follows that  $x * z \in A_i$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ . Hence,  $x * z \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_i$ . Let  $a \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_i$  and  $x \in X$ . Then  $a \in A_i$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ . Since each  $A_i$  is an  $f$ -UP-ideal for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $a \cdot x, x \cdot a \in A_i$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ . Hence,  $a \cdot x, x \cdot a \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_i$ .

Therefore,  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_i$  is an  $f$ -UP-ideal of  $X$ .  $\square$

Let  $(X; *, \cdot, 0)$  be an  $f$ -UP-semigroup and  $I$  be an  $f$ -UP-ideal of  $X$ . Define the binary relation  $\sim_I$  on  $X$  as follows: for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \sim_I y$  if and only if  $x * y \in I$  and  $y * x \in I$ . Denote  $[x]_I$  as the equivalence class containing  $x \in X$  and  $X/I$  as the set of all equivalence classes of  $X$  with respect to " $\sim_I$ ", that is,  $[x]_I = \{y \in X : x \sim_I y\}$  and  $X/I = \{[x]_I : x \in X\}$ .

**Remark 5.** Let  $X$  be an  $f$ -UP-semigroup and  $I$  be an  $f$ -UP-ideal of  $X$ . Then  $x \in [x]_I$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $X$  be an  $f$ -UP-semigroup and  $I$  be an  $f$ -UP-ideal of  $X$ . Then  $[x]_I = [y]_I$  if and only if  $x \sim_I y$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $[x]_I = [y]_I$ . Since  $y \in [y]_I = [x]_I$ , we have  $x \sim_I y$ . Conversely, suppose  $x \sim_I y$ . Let  $z \in [x]_I$ . Then  $x \sim_I z$ . By symmetric property,  $z \sim_I x$ . By transitivity,  $z \sim_I y$  and by symmetric property,  $y \sim_I z$  and so,  $z \in [y]_I$ . Thus,  $[x]_I \subseteq [y]_I$ . Let  $z \in [y]_I$ . Then  $y \sim_I z$ . By transitivity,  $x \sim_I z$ , that is,  $z \in [x]_I$ . Thus,  $[y]_I \subseteq [x]_I$ . Hence,  $[x]_I = [y]_I$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** Let  $X$  be an  $f$ -UP-semigroup and  $I$  be an  $f$ -UP-ideal of  $X$ . Then

- (i)  $[0]_I = I$ ,
- (ii)  $[x]_I = I$  if and only if  $x \in I$ , for all  $x \in I$ , and
- (iii)  $I * [x]_I = [x]_I$  for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be an  $f$ -UP-ideal of  $X$ .

- (i) If  $x \in [0]_I$ , then by definition,  $0 \sim_I x$  and by (UP2),  $x = 0 * x \in I$ . Thus,  $[0]_I \subseteq I$ . Let  $x \in I$ . By (UP2),  $0 * x = x \in I$ . By (UP3) and ( $f$ UPI1),  $x * 0 = 0 \in I$ . Thus,  $0 \sim_I x$  and so,  $x \in [0]_I$ . Hence,  $I \subseteq [0]_I$ . Therefore,  $[0]_I = I$ .
- (ii) Suppose  $[x]_I = I$ . Then by Remark 5,  $x \in I$ . Conversely, let  $x \in I$ . By (UP2),  $0 * x = x \in I$ . By (UP3) and ( $f$ UPI1),  $x * 0 = 0 \in I$ . Thus,  $0 \sim_I x$ , and by Lemma 1,  $[0]_I = [x]_I$ . By (i),  $I = [x]_I$ .
- (iii) For all  $x \in X$ ,  $[x]_I = [0 * x]_I = [0]_I * [x]_I$  as defined in Theorem 3(iv). By (i),  $[x]_I = I * [x]_I$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 13.** *If  $X$  is an  $f$ -UP-semigroup and  $I$  an  $f$ -UP-ideal of  $X$ , then  $(X/I; *, \cdot, [0]_I)$  is an  $f$ -UP-semigroup, where  $*$  and  $\cdot$  are defined by  $[x]_I * [y]_I = [x * y]_I$  and  $[x]_I \cdot [y]_I = [x \cdot y]_I$ , respectively. If  $X$  is commutative, then  $X/I$  is commutative and if  $X$  has unity, then  $X/I$  has unity.*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be an  $f$ -UP-ideal of  $X$ . Then  $I$  is a UP-ideal of the UP-algebra  $(X; *, \cdot, 0)$ . By Theorem 3,  $(X/I; *, \cdot, [0]_I)$  is a UP-algebra, where  $*$  is defined by  $[x]_I * [y]_I = [x * y]_I$ . We show that the binary operation  $\cdot$  on  $X/I$  is well-defined. Let  $[x]_I = [x']_I$  and  $[y]_I = [y']_I$ . Then  $x \sim_I x'$  and  $y \sim_I y'$  which imply  $x * x', x' * x, y * y', y' * y \in I$ . By Theorem 4(iii), (UP2), and (fUPI3),  $(x \cdot y) * (x \cdot y') = x \cdot (y * (0 * y')) = x \cdot (y * y') \in I$  and  $(x \cdot y') * (x \cdot y) = x \cdot (y' * (0 * y)) = x \cdot (y' * y) \in I$ . Thus,  $x \cdot y \sim_I x \cdot y'$ . Similarly,  $(x \cdot y') * (x' \cdot y') = (x * (0 * x')) \cdot y' = (x * x') \cdot y' \in I$  and  $(x' \cdot y') * (x \cdot y') = (x' * (0 * x)) \cdot y' = (x' * x) \cdot y' \in I$ . Thus,  $x \cdot y' \sim_I x' \cdot y'$ . By transitivity,  $x \cdot y \sim_I x' \cdot y'$ . By Lemma 1,  $[x]_I \cdot [y]_I = [x \cdot y]_I = [x' \cdot y']_I = [x']_I \cdot [y']_I$ .

Let  $[x]_I, [y]_I, [z]_I \in X/I$ . Since  $(X, \cdot)$  is a semigroup, then

$$\begin{aligned} [x]_I \cdot ([y]_I \cdot [z]_I) &= [x]_I \cdot [y \cdot z]_I \\ &= [x \cdot (y \cdot z)]_I \\ &= [(x \cdot y) \cdot z]_I \\ &= [x \cdot y]_I \cdot [z]_I \\ &= ([x]_I \cdot [y]_I) \cdot [z]_I. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $(X/I, \cdot)$  is semigroup. Moreover, by distributive property on  $X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [x]_I \cdot ([y]_I * [z]_I) &= [x]_I \cdot [y * z]_I \\ &= [x \cdot (y * z)]_I \\ &= [(x \cdot y) * (x \cdot z)]_I \\ &= [x \cdot y]_I * [x \cdot z]_I \\ &= ([x]_I \cdot [y]_I) * ([x]_I \cdot [z]_I) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ([x]_I * [y]_I) \cdot [z]_I &= [x * y]_I \cdot [z]_I \\ &= [(x * y) \cdot z]_I \\ &= [(x \cdot z) * (y \cdot z)]_I \\ &= [x \cdot z]_I * [y \cdot z]_I \\ &= ([x]_I \cdot [z]_I) * ([y]_I \cdot [z]_I). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the distributive property holds on  $X/I$ . Therefore,  $(X/I; *, \cdot, [0]_I)$  is an  $f$ -UP-semigroup. Suppose  $X$  is commutative. Then  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Let  $[x]_I, [y]_I \in X/I$ . Then  $[x]_I \cdot [y]_I = [x \cdot y]_I = [y \cdot x]_I = [y]_I \cdot [x]_I$ . Hence,  $X/I$  is commutative. If  $X$  has unity 1, then  $X/I$  has unity  $[1]_I$  since  $[x]_I \cdot [1]_I = [x \cdot 1]_I = [x]_I$  and  $[1]_I \cdot [x]_I = [1 \cdot x]_I = [x]_I$  for any  $x \in X$ .  $\square$

The  $f$ -UP-semigroup  $(X/I; *, \cdot, [0]_I)$  in Theorem 13 is called the *quotient  $f$ -UP-semigroup* of  $X$  by  $I$ .

## 5. Conclusion

This paper investigated fully UP-semigroups, a new class of algebra related to UP-algebras and semigroups, which was introduced by A. Iampan [4] in 2018. It established some structural properties of  $f$ -UP-semigroups. It also introduced and examined  $f$ -UP-fields,  $f$ -UP-domains,  $f$ -UP-ideals, and quotient  $f$ -UP-semigroups. Moreover, the relationship between an  $f$ -UP-field and an  $f$ -UP-domain is determined. In the subsequent study, we introduce and investigate homomorphisms on  $f$ -UP-semigroups, which lead to the isomorphism theorems on  $f$ -UP-semigroups.

## Acknowledgements

This research is funded by the Philippine Department of Science and Technology-Accelerated Science and Technology Human Resource Development Program (DOST-ASTHRDP) and the Mindanao State University-Iligan Institute of Technology. The authors wish to express their sincere thanks to the referees for their valuable suggestions for the improvement of this paper.

## References

- [1] M. Ansari A. Haidar and A. Koam. On a Graph Associated to UP-algebras. *Mathematical and Computational Applications*, 23(61), 2018.
- [2] J. Endam and J. Vilela. On JB-semigroups. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 9(59): 2901-2911, 2015.
- [3] A. Iampan. A new branch of the logical algebra: UP-algebras. *Journal of Algebra and Related Topics*, 5(1):35-54, 2017.
- [4] A. Iampan. Introducing fully UP-semigroups. *Discussiones Mathematicae, General Algebra and Applications*, 38:297-306, 2018.
- [5] Y. Imai and K. Iseki. On Axiom Systems of Propositional Calculi XIV. *Proc. Japan Academy*, 42:19-22, 1996.
- [6] K. Iseki. Algebra Related with a Propositional Calculus. *Proc. Japan Academy*, 42:351-366, 1966.
- [7] Y. Jun S. Hong and E.Roh. BCI-semigroups. *Honam Math.*, 15(1):59-64, 1993.
- [8] Y. Jun E. Roh and X. Xin. A Class of Algebras Related to BCI-algebras and Semigroups. *Soochow J. Math.*, 4 (24):309-321.
- [9] Y. Jun E. Roh and X. Xin. I-ideals Generated by a Set in IS-algebras. *Bull Kcrean Math. Soc.*, 35: 615-624, 1998.

- [10] F. Kareem and E. Hasan. On KU-semigroups. *International Journal of Science and Nature*, 9(1):79-84, 2018.
- [11] C. Prabpayak and U. Leerawat. On ideals and congruence in KU-algebras. *Scientia Magna Journal*, 5(1):54-57, 2009.