



Existence of Optimal Control for a nonlinear Partial Differential Equation of Hyperbolic-type

Dieudonné Ampini^{1,*}, Vital Delmas Mabonzo¹

¹ *Parcours Mathématiques, F.S.T, Université Marien Ngouabi, Brazzaville, Congo*

Abstract. In this paper, we prove the existence of an optimal control for a nonlinear hyperbolic problem, examined in [3]. An estimation is used which makes it possible to extract from a minimizable sequence of controls and from the sequence of corresponding solutions weakly convergent sub sequences. To prove the passage to the limit in a true equality for every element of the minimizable sequence, Lebesgue's theorem on the passage to the limit under the integral sign and the theorem of immersion have been used.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 49J20, 58J45, 35L86, 81T13

Key Words and Phrases: Optimal control, hyperbolic equation, functional

1. Preliminaries notions

Before proceeding to the formulation of the problem, let us recall some fundamental notions of [2].

1.1. Definition of $\mathcal{C}^{k,\lambda,0}(\bar{\Omega})$ space: (see [4])

Let Ω be a domain of \mathbb{R}^N , $k \in N_0$ and $\lambda \in]0, 1[$. We call $\mathcal{C}^{k,\lambda,0}(\bar{\Omega})$ any subset of the functions $u \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega})$ for which the following condition is satisfied

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 : (x, y \in \Omega, 0 < |x - y| < \delta, |\alpha| = k) \implies |D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u(y)| \cdot |x - y|^{-\lambda} < \varepsilon$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_2)$ is the multi-index.

The norm of the $\mathcal{C}^{k,\lambda,0}(\bar{\Omega})$ space is deduced from $\mathcal{C}^{k,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega})$, namely

$$\|u\|_{k,\lambda} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_{x \in \Omega} |D^\alpha u(x)| + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_{x \neq y} |D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u(y)| \cdot |x - y|^{-\lambda}.$$

*Corresponding author.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v12i4.3577>

Email addresses: dieudonne.ampini@gmail.com (D. Ampini), vitalm28@gmail.com (V. D. Mabonzo)

Theorem 1 ([2], P.11). Let Ω be a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n , $0 < \lambda < 1$

$F: \mathbb{R} \times \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(u, x) \mapsto F(u, x)$ a continuous function defined on $\mathbb{R} \times \bar{\Omega}$, differentiable with respect to u on \mathbb{R} for all $x \in \Omega$ and also $F'_u: \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function on $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfied

$$|F'_u(u, y) - F'_u(v, z)| \leq Q_1|u - v| + Q_2|y - z|^\lambda$$

and

$$|F(u, y) - F(v, z)| \leq C_1|u - v| + C_2(y, z)|y - z|^\lambda$$

where Q_1, Q_2, C_1 are the constants and C_2 a bounded function which verifies the condition

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 : (|y - z| < \delta) \implies C_2(y, z) < \varepsilon.$$

Then the $\varphi(x) \mapsto F(\varphi(x), x)$ mapping is defined from $C^{0,\lambda,0}(\bar{\Omega})$ to $C^{0,\lambda,0}(\bar{\Omega})$ and is weakly sequentially continuous.

Theorem 2 ([2], P.13). Let Ω be a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n , $0 < \lambda < 1$

$K: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, (x, y, u) \mapsto K(x, y, u)$ a continuous function on $\mathbb{R} \times \bar{\Omega}^2$, differentiable with respect to u on \mathbb{R} for all $(x, y) \in \bar{\Omega}^2$ and also $K'_u: \bar{\Omega}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function on $\bar{\Omega}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ verifying

$$|K'_u(t, y, u) - K'_u(s, y, u)| \leq Q_r|t - s|^\lambda, \quad |u| \leq r$$

and

$$|K(t, y, u) - K(s, y, u)| \leq a_r(t, s, y), \quad |u| \leq r$$

with a_r a measurable function,

$$\int_{\Omega} a_r(t, s, y)dy \leq b_r(t, s) \cdot |t - s|^\lambda,$$

and $b_r: \bar{Q}_T^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfied the following conditions:

b_r is bounded and $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 : (|t - s| < \delta) \implies b_r(t, s) < \varepsilon$

Then the mapping

$$G: [u(x)] \mapsto \int_{\Omega} K(x, y, u(y))dy$$

is defined from $C^{0,\lambda,0}(\bar{\Omega})$ to $C^{0,\lambda,0}(\bar{\Omega})$ and weakly sequentially continuous.

2. Main operators

We shall consider the following problem

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \Delta u + |u|^\rho u = f(x, t), \quad \rho > 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{n}}(x, t)|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \quad t \in (0, T) \tag{2}$$

$$u(x, t)|_{t=0} = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t)|_{t=0} = \psi(x), \quad x \in \Omega \tag{3}$$

in the cylinder

$$Q_T = \{x, t : x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, 0 < t \leq T < \infty\},$$

where Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n with differentiable boundary $\partial\Omega$, \vec{n} designates the outer normal to $\partial\Omega$ and $\Delta u = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2}$.

Let

$$H^1(\Omega) = \{v/v \in L_2(\Omega), \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \in L_2(\Omega), i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

with associated norm

$$\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} \left[|v|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 \right] dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Assume that the functions $f(x, t), \varphi(x), \psi(x)$ are the control and then

$$f(x, t) \in Y \subset L_2(Q_T), \varphi(x) \in X \subset H^1(\Omega), \psi(x) \in W \subset L_2(\Omega) \tag{4}$$

where Y, X, W are respectively the convex sets, bounded and closed of $L_2(Q_T), H^1(\Omega)$ and $L_2(\Omega)$.

Let consider the operator:

$$A: L_2(Q_T) \times H^1(\Omega) \times L_2(\Omega) \longrightarrow C^{0,\lambda,0}(\bar{Q}_T)$$

$$[A(f, \varphi, \psi)](x, t) = \int_{\Omega} K_1(x, t, x', t') f(x', t') dx' dt' + \int_{\Omega} K_2(x, x') \varphi(x') dx' + \int_{\Omega} K_3(x, x') \psi(x) dx'$$

where K_1, K_2, K_3 verify the condition of Hölder:

$\lambda + \lambda', 0 < \lambda' < \lambda, \lambda + \lambda' < 1$ respectively in $(x, t), x, x'$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |K_1(x, t, x', t') - K_1(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}, x', t')| &\leq c_3(x', t') |(x, t) - (\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})|^{\lambda+\lambda'}, \\ |K_2(x, x') - K_2(\tilde{x}, x')| &\leq c_4(x') |x - \tilde{x}|^{\lambda+\lambda'}, \\ |K_3(x, x') - K_3(\tilde{x}, x')| &\leq c_5(x') |x - \tilde{x}|^{\lambda+\lambda'}, \\ \sup_{(x,t) \in Q_T} \int_{Q_T} K_1^2(x, t, x', t') dx' dt' &= c_6 < \infty, \\ \sup_{x \in \Omega} \int_{Q_T} K_2^2(x, x') dx' &= c_7 < \infty, \\ \sup_{x \in \Omega} \int_{Q_T} K_3^2(x, x') dx' &= c_8 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

with $c_3(x', t') \in L_2(Q_T), c_4(x'), c_5(x') \in L_2(\Omega)$.

Note that this operator is linear, continuous and therefore it is weakly sequentially continuous (by Theorem 1).

Let consider then the operator

$$[B(f, \varphi, \psi)](x, t) = \int_{Q_T} K(x, t, x', t', [A(f, \varphi, \psi)](x', t')) dx' dt'$$

where

- the function $K: \bar{Q}_T^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $K: (x, t, x', t', \xi) \rightarrow K(x, t, x', t', \xi)$ is continuous on $\bar{Q}_T^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, differentiable with respect to ξ on \mathbb{R} for all $(x, t, x', t') \in \bar{Q}_T^2$;
- the derived function $K'_\xi: \bar{Q}_T^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also continuous on $\bar{Q}_T^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, and

$$|K'_\xi(x, t, x', t', \xi) - K'_\xi(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}, x', t', \xi)| \leq Q_T |(x, t) - (\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})|^{\lambda+\lambda'}, \quad |\xi| \leq r$$

$$|K'_\xi(x, t, x', t', \xi) - K(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}, x', t', \xi)| \leq a_r(x, t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{t}, x', t'), \quad |\xi| \leq r$$

here a_r is a measurable function verifying

$$\int_{Q_T} a_r(x, t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{t}, x', t') dx' dt' \leq b_r(x, t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \cdot |(x, t) - (\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})|^{\lambda+\lambda'}$$

and $b_r: \bar{Q}_T^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

b_r is bounded and

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 : (|(x, t) - (\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})| < \delta) \implies b_r(x, t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) < \varepsilon.$$

This operation is a mapping defined from $L_2(Q_T) \times H^1(\Omega) \times L_2(\Omega)$ to $C^{0,\lambda,0}(\bar{Q}_T)$ and it is weakly sequentially continuous (by Theorem 2).

Let $E \in (C^{0,\lambda,0}(\bar{Q}_T))'$.

Remember ([2],P.5) that there exists such Borelian measures (definite positive) μ_1 and μ_2 with bounded variation on \bar{Q}_T and \bar{Q}_T^2 respectively for which

$$\langle E, u \rangle = \int_{Q_T} u(x, t) d\mu_1(x, t) + \int_{Q_T^2} (u(x, t) - u(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})) \cdot |(x, t) - (\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})|^{-\lambda} d\mu_2(x, t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{t})$$

for $u \in C^{0,\lambda,0}(\bar{Q}_T)$.

In this case, the functionals of the form

$$F^i: L_2(Q_T) \times H^1(\Omega) \times L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$F^i(f, \varphi, \psi) = \langle E^i, B_i(f, \varphi, \psi) \rangle, \quad i = 0, s_1 + s_2,$$

are also weakly sequentially continuous.

3. Formulation of the problem

Consider the problem (1)-(3) with the propositions (4). Then consider the functional of the form

$$J_i(f, \varphi, \psi) = \int_{\bar{Q}_T} v_i(x, t, u(x, t)) dxdt + F^i(f, \varphi, \psi), \quad (5)$$

$\bar{i} = 0, s_1 + s_2$ where the functions $v_i(x, t, \xi)$ verify the following conditions:

- a) the functions $v_i(x, t, \xi)$ are measurable on $Q_T \times \mathbb{R}$,
- b) almost for each $(x, t) \in Q_T$, the functions $v_i(x, t, \xi)$ are continuous at ξ on \mathbb{R} and

$$|v_i(x, t, \xi)| \leq c_9 + c_{10}|\xi|^2. \quad (6)$$

Note that the functions $J_i(f, \varphi, \psi)$ are weakly sequentially continuous by virtue of the immersion theorem $H^1(Q_T) \subset L_2(Q_T)$, of inequality

$$\|u\|_{H^1(Q_T)} \leq c(T)(\|f\|_{L_2(Q_T)} + \|\varphi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\psi\|_{L_2(\Omega)})$$

[1], and the continuity of the functional $u \mapsto \int_{Q_T} v_i(x, t, u(x, t)) dxdt$ from $L_2(Q_T)$ into \mathbb{R} .

We thus pose the following problem:

To find out such measurable functions $f^0(x, t) \in Y$, $\varphi^0(x) \in X$, $\psi^0(x) \in W$ in such a way that, for the solution $u^0(x, t)$ of the problem (1)-(3) corresponding to (f^0, φ^0, ψ^0) , inequality-type constraints are verified,

$$J_i(f, \varphi, \psi) \leq 0, \quad \bar{i} = 1, s_1, \quad (7)$$

equality-type constraints,

$$J_i(f, \varphi, \psi) = 0, \quad \bar{i} = s_1 + 1, s_1 + s_2 \quad (8)$$

and with that

$$J_0(f^0, \varphi^0, \psi^0) = \inf_{Y \times X \times W} J_0(f, \varphi, \psi) \quad (9)$$

4. Existence of an optimal control

Theorem 3. *We suppose there is a control of the above indicated class and $\inf_{Y \times X \times W} J_i(f, \varphi, \psi) > -\infty$.*

Then there exists an optimal control $\hat{f}^0(x, t), \hat{\varphi}^0(x), \hat{\psi}^0(x)$.

Proof. white.

Let $\{f_m(x, t)\}_{m \geq 1}, \{\varphi_m(x)\}_{m \geq 1}, \{\psi_m(x)\}_{m \geq 1}$ be minimizable sequences of controls and $\{u_m(x, t)\}_{m \geq 1}$ their corresponding sequence of solution of the problem (1)-(3).

From the inequality

$$\|u_m(x, t)\|_{H^1(Q_T)} + \|u_m(x, t)\|_{L_p(Q_T)} \leq const$$

[3], where $p = \rho + 2$, it follows that the $\{u_m(x, t)\}_{m \geq 1}$ sequence is uniformly bounded into $H^1(Q_T)$; which allows to subtract a sub-sequence of solutions $\{u_{m_k}(x, t)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ that converge weakly to $u(x, t)$ into $H^1(Q_T)$ and $f_{m_k}(x, t), \varphi_{m_k}(x), \psi_{m_k}(x)$ converge weakly in the spaces $L_2(Q_T), H^1(\Omega), L_2(\Omega)$ to $f^0(x, t) \in Y, \varphi^0(x) \in X, \psi^0(x) \in W$.

From the weak converge in $H^1(Q_T)$ of the sequence $u_{m_k}(x, t)$ to $u(x, t)$ and by virtue of the complete continuity of the operator $H^1(Q_T)$ into $L_2(Q_T)$, result the weak convergence into $L_2(Q_T)$ of the sequence $u_{m_k}(x, t)$ to $u(x, t)$.

$$H^1(Q_T) \subset L_2(Q_T) \quad \forall \{u_m(x, t)\} \subset H^1(Q_T) : \|u_m(x, t)\|_{H^1(Q_T)} \leq c_{11}$$

$\exists \{u_{m_k}(x, t)\} \subset \{u_m(x, t)\}$ which is fundamental in $L_2(Q_T)$.

As $L_2(Q_T)$ is complete then $\exists u^*(x, t) \in L_2(Q_T) : u_{m_k}(x, t) \rightarrow u^*$ converge strongly into $L_2(Q_T)$.

By virtue of the separation of $L_2(Q_T)$, we have $u = u^*$.

We can consider that ([5],p.162)

$$|u_{m_k}(x, t)| \leq z(x, t) \in L_2(Q_T).$$

Then from the inequality (6), we obtain

$$|v_i(x, t, u_{m_k})| \leq c_9 + c_{10}z^2(x, t) \in L_1(Q_T).$$

By using the formula of the functional $J_i(f, \varphi, \psi)$ for $u_{m_k}(x, t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_i(f_{m_k}, \varphi_{m_k}, \psi_{m_k}) &= \int_{Q_T} v_i(x, t, u_{m_k}) dx dt + F^i(f_{m_k}, \varphi_{m_k}, \psi_{m_k}) \\ i &= \overline{0, s_1 + s_2} \end{aligned}$$

According to the Lebesgue theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_i(\hat{f}^0, \hat{\varphi}^0, \hat{\psi}^0) &= \int_{Q_T} v_i(x, t, u(x, t)) dx dt + F^i(\hat{f}^0, \hat{\varphi}^0, \hat{\psi}^0) \\ i &= \overline{0, s_1 + s_2} \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

As the functions $f_m(x, t), \varphi_m(x), \psi_m(x)$ are the minimizable sequences, then

$$J_0(f_m, \varphi_m, \psi_m) \rightarrow \inf_{X \times Y \times W} J_0(f, \varphi, \psi) := J_* \tag{11}$$

Under the weak sequential continuity, we have

$$J_* = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} J_0(f_m, \varphi_m, \psi_m) = J_0(\hat{f}^0, \hat{\varphi}^0, \hat{\psi}^0) \tag{12}$$

By the same way, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} J_i(f_m, \varphi_m, \psi_m) = J_i(\hat{f}^0, \hat{\varphi}^0, \hat{\psi}^0), \tag{13}$$

$$i = \overline{1, s_1 + s_2}$$

In addition, from (7) and (8), it follows that :

$$J_i(f_m, \varphi_m, \psi_m) \leq 0, \quad i = \overline{1, s_1}$$

$$J_i(f_m, \varphi_m, \psi_m) = 0, \quad i = \overline{s_1 + 1, s_1 + s_2}$$

and from this, it follows that :

$$J_i(\hat{f}^0, \hat{\varphi}^0, \hat{\psi}^0) \leq 0, \quad i = \overline{1, s_1} \quad (14)$$

$$J_i(\hat{f}^0, \hat{\varphi}^0, \hat{\psi}^0) = 0, \quad i = \overline{s_1 + 1, s_1 + s_2}. \quad (15)$$

From (12), (14), (15), it follows that $\hat{f}^0, \hat{\varphi}^0, \hat{\psi}^0$ is an optimal control.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the anonymous referees of European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, for their valuable comments and suggestions which have led to an improvement of the presentation.

References

- [1] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya. *Problèmes aux limites de la physique mathématique*. Nouvelle édition Moscou, Nanka, 1993.
- [2] N.V. Lihito. *Résolution des problèmes d'optimisation pour les équations intégrationnelles*. PhD thesis, Université d'Amitié des Peuples, 1988.
- [3] J.L. Lions. *Quelques méthodes de résolutions des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*. Edition Mir, Moscou, 1982.
- [4] A. Fufner S. Fucik, O. John. *Function spaces*. Czechoslovak academy of sciences, Prague, 1987.
- [5] M.F. Soukhinine. *Elements d'analyse non linéaire*. Edition de l'Université d'Amitié des Peuples de Russie, Moscou, 1992.