#### EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

Vol. 13, No. 2, 2020, 269-279 ISSN 1307-5543 – www.ejpam.com Published by New York Business Global



# On Generalized $\beta$ -Open Sets in Ideal Bitopological Space

Ibtissam Bukhatwa<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Sibel Demiralp<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Kastamonu, Kastamonu 37150, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Benghazi, Benghazi 16063, Libya

**Abstract.** In this article, we introduce and study the concepts of  $\gamma_{ij}$ -semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -open sets and  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open sets by generalizing (i, j)-semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -open sets and (ij)- $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open sets, respectively, in ideal bitopological spaces with an operation  $\gamma : \tau \to P(X)$ . Further, we describe and study  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ -semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous and  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous functions in ideal bitopological spaces and their related notions. In addition, various examples and counterexamples are given for answers to some questions raised in this study.

**2020** Mathematics Subject Classifications: 54A05, 54A10, 54C05, 54E55 Key Words and Phrases: Ideal bitopological space,  $Int_{\gamma_i}(A)$ ,  $Cl_{\gamma_i}(A)$ ,  $\gamma_{ij}$ -semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -open sets,  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous functions.

## 1. Introduction

Kelly [11] in 1963, introduced the triple  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  as bitopological space, where X is a nonempty set,  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are topologies on X. Levine [17] in 1963, introduced the notion of semi-open sets in bitopological spaces. Khedr [14] in 1992, defined semi-preopen  $(\beta$ -open)sets in bitopological spaces. K. Kuraowski [15] in 1966, studied and applied the concept of ideals on topological spaces. An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is a collection of subsets of X having the heredity property (i) if  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $B \subset A$  then  $B \in \mathcal{I}$  and (ii) if  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{I}$  then  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ . Ekici [5] in 2012, studied the concept of semi-I-open sets in ideal topological spaces. If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal on X then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$  is called an ideal bitopological space. Kasahara.S [10] in 1979 described an operation  $\gamma$  on  $\tau$  as a mapping  $\gamma : \tau \to P(X)$  such that  $U \subseteq U^{\gamma}$ , for each  $U \in \tau$ . Khedr [12] in 1984, extended the operation  $\gamma$  to bitopological space as a mapping  $\gamma : \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ , where  $U^{\gamma}$  denotes the value of  $\gamma$  at U. For example the operations  $U^{\gamma} = U, U^{\gamma} = Cl_i(U), U^{\gamma} = Int_j(Cl_i(U))$  for  $U \in \tau_j$  are operations on  $\tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ . Caldas [3] in 2013, introduced the notion of  $\beta$ -open sets in ideal bitopological spaces. Csaszar [4] in 1997, defined generalized open sets in generalized topological spaces.

Email addresses: i.bukhatwa@gmail.com (I. Bukhatwa), sdemiralp@kastamonu.edu.tr (S. Demiralp)

http://www.ejpam.com

© 2020 EJPAM All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v13i2.3649

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  always mean bitopological space on with no separation axioms are supposed in this space, also  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal bitopological space. Let A be a subset of X, by  $Int_i(A)$  [10] and  $Cl_i(A)$  [20] we denote respectively the interior and closure of A with regard to  $\tau_i$  for i = 1, 2.

A subset A of a bitopological space will be called a  $\gamma_i$ -open set if for each  $x \in A$ , there exists an  $\tau_i$ -open set U such that  $x \in U$  and  $U^{\gamma} \subseteq A$ . Let  $\tau_{\gamma_i}$  denotes the set of all  $\gamma_i$ -open set in X. Obviously, we have  $\tau_{\gamma_i} \subseteq \tau_i$  [13]. Complement of all  $\gamma_i$ -open sets are called  $\gamma_i$ -closed. Assumed  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$  as an ideal bitopological space and if P(X) is the set of all subsets of X, a set operator  $(\cdot)_i^* : P(X) \to P(X)$  named the local function of A [22] with regard to  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau$ . The definition of local function is given as: for  $A \subset X$ ,  $A_i^*$  $(\tau_i, \mathcal{I}) = \{x \in X | U \cap A \notin \mathcal{I}$ , for all  $U \in \tau_i(x)\}$  where,  $\tau_i(x) = \{U \in \tau_i | x \in U\}$ . Observe additionally that closure operator for  $\tau_i^*(\mathcal{I})$  accurate than  $\tau_i$  is defined by  $Cl_i^*(A) = A \cup A_i^*$ . The interior of A in  $\tau_i^*(\mathcal{I})$  is denoted by  $Int_i^*(A)$  and  $Int_{\gamma_i}^*(A_i^*)$  denotes the interior of  $A_i^*$  with respect to topology  $\tau_i$ , where  $A_i^* = \{x \in X | U \cap A \notin \mathcal{I}\}$ , for every  $U \in \tau_i$ . The interior  $\gamma_i$  of A is denoted by  $Int_{\gamma_i}(A)$  and described to be the union of all  $\gamma_i$ -open sets of X contained in A. The closure $\gamma_i$  of A is denoted by  $Cl_{\gamma_i}(A)$  and defined to be the intersection of all  $\gamma_i$ -closed sets containing A. Currently, several results and definitions from [2, 3, 7, 13, 17] are recalled to be used in this article.

**Definition 1.** [8] A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  with operation  $\gamma$  on  $\tau_1 \cup \tau_2$  is named:

- 1.  $\gamma_{ij}$ -semi-open set if  $A \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_i}(Int_{\gamma_i}(A))$ , where  $i \neq j$  and i, j = 1, 2.
- 2.  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta$ -open set if  $A \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_i}(Int_{\gamma_i}(Cl_{\gamma_j}(A)))$ , where  $i \neq j$  and i, j = 1, 2.

**Definition 2.** [3] A subset A of an ideal bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$  is called

- 1. (i, j)-semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -open set if  $A \subseteq Cl_i^*(Int_i(A))$ , where  $i \neq j$  and i, j = 1, 2.
- 2. (i, j)- $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open set if  $A \subseteq Cl_j(Int_i(Cl_i^*(A)))$ , where  $i \neq j$  and i, j = 1, 2.

**Definition 3.** [16] Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal bitopological space with an operation  $\gamma$  on  $\tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ . The  $\gamma$ -local function of A with regard to  $\gamma$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  is described as giving, for  $A \subset X$ ,  $A^*_{\gamma_i}(\gamma, \mathcal{I}) = \{x \in X | U \cap A \notin \mathcal{I}, \text{ for every } U \in \tau_{\gamma_i}(x)\}$  where  $\tau_{\gamma_i}(x) = \{U \in \tau_{\gamma_i} | x \in U\}.$ 

In the case of no ambiguity, we will replace  $A^*_{\gamma_i}(\gamma, \mathcal{I})$  by  $A^*_{\gamma_i}$ .

**Definition 4.** [22] Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal bitopological space with an operation  $\gamma$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a bitopological space with an operation  $\delta$ . Then a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called pairwise  $(\gamma, \delta)_i$ -continuous function if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\gamma_i$ -open in X for all  $\delta_i$ -open set V in Y, for i = 1, 2.

**Definition 5.** [3] A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called to be (i, j)-semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous function (resp.(i, j)- $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous) if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is (i, j)-semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -open(resp.(i, j)- $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open) in X for all  $\gamma_i$ -open set V in Y, where  $i \neq j$  and i, j = 1, 2.

Throughout the article, we suppose that  $i \neq j$ , and i, j = 1, 2.

I. Bukhatwa, S. Demiralp / Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math, 13 (2) (2020), 269-279

3. 
$$\gamma_{ij}$$
- $\beta I$ -Open Sets

This section deals with the concept of  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open sets and some of their characterizations in an ideal bitopological space.

**Definition 6.** A subset A of an ideal bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$ , with an operation  $\gamma$  on  $\tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ , is said to be  $\gamma_{ij}$ -semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -open set if  $A \subseteq Cl^*_{\gamma_i}(Int_{\gamma_i}(A))$ .

**Example 1.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space with  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}, \tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$  and let  $U^{\gamma} = Cl_j(U)$  for  $U \in \tau_i$ . Then we have,  $\gamma_{12}$ -semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -open sets are  $\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c, d\}, \{c, d\}$ .

**Definition 7.** A subset A of an ideal bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$  is said to be  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ open set if  $A \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(Cl^*_{\gamma_j}(A)))$ . The set consisting of all  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open sets in X will
be denoted by  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}O(X)$ .

**Definition 8.** A subset A of an ideal bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$  is called  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -closed set if the complement  $A^c$  is a  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open set. Equivalently, A is called  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -closed set if  $A \supseteq Int_{\gamma_i}(Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int^*_{\gamma_i}(A)))$ . The set consisting of all  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -closed sets in X will be denoted by  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}C(X)$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal bitopological space,

- (i) Every  $\gamma_{ij}$ -semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -open set is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open.
- (ii) Every  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open set is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta$ -open.

### Proof.

(i) Let A be a subset of X. If A is  $\gamma_{ij}$ -semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -open, then

$$A \subseteq Cl^*_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(A)) \subseteq Int_{\gamma_i}(A) \cup (Int_{\gamma_i}(A))^*_{\gamma_j}$$
  
$$\subseteq (Int_{\gamma_i}(A)) \cup Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(A)) \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(A))$$
  
$$\subseteq Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(A \cup A^*_{\gamma_i})) \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(Cl^*_{\gamma_i}(A))).$$

Therefore, A is a  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open set.

(ii) Let A be a subset of X. If A is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open, then

$$A \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(Cl^*_{\gamma_j}(A))) \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(A^*_{\gamma_j} \cup A))$$
$$\subseteq Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(Cl_{\gamma_j}(A) \cup A)) \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(Cl_{\gamma_j}(A))).$$

Therefore, A is a  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta$ -open set.

But generally the convers of this theorem is not true as giving in the next example.

**Example 2.** From example 1, let  $A = \{c\}$  or  $A = \{b, d\}$ . Calculations show that A is  $\gamma_{12}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open, however, it is not  $\gamma_{12}$ -semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -open.

**Conclusion 1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal bitopological space. Then every  $\gamma_{ij}$ -semi- $\mathcal{I}$ open set is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta$ -open.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal bitopological space. Then

- (i) The union of any  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open sets is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open set.
- (ii) The intersection of any  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -closed sets is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -closed set.

Proof.

(i) Let  $A_{\alpha} \in \gamma_{ij} - \beta \mathcal{I}O(X)$  for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda$  is an index set. Then

$$A_{\alpha} \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(Cl^*_{\gamma_j}(A_{\alpha})))$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_{\alpha} & \subseteq & \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \{ Cl_{\gamma_j} (Int_{\gamma_i} (Cl^*_{\gamma_j} (A_{\alpha}))) \} \\ & \subseteq & \{ Cl_{\gamma_j} (Int_{\gamma_i} (\cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} Cl^*_{\gamma_j} (A_{\alpha}))) \} \\ & \subseteq & \{ Cl_{\gamma_j} (Int_{\gamma_i} (Cl^*_{\gamma_i} (\cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_{\alpha}))) \}. \end{array}$$

Then  $\cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_{\alpha}$  is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open.

(ii) The proof follows by using (i) and taking complement.

The intersection of any two  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open sets may not be an  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open set as showing in the next example.

**Example 3.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ . Let define an operation  $\gamma : \tau_1 \cup \tau_2 \to P(x)$  such that  $U^{\gamma} = U$  for all  $U \in \tau_i$ . Then we have  $\{a, c\}$  and  $\{c, d\}$  are  $\gamma_{12}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open sets but  $\{c\}$  is not  $\gamma_{12}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open.

**Definition 9.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal bitopological space with an operation  $\gamma$ ,  $A \subset X$  and x be a point of X. Then

- (i) x is called an  $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -interior<sub> $\gamma_{ij}$ </sub> point of A if there exists any  $U \in \gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}O(X)$  such that  $x \in U \subset A$ .
- (ii) The set of all  $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -interior<sub> $\gamma_{ij}$ </sub> points of A is called  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -interior of A and is represented by  $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -Int<sub> $\gamma_{ij}$ </sub>(A).

**Theorem 3.** Let A and B be subsets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$ . Then the following properties hold:

1)  $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -Int $_{\gamma_{ij}}(A) = \bigcup \{ U : U \subset A \text{ and } U \in \gamma_{ij} - \beta \mathcal{I}O(X) \}.$ 

- I. Bukhatwa, S. Demiralp / Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math, 13 (2) (2020), 269-279
  - 2)  $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -Int<sub> $\gamma_{ij}$ </sub>(A) is the largest  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open subset of X contained in A.
  - 3) A is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open if and only if  $A = \beta \mathcal{I}$ -Int $_{\gamma_{ij}}(A)$ .

The proof will be obtained directly from the definition and thus the proof is omitted.

**Definition 10.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal bitopological space with an operation  $\gamma$ ,  $A \subset X$  and x be a point of X. Then,

- 1. x is called an  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -cluster point of A if  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for every  $U \in \gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}O(X)$  such that  $x \in U$ .
- 2. The set of all  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -cluster points of A is called  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -cluster of A and is represented by  $\beta \mathcal{I}$ - $Cl_{\gamma_{ij}}(A)$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let A and B be subsets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$ . Then the following properties hold:

- 1)  $\beta \mathcal{I}$ - $Cl_{\gamma_{ij}}(A) = \cap \{V : A \subset V \text{ and } V \in \gamma_{ij} \beta \mathcal{I}C(A).$
- 2)  $\beta \mathcal{I}$ - $Cl_{\gamma_{ij}}(A)$  is the smallest  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -closed subset of X containing A.
- 3) A is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -closed if and only if  $A = \beta \mathcal{I}$ - $Cl_{\gamma_{ij}}(A)$

The proof will be obtained directly from the definition and thus the proof is omitted.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal bitopological space with an operation  $\gamma$  and  $A \subset X$ . Then,

- (i) If  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ , then A is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open if and only if A is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta$ -open.
- (ii) If  $\mathcal{I} = P(X)$ , then A is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open if and only if A is  $\gamma_{ij}$ -semi-open.

Proof.

(i) We have just to show that if  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  and A is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta$ -open, then A is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta\mathcal{I}$ -open. If  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ , then  $A^*_{\gamma_j} = Cl_{\gamma_j}(A)$  for all subset A of X. Assumed A to be  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta$ -open set, then

$$A \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(Cl_{\gamma_j}(A))) \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(A^*_{\gamma_j}))$$
$$\subseteq Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(A^*_{\gamma_j} \cup A)) \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(Cl^*_{\gamma_i}(A)))$$

Therefore, A is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open.

(ii) Let  $\mathcal{I} = P(X)$ , then  $A_{\gamma_j}^* = \{\varnothing\}$  for any subset A of X. Let A be  $\gamma_{ij}$ -semi-open. Then  $A \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(A)) = Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(A \cup A_{\gamma_j}^*)) = Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(Cl_{\gamma_j}^*(A)))$ . Therefore, A is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open. **Theorem 6.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal bitopological space with an operation  $\gamma$  and  $A \subset X$ . Then A is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open if and only if  $Cl_{\gamma_i}(A) = Cl_{\gamma_i}(Int_{\gamma_i}(Cl^*_{\gamma_i}(A)))$ .

*Proof.* Let A be an  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open subset of X. Then  $A \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(Cl^*_{\gamma_j}(A)))$ . Hence  $Cl_{\gamma_j}(A) \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(Cl^*_{\gamma_j}(A)))$ . Since  $A^*_{\gamma_i} \cup A \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_j}(A)$ , then we have,

$$Cl_{\gamma_i}(A) \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_i}(Int_{\gamma_i}(Cl^*_{\gamma_i}(A))) \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_i}(Int_{\gamma_i}(Cl_{\gamma_i}(A))) \subseteq Cl_{\gamma_i}(A).$$

Therefore,  $Cl_{\gamma_j}(A) = Cl_{\gamma_j}(Int_{\gamma_i}(Cl^*_{\gamma_j}(A))).$ The convers is obvious.

## 4. $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -Continuous Functions

In this section the concept of  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous function in ideal bitopological spaces are introduced along with some characterizations via related notions.

Throughout this section, let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal bitopological space with an operation  $\gamma$ , and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a bitopological space with an operation  $\delta$ .

**Definition 11.** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ -semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous function (resp.  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$  continuous) if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\gamma_{ij}$ -semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -open (resp.  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$  open) in X for all  $\delta_i$ -open set V in Y.

Generally every  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ -semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous function is  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous, but the convers is not true as giving in next example.

**Example 4.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  be a set and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal bitopological space with

$$\begin{split} &\tau_1 = \{\varnothing, X, \{b\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}, \\ &\tau_2 = \{\varnothing, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c, d\}\}, \\ &\mathcal{I} = \{\varnothing, \{b\}\}, \\ &\mathcal{I} = \{\varnothing, \{b\}\}, \\ &U^{\gamma} = Cl_j(U) \text{ for } U \in \tau_i. \\ &Let \ Y = \{p, q, r, s\} \text{ be a set and } (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \text{ be a bitopological space with} \\ &\sigma_1 = \{\varnothing, Y, \{q\}, \{r, s\}, \{q, r, s\}\}, \\ &\sigma_2 = \{\varnothing, Y, \{q\}, \{r, s\}, \{q, r, s\}\}, \\ &\sigma_2 = \{\varnothing, Y, \{p\}, \{p, q\}, \{p, r, s\}\}, \\ &V^{\delta} = V \text{ for } V \in \sigma_i. \\ &Let \text{ define } f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \text{ such that } f(a) = p, \ f(b) = q, \ f(c) = r \text{ and} \\ &f(d) = s. \text{ Then } f \text{ is } (\gamma, \delta)_{12} - \beta \mathcal{I} \text{ -continuous but not } (\gamma, \delta)_{12} \text{ -semi-}\mathcal{I} \text{ -continuous because} \\ &\{p\} \text{ is } \delta_i \text{ -open set and } f^{-1}(\{p\}) = \{a\} \text{ which is } \gamma_{12} - \beta \mathcal{I} \text{ -open in } X \text{ but not } \gamma_{12} \text{ -semi-}\mathcal{I} \text{ -open in } X. \end{split}$$

**Theorem 7.** For any function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ , the next properties are equivalent,

- 1) f is  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous
- 2) For all  $x \in X$  and every  $\delta_i$ -open set V in Y containing f(x), there exists a  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ open set U of X containing x such that  $f(U) \subset V$ .

I. Bukhatwa, S. Demiralp / Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math, 13 (2) (2020), 269-279

Proof.

 $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$  Let V is  $\delta_i$ -open in Y such that  $f(x) \in V$ . Since f is  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open set in X. Let  $U = f^{-1}(V)$ . Then  $f(x) \in f(U) \subset V$ .

 $(2 \Rightarrow 1)$  Let V be  $\delta_i$ -open set in Y and  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$ . Then V is  $\delta_i$ -open set in Y and  $f(x) \in V$ . From the hypothesis, there exists an  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open set U in X containing x such that  $f(U) \subset V$ . Then  $x \in U \in f^{-1}(V)$ , i.e.  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open set in X. Therefore, f is  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous.

**Theorem 8.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous function. Then the next properties are equivalent:

- 1) The inverse image of every  $\delta_i$ -closed set in Y is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -closed set in X,
- 2)  $f(\beta \mathcal{I}\text{-}Cl_{\gamma_{ii}}(U)) \subset Cl_{\delta_i}(f(U))$ , for all subset U of X,
- 3)  $\beta \mathcal{I}$ - $Cl_{\gamma_{ii}}(f^{-1}(V)) \subset f^{-1}(Cl_{\delta_i}(V))$ , for each subset V of Y.

Proof.

 $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$  Let  $U \subset X$ . Since  $Cl_{\delta_j}(f(U))$  is an  $\delta_i$ -closed set in Y, from the hypothesis, we have  $f^{-1}(Cl_{\delta_j}(f(U)))$  is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -closed set in X. Also  $U \subset f^{-1}(Cl_{\delta_j}(f(U)))$  and  $\beta \mathcal{I}$ - $Cl_{\delta_i}(U)$  is the smallest  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -closed set containing U. Therefore,

$$\beta \mathcal{I} - Cl_{\delta_i}(U) \subset f^{-1}(Cl_{\delta_i}(f(U))).$$

This implies that  $f(\beta \mathcal{I} - Cl_{\gamma_{ii}}(U)) \subset Cl_{\delta_i}(f(U)).$ 

 $(2 \Rightarrow 3)$  Let  $V \subset Y$ . Then  $f^{-1}(V) \subset X$ . From the hypothesis,

$$f(\beta \mathcal{I} - Cl_{\gamma_{ij}}(f^{-1}(V))) \subset Cl_{\delta_j}(f(f^{-1}(V))) \subset Cl_{\delta_j}(V).$$

Hence  $\beta \mathcal{I}$ - $Cl_{\gamma_{ij}}(f^{-1}(V)) \subset f^{-1}(Cl_{\delta_j}(V)).$ 

 $(3 \Rightarrow 1)$  Let V be a  $\delta_i$ -closed set in Y. From the hypothesis,

$$\beta \mathcal{I} - Cl_{\delta_i}(f^{-1}(V)) \subset f^{-1}(Cl_{\delta_j}(V)) = f^{-1}(V).$$

Therefore,  $f^{-1}(V) = \beta \mathcal{I} - Cl_{\gamma_{ij}}(f^{-1}(V))$  and so  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\gamma_{ij} - \beta \mathcal{I}$ -closed set in X.

**Theorem 9.** The function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij} - \beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous function if and only if

$$f^{-1}(Int_{\delta_i}(V)) \subset \beta \mathcal{I} - Int_{\gamma_{ij}} f^{-1}(V)$$

for all  $\delta_i$ -open set of Y.

I. Bukhatwa, S. Demiralp / Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math, 13 (2) (2020), 269-279

*Proof.* Let f is a  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous function and V be an  $\delta_i$ -open set in Y. Then  $f^{-1}(Int_{\delta_i}(V))$  is a  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open set in X. Therefore,

$$f^{-1}(Int_{\delta_i}(V)) \subset \beta \mathcal{I} - Int_{\gamma_{ij}} f^{-1}(Int_{\delta_i}(V)) \subset \beta \mathcal{I} - Int_{\gamma_{ij}} f^{-1}((V)).$$

If  $f^{-1}(Int_{\delta_i}(V)) \subset \beta \mathcal{I} \cdot Int_{\gamma_{ij}} f^{-1}((V))$  and V be a  $\delta_i$ -open set of Y, then

$$f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(Int_{\delta_i}(V)) \subset \beta \mathcal{I} - Int_{\gamma_{ij}}f^{-1}((V)).$$

Therefore,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open set in X and so f is a  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous function.

Note that, the composition of two  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous functions need not to be  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous, in general.

**Example 5.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I})$  and  $(X, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \zeta)$  be two ideal bitopological spaces such that  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}, \tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}, \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{b\}\},$ 

$$U^{\gamma} = \begin{cases} Cl_j(U) \text{ for } U \in \tau_i, & b \notin U \\ U & , & b \in U \end{cases}$$

and  $\sigma_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{b, c\}\}, \sigma_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b, c\}\}, \zeta = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}, V^{\delta} = V \text{ for } V \in \sigma_i.$  Let define  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I}) \to (X, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  such that f(a) = b, f(b) = a and f(c) = c and let  $(X, \varsigma_1, \varsigma_2)$  be a bitopological space such that  $\varsigma_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}\}, \varsigma_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b, c\}\},$ 

$$W^{\xi} = \begin{cases} Cl_j(W) \text{ for } W \in \tau_i, & c \notin W \\ W & , & c \in W \end{cases}$$

and define  $g: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I}) \to (X, \varsigma_1, \varsigma_2)$  such that g(a) = b, g(b) = c and g(c) = a. Then f is  $(\gamma, \delta)_{12}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous function and g is  $(\delta, \xi)_{12}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous function but the composition  $g \circ f$  is not  $(\gamma, \xi)_{12}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous function because  $\{a\}$  is  $\xi_1$ -open set and  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(\{a\}) = \{c\} \notin \gamma_{12}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}O(X)$ .

**Definition 12.** A function  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is said to be pairwise  $(\gamma, \delta)_i - \beta \mathcal{I}$ continuous function if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\gamma_i - \beta \mathcal{I}$ -open in X for every  $\delta_i$ -open set V in Y.

Note that the notion of pairwise  $(\gamma, \delta)_i - \beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous and  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij} - \beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous are independent.

**Example 6.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{b, c\}\}, \tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b, c\}\}, \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$ with operation  $U^{\gamma} = U$  for  $U \in \tau_i$  and let  $(X, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a bitopological space such that  $\sigma_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}, \sigma_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b, c\}\}$  with operation

$$V^{\delta} = \begin{cases} Cl_j(V) \text{ for } V \in \sigma_j, & c \notin V \\ V & , & c \in V \end{cases}$$

and define  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I}) \to (X, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  such that f(a) = b, f(b) = c and f(c) = aThen f is  $(\gamma, \delta)_{12}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous function but it is not  $(\gamma, \delta)_1$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous function since  $\{a\} \in \delta_1$ -open and  $f^{-1}(\{a\}) = \{c\}$  which is not  $(\gamma, \delta)_1$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open.

### REFERENCES

**Theorem 10.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  and  $g: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{I}) \to (Z, \ell_1, \ell_2)$ . Then  $g \circ f$  is  $(\gamma, \xi)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous if f is  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous and g is pairwise  $(\delta, \xi)_i$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous.

Proof. Let  $W \in \xi_i$ -open set in Z. Since g is pairwise  $(\delta, \xi)_i$ -continuous, then  $g^{-1}(W) \in \delta_i$ -open set in Y. On the other hand, since f is  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij} - \beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(W)) \in \gamma_{ij} - \beta \mathcal{I}O(X)$ . Therefore, we obtain that  $g \circ f$  is  $(\gamma, \xi)_{ij} - \beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous.

## 5. Conclusion

In this study, we defined the notion of  $\gamma_{ij}$ -semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -open sets and  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open sets by generalizing (i, j)-semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -open sets and (ij)- $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -open sets in ideal bitopological spaces with an operation  $\gamma : \tau \to P(X)$ . We show that every  $\gamma_{ij}$ -semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -open set is a  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ open but the converse is not always true. Then we described the notions  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -interior and  $\gamma_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -cluster of a set A. Finally we characterized  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ -semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous and  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous functions and showed that any  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ -semi- $\mathcal{I}$ -continuous function is a  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous but the converse is not always true. Also it is shown that the composition of two  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous functions need not to be  $(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}$ - $\beta \mathcal{I}$ -continuous. Consequently the following diagrams are true:

$$\gamma_{ij}\text{-semi-}\mathcal{I}\text{-open} \longrightarrow \gamma_{ij}\text{-}\beta\mathcal{I}\text{-open} \longrightarrow \gamma_{ij}\text{-}\beta\text{-open}$$
$$\gamma_{ij}\text{-}\beta\mathcal{I}\text{-open} \longleftrightarrow \gamma_{ij}\text{-}\beta\text{-open} \quad (\mathcal{I} = \{\varnothing\})$$
$$\gamma_{ij}\text{-}\beta\mathcal{I}\text{-open} \longleftrightarrow \gamma_{ij}\text{-semi-open} \quad (\mathcal{I} = P(X))$$
$$(\gamma, \delta)_{ij}\text{-semi-}\mathcal{I}\text{-continuous} \longrightarrow (\gamma, \delta)_{ij}\text{-}\beta\mathcal{I}\text{-continuous}$$

These notations, defined in this study, can be extended to other practicable research fields of topology such as fuzzy topology, soft topology, intuitionistic topology and so on. Also generalized separation axioms can be introduced by the concept of generalized  $\beta$ -open set.

#### References

- [1] B. Ahmad and S. Hussain.  $\gamma$ -Semi-Open Sets in Topological Spaces II. Southeast Asian Bull. Math 34, no. 6, 997-1008, 2010.
- [2] B. Bhattacharya and A. Paul. A New Approach of γ-open Sets in Bitopological Spaces, Gen. Math. Notes 20(2), 95-110, 2014.
- [3] M. Caldas, S. Jafari and N. Rajesh. Some Fundamental Properties of β-open Sets in Ideal Bitopological Spaces. European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 6(2), 247-255, 2013.
- [4] A.Csaszar. Generalized open sets. Acta Mathematica Hungarica, 75(1-2), 65-87, 1997.

- [5] E. Ekici. On pre-I-open Sets, semi-I-open Sets and bI-open Sets in Ideal Topological Spaces. Acta Universitatis Apulensis, 30, 293-303, 2012.
- [6] S. D. Jyoti. bI-open sets in ideal bitopological space. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 105(1), 7-18, (2015).
- [7] S. Jafari and N. Rajesh. On qI-open sets in ideal bitopological spaces. University of Bacau, Faculty of Sciences, Scientific Studies and Research, Series Mathematics and Informatics 20(2), 29-38, 2010.
- [8] M. Ilkhan, M. Akyigit, and E. E. Kara, On new types of sets VIA  $\gamma$ -open sets in bitopological spaces, Communications Series A1 Mathematics and Statistics, 67(1), 225-234, (2017).
- [9] M. Kar, S. Thakur, S. Rana, and J. Maitra. I-Continuous Functions in Ideal Bitopological Spaces. American Journal of Engineering Research, 3(3), 51-55, 2014.
- [10] S. Kasahara. Operation-Compact spaces, Math. Japon, 24, 97-105, 1979.
- [11] J. Kelly. Bitopological Spaces. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 3(1), 71-89, 1963.
- [12] F. Khedr. Operation on Bitopologies, Delta J. Sci, 8(1), 309-320, 1984.
- [13] F. Khedr and K. Abdelhakiem. Operations on Bitopological Spaces. Fasciculi Mathematici, (45), 47-57, 2010.
- [14] F. Khedr, S. Al-Areefi and T. Noiri. Precontinuity and Semi-Precontinuity in Bitopological Spaces. Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 23,625-625, 1992.
- [15] K. Kuratowski. Topology, Academic Press, New York. 1966.
- [16] J. K. Maitra and H. K. Tripathi, Local function in Generalized Ideal Topological Spaces, VISLESANA, 11(1), 191- 195, (2014).
- [17] N. Levine. Semi-open sets and semi continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math.70, 36-41, 1963.
- [18] T. Noiri, M. Rajamani and M. Maheswari. A decomposition of pairwise continuity via ideals. Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matemtica, 34(1), 141-149,(2016).
- [19] S. Maheshwari, and R. Prasad. Semi-open sets and semi-continuous function in bitopological spaces, 26, 29-37, 1977.
- [20] H. Ogata. Operations on Topological Spaces and Associated Topology. Math. Japon. 36, 175-184, 1991.
- [21] S. Tahiliani. Operation approach to β-open sets and applications. Mathematical Communications 16, no. 2, 577-591, 2011.

[22] R. Vaidyanathaswamy. The Localisation Theory in Set Topology, Proceedings of the Indian Acadamic of Sciences, 20, 51-61, 1945.