



Quadruple g -best Proximity Point for New Contraction in Complete Metric Space

Savita Rathee¹, Monika Swami^{1,*}

¹ *Department of Mathematics, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak, India*

Abstract. The aim of this manuscript is to propose a contraction to pursue the existence of g -best proximity point results. The finding of this manuscript generalize and unify the results of Rohen and Mlaiki by using the new contraction with P-property and prove the existence and uniqueness of quadruple best proximity point alongwith an example.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 47H10, 54H25

Key Words and Phrases: Best proximity point, quadruple best proximity point, metric space, contraction

1. Introduction

Fixed point theory is a flourished theory due to its functioning in physics, computer science, engineering etc. As always it is not possible to find fixed point for every self-contractive mappings, then there is possibility of existence of a point with minimum distance between the point and its image. This point is known as best proximity point which was introduced by Fan [8] and extended by Basha [5] and many more researchers.

In 1987, Guo and Lakshmikantham [10], introduced coupled fixed point and proved its related fixed point theorems under appropriate conditions. After that, Lakshmikantham and Ćirić in [13] extend these results by defining the g -monotone property. The results of [10] leads to the development of tripled fixed point by Berinde and Borcut [7]. In [7], they proved the existence and uniqueness of the introduced tripled fixed point for non-linear mappings in Partially ordered complete metric space and later on many results exists between coupled and tripled fixed points on different spaces under different contractions. In 2012, tripled fixed point was extended to quadruple fixed point by Karapinar and Luong [11] in complete metric space. Motivated from [18], Rohen and Maliki [17] gave the notion of tripled best proximity points theorem graced with P-property and the developed contraction. See references [15], [2], [9], [14] for further research in coupled best proximity point results.

*Corresponding author.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v15i1.4171>

Email addresses: dr.savitarathee@gmail.com (S. Rathee), monikaswami06@gmail.com (M. Swami)

Rohen and Maliki [17] and Karapinar and Luong [11], motivated us, in the direction to precede the quadruple best proximity point. We propose the quadruple best proximity point results with P-property and the newly introduce contraction. Also, examples are supplied in favour of our results.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 1. [3] Let (X, d) be metric space, \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{R} be two non-empty subset of X . Define

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}) &= \inf\{d(x, y) : x \in \mathcal{Q}, y \in \mathcal{R}\}, \\ \mathcal{Q}_0 &= \{x \in \mathcal{Q} : \text{there exists some } y \in \mathcal{R} \text{ such that } d(x, y) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})\}, \\ \mathcal{R}_0 &= \{y \in \mathcal{R} : \text{there exists some } x \in \mathcal{Q} \text{ such that } d(x, y) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})\}. \end{aligned}$$

In 2011, Basha [4] proved sufficient conditions when \mathcal{Q}_0 and \mathcal{R}_0 are non-empty.

Definition 2. [6] Let (X, d) be metric space and $\mathcal{Q} \neq \phi, \mathcal{R} \neq \phi$ are subsets of X . Let $G : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a mapping. Then $x \in \mathcal{Q}$ is said to be best proximity point if and only if $d(x, Gx) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$.

Definition 3. [7] Let $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$. An element (x, y, z) is said to be tripled fixed point of G if $G(x, y, z) = x, G(y, x, z) = y$ and $G(z, y, x) = z$.

Definition 4. [11] Let $G : X \times X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$. An element (x, y, z, t) is said to be quadruple fixed point of G if $G(x, y, z, t) = x, G(y, x, z, t) = y, G(z, y, x, t) = z$ and $G(t, y, z, x) = t$.

Definition 5. [16] Let $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$ be non-empty pair of subsets of metric space (X, d) with $\mathcal{Q}_0 \neq \phi$, then the pair $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$ has P-property if and only if

$$\begin{cases} d(x_1, y_1) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}) \\ d(x_2, y_2) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}) \end{cases} \implies d(x_1, x_2) = d(y_1, y_2),$$

where $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{R}$.

Definition 6. [12] Let $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$ be non-empty pair of subsets of metric space (X, d) . Consider $g : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ and $G : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be mappings then a point $x \in \mathcal{Q}$ is a best proximity g-point of the pair (g, G) if $d(gx, Gx) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$.

Definition 7. [1] Let Ψ represent the family of functions ψ such that $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which satisfy

(i) $\psi(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.

(ii) $\psi(x)$ is continuous and non-decreasing.

Let Θ signify the collection of functions of type $\theta : [0, \infty)^8 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\theta(x, y, z, t, a, b, c, u) = \min\{x, y, z, t, a, b, c, u\}$ for all $x, y, z, t, a, b, c, u \in [0, \infty)$.

Definition 8. [17] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $\mathcal{Q} \neq \phi$ and $\mathcal{R} \neq \phi$ are closed subsets. An element $(x, y, z) \in X \times X \times X$ is said to be a tripled best proximity point of $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ if $x, z \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $y \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $d(x, G(x, y, z)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$, $d(y, G(y, x, y)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$ and $d(z, G(z, y, x)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$.

3. Main Results

Definition 9. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$ be a pair of non-empty subset of X such that \mathcal{Q}_0 is non-empty. Consider $g : X \rightarrow X$ and $G : X^4 \rightarrow X$ be two mappings, then (x, y, z, t) is said to be quadruple g -best proximity point of G and g if $d(gx, G(x, y, z, t)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$, $d(gy, G(y, x, z, t)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$, $d(gz, G(z, y, x, t)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$ and $d(gt, G(t, y, z, x)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$ for all $x, z \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $y, t \in \mathcal{R}$.

If $g = I$ (Identity mapping) then (x, y, z, t) is said to be quadruple best proximity point of G if $d(x, G(x, y, z, t)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$, $d(y, G(y, x, z, t)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$, $d(z, G(z, y, x, t)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$ and $d(t, G(t, y, z, x)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$ for all $x, z \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $y, t \in \mathcal{R}$.

Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{R} be non-empty subset of complete metric space (X, d) such that \mathcal{Q}_0 and \mathcal{R}_0 are non-empty and $g : X \rightarrow X$ is an isometry such that $\mathcal{Q}_0 \subseteq g(\mathcal{Q}_0)$ and $\mathcal{R}_0 \subseteq g(\mathcal{R}_0)$, let $G : X^4 \rightarrow X$ be continuous mapping and $\psi, \zeta \in \Psi$ and $\theta \in \Theta$, satisfies the preceding conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i) \text{ For every } x, y, z, t, a, b, c, u \in X \\
 \psi(d(gx, ga)) = & \psi(d(G(x, y, z, t), G(a, b, c, u))) \\
 & \leq \psi\{\max(d(x, a), d(y, b), d(z, c), d(t, u))\} \\
 & - \zeta\{\max(d(x, a), d(y, b), d(z, c), d(t, u))\} \\
 & + \theta[d(ga, G(x, y, z, t)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), d(gb, G(y, x, z, t)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), \\
 & d(gc, G(z, y, x, t)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), d(gu, G(t, y, z, x)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), \\
 & d(gx, G(x, y, z, t)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), d(gy, G(y, x, z, t)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), \\
 & d(gz, G(z, y, x, t)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), d(gt, G(t, y, z, x)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})] \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) G(\mathcal{Q}_0, \mathcal{R}_0, \mathcal{Q}_0, \mathcal{R}_0) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_0$$

$$(iii) G(\mathcal{R}_0, \mathcal{Q}_0, \mathcal{R}_0, \mathcal{Q}_0) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_0$$

$$(iv) \text{ Pair } (\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}) \text{ has } P\text{-property}$$

then (a, a, a, a) is the unique quadruple g -best proximity point of the pair (g, G) .

Proof. Choose $x_0, z_0 \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ and $y_0, t_0 \in \mathcal{R}_0$. Since $G(x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0), G(z_0, y_0, x_0, t_0) \in \mathcal{R}_0$ and $G(y_0, x_0, t_0, z_0), G(t_0, z_0, y_0, x_0) \in \mathcal{Q}_0$, there exist $x_1, z_1 \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $y_1, t_1 \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $d(gx_1, G(x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0)) = d(gy_1, G(y_0, x_0, z_0, t_0)) = d(gz_1, G(z_0, y_0, x_0, t_0)) =$

$d(gt_1, G(t_0, z_0, y_0, x_0)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$. Continuing like this, we get a sequence of $\{gx_n\}, \{gz_n\} \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $\{gy_n\}, \{gt_n\} \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_{n+1}, G(x_n, y_n, z_n, t_n)) &= d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}) \\ d(gy_{n+1}, G(y_n, x_n, t_n, z_n)) &= d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}) \\ d(gz_{n+1}, G(z_n, y_n, x_n, t_n)) &= d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}) \\ d(gt_{n+1}, G(t_n, z_n, y_n, x_n)) &= d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

If $d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) = d(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) = d(gt_n, gt_{n+1}) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ then nothing to prove.

Suppose $d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) > 0$ or $d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) > 0$ or $d(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) > 0$ or $d(gt_n, gt_{n+1}) > 0$.

From(1), P-property, and $d(gx_{n+1}, G(x_n, y_n, z_n, t_n)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), d(gx_n, G(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}, t_{n-1})) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) &= d(G(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}, t_{n-1}), G(x_n, y_n, z_n, t_n)) \\ \psi(d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})) &= \psi(d(G(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}, t_{n-1}), G(x_n, y_n, z_n, t_n))) \\ &\leq \psi\{\max(d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(z_{n-1}, z_n), d(t_{n-1}, t_n))\} \\ &\quad - \zeta\{\max(d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(z_{n-1}, z_n), d(t_{n-1}, t_n))\} \\ &\quad + \theta[d(gx_n, G(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}, t_{n-1})) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), \\ &\quad d(gy_n, G(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, t_{n-1}, z_{n-1})) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), \\ &\quad d(gz_n, G(z_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, t_{n-1})) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), \\ &\quad d(gt_n, G(t_{n-1}, z_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, x_{n-1})) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), \\ &\quad d(gx_{n-1}, G(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}, t_{n-1})) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), \\ &\quad d(gy_{n-1}, G(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, t_{n-1}, z_{n-1})) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), \\ &\quad d(gz_{n-1}, G(z_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, t_{n-1})) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), \\ &\quad d(gt_{n-1}, G(t_{n-1}, z_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, x_{n-1})) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})] \\ &= \psi\{\max(d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(z_{n-1}, z_n), d(t_{n-1}, t_n))\} \\ &\quad - \zeta\{\max(d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(z_{n-1}, z_n), d(t_{n-1}, t_n))\} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Similarly for $d(gy_{n+1}, G(y_n, x_n, t_n, z_n)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), d(gy_n, G(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, t_{n-1}, z_{n-1})) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$,

$d(gz_{n+1}, G(z_n, y_n, x_n, t_n)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), d(gz_n, G(z_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, t_{n-1})) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$ and $d(gt_{n+1}, G(t_n, z_n, y_n, x_n)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), d(gt_n, G(t_{n-1}, z_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, x_{n-1})) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(gy_n, gy_{n+1})) &\leq \psi\{\max(d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(z_{n-1}, z_n), d(t_{n-1}, t_n))\} \\ &\quad - \zeta\{\max(d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(z_{n-1}, z_n), d(t_{n-1}, t_n))\} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(gz_n, gz_{n+1})) &\leq \psi\{\max(d(z_{n-1}, z_n), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(t_{n-1}, t_n))\} \\ &\quad - \zeta\{\max(d(z_{n-1}, z_n), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(t_{n-1}, t_n))\} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(gt_n, gt_{n+1})) &\leq \psi\{\max(d(t_{n-1}, t_n), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(z_{n-1}, z_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n))\} \\ &\quad - \zeta\{\max(d(t_{n-1}, t_n), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(z_{n-1}, z_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n))\} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

From (3), (4), (5) and (6), we obtain

$$\psi[\max\{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), d(gz_n, gz_{n+1}), d(gt_n, gt_{n+1})\}]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \psi[\max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(z_{n-1}, z_n), d(t_{n-1}, t_n)\}] \\
&\quad - \zeta[\max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(z_{n-1}, z_n), d(t_{n-1}, t_n)\}] \\
&= \psi[\max\{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gt_{n-1}, gt_n)\}] \\
&\quad - \zeta[\max\{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gt_{n-1}, gt_n)\}] \\
&\hspace{15em} (7) \\
&\leq \psi[\max\{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gt_{n-1}, gt_n)\}]
\end{aligned}$$

As ψ is continuous function, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max\{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), d(gz_n, gz_{n+1}), d(gt_n, gt_{n+1})\} \\
&\leq \max\{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gt_{n-1}, gt_n)\}
\end{aligned}$$

implies $\{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), d(gz_n, gz_{n+1}), d(gt_n, gt_{n+1})\}$ is a non-increasing sequence of positive real number, it must converge to a positive real number, say τ

$$\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), d(gz_n, gz_{n+1}), d(gt_n, gt_{n+1})\} = \tau.$$

Taking limit on both side in (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(\tau) &\leq \psi(\tau) - \zeta(\tau) \\
\implies \zeta(\tau) &= 0 \\
\tau &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gt_n, gt_{n+1}) = 0$$

Now, we prove that $\{gx_n\}$, $\{gy_n\}$, $\{gz_n\}$ and $\{gt_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences, i.e.

$\max\{d(gx_{n(\iota)}, gx_{m(\iota)}), d(gy_{n(\iota)}, gy_{m(\iota)}), d(gz_{n(\iota)}, gz_{m(\iota)}), d(gt_{n(\iota)}, t_{m(\iota)})\} < \epsilon \forall m(\iota) > n(\iota) > \iota$. Let if possible sequences are not Cauchy then there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $\iota > 0$ there are $m(\iota) > n(\iota) > \iota$ which satisfies the conditions

$$\max\{d(gx_{n(\iota)}, gx_{m(\iota)}), d(gy_{n(\iota)}, gy_{m(\iota)}), d(gz_{n(\iota)}, gz_{m(\iota)}), d(gt_{n(\iota)}, t_{m(\iota)})\} \geq \epsilon$$

and

$$\max\{d(gx_{n(\iota)-1}, gx_{m(\iota)}), d(gy_{n(\iota)-1}, gy_{m(\iota)}), d(gz_{n(\iota)-1}, gz_{m(\iota)}), d(gt_{n(\iota)-1}, t_{m(\iota)})\} < \epsilon.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon &\leq d(gx_{n(\iota)}, gx_{m(\iota)}) \\
&\leq d(gx_{n(\iota)}, gx_{n(\iota)-1}) + d(gx_{n(\iota)-1}, gx_{m(\iota)}) \\
&\leq d(gx_{n(\iota)}, gx_{n(\iota)-1}) + \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

This gives us

$$\epsilon \leq d(gx_{n(\iota)}, gx_{n(\iota)-1}) + \epsilon$$

For $\iota \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{\iota \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_{n(\iota)}, gx_{m(\iota)}) = \epsilon \tag{8}$$

Also, from triangular inequality, we find

$$d(gx_{n(\iota)-1}, gx_{m(\iota)-1}) \leq d(gx_{n(\iota)-1}, gx_{m(\iota)}) + d(gx_{m(\iota)}, gx_{m(\iota)-1}) \leq \epsilon$$

Hence,

$$d(gx_{n(\iota)-1}, gx_{m(\iota)-1}) \leq \epsilon. \tag{9}$$

Since $d(gx_{n(\iota)}, G(x_{n(\iota)-1}, y_{n(\iota)-1}, z_{n(\iota)-1}, t_{n(\iota)-1})) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$

and $d(gx_{m(\iota)}, G(x_{m(\iota)-1}, y_{m(\iota)-1}, z_{m(\iota)-1}, t_{m(\iota)-1})) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$. From P-property, we have $d(gx_{n(\iota)}, gx_{m(\iota)}) = d(G(x_{n(\iota)-1}, y_{n(\iota)-1}, z_{n(\iota)-1}, t_{n(\iota)-1}), G(x_{m(\iota)-1}, y_{m(\iota)-1}, z_{m(\iota)-1}, t_{m(\iota)-1}))$. Now from (1) and using the continuity of ψ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(d(gx_{n(\iota)}, gx_{m(\iota)})) \\ &= \psi(d(G(x_{n(\iota)-1}, y_{n(\iota)-1}, z_{n(\iota)-1}, t_{n(\iota)-1}), G(x_{m(\iota)-1}, y_{m(\iota)-1}, z_{m(\iota)-1}, t_{m(\iota)-1}))) \\ &\leq \psi[\max\{d(x_{n(\iota)-1}, x_{m(\iota)-1}), d(y_{n(\iota)-1}, y_{m(\iota)-1}), \\ &\quad d(z_{n(\iota)-1}, z_{m(\iota)-1}), d(t_{n(\iota)-1}, t_{m(\iota)-1})\}] - \zeta[\max\{d(x_{n(\iota)-1}, x_{m(\iota)-1}), \\ &\quad d(y_{n(\iota)-1}, y_{m(\iota)-1}), d(z_{n(\iota)-1}, z_{m(\iota)-1}), d(t_{n(\iota)-1}, t_{m(\iota)-1})\}] \\ &\quad + \theta[d(gx_{m(\iota)-1}, G(x_{n(\iota)-1}, y_{n(\iota)-1}, z_{n(\iota)-1}, t_{n(\iota)-1})), \\ &\quad d(gy_{m(\iota)-1}, G(y_{n(\iota)-1}, x_{n(\iota)-1}, t_{n(\iota)-1}, z_{n(\iota)-1})), \\ &\quad d(gz_{m(\iota)-1}, G(z_{n(\iota)-1}, y_{n(\iota)-1}, x_{n(\iota)-1}, t_{n(\iota)-1})), \\ &\quad d(gt_{m(\iota)-1}, G(t_{n(\iota)-1}, z_{n(\iota)-1}, y_{n(\iota)-1}, x_{n(\iota)-1})), \\ &\quad d(gx_{n(\iota)-1}, G(x_{n(\iota)-1}, y_{n(\iota)-1}, z_{n(\iota)-1}, t_{n(\iota)-1})), \\ &\quad d(gy_{n(\iota)-1}, G(y_{n(\iota)-1}, x_{n(\iota)-1}, t_{n(\iota)-1}, z_{n(\iota)-1})), \\ &\quad d(gz_{n(\iota)-1}, G(z_{n(\iota)-1}, y_{n(\iota)-1}, x_{n(\iota)-1}, t_{n(\iota)-1})), \\ &\quad d(gt_{n(\iota)-1}, G(t_{n(\iota)-1}, z_{n(\iota)-1}, y_{n(\iota)-1}, x_{n(\iota)-1}))] \\ &= \psi[\max\{d(x_{n(\iota)-1}, x_{m(\iota)-1}), d(y_{n(\iota)-1}, y_{m(\iota)-1}), d(z_{n(\iota)-1}, z_{m(\iota)-1}), \\ &\quad d(t_{n(\iota)-1}, t_{m(\iota)-1})\}] - \zeta[\max\{d(x_{n(\iota)-1}, x_{m(\iota)-1}), d(y_{n(\iota)-1}, y_{m(\iota)-1}), \\ &\quad d(z_{n(\iota)-1}, z_{m(\iota)-1}), d(t_{n(\iota)-1}, t_{m(\iota)-1})\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Similary, from the same techinque, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi[\max\{d(gx_{n(\iota)}, gx_{m(\iota)}), d(gy_{n(\iota)}, gy_{m(\iota)}), d(gz_{n(\iota)}, gz_{m(\iota)}), d(gt_{n(\iota)}, gt_{m(\iota)})\}] \\ &\leq \psi[\max\{d(gx_{n(\iota)-1}, gx_{m(\iota)-1}), d(gy_{n(\iota)-1}, gy_{m(\iota)-1}), d(gz_{n(\iota)-1}, gz_{m(\iota)-1}), \\ &\quad d(gt_{n(\iota)-1}, gt_{m(\iota)-1})\}] - \zeta[\max\{d(gx_{n(\iota)-1}, gx_{m(\iota)-1}), d(gy_{n(\iota)-1}, gy_{m(\iota)-1}), \\ &\quad d(gz_{n(\iota)-1}, gz_{m(\iota)-1}), d(gt_{n(\iota)-1}, gt_{m(\iota)-1})\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, from (8) and (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\epsilon) &\leq \psi(\epsilon) - \zeta(\epsilon) \\ \zeta(\epsilon) &= 0 \\ \implies \epsilon &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for ι tends to infinity, it gives us

$$\lim_{\iota \rightarrow \infty} \{d(gx_{n(\iota)}, gx_{m(\iota)}), d(gy_{n(\iota)}, gy_{m(\iota)}), d(gz_{n(\iota)}, gz_{m(\iota)}), d(gt_{n(\iota)}, gt_{m(\iota)})\} = 0,$$

which is contradiction to our supposition that $\epsilon > 0$. Hence, $\{gx_n\}, \{gz_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in \mathcal{Q} and $\{gy_n\}, \{gt_n\}$ in \mathcal{R} . Since (X, d) is complete metric space, then there exist, $a, b, c, u \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = a, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = b, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gz_n = c \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gt_n = u.$$

As \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R} are closed subset of X , then $a, c \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $b, u \in \mathcal{R}$. Since G is continuous, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, G(x_n, y_n, z_n, t_n)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}) \implies d(ga, G(a, b, c, u)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}).$$

Similarly, $d(gb, G(b, a, c, u)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$, $d(gc, G(c, b, a, u)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$ and $d(gu, G(u, b, c, a)) = d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$. Thus, (a, b, c, u) is quadruple g -best proximity point of the pair (g, G) .

Now, we show that $ga = gb = gc = gu$. Again from P-property, g -isometry and condition (1), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} d(ga, gc) &= d(G(a, b, c, u), G(c, b, a, u)) \\ \psi(d(ga, gc)) &= \psi(d(G(a, b, c, u), G(c, b, a, u))) \\ &\leq \psi(d(a, c)) \\ &= \psi(d(ga, gc)) \\ \implies a &= c. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $ga = gb = gc = gu$. To prove the uniqueness of quadruple g -best proximity point, consider q as another point. Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(ga, gq) &= d(G(a, a, a, a), G(q, q, q, q)) \\ \psi(d(ga, gq)) &= \psi(d(G(a, a, a, a), G(q, q, q, q))) \\ &\leq \psi(d(a, q)) \\ &= \psi(d(ga, gq)) \\ \implies a &= q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the result.

Theorem 2. Let \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{R} be non-empty subset of complete metric space (X, d) such that \mathcal{Q}_0 and \mathcal{R}_0 are non-empty and $g : X \rightarrow X$ is an isometry such that $\mathcal{Q}_0 \subseteq g(\mathcal{Q}_0)$ and $\mathcal{R}_0 \subseteq g(\mathcal{R}_0)$, let $G : X^4 \rightarrow X$ be continuous mapping and $\psi, \zeta \in \Psi$ and $\theta \in \Theta$, satisfies the preceding conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \text{ For every } x, y, z, t, a, b, c, u \in X \\ \psi(d(gx, ga)) &= \psi(d(G(x, y, z, t), G(a, b, c, u))) \\ &\leq \psi\{\max(d(x, a), d(y, b), d(z, c), d(t, u))\} \\ &\quad - \zeta\{\max(d(x, a), d(y, b), d(z, c), d(t, u))\} \\ &\quad + \theta[d(ga, G(x, y, z, t)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), d(gb, G(y, x, z, t)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), \\ &\quad d(gc, G(z, y, x, t)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), d(gu, G(t, y, z, x)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), \\ &\quad d(gx, G(x, y, z, t)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), d(gy, G(y, x, z, t)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), \\ &\quad d(gz, G(z, y, x, t)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), d(gt, G(t, y, z, x)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})] \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) G(\mathcal{Q}_0, \mathcal{Q}_0, \mathcal{Q}_0, \mathcal{Q}_0) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_0$$

$$(iii) G(\mathcal{R}_0, \mathcal{R}_0, \mathcal{R}_0, \mathcal{R}_0) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_0$$

$$(iv) \text{ Pair } (\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}) \text{ has P-property}$$

then (a, a, a, a) is the unique quadruple g -best proximity point of the pair (g, G) .

Proof. Consider $x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0 \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ then $G(x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0), G(y_0, x_0, z_0, t_0), G(z_0, y_0, x_0, t_0)$ and $G(t_0, y_0, z_0, x_0) \in \mathcal{R}_0$. Then by the same process as in theorem (1), we obtain (a, a, a, a) as the unique quadruple g -best proximity point of the pair (g, G) .

Corollary 1. Let \mathcal{Q} be non-empty subset of complete metric space (X, d) such that \mathcal{Q}_0 is non-empty and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be mapping such that $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq g(\mathcal{Q})$ and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq g(\mathcal{R})$, let $G : X^4 \rightarrow X$ be continuous mapping and $\psi, \zeta \in \Psi$ and $\theta \in \Theta$, satisfies the preceding conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i) \quad & \text{For every } x, y, z, t, a, b, c, u \in X \\
 & \psi(d(gx, ga)) = \psi(d(G(x, y, z, t), G(a, b, c, u))) \\
 & \leq \psi\{\max(d(x, a), d(y, b), d(z, c), d(t, u))\} \\
 & \quad - \zeta\{\max(d(x, a), d(y, b), d(z, c), d(t, u))\} \\
 & \quad + \theta[d(ga, G(x, y, z, t)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), d(gb, G(y, x, z, t)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), \\
 & \quad d(gc, G(z, y, x, t)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), d(gu, G(t, y, z, x)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), \\
 & \quad d(gx, G(x, y, z, t)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), d(gy, G(y, x, z, t)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), \\
 & \quad d(gz, G(z, y, x, t)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}), d(gt, G(t, y, z, x)) - d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})] \quad (11)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) \quad G(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$$

(iii) g is an isometry

then (a, a, a, a) is the unique quadruple g -fixed point of the pair (g, G) .

Proof. By taking $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{R}$ in Theorem (1), we have the desired result.

Example 1. Consider $X = [1, 5]$ with $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in X$. Let $\mathcal{Q} = [2, 3]$ and $\mathcal{R} = [3, 4]$ be subsets of X and $G : X^4 \rightarrow X, g : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ both are continuous mappings given by $G(x, y, z, t) = \frac{1}{2}(x - y + z + t)$ and $g(x) = x$ respectively for all $x, y, z, t \in X$. Consider $\theta : [0, \infty)^8 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by $\theta(x, y, z, t, a, b, c, u) = \min\{x, y, z, t, a, b, c, u\}$ and $\psi, \zeta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are given by $\psi(q) = \frac{1}{2}q, \zeta(q) = \frac{1}{3}q$.

Here $\mathcal{Q}_0 = \{3\}$ and $\mathcal{R}_0 = \{3\}$ with $d(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}) = 0$. Taking $x_0, z_0 \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ and $y_0, t_0 \in \mathcal{R}_0$, then $G(\mathcal{Q}_0, \mathcal{R}_0, \mathcal{Q}_0, \mathcal{R}_0) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_0, G(\mathcal{R}_0, \mathcal{Q}_0, \mathcal{R}_0, \mathcal{Q}_0) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_0$. Also, the remaining conditions of the theorem are satisfied. Hence by the theorem (1), $(3, 3, 3, 3)$ is the quadruple g -best proximity point of g and G .

Acknowledgements

Special thanks to CSIR to fund PhD through file number 09/382(0187)/2017-EMR-1

References

- [1] A A Aserkar and M P Gandhi. Quadruple fixed point theorem for four mappings. *Gen. Math. Notes*, 25(2):95–109, 2014.
- [2] M Postolache B S Choudhury, N Metiya and P Konar. A discussion on best proximity point and coupled best proximity point in partially ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 170:17 pages, 2015.

- [3] S S Basha. Extensions of Banachs contraction principle. *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, 31:569–576, 2010.
- [4] S S Basha. Best proximity point theorems generalizing the contraction principle. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 74:5844–5850, 2011.
- [5] S S Basha. Best proximity points: global optimal approximate solution. *Journal of Global Optimization*, 49:15–21, 2011.
- [6] S S Basha and P Veeramani. Best proximity pair theorems for multifunctions with open fibres. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 103:119–129, 2000.
- [7] V Berinde and M Borcut. Tripled fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 74(15):4889–4897, 2011.
- [8] K Fan. Extensions of two fixed point theorems of F. E. Browder. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 112:234–240, 1969.
- [9] M Marudai G K Jacob, M Postolache and V Raja. Norm convergence iterations for best proximity points of non-self non-expansive mappings. *U. Politeh. Buch. Ser.*, 79:49–56, 2017.
- [10] D Guo and V Lakshmikantham. Coupled fixed points of nonlinear operators with applications. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 11:623–632, 1987.
- [11] E Karapinar and N V Luong. Quadruple fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions. *Computer and Mathematics with Applications*, 64:1839–1848, 2012.
- [12] P Kumam and A F R L de Hierro. On existence and uniqueness of g -proximity points under (φ, θ, a, g) -contractivity conditions and consequences. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2014:14 pages, 2014.
- [13] V Lakshmikantham and L Ciric. Coupled fixed point theorems for non-linear contractions in Partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 70:4341–4349, 2009.
- [14] D Nedelcheva M Hristov, A LLchev and B Zlatanov. Existence of coupled best proximity points of p -cyclic contractions. *Theory and Application of Fixed Point*, 10(1):14 pages, 2021.
- [15] A Pitea. Best proximity results on dualistic metric spaces. *Symmetry*, 11:14 pages, 2019.
- [16] V S Raj. Best proximity point theorem for weakly contractive non-self mappings. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 74:4804–4808, 2011.
- [17] Y Rohen and N Mlaiki. Tripled best proximity point in complete metric spaces. *Open Mathematics*, 18:204–210, 2020.
- [18] W Shantanawi and A Pitea. Best proximity point and best proximity coupled point in a complete metric space with (P)-property. *Filomat*, 29(1):63–74, 2015.