An efficient convergent approach for difference delayed reaction-diffusion equations
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Abstract. It is usually not possible to solve partial differential equations, especially the delay type, with analytical methods. Therefore, in this article, we present an efficient method for solving differential equations of the difference delayed reaction-diffusion type, which can be generalized to other delayed partial differential equations. In the proposed approach, we first convert the delayed equation into an equivalent non-delayed equation by inserting the corresponding delay function with an effective technique. Then, using a pseudo-spectral method, we discretize the obtained equation in the Legendre-Gauss-Lobatto collocation points and present an algebraic system with an equal number of equations and unknowns which can be solved by quasi-Newton methods such as Levenderg-Marquardt algorithm. The approximate solutions can be obtained with exponential accuracy. The convergence analysis of the method is fully discussed and four examples are presented to evaluate the results and compare with one of the conventional methods used to solve partial differential equations, that is, the compact finite difference method.
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1. Introduction

Reaction-diffusion (RD) equation is one of the partial differential equations (PDEs) which appears in physical and chemical models. Many chemical systems are described by this equation, where the diffusion of matter competes with production of some kind of chemical reaction [3, 11, 13, 26, 32, 33]. Recently, some researchers have attempted to numerically solve this equation. Sharifi and Rashidian [28] have applied the collocation method that is a special case of the spectral methods for this equation. Also, in [2], the solvability of optimal control problems on both weak and strong solutions of a boundary
value problem for the nonlinear reaction–diffusion–convection (RDC) equation with variable coefficients is investigated and the requirements for smoothness of the multiplicative control are reduced. Some other methods for RD equation exist in Liu et al. [19], Koto [12], Priyanka et al. [25], Visuvasam et al. [31] and their references.

The (difference) delayed RD (DRD) equation is a type of extended RD equations that are widely used in various sciences. One of the interesting applications is in biological sciences and for modeling the spread of bacteriophage infection [7]. The other application is the modeling of range of prey-predator systems [1]. Moreover, DRD equations are utilized for the modeling of virus infection of Hepatitis C [34]. Further, DRD equations are widely proposed as models for population ecology and cell biology. For example, the diffusive Mackey–Glass equation [18] is used to describe a single-species population with age-structure and diffusion. Also, the diffusive Hematopoiesis model [35] is presented to investigate the dynamics of blood cell production. Most of the results in literature indicate that a delay term could change the dynamic properties of a system such as stability, oscillation, bifurcations, chaos etc. That is the reason that such equations became the focus of researchers in numerical analysis and simulation.

So far many researchers have paid attention to the DRD equation and suggested many methods for solving this equation. Zhao and Ge [38] checked out the existing traveling wavefront solutions for DRD equations and qualitative behavior of these solutions. Wu and Lan [36] applied a method for RD with several delays by considering compact functions in a continuous space and applying the convergence theorem Lebesgue’s dominated (Some other related works exist in [6, 14, 17, 21]). Moreover, Meleshko and Moyo [22] suggested the Lie group for solving this equation. Li et al. [16] proposed a Galerkin method with local discontinuity for solving this equation. They used a space of discontinuous piecewise polynomials to approximate the solution and estimated the delay term by an interpolation polynomial. This method is stable, conservative and has high accuracy but for delayed systems is weak. Polyanin and Zhurove [23] applied another method for DRD equation where the solution is considered as product of two functions that defined in the form additional functional limitations and delayed PDE. In this method, all of the solutions have some free parameters that are suitable for the main equations. Chen [4] proposed the linear compact Θ method for DRD equation. [30] utilized a method of reduction lines and finite differences to convert the DRD equation to a system of ordinary differential equations. By this method, error is reduced when all exact and numerical solutions tend to zero. L. Liu and J. Nieto [20] investigated the asymptotic behavior of fractional DRD equation. They proved the existence and uniqueness of the solution in the sense of weak solution with using the classic Galerkin approximation method and comparison principal.

According to the knowledge that we have the existing methods for delayed partial differential equations, especially the DRD equations, it is still felt to solve these equations with an efficient method with a suitable convergence rate and high accuracy. On the other hand, spectral and pseudo-spectral methods [8–10, 24, 37] are one of the most efficient and useful methods for solving continuous-time problems such as delayed/non-delayed ordinary and partial differential equations. These methods have a good accuracy and high speed convergence compared with those of other methods. Hence, in this paper, we solve...
DRD equation by using a new convergent pseudo-spectral collocation method. The DRD equation is first converted into two parts: after delay time and before delay time. An equivalent system of equations is then gained by inserting the delay time-function in DRD equation. The achieved system is discretized and converted into an algebraic system of equations using two-dimensional Lagrange polynomial and based on the Legendre-Gauss-Lobatto (LGL) points. Solving the last system, tends to the approximate solutions for the DRD equation. We analyze the convergence of our proposed method based on the modulus of continuity functions and its corresponding space and norm. Also, we show its efficiency with several comparative numerical examples.

This article contains the following sections. In Section 2 and 3, we introduce a general form of DRD equation and implement our method for solving it, respectively. In Section 4, we discuss the convergence of method and in Section 5 we illustrate its validity by solving some numerical comparative examples.

2. Difference delayed reaction-diffusion equation

Consider the following form of DRD equations

\[ \eta(\zeta, \iota) = Z(\zeta, \iota, \eta(\zeta, \iota), \eta(\zeta - \mu, \iota), \eta_{\mu}), \quad (\zeta, \iota) \in [0, M] \times [0, P], \]  

with following initial and boundary conditions

\[ \eta(\zeta, \iota) = \varphi(\zeta, \iota), \quad (\zeta, \iota) \in [-\mu, 0] \times [0, P], \]  

\[ \eta(\zeta, 0) = g_1(\zeta), \quad \eta(\zeta, P) = g_2(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in [0, M] \]  

where \( \mu \) is the delay constant and \( Z, \varphi, g_1, g_2 \) are given smooth functions. The specific form of equation (1) by conditions (2) and (3) can be stated as the following system which has been studied by many researchers in recent years [15, 16]

\[
\begin{cases}
\eta(\zeta, \iota) = \eta_{\mu}(\zeta, \iota) + \eta(\zeta - \mu, \iota), \quad (\zeta, \iota) \in [0, M] \times [0, P] \\
\eta(\zeta, \iota) = \varphi(\zeta, \iota), \quad (\zeta, \iota) \in [-\mu, 0] \times [0, P], \\
\eta(\zeta, 0) = g_1(\zeta), \eta(\zeta, P) = g_2(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in [0, M].
\end{cases}
\]

In this work, we implement our method for general form of DRD equation. Since the manner of system is different before and after delay time \( \zeta = \mu \), we rewrite DRD equation (1) with conditions (2) and (3) as follows

\[
\begin{cases}
\eta(\zeta, \iota) = \begin{cases}
Z(\zeta, \iota, \eta(\zeta, \iota), \varphi(\zeta - \mu, \iota), \eta_{\mu}(\zeta, \iota)), & (\zeta, \iota) \in [0, \mu] \times [0, P], \\
Z(\zeta, \iota, \eta(\zeta, \iota), \eta(\zeta - \mu, \iota), \eta_{\mu}(\zeta, \iota)), & (\zeta, \iota) \in [\mu, M] \times [0, P],
\end{cases} \\
\eta(0, \iota) = \varphi(0, \iota), \quad \iota \in [0, P], \\
\eta(\zeta, 0) = g_1(\zeta), \quad \eta(\zeta, P) = g_2(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in [0, M].
\end{cases}
\]
3. Implementing the method

We explain the approximation solution of set (4) in form

$$\eta(\zeta, \iota) \simeq \eta^Q(\zeta, \iota) = \sum_{i=0}^{Q} \sum_{j=0}^{Q} \bar{\eta}_{ij} h_i(\zeta) h_j(\iota),$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\bar{\eta}_{ij}$ are unknown coefficients and $h_\nu(.)$ is the Lagrange basis polynomial and defined in form

$$h_i(\zeta) = \prod_{k=0, i \neq k}^{Q} \frac{\zeta - \zeta_k}{\zeta_i - \zeta_k}, \quad h_j(\iota) = \prod_{l=0, j \neq l}^{Q} \frac{\iota - \iota_l}{\iota_j - \iota_l},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\{\iota_l\}_{l=0}^{Q}$ and $\{\zeta_k\}_{k=0}^{Q}$ are the shifted LGL points in $[0, P]$ and $[0, M]$, respectively. These points are described as follows

$$\{\iota_l\}_{l=0}^{Q} = \frac{P}{2} (v_l + 1), \quad l = 0, 1, \cdots, Q,$$

$$\{\zeta_k\}_{k=0}^{Q} = \frac{M}{2} (v_k + 1), \quad k = 0, 1, \cdots, Q,$$

where $\{v_l\}_{l=0}^{Q}$ are the roots of following polynomial

$$R(z) = (1 - z^2)R_Q(z); \quad z \in [-1, 1],$$

where Legendre polynomial $R_Q(z)$ is described by recurrent formula

$$\begin{cases}
R_{Q+1}(z) = \frac{2Q+1}{Q+1}zR_Q(z) - \frac{Q}{Q+1}R_{Q-1}(z), \\
R_0(z) = 1, \quad R_1(z) = z.
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

By using the approximation (5) we achieve

$$\begin{cases}
\eta_{\zeta}(\zeta, \iota) \simeq \sum_{i=0}^{Q} \sum_{j=0}^{Q} \bar{\eta}_{ij}^{Q} h_i'(\zeta) h_j(\iota), \\
\eta_{\iota}(\zeta, \iota) \simeq \sum_{i=0}^{Q} \sum_{j=0}^{Q} \bar{\eta}_{ij}^{Q} h_i(\zeta) h_j'(\iota),
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

according to following Lagrange polynomials property

$$h_i(z_j) = \begin{cases}
1, & i = j, \\
0, & i \neq j,
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $\{z_j\}_{j=0}^{Q}$ are the LGL points. We can approximate the functions $\eta(\zeta_0, \iota_l), \eta_{\zeta}(\zeta_k, \iota_l)$ and $\eta_{\iota}(\zeta_k, \iota_l)$ in the interpolating points $\{\iota_l\}_{l=0}^{Q}$ and $\{\zeta_k\}_{k=0}^{Q}$ as

$$\eta(\zeta_k, \iota_l) \simeq \bar{\eta}_{kl}^{Q}, \quad k, l = 0, 1, \cdots, Q,$$

$$\eta_{\zeta}(\zeta_k, \iota_l) \simeq \sum_{i=0}^{Q} \sum_{j=0}^{Q} \bar{\eta}_{ij}^{Q} h_i'(\zeta_k) h_j(\iota_l) = \sum_{i=0}^{Q} \bar{\eta}_{il}^{Q} D_{ki}, \quad l = 0, 1, \cdots, Q, \quad k = 0, 1, \cdots, Q,$$

$$\eta_{\iota}(\zeta_k, \iota_l) \simeq \sum_{i=0}^{Q} \sum_{j=0}^{Q} \bar{\eta}_{ij}^{Q} h_i(\zeta_k) h_j'(\iota_l) = \sum_{i=0}^{Q} \bar{\eta}_{li}^{Q} D_{ki}, \quad l = 0, 1, \cdots, Q, \quad k = 0, 1, \cdots, Q,$$

(11)
where $D_{ki}$ and $D^{(2)}_{ij}$ are defined as follows

$$D_{ki} = h'_i(\zeta_k) = \begin{cases} -\frac{2}{M} \frac{Q(Q+1)}{4}, & k = i = 0, \\ \frac{R_Q(\zeta_k)}{\eta_Q(\zeta_k)} \frac{1}{\zeta_k - \zeta_i}, & k \neq i, \\ 0, & 1 \leq k = i \leq Q - 1, \\ \frac{2}{M} \frac{Q(Q+1)}{4}, & k = i = Q, \end{cases}$$

and

$$D^{(2)}_{ij} = \sum_{p=0}^{Q} D_{ip}D_{pj}. \quad (14)$$

Suppose index $s_{\mu}$ is such that

$$0 = \zeta_0 < \zeta_1 < \cdots < \zeta_{s_{\mu}} \leq \mu < \zeta_{s_{\mu}+1} < \cdots < \zeta_Q = M.$$ 

Then system (1)-(3) can be approximated as the following discrete algebraic system

$$\begin{cases}
\sum_{i=0}^{Q} \bar{\eta}_i D_{ki} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
Z(\zeta_k, t_i, \bar{\eta}_l h_i(\zeta_k - \mu, t_i), \sum_{j=0}^{Q} \bar{\eta}_j D^{(2)}_{ij}), & k = 1, \cdots, s_{\mu}, \ l = 1, \cdots, Q - 1, \\
Z(\zeta_k, t_i, \bar{\eta}_l h_i(\zeta_k - \mu), \sum_{j=0}^{Q} \bar{\eta}_j D^{(2)}_{ij}), & k = s_{\mu} + 1, \cdots, Q, \ l = 1, \cdots, Q - 1, \\
\bar{\eta}_{l0} = \varphi(0, t_i), & l = 1, \cdots, Q - 1, \\
\bar{\eta}_{k0} = g_1(\zeta_k), \ & \bar{\eta}_{kQ} = g_2(\zeta_k), \ k = 0, \cdots, Q, 
\end{array} \right. \\
\bar{\eta}_{l0} = \varphi(0, t_i), & l = 1, \cdots, Q - 1, \\
\bar{\eta}_{k0} = g_1(\zeta_k), \ & \bar{\eta}_{kQ} = g_2(\zeta_k), \ k = 0, \cdots, Q. 
\end{cases} \quad (15)$$

with unknowns $\bar{\eta}_{ij}^{Q}$ for $i, j = 0, 1, \cdots, Q$. Notice that the number of this equations is $(Q + 1)^2$ which is equal to the number of variables. By solving the system (15), we get the approximate solution $\bar{\eta}_{ij}^{Q}$ ($i, j = 0, \cdots, Q$) and continuous approximate solution $\eta^{Q}(\zeta, \iota)$ presented with (5).

4. Convergence study

Now we examine the convergence of the method. We define $\hat{\Gamma} = [0, M] \times [0, P]$ and show the space of all continuously differentiable functions from order $k$ on $\hat{\Gamma}$ by $C^k(\hat{\Gamma})$.

**Definition 1.** Function $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ with the following characters [27] is called a modulus of continuity

- $\Psi$ is continuous and increasing,
- $\Psi(a) \to 0$ when $a \to 0$,
- $\Psi(a_1 + a_2) \leq \Psi(a_1) + \Psi(a_2)$ for any $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,
• \( a \leq b \Psi(a) \) for some \( b > 0 \) and \( 0 < a \leq 2 \).

One of the cases for modulus of continuity is

\[
\Psi(a) = a^\alpha, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1.
\]

Show the unit circle in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) by \( B^2 \). The continuous function \( e \) on \( \tilde{\Gamma} \), admits \( \Psi(\cdot) \) as modulus of continuity if

\[
|e(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\Psi} = \sup \left\{ \frac{|e(\zeta', \iota') - e(\zeta'', \iota'')|}{\Psi(\| (\zeta', \iota') - (\zeta'', \iota'') \|_\infty)} : (\zeta', \iota'), (\zeta'', \iota'') \in \tilde{\Gamma}, (\zeta', \iota') \neq (\zeta'', \iota'') \right\}, \tag{16}
\]

is finite and

\[
\|(\zeta', \iota') - (\zeta'', \iota'')\|_\infty = \max \{|\zeta' - \zeta''|, |\iota' - \iota''|\}.
\]

Let \( C^1_\Psi(B^2) \) includes functions with first continuous differentiation on the \( B^2 \) that is equipped with the following norm

\[
\|e(\cdot, \cdot)\|_{1, \Psi} = \|e(\cdot, \cdot)\|_\infty + \|e_{\zeta'}(\cdot, \cdot)\|_\infty + \|e_{\iota'}(\cdot, \cdot)\|_\infty + |e_{\zeta'}(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\Psi} + |e_{\iota'}(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\Psi}. \tag{17}
\]

Now we define

\[
C^1_\Psi(\tilde{\Gamma}) = \{ e(\cdot, \cdot) \in C^1(\tilde{\Gamma}) : \forall (\zeta'', \iota'') \in \tilde{\Gamma}, \exists \text{ map } \Theta : B^2 \to \tilde{\Gamma} \text{ s.t. } (\zeta'', \iota'') \in \text{int}(\Theta(B^2)), e \circ \Theta(\cdot, \cdot) \in C^1_\Psi(B^2) \}. \tag{18}
\]

So if for some \( \Theta_1, \ldots, \Theta_n \)

\[
\tilde{\Gamma} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{int}(\Theta_i(B^2)),
\]

then \( e(\cdot, \cdot) \in C^1_\Psi(\tilde{\Gamma}) \) if and only if \( e \circ \Theta_i(\cdot, \cdot) \in C^1_\Psi(B^2) \) for each \( i = 1, \ldots, Q \). Furthermore \( C^1_\Psi(\tilde{\Gamma}) \) with the following norm is a Banach space

\[
\|e(\cdot, \cdot)\|_{1, \Psi} = \sum_{i=1}^Q \|e \circ \Theta_i\|_{1, \Psi}. \tag{19}
\]

We show \( \text{pol}(Q, Q, \tilde{\Gamma}) \) as the space of all polynomials,

\[
\text{pol}(Q, Q, \tilde{\Gamma}) = \{ \Theta(\zeta, \iota) = \sum_{i=0}^Q \sum_{j=0}^Q \bar{c}_{ij} \zeta^i \iota^j : (\zeta, \iota) \in \tilde{\Gamma}, \bar{c}_{ij} \in \mathbb{R} \}. \tag{20}
\]

Lemma 1. For each \( e(\cdot, \cdot) \in C^1_\Psi(\tilde{\Gamma}) \), there exists a \( \Theta(\cdot, \cdot) \in \text{pol}(Q, Q, \tilde{\Gamma}) \) such that

\[
\|e(\cdot, \cdot) - \Theta(\cdot, \cdot)\|_\infty \leq \frac{c_0 c_1}{2Q} \Psi(\frac{1}{2Q}), \tag{21}
\]

where \( c_1 = \|e(\cdot, \cdot)\|_{1, \Psi} \) and constant \( c_0 \) is independent of \( Q \).
Proof. For proof see [27].

To guarantee the existence of solution for system (15), we change it in form

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
|\sum_{i=0}^{Q} \bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q D_{ki} - Z \left( \zeta_k, \mu; \bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q, \varphi(\zeta_k - \mu, \mu), \sum_{j=0}^{Q} \bar{\eta}_{kj}^Q D_{ij}^{(2)} \right) | \leq \frac{\sqrt{Q}}{2Q-1}\Psi(\frac{1}{2Q-1}), \\
k = 1, \ldots s_{\mu}, \ l = 1, 2, \ldots, Q - 1,
|\sum_{i=0}^{Q} \bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q D_{ki} - Z \left( \zeta_k, \mu; \bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q, \sum_{i=0}^{Q} \bar{\eta}_{ki}^Q h_i(\zeta_k - \mu), \sum_{j=0}^{Q} \bar{\eta}_{kj}^Q D_{ij}^{(2)} \right) | \leq \frac{\sqrt{Q}}{2Q-1}\Psi(\frac{1}{2Q-1}), \\
k = s_{\mu} + 1, \ldots, Q, \ l = 1, 2, \ldots, Q - 1,
|\bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q - \varphi(0, \mu)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{Q}}{2Q-1}\Psi(\frac{1}{2Q-1}), \ l = 1, 2, \ldots, Q - 1,
|\bar{\eta}_{k0}^Q - g_1(\zeta_k)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{Q}}{2Q-1}\Psi(\frac{1}{2Q-1}), \ k = 0, 1, \ldots, Q,
|\bar{\eta}_{kQ}^Q - g_2(\zeta_k)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{Q}}{2Q-1}\Psi(\frac{1}{2Q-1}), \ k = 0, 1, \ldots, Q,
\end{array} \right.
\]

(22)

where \(\Psi(.)\) is a given modulus of continuity and \(Q\) is sufficiently big. Whereas
\[
\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{Q}}{2Q-1}\Psi(\frac{1}{2Q-1}) = 0,
\]
for any \(Q > 0\), any solution \(\bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q\) \((k = 0, 1, \ldots, Q, \ l = 0, 1, \ldots, Q)\) of (22) is a solution for (15) when \(Q \to \infty\).

In the next theorem, we prove that the system (22) has a solution.

**Theorem 1.** Let \(\eta(.,.)\) is a solution for set (1)-(3) where \(\eta(.,.) \in C^1_\Psi(\bar{\Gamma})\). Then there is a positive integer \(Q_1\) such that for \(Q \geq Q_1\) the set (22) has a solution as
\[
\bar{\eta}^Q = (\bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q; k = 0, 1, \ldots, Q, \ l = 0, 1, \ldots, Q),
\]
that satisfies relationship
\[
|\eta(\zeta_k, \mu) - \bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q| \leq \frac{c}{2Q-1}\Psi(\frac{1}{2Q-1}), \ k = 0, 1, \ldots, Q, \ l = 0, 1, \ldots, Q,
\]
(23)

where \(c > 0\) is a constant, independent of \(Q\).

**Proof.** Let \(\Theta(.,.) \in \text{pol}(Q - 1, Q, \bar{\Gamma})\) be the best approximation for \(\eta(\zeta, \zeta)\). By using the Lemma 4.1 we have
\[
||\eta(\zeta, \zeta) - \Theta(\zeta, \zeta)||_{\infty} \leq \frac{\xi}{2Q-1}\Psi(\frac{1}{2Q-1}), \ \ (\zeta, \zeta) \in \bar{\Gamma},
\]
(24)

where \(\xi > 0\) is not dependent on \(Q\). Now, we describe the function \(\bar{\eta}(.,.)\) in form
\[
\bar{\eta}(\zeta, \mu) = \eta(0, \mu) + \int_0^\zeta \Theta(\tau, \mu)d\tau, \ \ (\zeta, \zeta) \in \bar{\Gamma},
\]
(25)

and
\[
\bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q = \bar{\eta}(\zeta_k, \mu_l): \ k = 0, 1, \ldots, Q, \ l = 0, 1, \ldots, Q.
\]
(26)
We will confirm that $\bar{\eta}^Q = (\bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q; \ k = 0, 1, \ldots, Q, \ l = 0, 1, \ldots, Q)$ applies to system (22).

With (24), (25) and (26) we have

$$|\eta(\zeta, t) - \bar{\eta}(\zeta, t)| = \int_0^c (\eta_\zeta(\tau, t) - \Theta(\tau, t))d\tau \leq \int_0^c |\eta_\zeta(\tau, t) - \Theta(\tau, t)|d\tau \leq \frac{\xi}{2Q-1}\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2Q-1}\right) \int_0^c d\tau \leq \frac{\xi M}{2Q-1}\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2Q-1}\right).$$

(27)

Now, according to (25), $\bar{\eta}(\cdot, t), t \in [0, P]$ is a polynomial of degree at most $Q$ on $[0, M]$. Thus,

$$\sum_{i=0}^Q \bar{\eta}_{ki}^Q D_{ki} = \bar{\eta}_k(\zeta_k, t); \ k = 1, \ldots, Q, \ l = 1, \ldots, Q.$$  (28)

Therefor, with (26), (27) and (28) we have for $k = 1, \ldots, s_\mu$ and $l = 1, \ldots, Q$,

$$\left|\sum_{i=0}^Q \bar{\eta}_{ki}^Q D_{ki} - Z\left(\zeta_k, t_l, \bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q, \varphi(\zeta_k - \mu, t_l), \bar{\eta}_l(\zeta_k, t_l)\right)\right|$$

$$\leq |\bar{\eta}_k(\zeta_k, t_l) - \eta_k(\zeta_k, t_l)| + |\bar{\eta}_k(\zeta_k, t_l) - Z\left(\zeta_k, t_l, \bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q, \varphi(\zeta_k - \mu, t_l), \bar{\eta}_l(\zeta_k, t_l)\right)|$$

$$\leq |\Theta(\zeta_k, t_l) - \eta_k(\zeta_k, t_l)| + |\eta_k(\zeta_k, t_l)| - Z\left(\zeta_k, t_l, \bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q, \varphi(\zeta_k - \mu, t_l), \bar{\eta}_l(\zeta_k, t_l)\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\xi}{2Q-1}\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2Q-1}\right) + L\left(|\eta(\zeta_k, t_l) - \bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q| + |\varphi(\zeta_k - \mu, t_l) - \varphi(\zeta_k - \mu, t_l)|\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\xi}{2Q-1}\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2Q-1}\right) + L\left(|\eta(\zeta_k, t_l) - \bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q| + |\varphi(\zeta_k - \mu, t_l) - \varphi(\zeta_k - \mu, t_l)|\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\xi}{2Q-1}\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2Q-1}\right) + L\left(|\eta(\zeta_k, t_l) - \bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q| + |\varphi(\zeta_k - \mu, t_l) - \varphi(\zeta_k - \mu, t_l)|\right)$$

(29)

Here, the function $Z$ has $L > 0$ as the Lipschitz constant with respect to its third and fourth components. And, for $k = s_\mu + 1, \ldots, Q - 1$ and $l = 1, \ldots, Q - 1$,

$$\left|\sum_{i=0}^Q \bar{\eta}_{ki}^Q D_{ki} - Z\left(\zeta_k, t_l, \bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q, \bar{\eta}(\zeta_k - \mu, t_l), \bar{\eta}_l(\zeta_k, t_l)\right)\right|$$

$$\leq |\bar{\eta}_k(\zeta_k, t_l) - \eta_k(\zeta_k, t_l)| + |\bar{\eta}_k(\zeta_k, t_l) - Z\left(\zeta_k, t_l, \bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q, \bar{\eta}(\zeta_k - \mu, t_l), \bar{\eta}_l(\zeta_k, t_l)\right)|$$

$$\leq |\Theta(\zeta_k, t_l) - \eta_k(\zeta_k, t_l)| + |\eta_k(\zeta_k, t_l)| - Z\left(\zeta_k, t_l, \bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q, \bar{\eta}(\zeta_k - \mu, t_l), \bar{\eta}_l(\zeta_k, t_l)\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\xi}{2Q-1}\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2Q-1}\right) + L\left(|\eta(\zeta_k, t_l) - \bar{\eta}_{kl}^Q| + |\varphi(\zeta_k - \mu, t_l) - \varphi(\zeta_k - \mu, t_l)|\right)$$
At first, we prove that \( \bar{\eta} \) is a solution of the system (1).

If we select \( Q \) we have

\[
|\eta_{lQ}^Q - g_1(\zeta_k)| \leq |\eta_{l0Q}^Q - u(\zeta_k, 0)| + |\eta(\zeta_k, 0) - g_1(\zeta_k)| \leq \frac{M\xi}{2Q - 1} \Psi\left(\frac{1}{2Q - 1}\right),
\]

and

\[
|\eta_{lP}^Q - g_2(\zeta_k)| \leq |\eta_{l0P}^Q - \eta(\zeta_k, P)| + |\eta(\zeta_k, P) - g_2(\zeta_k)| \leq \frac{M\xi}{2Q - 1} \Psi\left(\frac{1}{2Q - 1}\right).
\]

Further, for \( l = 1, \ldots, Q - 1 \)

\[
|\eta_{l1} - \varphi(0, \iota_l)| \leq |\eta_{l0}^Q - \eta(0, \iota_l)| + |\eta(0, \iota_l) - \varphi(0, \iota_l)| \leq \frac{M\xi}{2Q - 1} \Psi\left(\frac{1}{2Q - 1}\right).
\]

If we select \( Q_1 \in \mathbb{N} \) as for all \( Q \geq Q_1 \),

\[\max\{M\xi, \xi(1 + 2MP)\} \leq \sqrt{Q},\]

then \( \bar{\eta}_Q = (\bar{\eta}_{lQ}^Q, k, l = 0, 1, \ldots, Q, \) for \( Q \geq Q_1 \) satisfies system (22) by using (29)-(33).

In next theorem, we show convergence theorem of solutions.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( \left\{ \eta_{lQ}^Q, k, l = 0, 1, \ldots, Q \right\}_{Q=Q_1}^\infty \) be the sequence of solutions of system (22) and \( \left\{ \eta^Q(\cdot, \cdot) \right\}_{Q=Q_1}^\infty \) be the sequence of polynomials presented in (5). Consider for any \( \iota \in [0, P] \) the sequence \( \left\{ (\eta^Q(0, \iota), \eta^Q(\cdot, \cdot)) \right\}_{Q=Q_1}^\infty \) has a subsequence \( \left\{ (\eta^{Q_i}(0, \iota), \eta^{Q_i}(\cdot, \cdot)) \right\}_{i=1}^\infty \)

that converges to \( \left\{ \chi^\infty(\iota), q(\cdot, \cdot) \right\} \) uniformly, where \( q(\cdot, \cdot) \in C^2(\bar{\Gamma}), \chi^\infty(\cdot) \in C^2([0, P]) \) and \( \lim_{i \to \infty} Q_i = \infty \). Then

\[
\bar{\eta}(\zeta, \iota) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \eta^{Q_i}(\zeta, \iota),
\]

is a solution of the system (1).

**Proof.** Define

\[
\bar{\eta}(\zeta, \iota) = \chi^\infty(\iota) + \int_0^\zeta q(\tau, \iota) d\tau.
\]

At first, we prove that \( \bar{\eta}(\cdot, \cdot) \) satisfies (1)-(3). By contradiction, we assume that there is index \( 1 \leq l \leq Q - 1 \) and \( \tau \in [0, M] \) such that

\[
\bar{\eta}_{\zeta}(\tau, \iota_l) - Z(\zeta_k, \iota_l, \bar{\eta}(\tau, \iota_l), \varphi(\tau - \mu, \iota_l), \bar{\eta}(\tau, \iota_l)) \neq 0, \ k = 1, \ldots, s_\mu,
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\xi}{2Q - 1} \Psi\left(\frac{1}{2Q - 1}\right) + L \frac{2\xi M}{2Q - 1} \Psi\left(\frac{1}{2Q - 1}\right) + \frac{M\xi}{2Q - 1} \Psi\left(\frac{1}{2Q - 1}\right).
\]
or
\[
\bar{\eta} (\tau, t_l) - Z (\zeta, t_l, \bar{\eta} (\tau, t_l), \bar{\eta} (\tau - \mu, t_l), \bar{\eta} (\tau, t_l)) \neq 0, \quad k = s_{\mu+1}, \ldots, Q.
\]
Assume that we have \(36\). Since the shifted LGL points are dense in \([0, M]\) when \(Q \to \infty\), redthere are subsequences \(\{\zeta_{k_{Qi}}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}\) that \(0 < k_{Qi} < Q_i\) and \(\lim_{i \to \infty} \zeta_{k_{Qi}} = \tau\). So we have
\[
\lim_{i \to \infty} \left( \bar{\eta} (\zeta_{k_{Qi}}, t_l) - Z (\zeta, t_l, \bar{\eta} (\zeta_{k_{Qi}}, t_l), \varphi (\zeta_{k_{Qi}}, t_l), \bar{\eta} (\tau, t_l)) \right) =
\bar{\eta} (\zeta, t_l) - Z (\zeta, t_l, \bar{\eta} (\tau, t_l), \varphi (\tau - \mu, t_l), \bar{\eta} (\tau, t_l)) \neq 0.
\]
On the other hand, since \(\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{Q_i}}{2|Q_i - 1|} Y (\frac{1}{2Q_i - 1}) = 0\), from \((22)\) we have
\[
\lim_{i \to \infty} \left( \bar{\eta} (\zeta_{k_{Qi}}, t_l) - Z (\zeta, t_l, \bar{\eta} (\zeta_{k_{Qi}}, t_l), \varphi (\zeta_{k_{Qi}}, t_l), \bar{\eta} (\tau, t_l)) \right) = 0
\]
and this contradicts the relation \(36\). Similarly, if we have \(37\),
\[
\lim_{i \to \infty} \left( \bar{\eta} (\zeta_{k_{Qi}}, t_l) - Z (\zeta, t_l, \bar{\eta} (\zeta_{k_{Qi}}, t_l), \varphi (\zeta_{k_{Qi}}, t_l), \bar{\eta} (\tau, t_l)) \right) =
\bar{\eta} (\zeta, t_l) - Z (\zeta, t_l, \bar{\eta} (\tau, t_l), \varphi (\tau - \mu, t_l), \bar{\eta} (\tau, t_l)) \neq 0.
\]
Since \(\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{Q_i}}{2|Q_i - 1|} Y (\frac{1}{2Q_i - 1}) = 0\), so
\[
\lim_{i \to \infty} \left( \bar{\eta} (\zeta_{k_{Qi}}, t_l) - Z (\zeta, t_l, \bar{\eta} (\zeta_{k_{Qi}}, t_l), \varphi (\zeta_{k_{Qi}}, t_l), \bar{\eta} (\tau, t_l)) \right) = 0,
\]
which contradicts the relation \(37\). It is also easy to see that \(\bar{\eta} (\zeta, t_l)\) for \(t = t_l\) \((l = 1, 2, \ldots, Q - 1)\) and \(\zeta \in [0, M]\) satisfies conditions \((2)\) and \((3)\), by using the assumptions of theorem and the last three equations of system \((22)\). Hence, \(\bar{\eta} (\zeta, t_l)\) for \(t = t_l\) \((l = 1, 2, \ldots, Q - 1)\) and \(\zeta \in [0, M]\) satisfies in all equations of system \((22)\). We know that shifted LGL points \(\{\zeta_{k_{Qi}}\}_{i=1}^{Q} \equiv Q_i\) are dense in \([0, P]\) when \(Q\) tends to infinity, thus \(\bar{\eta} (\zeta, t_l)\) for all \(\zeta, t_l \in \tilde{\Gamma}\) satisfies system \((1)-(3)\).

5. Illustrative examples

To show the effectiveness of suggested approach, we solve four numerical examples. The system \((15)\) is solved using MATLAB software with Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. The absolute error of obtained approximation solution \(\eta^Q (\zeta, t_l)\) for exact solution \(\eta (\zeta, t_l)\) is described by
\[
ER^Q (\zeta, t_l) = |\eta (\zeta, t_l) - \eta^Q (\zeta, t_l)|, \quad (\zeta, t_l) = [0, M] \times [0, P].
\]
Also we define the \(ER^Q_2\) and \(ER^Q_\infty\) errors of approximations in forms
\[
ER^Q_2 = \left( \sum_{r=0}^{Q} \sum_{s=0}^{Q} |\eta (\zeta_r, t_s) - \eta^Q (\zeta_r, t_s)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]
\[
ER^Q_\infty = \max_{\zeta, t_l} \left( |\eta (\zeta, t_l) - \eta^Q (\zeta, t_l)| \right).
\]
\[ ER_{\text{max}}^Q = \max\{\left| \eta(\zeta_r, \iota_s) - \eta^Q(\zeta_r, \iota_s) \right| : r, s = 0, 1, \cdots, Q \}. \]

Moreover, for a given time \( \zeta = \bar{\zeta} \), we define errors
\[ ER_2^Q = \left( \sum_{s=0}^{Q} \left| \eta(\bar{\zeta}, \iota_s) - \eta^Q(\bar{\zeta}, \iota_s) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \]
\[ \bar{E}R_{\text{max}}^Q = \max\{\left| \eta(\bar{\zeta}, \iota_s) - \eta^Q(\bar{\zeta}, \iota_s) \right| : s = 0, 1, \cdots, Q \}. \]

**Example 1.** Let the following DRD equation
\[ \eta(\zeta, \iota) = \eta_{\iota\iota}(\zeta, \iota) + \eta(\zeta - \mu, \iota) + f(\zeta, \iota), \quad (\zeta, \iota) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1], \quad (38) \]
with the conditions
\[ \begin{align*}
\eta(\zeta, \iota) &= \zeta^2 e^{2\zeta}, \quad (\zeta, \iota) \in [-\mu, 0] \times [0, 1], \\
\eta(\zeta, 0) &= \zeta^2, \quad \zeta \in [0, 1], \\
\eta(\zeta, 1) &= \zeta^2 e^{2\zeta}, \quad \zeta \in [0, 1],
\end{align*} \quad (39)\]
where \( \mu = 0.5 \) and
\[ f(\zeta, \iota) = 2\zeta e^{2\zeta} - 4\zeta^2 e^{2\zeta} - \zeta^4 e^{4\zeta} + (\zeta - \mu)^2 e^{2\zeta}. \]
The exact solution of this equation is \( \eta(\zeta, \iota) = \zeta^2 e^{2\zeta} \). We estimate the solution of this system for \( Q = 8 \) by the presented method. Figure 1 shows the results. Figure 2 illustrates the estimate errors. It can be seen that the errors goes to zero when \( Q \) increases.

**Example 2.** Let the following DRD equation
\[ \eta(\zeta, \iota) = \eta_{\iota\iota}(\zeta, \iota) + \eta(\zeta - \mu, \iota), \quad (\zeta, \iota) \in [0, 2] \times [0, \pi], \quad (40) \]
with the conditions
\[ \begin{align*}
\eta(\zeta, \iota) &= e^{-\zeta} \sin \iota, \quad (\zeta, \iota) \in [-\mu, 0] \times [0, \pi], \\
\eta(\zeta, 0) &= 0, \quad \zeta \in [0, 2], \\
\eta(\zeta, \pi) &= 0, \quad \zeta \in [0, 2],
\end{align*} \quad (41)\]
where \( \mu = 1 \). The exact solution is \( \eta(\zeta, \iota) = e^{-\zeta} \sin \iota \). We present the gained results for \( Q = 10 \) in Figure 3. The logarithm of \( ER_2^Q \) and \( E\bar{R}_{\text{max}}^Q \) for \( Q = 10 \) are presented in Figure 4. We can see that the errors converge to zero by increasing \( Q \). Also Table 1 shows the compared results and superiority of our method with respect to the compact finite difference method [15].
Example 3. Let the following DRD equation

$$\eta(\zeta, \iota) = \eta_{0}\eta(\zeta, \iota) + 2\eta(\zeta, \iota) + \frac{\eta(\zeta - \mu, \iota)}{1 + \eta^2(\zeta - \mu, \iota)} + f(\zeta, \iota), \ (\zeta, \iota) \in [0, 0.5] \times [0, 2\pi], \quad (42)$$

with the conditions

$$\begin{cases} 
\eta(\zeta, \iota) = e^{-\zeta} \sin \iota, \ (\zeta, \iota) \in [-\mu, 0] \times [0, 2\pi], \\
\eta(\zeta, 0) = 0, \ \zeta \in [0, 0.5], \\
\eta(\zeta, 2\pi) = 0, \ \zeta \in [0, 0.5],
\end{cases} \quad (43)$$

where $\mu = 0.1$ and

$$f(\zeta, \iota) = 2e^{-\zeta} \sin \iota - \frac{e^{-(\zeta-\mu)} \sin \iota}{1 + e^{-2(\zeta-\mu)} \sin^2 \iota}.$$ 

Here, function $\eta(\zeta, \iota) = e^{-\zeta} \sin \iota$ is the exact solution. We approximate the solution for $Q = 10$ by the presented method and show the estimated solution and its absolute error in Figure 5. Figure 6 illustrates the estimate errors. Also, we compare the errors $ER_{\text{max}}^Q$ and $ER_2^Q$ at $\zeta = 0.5$ for presented method with those of compact finite difference method [16], that are told in Table 2. The result in Table 2 presents that the error of presented method is less than that of the method [16].

Example 4. Assume the following DRD equation

$$\eta(\zeta, \iota) = \eta_{0}\eta(\zeta, \iota) + \pi^2 \eta + \eta(\zeta - \mu, \iota) + f(\zeta, \iota), \quad (44)$$

with the conditions

$$\begin{cases} 
\eta(\zeta, \iota) = e^{-\zeta^2} \sin(\pi \iota), \ (\zeta, \iota) \in [-\mu, 0] \times [0, 1], \\
\eta(\zeta, 0) = 0, \ \zeta \in [0, 1], \\
\eta(\zeta, 1) = 0, \ \zeta \in [0, 1],
\end{cases} \quad (45)$$

where $\mu = 0.5$ and

$$f(\zeta, \iota) = -2\zeta e^{-\zeta^2} \sin(\pi \iota) - e^{-(\zeta-\mu)^2} \sin(\pi \iota).$$

Function $\eta(\zeta, \iota) = e^{-\zeta^2} \sin(\pi \iota)$ is the exact solution. We show the results for $Q = 10$ in Figure 7. Moreover, the logarithm of errors $ER_2^Q$ and $ER_{\text{max}}^Q$ of estimate solutions are presented in Figure 8, which tends to zero by increasing $Q$. 
Figure 1: The $\eta^Q(.,.)$ and logarithm of $ER^Q(.,.)$ for $Q = 8$ in Example 1.

Table 1: Comparison of error $ER^Q_{\text{max}}$ at time $\zeta = 2$ for Example 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of points</th>
<th>Method [15]</th>
<th>Number of points</th>
<th>Presented method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$4 \times 200$</td>
<td>$1.52 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$7 \times 7$</td>
<td>$3.58 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$8 \times 400$</td>
<td>$3.80 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$8 \times 8$</td>
<td>$2.57 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$16 \times 800$</td>
<td>$9.50 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$9 \times 9$</td>
<td>$1.68 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$32 \times 1600$</td>
<td>$2.37 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$10 \times 10$</td>
<td>$9.02 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: The logarithm of $ER^Q_{\text{max}}$ and $ER^Q_2$ for Example 1.

Table 2: The comparison of errors at $\zeta = 0.5$ in Example 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Q = 10$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compact finite difference method [16]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3: The $\eta^Q(.,.)$ and logarithm of $ER^Q(.,.)$ for $Q = 8$ in Example 2.

Figure 4: The logarithm of errors $ER^Q_{\text{max}}$ and $ER^Q_2$ for $Q = 10$ in Example 2.
Figure 5: The $\eta^Q(.,.)$ and logarithm of $ER^Q(.,.)$ for $Q = 10$ in Example 3.

Figure 6: The logarithm of errors $ER^{Q}_{\max}$ and $ER^{Q}_{2}$ in Example 3.
Figure 7: The $\eta^Q(\cdot, \cdot)$ and logarithm of $ER^Q(\cdot, \cdot)$ for $Q = 10$ in Example 4.

Figure 8: The logarithm of errors $ER_{\text{max}}^Q$ and $ER_2^Q$ in Example 4.
6. Conclusions

In this article, we showed that pseudo-spectral methods with two-dimensional Lagrange-bases at the collocation points of Legendre-Gauss-Lobatto can be used for reaction-diffusion equations of the difference delayed type. The proposed technique was to insert the relevant delay function in the diffusion-reaction equation and converting it into a non-delayed equation. This technique can be used for other delayed differential equations. The convergence analysis of the method showed that the approximate solutions obtained by considering mild conditions converge to the exact solution of the equation. Also, the use of modulus of continuity functions and its relevant space of continuously differentiable functions for convergence analysis of the proposed method can be extended to other pseudo-spectral methods and other time-continuous problems. For future research, we will use the method and its convergence analysis for fractional delayed/non-delayed one and two-dimensional diffusion-reaction equations.
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