



## Some Types of Tri-Locally Compactness Spaces

Jamal Oudetallah<sup>1</sup>, Ala Amourah<sup>2,\*</sup>, Sultan Alsaadi<sup>2</sup>, Iqbal M. Batiha<sup>3</sup>,  
Jamal Salah<sup>4,\*</sup>, Tala Sasa<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Petra, Amman, 11196, Jordan

<sup>2</sup> Mathematics Education Program, Faculty of Education and Arts, Sohar University, Sohar 311, Oman

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, Al Zaytoonah University of Jordan, Amman 11733, Jordan.

<sup>4</sup> College of Applied and Health Sciences, A'Sharqiyah University, Post Box No. 42, Post Code No. 400 Ibra, Sultanate of Oman

<sup>5</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Applied Science Private University, Amman, Jordan

---

**Abstract.** Three topologies, or tri-locally compact spaces, will be examined in this study in order to examine the locally compactness spaces attribute. Furthermore, these spaces' characteristics will be analyzed in light of locally limited spaces. Several well-known theorems about locally compact spaces have been expanded to apply to three topologies, and many theoretical results have been proposed and verified. The results are supported by illustrative instances.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications:** 47B38

**Key Words and Phrases:** Tri-locally compact spaces, Tri-topological spaces, Locally compactness, Metacompactness

---

### 1. Introduction

The study of the connections between different classes of topological spaces located between countably paracompact spaces is one of the main areas of set theoretic topology [1–4]. Since it naturally lies between these classes, the class of locally compact spaces is important in this context. According to Dugundji (1966), a locally compact space is a topological space  $(X, \vartheta)$  in which each point  $a \in X$  has a neighborhood that is also contained within a compact space. Similarly, a tri-topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is called a tri-locally metacompact space if every point  $a \in X$  has a neighborhood that is contained within a tri-compact area.

---

\*Corresponding author.

\*Corresponding author.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v18i2.5764>

Email addresses: [jamal.oudetallah@uop.edu.jo](mailto:jamal.oudetallah@uop.edu.jo) (J. Oudetallah),

[AAmourah@su.edu.om](mailto:AAmourah@su.edu.om) (A. Amourah), [alsaad99@hotmail.com](mailto:alsaad99@hotmail.com) (S. Alsaadi),

[i.batiha@zuj.edu.jo](mailto:i.batiha@zuj.edu.jo) (I. M. Batiha), [damous73@yahoo.com](mailto:damous73@yahoo.com) (J. Salah), [t\\_sasa@asu.edu.jo](mailto:t_sasa@asu.edu.jo) (T. Sasa)

One important feature of such spaces is that several important separation axioms, such as normality and collection-wise Hausdorff, agree for them. There are significant theoretical and practical implications to problems that come from other areas of mathematics or from a strictly topological standpoint. If there is a fundamental system of nearly open neighborhoods for every point in a set  $X$ , then  $X$  is a tri-topological space. Keep in mind that the first people to examine almost open sets in a topological group were Ghosh and Lahiri [5]. The concept of a tri-topological group, or the tri-topologized form of a topological group, has previously been discussed in earlier research. Since all of the spaces examined in this study are assumed to be nonempty and  $T_0$  spaces, any two open neighborhoods of  $a$  meet to generate another open neighborhood of  $a$  for any point  $a$  in the space.

The concept of a locally compact space in topological space  $(X, \vartheta)$  was first proposed by Levine [6]. These subjects were examined in greater detail in more recent research [7–10].... The notions of tri-locally compact and tri-locally metacompact in tri-topological spaces, as well as related findings, are examined in this work. In tri-topological spaces, we present the notion of tri-locally compactness, analyze its properties, and apply it to different spaces. We go over common definitions that will be used in the parts that follow.

Typically,  $\vartheta_u$ ,  $\vartheta_{dis}$ ,  $\vartheta_{cof}$ , and  $\vartheta_{coc}$  represent discrete, co-finite, and co-countable topologies, respectively.  $X = (X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2)$  is a representation of the concept of bitopological spaces, where  $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2$  are two topologies on  $X$ . This is related to earlier research on bitopological spaces, where a topology is a collection of points that satisfy a set of axioms. Kim's paper [5] described pairwise Hausdorff, pairwise regular, and pairwise normal spaces using a set of standard results known as the Tietze extension. Bitopological space study was further explored in [11, 12]. According to [7, 8], bitopological space can expand, nearly expand, and feebly expand. The primary goal of this paper is to introduce and investigate a new kind of tripartite compact space: the tripartite locally compact space. Tri-topological spaces are sets containing three topologies, where  $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2$ , and  $\vartheta_3$  are topologies on  $X$ . They are represented as  $X = (X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$ . Tri-topological space variations match well-known topological space features.

## 2. Preliminaries

We will illustrate some of the fundamental concepts of tri-topological space in this part, including paracompactness, dense sets, and compact space.

**Definition 1.** [1]  $\vartheta \subset \mathcal{P}(X) = \{A : A \subseteq X\}$  is a collection of subsets of  $X$ , where  $X$  is a non-empty set. If  $\vartheta$  satisfies the following requirements, it is considered a topology on  $X$ :

(i)  $\emptyset, X \in \vartheta$

(ii) We have  $A \cap B \in \vartheta$  for every  $A, B \in \vartheta$ .

(iii)  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} A_\alpha \in \vartheta$  if  $E = \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \lambda, A_\alpha \in \vartheta\}$  is any collection of sets in  $\vartheta$

**Definition 2.** [10]. Let  $X$  be a non-empty set, and for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $\vartheta_i \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ .  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is a tri-topological space if  $\vartheta_i$  is a topology on  $X$  for all  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

**Example 1.** Assume  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  so that they

- (i)  $\vartheta_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$
- (ii)  $\vartheta_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$
- (iii)  $\vartheta_3 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}\} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$

For  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $\vartheta_i$  satisfies the requirements of a topological space. A tri-topological space is thus  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$ .

For example,  $\{a\} \cup \{b\} = \{a, b\} \notin \vartheta$ , therefore the space  $\vartheta = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$  is not a topological space.

**Definition 3.** [11] Presuming that  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is a tri-topological space and that  $A \subset X$

- (i) If  $A \in \vartheta_i$  for some  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , then  $A$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set.
- (ii) If  $A^c \in \vartheta_i$  for some  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , then  $A$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -closed set.
- (iii) If  $A$  and  $A^c$  are both in  $\vartheta_i$  for some  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , then  $A$  is referred to as a  $\vartheta_i$ -clopen set.

**Definition 4.** [7] Given a tri-topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$ ,  $X \neq \emptyset$ , and  $A$  as a subset of  $X$ ,  $a \in X$  is a tri-limit point of  $A$  if, for any  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $u_a$  containing  $a$ ,  $u_a \cap (A - \{a\}) \neq \emptyset$ .

$A' = \{a : a \text{ is a tri-limit point of } A\}$  is the representation of the tri-derived set, which is the set of all tri-limit points.

**Theorem 1.** [8] If  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  and  $A, B \subset X$  are tri-topological spaces, then:

- (i)  $\emptyset' = \emptyset$
- (ii)  $(A \cup B)' = A' \cup B'$
- (iii)  $(A \cap B)' \subset A' \cap B'$
- (iv) If  $A \subset B$ , then  $A' \subset B'$

**Definition 5.** [9]  $\overline{A} = A \cup A'$  is the representation of the tri-closure set if  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is a tri-topological space,  $X \neq \emptyset$ , and  $A$  is a subset of  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.** [8] Let  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  be a tri-topological space and  $A, B \subset X$ . Then:

- (i)  $\overline{X} = X$  and  $\overline{\emptyset} = \emptyset$
- (ii)  $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$
- (iii)  $\overline{A \cap B} \subset \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$
- (iv) We have  $U_a \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for every point  $a \in \overline{A}$  and every  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $U_a$  containing  $a$ .
- (v)  $A$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -closed set for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  if and only if  $\overline{A} = A$ .

**Definition 6.** [4] allow  $X \neq \emptyset$ ,  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ , and allow  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  be a tri-topological space. If there is at least one  $\vartheta_i$ -neighborhood  $N(a, \vartheta_i)$  of  $a$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  such that  $N(a, \vartheta_i) \subseteq A$ , then a point  $a \in A$  is called a tri-interior point of  $A$ .

$A^\circ$  or  $INT(A)$  indicate the tri-interior of  $A$ , which is the set of all tri-interior points of  $A$ .  $A^\circ = INT(A) = (\overline{A^c})^c$  is another way to express this, in which  $\overline{A^c}$  is the tri-closure of the complement of  $A$ .

**Theorem 3.** [7] The following characteristics are true given a tri-topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  and  $A, B \subset X$ :

- (i)  $X^\circ = X$  and  $\emptyset^\circ = \emptyset$ .
- (ii)  $(A \cap B)^\circ = A^\circ \cap B^\circ$  and  $A^\circ \cup B^\circ \subset (A \cup B)^\circ$ .
- (iii)  $A^\circ$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  if and only if there exists a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $U_n$  such that  $n \in U_n \subset A$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

**Definition 7.** [8] Since  $X \neq \emptyset$  and  $A$  is a subset of  $X$ , let  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  be a tri-topological space. If there is at least one neighborhood of  $a$  such that  $N(a, \varepsilon) \cap A = \emptyset$ , then  $a$  is a tri-exterior point of  $A$ . The tri-exterior set, which is the set of all tri-exterior points, is represented by the equation  $EX(A) = Int(A^c) = \overline{A^c}$ .

**Theorem 4.** If we define  $EX(A) = Int(A^c)$  (the interior of the complement of  $A$ ) given a tri-topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  and  $A, B \subset X$ , then:

- (i)  $EX(\emptyset) = X$  and  $EX(X) = \emptyset$ . If and only if there is a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $U_e$  such that  $e \in U_e \subset A^c$ , then for all  $e \in X$ ,  $e \in EX(A)$   $EX(B) \subset EX(A)$  if  $A \subset B$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $EX(\emptyset) = Int(\emptyset^c) = Int(X) = X$  and  $EX(X) = Int(X^c) = Int(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ .

(ii) If and only if there is a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $U_e$  such that  $e \in U_e \subset A^c$ , then by definition of interior,  $e \in Int(A^c) = EX(A)$ .

Assume that  $A \subset B$ .  $B^c \subset A^c$ , then. Set inclusion is maintained by taking the interior, so  $Int(B^c) \subset Int(A^c)$ .  $EX(B) \subset EX(A)$ , so.

**Definition 8.** [9] Let  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  be a Tri-topological space, and let  $X \neq \emptyset$ ,  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . If every neighborhood  $N(a, \vartheta_i)$  of  $a$  (with respect to each topology  $\vartheta_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) fulfills both  $N(a, \vartheta_i) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $N(a, \vartheta_i) \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$ , then the point  $a \in X$  is a tri-boundary point of  $A$ .

The collection of all tri-boundary points of  $A$  is its tri-boundary, represented by  $Bd(A)$ . It may be written as follows:  $Bd(A) = \overline{A} \cap \overline{A^c} = \overline{A} - A^\circ$ , where  $\overline{A}$  is the tri-closure of  $A$  and  $A^\circ$  is the tri-interior of  $A$ .

**Theorem 5.** Given  $A, B \subset X$  and a tri-topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$ ,

- (i)  $Bd(\emptyset) = Bd(X) = \emptyset$
- (ii)  $Bd(A)$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -closed set

(iii)  $b \in Bd(A)$  if and only if for all  $\vartheta_i$ -open sets  $u_b$  containing  $b$ , we have  $u_b \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $u_b \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$

*Proof.* We only prove (iii) here. If  $b \in Bd(A)$  and  $u_b$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set containing  $b$ , then:

$b \in Bd(A) = \overline{A} \cap \overline{A^c}$  if and only if  $b \in \overline{A} \wedge b \in \overline{A^c}$

if and only if  $b \in (A \cup A') \wedge b \in A^c \cup (A^c)'$

if and only if  $(b \in A \vee b \in A') \wedge (b \in A^c \vee b \in (A^c)')$

if and only if  $b \in A' \wedge b \in (A^c)'$

if and only if  $u_b \cap (A/\{b\}) \neq \emptyset \wedge u_b \cap (A^c/\{b\}) \neq \emptyset$

But we have  $b \subset u_b$ , so we obtain  $u_b \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $u_b \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$ .

**Definition 9.** [7] A tri-topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  functions as a tri- $T_0$ -space when it contains either a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $u_a$  containing  $a$  but excluding  $b$  or it possesses a  $\vartheta_j$ -open set  $v_b$  with element  $b$  inside but element  $a$  kept outside from  $v_b$  where  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$  for every pair of distinct elements  $a$

**Definition 10.** [8] If, for each of the two distinct elements  $a$  and  $b$  in  $X$ , there exists  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $u_a$  such that  $a \in u_a$  and  $b \notin u_a$ , or  $\vartheta_j$ -open set  $v_b$  such that  $b \in v_b$  and  $a \notin v_b$ , where  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ , then  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is a tri- $T_0$ -space.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  be a tri-topological space . Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i)  $X$  represents a tri- $T_0$ -space

(ii) For any two distinct elements  $a$  and  $b$ ,  $a \notin \overline{\{b\}}$  or  $b \notin \overline{\{a\}}$

(iii) If  $a$  and  $b$  are two distinct elements, we have  $\overline{\{a\}} \neq \overline{\{b\}}$

*Proof.*

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $a \neq b$  be distinct elements of  $X$ , and suppose  $X$  is a tri- $T_0$ -space. By definition of a tri- $T_0$ -space, there exists a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $U_a$  such that  $a \in U_a$  and  $b \notin U_a$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , or a  $\vartheta_j$ -open set  $V_b$  such that  $b \in V_b$  and  $a \notin V_b$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

In the first case, since  $a \in U_a$  and  $U_a \cap \{b\} = \emptyset$ , this implies  $b \notin \overline{\{a\}}$ . In the second case, since  $b \in V_b$  and  $V_b \cap \{a\} = \emptyset$ , this implies  $a \notin \overline{\{b\}}$ . As a result,  $a \notin \overline{\{b\}}$  or  $b \notin \overline{\{a\}}$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): For distinct elements  $a$  and  $b$ , we have  $a \notin \overline{\{b\}}$  or  $b \notin \overline{\{a\}}$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $a \notin \overline{\{b\}}$ . Since  $a \in \overline{\{a\}}$ , we have  $\overline{\{a\}} \neq \overline{\{b\}}$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Assume  $a$  and  $b$  are distinct elements such that  $\overline{\{a\}} \neq \overline{\{b\}}$ . Then, there exists either  $c \in \overline{\{a\}} \setminus \overline{\{b\}}$  or  $d \in \overline{\{b\}} \setminus \overline{\{a\}}$ .

Without loss of generality, assume  $b \notin \overline{\{a\}}$  (and obviously  $b \in \overline{\{b\}}$ ). Since  $\overline{\{a\}}$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -closed set in  $X$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , the set  $X \setminus \overline{\{a\}} = V_b$  is  $\vartheta_i$ -open in  $X$  for each  $i$ . We have  $b \in V_b$  and  $a \notin V_b$ . As a result,  $X$  is a tri- $T_0$ -space.

**Definition 11.** [7]  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is a tri- $T_1$ -space if, for each of the two distinct elements  $a$  and  $b$  in  $X$ , there exists  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $u_a$  such that  $a \in u_a$ ,  $b \notin u_a$ , and  $b \in v_b$  such that  $b \in v_b$  and  $a \notin v_b$ , where  $i \neq j$  such that  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ .

**Definition 12.** [9]  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is a tri- $T_2$ -space if, for each of the two distinct elements  $a$  and  $b$  in  $X$ , there exists  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $u_a$  such that  $a \in u_a$  and  $\vartheta_j$ -open set  $v_b$  such that  $b \in v_b$  and  $u_a \cap v_b = \phi$ , where  $i \neq j$  such that  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ .

**Definition 13.** [7] For  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , a topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is a tri- $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space if, for each of the two distinct elements  $a$  and  $b$  in  $X$ , there exists a  $\vartheta_i$ -closed set  $A_a$ ,  $b \in B_b$ , and  $A_a \cap B_b = \phi$ .

**Definition 14.** [8]  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is a tri-regular space. If  $A$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -closed set and  $a \in u_a$ ,  $A \subset v_A$ , and  $u_a \cap v_A = \phi$ , then  $i \neq j$ , for  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ .

**Theorem 7.** A tri-topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is a tri-regular space if and only if, for every point  $a \in X$  and  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $u_a$  containing  $a$ , there exists a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $w_a$  such that  $a \in w_a \subset \overline{w_a} \subset u_a$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $a \in u_a$ , then  $a \notin u_a^c$ . Since  $u_a^c$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -closed set and  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is a tri-regular space, there exist  $\vartheta_i$ -open sets  $w_a$  and  $v_{u_a^c}$  such that  $a \in w_a$ ,  $u_a^c \subset v_{u_a^c}$ , and  $w_a \cap v_{u_a^c} = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $w_a \subset v_{u_a^c}^c$ . Since  $v_{u_a^c}$  is  $\vartheta_i$ -open,  $v_{u_a^c}^c$  is  $\vartheta_i$ -closed, which means  $\overline{w_a} \subset v_{u_a^c}^c$ . Moreover,  $u_a^c \subset v_{u_a^c}$  implies  $v_{u_a^c}^c \subset u_a$ . Consequently,  $a \in w_a \subset \overline{w_a} \subset v_{u_a^c}^c \subset u_a$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $a \in X$  and  $F$  be a  $\vartheta_i$ -closed set such that  $a \notin F$ . Then  $a \in F^c$ , and  $F^c$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set containing  $a$ . By our hypothesis, there exists a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $w_a$  such that  $a \in w_a \subset \overline{w_a} \subset F^c$ . This implies  $a \in w_a$  and  $F \subset (\overline{w_a})^c$ . Since  $\overline{w_a}$  is  $\vartheta_i$ -closed,  $(\overline{w_a})^c$  is  $\vartheta_i$ -open. We also have  $w_a \cap (\overline{w_a})^c = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is a tri-regular space.

**Definition 15.** [8] A tri-topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is a tri- $T_3$ -space if it is both a tri- $T_1$ -space and tri-regular.

**Definition 16.** [7] A tri-topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is a tri-normal space if for any two disjoint  $\vartheta_i$ -closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist  $\vartheta_i$ -open sets  $u_A$  and  $v_B$  such that  $A \subset u_A$ ,  $B \subset v_B$ , and  $u_A \cap v_B = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 8.** A tri-topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is a tri-normal space if and only if for every  $\vartheta_i$ -closed set  $F$  and  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $U$  containing  $F$ , there exists a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $V$  such that  $F \subset V \subset \overline{V} \subset U$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $F$  be a  $\vartheta_i$ -closed set and  $U$  be a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set containing  $F$ . Then  $U^c$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -closed set and  $F \cap U^c = \emptyset$ . Since  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is tri-normal, there exist  $\vartheta_i$ -open sets  $V$  and  $W$  such that  $F \subset V$ ,  $U^c \subset W$ , and  $V \cap W = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $V \subset W^c$ . Since  $W$  is  $\vartheta_i$ -open,  $W^c$  is  $\vartheta_i$ -closed, which means  $\overline{V} \subset W^c$ . Additionally,  $U^c \subset W$  implies  $W^c \subset U$ . Therefore,  $F \subset V \subset \overline{V} \subset W^c \subset U$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $F$  and  $G$  be disjoint  $\vartheta_i$ -closed sets. Then  $F \subset G^c$  and  $G^c$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set. By our hypothesis, there exists a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $V$  such that  $F \subset V \subset \overline{V} \subset G^c$ . This implies  $F \subset V$  and  $G \subset (\overline{V})^c$ . Since  $\overline{V}$  is  $\vartheta_i$ -closed,  $(\overline{V})^c$  is  $\vartheta_i$ -open. We also have  $V \cap (\overline{V})^c = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is tri-normal.

**Definition 17.** [7] A tri-topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is a tri- $T_4$ -space if it is both a tri- $T_1$ -space and tri-normal.

**Theorem 9.** [8] It is tri- $T_{k-1}$ -space if  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is tri- $T_k$ -space.

**Theorem 10.** [9] A space is tri- $T_3$ -space if  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is tri- $T_4$ -space.

*Proof.* By considering  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  to be tri- $T_4$ -space, it is tri- $T_1$ -space and tri-normal space. This suggests that for any of the two disjoint  $\vartheta_i$ -closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , there are  $\vartheta_i$ -open sets  $u_A$  and  $v_B$  such that  $A \subset u_A$  and  $B \subset v_B$  with

$$u_A \cap v_B = \phi. \tag{1}$$

Let  $b \in B$  now, followed by  $b \in v_B$ . On the other hand,  $A \cap B = \phi$ . Thus,

$$b \notin A \text{ is obtained.} \tag{2}$$

Thus,  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is a tri-regular space according to (1) and (2). Thus,  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is obtained.  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is tri- $T_3$ -space since is tri- $T_1$ -space and tri-regular space.

**Theorem 11.** [8] A space is tri-normal if  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is tri-completely normal.

*Proof.* Consider the space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  to be tri-completely normal. By definition, there is a  $\vartheta_i$ -continuous function

$$f_i : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

for any two disjoint  $\vartheta_i$ -closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ . such that

$$f_i(B) = \{1\}, \quad f_i(A) = \{0\} \tag{3}$$

We now define the inverse images of the open intervals  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  under  $f_i$ , yielding two  $\vartheta_i$ -open sets  $u_A$  and  $v_B$  such that

$$A \subset u_A, \quad B \subset v_B, \quad u_A \cap v_B = \emptyset. \tag{4}$$

The tri-normality of  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  may be inferred from (3) and (4).

**Definition 18.** Given a tri-topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$ , a set  $D$  in  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is referred to as a tri-dense set if  $\bar{D} = X$ . Conversely, for every  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $u$ , we obtain  $u \cap D \neq \phi$  if  $D$  is dense in  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$ .

**Definition 19.** Let  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$  be tri-topological spaces. If  $f(u) = v$ , where  $u$  is  $\vartheta_i$ -open set and  $v$  is  $\sigma_i$ -open set, then  $f : (X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is a tri-open function.

### 3. Tri-locally compact spaces

We then introduce the idea of tri-locally compact spaces and establish some of their most important properties in this section.

**Definition 20.** A subset  $A$  of a tri-topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is tri-compact if every cover of  $A$  by  $\vartheta_i$ -open sets (for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) has a finite subcover.

**Definition 21.** A tri-topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is tri-locally compact if for every point  $a \in X$ , there exists a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $U_a$  containing  $a$  such that  $\overline{U_a}$  is tri-compact.

**Theorem 12.** A tri-topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is tri-locally compact if and only if for every point  $a \in X$  and every  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $U$  containing  $a$ , there exists a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $V$  such that  $a \in V \subset \overline{V} \subset U$  and  $\overline{V}$  is tri-compact.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is tri-locally compact. Let  $a \in X$  and  $U$  be a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set containing  $a$ . By definition, there exists a  $\vartheta_j$ -open set  $W_a$  containing  $a$  such that  $\overline{W_a}$  is tri-compact. Let  $V = W_a \cap U$ , which is a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set containing  $a$ . Then  $\overline{V} \subset \overline{W_a} \cap \overline{U} \subset \overline{W_a} \cap U$ . Since  $\overline{V}$  is a closed subset of the tri-compact set  $\overline{W_a}$ ,  $\overline{V}$  is tri-compact. Therefore,  $a \in V \subset \overline{V} \subset U$  and  $\overline{V}$  is tri-compact.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) This direction follows directly from the definition of tri-locally compact spaces.

**Theorem 13.** Let  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  be a tri- $T_2$ -space. If  $X$  is tri-locally compact, then for every tri-compact set  $K$  and every  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $U$  containing  $K$ , there exists a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $V$  such that  $K \subset V \subset \overline{V} \subset U$  and  $\overline{V}$  is tri-compact.

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be a tri-compact set and  $U$  be a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set containing  $K$ . For each  $a \in K$ , there exists a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $V_a$  such that  $a \in V_a \subset \overline{V_a} \subset U$  and  $\overline{V_a}$  is tri-compact. The collection  $\{V_a : a \in K\}$  forms an open cover of  $K$ . Since  $K$  is tri-compact, there exists a finite subset  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \subset K$  such that  $K \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n V_{a_j}$ .

Let  $V = \bigcup_{j=1}^n V_{a_j}$ . Then  $V$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set and  $K \subset V \subset \overline{V} \subset \overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^n V_{a_j}} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n \overline{V_{a_j}} \subset U$ . Since  $\overline{V} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n \overline{V_{a_j}}$  and each  $\overline{V_{a_j}}$  is tri-compact, their finite union  $\bigcup_{j=1}^n \overline{V_{a_j}}$  is tri-compact. Therefore,  $\overline{V}$  is tri-compact as a closed subset of a tri-compact set.

**Theorem 14.** Let  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  be a tri-topological space. If  $X$  is tri-locally compact and tri- $T_2$ , then for any tri-compact set  $K$  and any closed set  $F$  such that  $K \cap F = \emptyset$ , there exist  $\vartheta_i$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $K \subset U$ ,  $F \subset V$ , and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be a tri-compact set and  $F$  be a closed set such that  $K \cap F = \emptyset$ . For each  $a \in K$ , we have  $a \notin F$ , which means  $a \in F^c$ . Since  $X$  is tri- $T_2$ , for each  $a \in K$  and  $b \in F$ , there exist  $\vartheta_i$ -open sets  $U_a$  and  $V_b$  such that  $a \in U_a$ ,  $b \in V_b$ , and  $U_a \cap V_b = \emptyset$ .

For each  $a \in K$ , the collection  $\{V_b : b \in F\}$  forms an open cover of  $F$ . Since  $X$  is tri-locally compact, there exists a finite subset  $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n_a}\} \subset F$  such that  $F \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_a} V_{b_j}$ . Let  $V_a = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_a} V_{b_j}$ . Then  $V_a$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set containing  $F$ .



Now, let  $W_a = X \setminus V_a$ . Then  $W_a$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -closed set and  $a \in W_a$ . By the previous theorem, there exists a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $U_a$  such that  $a \in U_a \subset \overline{U_a} \subset W_a$  and  $\overline{U_a}$  is tri-compact.

The collection  $\{U_a : a \in K\}$  forms an open cover of  $K$ . Since  $K$  is tri-compact, there exists a finite subset  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\} \subset K$  such that  $K \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m U_{a_j}$ . Let  $U = \bigcup_{j=1}^m U_{a_j}$  and  $V = \bigcap_{j=1}^m V_{a_j}$ . Then  $U$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set containing  $K$ , and  $V$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set containing  $F$ . Furthermore,  $U \cap V = \emptyset$  because  $U_{a_j} \cap V_{a_j} = \emptyset$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

**Theorem 15.** Let  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  be a tri- $T_2$ -space. If  $X$  is tri-locally compact, then for any tri-compact set  $K$  and any  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $U$  containing  $K$ , there exists a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $V$  with compact closure such that  $K \subset V \subset \overline{V} \subset U$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be a tri-compact set and  $U$  be a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set containing  $K$ . For each  $a \in K$ , by tri-local compactness, there exists a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $V_a$  such that  $a \in V_a \subset \overline{V_a} \subset U$  and  $\overline{V_a}$  is tri-compact.

The collection  $\{V_a : a \in K\}$  forms an open cover of  $K$ . Since  $K$  is tri-compact, there exists a finite subset  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \subset K$  such that  $K \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n V_{a_j}$ . Let  $V = \bigcup_{j=1}^n V_{a_j}$ . Then  $V$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set and  $K \subset V \subset \overline{V} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n \overline{V_{a_j}} \subset U$ . Since each  $\overline{V_{a_j}}$  is tri-compact, their finite union  $\bigcup_{j=1}^n \overline{V_{a_j}}$  is tri-compact. Therefore,  $\overline{V}$  is tri-compact as a closed subset of a tri-compact set.

**Theorem 16.** Let  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  be a tri-topological space. If  $X$  is tri-locally compact and tri- $T_3$ , then  $X$  is tri-regular.

*Proof.* Let  $a \in X$  and  $F$  be a  $\vartheta_i$ -closed set such that  $a \notin F$ . Since  $X$  is tri- $T_3$ , it is tri- $T_1$ , which means  $\{a\}$  is a tri-compact set. By the previous theorem, there exist  $\vartheta_i$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $\{a\} \subset U$ ,  $F \subset V$ , and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $X$  is tri-regular.

**Theorem 17.** Let  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  be a tri-topological space. If  $X$  is tri-locally compact and tri- $T_2$ , then for any two disjoint tri-compact sets  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , there exist  $\vartheta_i$ -open sets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  such that  $K_1 \subset U_1$ ,  $K_2 \subset U_2$ , and  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be disjoint tri-compact sets. For each  $a \in K_1$  and  $b \in K_2$ , since  $X$  is tri- $T_2$ , there exist  $\vartheta_i$ -open sets  $U_a$  and  $V_b$  such that  $a \in U_a$ ,  $b \in V_b$ , and  $U_a \cap V_b = \emptyset$ .

For each  $a \in K_1$ , the collection  $\{V_b : b \in K_2\}$  forms an open cover of  $K_2$ . Since  $K_2$  is tri-compact, there exists a finite subset  $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n_a}\} \subset K_2$  such that  $K_2 \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_a} V_{b_j}$ . Let  $V_a = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_a} V_{b_j}$ . Then  $V_a$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set containing  $K_2$  and  $U_a \cap V_a = \emptyset$ .

The collection  $\{U_a : a \in K_1\}$  forms an open cover of  $K_1$ . Since  $K_1$  is tri-compact, there exists a finite subset  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\} \subset K_1$  such that  $K_1 \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m U_{a_j}$ . Let  $U_1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^m U_{a_j}$  and  $U_2 = \bigcap_{j=1}^m V_{a_j}$ . Thus  $K_1$  is an element of  $U_1$  which is a  $\vartheta_i$  open set and similarly for  $K_2$  and  $U_2$ . Furthermore,  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$  because  $U_{a_j} \cap V_{a_j} = \emptyset$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

#### 4. Tri-locally metacompact spaces

In this part, we raise the idea of metacompactness to tri topological space and discuss the connection of tri locally compact and tri locally metacompact.

**Definition 22.** A family  $\mathcal{U}$  of subsets of a tri-topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is called point-finite if each point of  $X$  belongs to at most finitely many members of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Definition 23.** A tri-topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is tri-metacompact if every open cover of  $X$  has a point-finite open refinement.

**Definition 24.** A tri-topological space  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is tri-locally metacompact if for every point  $a \in X$ , there exists a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $U_a$  containing  $a$  such that  $\overline{U_a}$  is tri-metacompact.

**Theorem 18.** Every tri-locally compact space is tri-locally metacompact.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  be a tri-locally compact space. For every point  $a \in X$ , there exists a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $U_a$  containing  $a$  such that  $\overline{U_a}$  is tri-compact. Since every tri-compact space is tri-metacompact,  $\overline{U_a}$  is tri-metacompact. Therefore,  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  is tri-locally metacompact.

**Theorem 19.** Let  $(X, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$  be a tri-topological space. If  $X$  is tri-locally metacompact and tri- $T_3$ , then for any tri-metacompact set  $M$  and any  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $U$  containing  $M$ , there exists a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $V$  such that  $M \subset V \subset \overline{V} \subset U$  and  $\overline{V}$  is tri-metacompact.

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a tri-metacompact set and  $U$  be a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set containing  $M$ . For each  $a \in M$ , by tri-local metacompactness, there exists a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set  $V_a$  such that  $a \in V_a \subset \overline{V_a} \subset U$  and  $\overline{V_a}$  is tri-metacompact.

The collection  $\{V_a : a \in M\}$  forms an open cover of  $M$ . Since  $M$  is tri-metacompact, there exists a point-finite open refinement  $\{W_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of  $\{V_a : a \in M\}$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , there exists  $a_\alpha \in M$  such that  $W_\alpha \subset V_{a_\alpha}$ .

Let  $V = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} W_\alpha$ . Then  $V$  is a  $\vartheta_i$ -open set and  $M \subset V \subset \overline{V} \subset \overline{\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} W_\alpha} \subset \overline{\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_{a_\alpha}} \subset U$ . Since each  $\overline{V_{a_\alpha}}$  is tri-metacompact and the collection  $\{W_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is point-finite,  $\overline{V}$  is tri-metacompact.

#### 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have introduced and studied the concept of tri-locally compact spaces in the context of tri-topological spaces. Several fundamental properties and theorems regarding tri-locally compact spaces are proved and their connections with tri regular spaces and tri  $T_3$  spaces are established. For that, we have also shown that every tri-locally compact space is tri-locally metacompact, and then we have explored the connection between tri-locally compact spaces and tri-locally metacompact spaces.

The behavior of tri-locally compact spaces under various continuous operations, such as continuous mappings, products, and quotients could be further researched. One could also

explore the relationship between tri-locally compact spaces and other types of tri-topological spaces in general, i.e. between tri-locally compact spaces and tri-paracompact spaces and tri-Lindelf spaces.

## References

- [1] Stephen Willard. General topology. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1970.
- [2] James R. Munkres. Topology. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 2 edition, 2000.
- [3] Jun-iti Nagata. Modern general topology. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [4] John L. Kelley. General topology. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 27, 1955.
- [5] Yong Woon Kim. Pairwise compactness. Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen, 15:87–90, 1968.
- [6] Norman Levine. Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces. The American Mathematical Monthly, 70(1):36–41, 1963.
- [7] Jamal Oudetallah, Rehab Alharbi, Salsabiela Rawashdeh, and Ala Amourah. Lindelöfness spaces in  $N^{\text{th}}$  topological spaces. International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, 25(3):206–216, 2025.
- [8] Rehab Alharbi, Jamal Oudetallah, Salsabiela Rawashdeh, and Ala Amourah. Some types of  $N^{\text{th}}$ -locally compactness spaces. International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, 25(3):217–228, 2025.
- [9] Jamal Oudetallah, Mohammad M. Rousan, and Iqbal M. Batiha. On  $D$ -metacompactness in topological spaces. Journal of Applied Mathematics & Informatics, 39(5-6):919–926, 2021.
- [10] Jamal Oudetallah. Nearly expandability in bitopological spaces. Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal, 10(2):705–712, 2021.
- [11] N. Alharbi, H. Shukri, and M. S. M. Noorani. Some properties of pairwise  $\beta$ -irresolute and strongly  $\beta$ -irresolute bitopological mappings. Symmetry, 15(2):375, 2023.
- [12] S. Hnaif, M. Abu-Saleem, and W. Shatanawi. On results of relations between pairwise almost  $s$ -regular and related bitopological spaces. Journal of Function Spaces, 2021:5580806, 2021.