



## Unique Fixed Point Results via Geraghty Type Contraction with Applications

Kamaleldin Abodayeh<sup>1</sup>, Badshah-e-Rome<sup>2</sup>, Sidra Nizam<sup>3</sup>, Muhammad Sarwar<sup>1,3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Mathematics and Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh 11586, Saudi Arabia*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Government Degree College Kabal Swat, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan*

<sup>3</sup> *Department of Mathematics, University of Malakand, Chakdara Dir(L), 18000, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan*

---

**Abstract.** In this research work, using the concept of Banach contraction principle and Geraghty type contractions, some unique fixed point results are established in the context of  $\mu$ -extended fuzzy b-metric spaces. The developed results are applied to ensure the existence of solution to integral equation and non-linear fractional order differential equation.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications:** 34A08, 34A12, 34B15

**Key Words and Phrases:** Fixed point results, Geraghty type contraction, fuzzy b-metric spaces, integral equation, fractional differential equation

---

### 1. Introduction

L. Zadeh [1] was first to propose the concept of fuzzy set in 1965. Fuzzy set theory includes the ordinary set theory as a special case. Membership degree of an element in fuzzy set is described by a real number from the unit interval as opposed to conventional set theory where it is 1 or 0.

There is evidence that the concept of distance measurement is fuzzy instead of classical. Improving this idea, Kramosil and Michalek [2] introduced the notion of fuzzy metric. Fuzzy metric is generalization of probabilistic metric. George and Veeramani [3] modified Kramosil's definition of fuzzy metric and proved that every metric induces a fuzzy metric. The idea of fixed point in fuzzy metric space ( $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ ) has been studied and explored by several authors. In 1988, Grabiec [4] proved fuzzy version of the well known Banach contraction principle. Gregori and Sapena [5] presented the concept of fuzzy contraction and proved a fixed point theorem in  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  in the sense of George and

---

\*Corresponding author.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v18i2.5994>

*Email addresses:* [kamal@psu.edu.sa](mailto:kamal@psu.edu.sa) (K. Abodayeh), [baadeshah1@gmail.com](mailto:baadeshah1@gmail.com) (B. Rome), [sidranizam2244@gmail.com](mailto:sidranizam2244@gmail.com) (S. Nizam), [sarwarwati@gmail.com](mailto:sarwarwati@gmail.com) (M. Sarwar)

Veeramani. In [6] existence and uniqueness of fixed points in modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces is studied. V. Gupta et al. [7] established coupled fixed point theorems on  $V$ -fuzzy metric spaces, while in [8] authors studied new results on modified intuitionistic generalized fuzzy metric spaces. Nădăban [9] initiated fuzzy  $b$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{F}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}})$  extending  $\mathcal{F}\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ . Mehmood et al. [10] generalized fuzzy  $b$ -metric by coming up with the concepts of extended fuzzy  $b$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}})$  and fuzzy rectangular  $b$ -metric [11]. Rome et al. [12] introduced  $\mu$ -extended fuzzy  $b$ -metric space  $(\mu\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}})$  and generalized several fixed point results to this newly introduced fuzzy space. It was M. Geraghty [13] who first replaced the condition of Cauchy convergence for a contraction, in a complete metric space, by an equivalent functional condition. J. Martínez-Moreno et al. [14] obtained some new common fixed point theorems for Geraghty's type contraction mappings using the monotone property with two metrics. Ashraf et al. [15] established some fixed point results for Geraghty-type contraction in fuzzy  $b$ -metric space.

The aim of this paper is to explore Geraghty-type contractions in the setting of  $\mu\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ . Besides Banach contraction principle some well known fixed point results are generalized to  $\mu\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ . To demonstrate validity of the main work, a supporting example and a couple of applications to the existence of solution to non-linear fractional order differential equation and integral equation are provided.

## 2. Preliminaries

In the following some specific definitions and terms are recalled which are essential for main work of this paper.  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  will be used to represent sets of real numbers and positive integers respectively.  $\mathfrak{S}$  will represent a non-empty set.

**Definition 1.** [16] A binary operation  $*$ , mapping  $[0, 1]^2$  onto  $[0, 1]$ , is called continuous  $t$ -norm, if for all  $\varkappa, \chi$  and  $\tilde{z}$  in  $[0, 1]$ , it satisfies:

- (t1)  $\varkappa * y = y * \varkappa$ ;
- (t2)  $(\varkappa * \chi) * \tilde{z} = \varkappa * (\chi * \tilde{z})$ ;
- (t3)  $*$  is continuous;
- (t4)  $\varkappa * 1 = \varkappa$  for all  $\varkappa \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (t5)  $\varkappa * \tilde{z} \leq \chi * \tilde{w}$  whenever  $\varkappa \leq \chi$  and  $\tilde{z} \leq \tilde{w}$ .

Below are some instances of the continuous  $t$ -norm in usage:  $\varkappa *_L \chi = \max\{\varkappa + \chi - 1, 0\}$ ,  $\varkappa *_P \chi = \varkappa \chi$  and  $\varkappa *_M \chi = \min\{\varkappa, \chi\}$ , are respectively called Lukasiewicz, product and minimum  $t$ -norm.

The relation among these  $t$ -norms is:

$*_M \geq *_P \geq *_L$ . In the sequel,  $*$  will stand for an arbitrary continuous  $t$ -norm.

**Definition 2.** [2] A 3-tuple  $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{W}, *)$  is called a  $\mathcal{F}\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ , if  $\mathfrak{W}$  is a fuzzy set on  $\mathfrak{S}^2 \times [0, +\infty)$  satisfying the following conditions for all  $\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in \tilde{X}$  and  $\zeta, s > 0$ :

- (Fm1)  $\mathfrak{W}(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, 0) = 0$ ;
- (Fm2)  $\mathfrak{W}(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta) = 1$  if and only if  $\varkappa = \tilde{y}$ ;
- (Fm3)  $\mathfrak{W}(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta) = \mathfrak{W}(\tilde{y}, \varkappa, \zeta)$ ;

- (Fm4)  $\mathfrak{W}(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta) * \mathfrak{W}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{s}) \leq \mathfrak{W}(\varkappa, \tilde{z}, \zeta + \tilde{s})$ ;
- (Fm5)  $\mathfrak{W}(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \cdot) : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is left continuous.

**Definition 3.** [9] For a given  $b \in [0, +\infty)$ , the fuzzy set  $\mathfrak{W} : \mathfrak{S}^2 \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called  $\mathcal{F}_b\mathfrak{W}$  if for all  $\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in \mathfrak{S}, \zeta, \tilde{s} > 0$  it satisfies:

- (F<sub>b</sub>m1)  $\mathfrak{W}_b(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, 0) = 0$ ;
- (F<sub>b</sub>m2)  $\mathfrak{W}_b(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta) = 1$  if and only if  $\varkappa = \tilde{y}$ ;
- (F<sub>b</sub>m3)  $\mathfrak{W}_b(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta) = \mathfrak{W}(\tilde{y}, \varkappa, \zeta)$ ;
- (F<sub>b</sub>m4)  $\mathfrak{W}_b(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \frac{\zeta}{b}) * \mathfrak{W}_b(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}, \frac{\tilde{s}}{b}) \leq \mathfrak{W}_b(\varkappa, \tilde{z}, \zeta + \tilde{s})$ ;
- (F<sub>b</sub>m5)  $\mathfrak{W}_b(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \cdot) : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta) = 1$ .

**Remark 1.**  $\mathcal{F}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  becomes  $\mathcal{F}\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  for  $b = 1$ .

**Remark 2.** [17] Generally speaking, a  $\mathcal{F}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  is not continuous.

Example 1 demonstrates that it is not necessary for a  $\mathcal{F}_b\mathfrak{W}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}$  to be a  $\mathcal{F}\mathfrak{W}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

**Definition 4.** [10] The ordered triple  $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{W}_e, *)$  is called  $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  by function  $\alpha : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ , where  $\mathfrak{W}_e : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is fuzzy set which for all  $\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in \mathfrak{S}$  satisfies the conditons:

- (F<sub>e</sub>bm1)  $\mathfrak{W}_e(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, 0) = 0$ ;
- (F<sub>e</sub>bm2)  $\mathfrak{W}_e(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta) = 1$ , for all  $\zeta > 0$  if and only if  $\tilde{y} = \varkappa$ ;
- (F<sub>e</sub>bm3)  $\mathfrak{W}_e(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta) = \mathfrak{W}_e(\tilde{y}, \varkappa, \zeta)$ ;
- (F<sub>e</sub>bm4)  $\mathfrak{W}_e(\varkappa, \tilde{z}, \alpha(\varkappa, \tilde{z})(\zeta + \tilde{s})) \geq \mathfrak{W}_e(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta) * \mathfrak{W}_e(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{s})$ , for all  $s, \zeta > 0$ ;
- (F<sub>e</sub>bm5)  $\mathfrak{W}_e(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \cdot) : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous and  $\lim_{\zeta \rightarrow +\infty} \mathfrak{W}_e(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta) = 1$ .

**Definition 5.** [12] Let  $\alpha, \mu : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  be bounded functions. A fuzzy set  $\mathfrak{W}_\mu : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \times [0, +\infty)$  is said to be  $\mu\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}_b\mathfrak{W}$  if for all  $\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in \mathfrak{S}$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

- ( $\mu e_1$ )  $\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, 0) = 0$ ;
- ( $\mu e_2$ )  $\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta) = 1$ , for all  $\zeta > 0$  if and only if  $\varkappa = \tilde{y}$ ;
- ( $\mu e_3$ )  $\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta) = \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\tilde{y}, \varkappa, \zeta)$ ;
- ( $\mu e_4$ )  $\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{z}, \alpha(\varkappa, \tilde{z})\zeta + \mu(\varkappa, \tilde{z})j) \geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta) * \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}, j)$ , for all  $j, \zeta > 0$ ;
- ( $\mu e_5$ )  $\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \cdot) : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous and  $\lim_{\zeta \rightarrow +\infty} \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta) = 1$ . Then

$(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{W}_\mu, *, \alpha, \mu)$  is called  $\mu\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ ,

**Remark 3.** It is important to note that  $\mathcal{F}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  and  $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  are specific forms of  $\mu\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  when  $\alpha(\varkappa, \tilde{y}) = \mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}) = b$ , for some  $b \geq 1$   $\alpha(\varkappa, \tilde{y}) = \mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y})$ , respectively.

**Example 1.** [18] Let  $\mathfrak{W}(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta) = e^{-|\varkappa - \tilde{y}|^p / \zeta}$ , where  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{W}$  is a  $\mathcal{F}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  with  $b = 2^{p-1}$ .

$(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{W}, *)$  in the above example is not a  $\mathcal{F}\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  for  $p = 2$ .

**Example 2.** [12] Let  $\mathfrak{S} = [0, 1]$  and  $\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta) = e^{\frac{-|\varkappa-\tilde{y}|}{\zeta}}$ , for all  $\varkappa, \tilde{y} \in \mathfrak{S}$ . It can be readily confirmed that  $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{W}_\mu, *, \alpha, \mu)$  is a  $G$ -complete  $\mu\mathcal{EF}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  with mappings  $\alpha, \mu : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  defined by  $\alpha(\varkappa, \tilde{y}) = 1 + \varkappa\tilde{y}$  and  $\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}) = 1 + \varkappa + \tilde{y}$ , respectively and continuous  $t$ -norm  $*$  as usual product.

**Definition 6.** Let  $\{\varkappa_m\}$  be a sequence in  $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{W}_\mu, *, \alpha, \mu)$ , then:

(1)  $\{\varkappa_m\}$  is called  $G$ -convergent to  $\varkappa_0 \in \mathfrak{S}$ , if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_0, \zeta) = 1 \text{ for all } \zeta > 0.$$

(2)  $\{\varkappa_m\}$  is  $G$ -Cauchy if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+p}, \zeta) = 1 \text{ for all } \zeta, p > 0.$$

(3)  $\mathfrak{S}$  is  $G$ -complete, if every Cauchy sequence converges to some point of  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

### 3. Main results

Let  $F_b$  denote the collection of all mappings  $\beta : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, \frac{1}{b})$ , that satisfy the following condition:

$$\beta(\zeta_n) \rightarrow \frac{1}{b} \text{ implies } \zeta_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ for a given real number } b > 1. \tag{3.1}$$

Our main results make use of the following Lemma.

**Lemma 1.** [19] Let  $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{W}, *)$  be a complete  $\mathcal{FW}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  and  $\mathfrak{W}(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, k\zeta) \geq \mathfrak{W}(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta)$  for all  $\varkappa, \tilde{y} \in \mathfrak{S}$ . With  $k \in (0, 1)$  and  $\zeta > 0$ , then  $\varkappa = \tilde{y}$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{W}, *, \alpha, \mu)$  be a  $G$ -complete  $\mu\mathcal{EF}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  with mappings  $\alpha, \mu : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ . Let  $g$  be a self mapping satisfying

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_2, \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta))\zeta) \geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta), \text{ for all } \varkappa_1, \varkappa_2 \in \mathfrak{S} \text{ with } \beta \in F_b$$

and

$$\max \left\{ \sup_{p \geq 1} \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{n+p}), \sup_{p \geq 1} \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+p}) \right\} < b, \quad m, p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $g$  has a fixed point.

*Proof.* Set  $\varkappa_{m+1} = g\varkappa_m$ , where  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $\varkappa_0 \in \mathfrak{S}$  is fixed.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \zeta) & (3.2) \\ & = \mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_{m-1}, g\varkappa_m, \zeta) \\ & \geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-2}, \varkappa_{m-1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-2}, \varkappa_{m-1}, \zeta))} \right) \\
 &\geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-3}, \varkappa_{m-2}, \frac{\zeta}{\prod_{i=1}^3 \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-i}, \varkappa_{m+1-i}, \zeta))} \right) \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1, \frac{\zeta}{\prod_{i=1}^m \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-i}, \varkappa_{m+1-i}, \zeta))} \right). \\
 \text{implies } &\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \zeta) \\
 &\geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1, \frac{\zeta}{\prod_{i=1}^m \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-i}, \varkappa_{m+1-i}, \zeta))} \right). \tag{3.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

For any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , writing  $\zeta = \frac{p\zeta}{p} = \frac{\zeta}{p} + \frac{\zeta}{p} + \dots + \frac{\zeta}{p}$ , and applying  $(\mu_4)$  repeatedly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+p}, \zeta) \\
 &\geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{p\alpha(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+p})} \right) * \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m+1}, \varkappa_{m+2}, \frac{\zeta}{p\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+p})\alpha(\varkappa_{m+1}, \varkappa_{m+p})} \right) * \dots * \\
 &\mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m+(p-1)}, \varkappa_{m+p}, \frac{\zeta}{p\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+p})\mu(\varkappa_{m+1}, \varkappa_{m+p}) \dots \mu(\varkappa_{m+(p-3)}, \varkappa_{m+p})\alpha(\varkappa_{m+(p-2)}, \varkappa_{m+p})} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

using inequality (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+p}, \zeta) \\
 &\geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1, \frac{\zeta}{p\alpha(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+p})\prod_{i=1}^m \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-i}, \varkappa_{m+1-i}, \zeta))} \right) * \\
 &\mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1, \frac{\zeta}{p\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+p})\alpha(\varkappa_{m+1}, \varkappa_{m+p})\prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-i}, \varkappa_{m+1-i}, \zeta))} \right) * \\
 &\mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1, \frac{\zeta}{p\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+p})\mu(\varkappa_{m+1}, \varkappa_{m+p})\alpha(\varkappa_{m+2}, \varkappa_{m+p})\prod_{i=1}^{m+2} \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-i}, \varkappa_{m+1-i}, \zeta))} \right) * \dots * \\
 &\mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1, \frac{\zeta}{p \prod_{i=1}^{p-2} \mu(\varkappa_{n+i-1}, \varkappa_{m+p})\alpha(\varkappa_{n+(p-2)}, \varkappa_{m+p})\prod_{i=1}^{m+(p-1)} \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-i}, \varkappa_{m+1-i}, \zeta))} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The hypothesis  $\max \left\{ \sup_{p \geq 1} \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+p}), \sup_{p \geq 1} \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+p}) \right\} < b$ , along with (3.1), gives

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+p}, \zeta) \geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1, \frac{\zeta b^{m-1}}{p} \right) * \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1, \frac{\zeta b^{m-1}}{p} \right) * \dots * \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1, \frac{\zeta b^{m-1}}{p} \right).$$

Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+p}, \zeta) = 1 * 1 * \dots * 1 = 1$ . Therefore  $\{\varkappa_m\}$  is Cauchy. The completeness of matric space  $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{W}_u, *, \alpha, \mu)$  guarantees the existence of some  $\tilde{z}$  in  $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{W}_u, *, \alpha, \mu)$ , such that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \varkappa_m = \tilde{z}$ . Thus we have

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}, \zeta) \geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( g\tilde{z}, g\varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{2\alpha(g\tilde{z}, \tilde{z})} \right) * \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( g\varkappa_m, \tilde{z}, \frac{\zeta}{2\mu(g\tilde{z}, \tilde{z})} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \tilde{z}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{2\alpha(g\tilde{z}, \tilde{z})\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\tilde{z}, \varkappa_m))} \right) * \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m+1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{2\mu(g\tilde{z}, \tilde{z})} \right) \\ &\rightarrow 1 * 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $g\tilde{z} = \tilde{z}$  is fixed point. For uniqueness assume that  $g\tilde{s} = \tilde{s} \neq \tilde{z} = g\tilde{z}$ , for some  $\tilde{s} \in \mathfrak{S}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\tilde{s}, \tilde{z}, \zeta) &= \mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\tilde{s}, g\tilde{z}, \zeta) \\ &\geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\tilde{s}, \tilde{z}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\tilde{s}, \tilde{z}, \zeta))}) \\ &= \mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\tilde{s}, g\tilde{z}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\tilde{s}, \tilde{z}, \zeta))}) \\ &\geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\tilde{s}, \tilde{z}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\tilde{s}, \tilde{z}, \zeta))^2}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\tilde{s}, \tilde{z}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\tilde{s}, \tilde{z}, \zeta))^m}) \\ &= \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\tilde{s}, \tilde{z}, b^m\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

That is  $\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\tilde{s}, \tilde{z}, \zeta) \rightarrow 1$  as  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ . Thus  $\tilde{s} = \tilde{z}$  and hence fixed point is unique.

Letting  $\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta)) = k$  for some  $k, \epsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{b})$ . The following Theorem’s corollary, which generalizes the widely known Banach contraction theorem in the context of  $\mu\mathcal{EF}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ , is obtained.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{W}_u, *, \alpha, \mu)$  be a  $G$ -complete  $\mu\mathcal{EF}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  with mappings  $\alpha, \mu : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ . Let  $g$  be a self mapping satisfying*

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_2, k\zeta) \geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta), \text{ for all } \varkappa_1, \varkappa_2 \in \mathfrak{S} \text{ where } \beta \in F_b.$$

Then  $g$  has a fixed point.

An example will be provided for the aforementioned Theorem in order to validate it

**Example 3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{S} = [0, 1]$ , Let  $\mathfrak{W}_\mu : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \times [1, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , defined by  $\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta) = e^{-\frac{|\varkappa_1 - \varkappa_2|}{\zeta}}$ .  $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{W}_u, *, \alpha, \mu)$  is  $\mu\mathcal{EF}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  with  $b=2$ . Consider the mapping  $g : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$  defined by  $g(\varkappa) = 1 - \frac{1}{6}\varkappa$ , and  $\beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \frac{1}{2})$  as  $\beta(\zeta) = \frac{1}{4}$ . obviously  $\beta \in F_2$ . For all  $\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$ ,  $\zeta > 0$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_2, \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta))\zeta) &= e^{-\frac{|g\varkappa_1 - g\varkappa_2|}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta))\zeta}} \\ &= e^{-\frac{|1 - \frac{1}{6}\varkappa_1 - (1 - \frac{1}{6}\varkappa_2)|}{\frac{1}{4}\zeta}} \\ &= e^{-\frac{|-\frac{1}{6}\varkappa_1 + \frac{1}{6}\varkappa_2|}{\frac{1}{4}\zeta}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= e^{-\frac{1}{6} \frac{|\varkappa_1 - \varkappa_2|}{\frac{1}{4} \zeta}} \\
 &= e^{-\frac{2}{3} \frac{|\varkappa_1 - \varkappa_2|}{\zeta}} \\
 &\geq e^{-\frac{|\varkappa_1 - \varkappa_2|}{\zeta}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_2, \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta))\zeta) \geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta).$$

And  $g(\frac{6}{7}) = \frac{6}{7}$ . Consequently,  $\frac{6}{7}$  is an unique fixed point of  $g$ . Since Theorem 1 criteria are all fulfilled.

**Theorem 2.** Consider a  $G$ -complete  $\mu\mathcal{EF}_b\mathfrak{WS}$ ,  $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{W}_\mu, *, \alpha, \mu)$  with mappings  $\alpha, \mu : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ .

Let  $g$  be a self mapping on  $\mathfrak{S}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_2, \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta))\zeta) \\
 &\geq \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_1, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_2, g\varkappa_2, \zeta), \right. \\
 &\left. \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_2, (\alpha(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2) + \mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2))\zeta) * \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_2, g\varkappa_1, (\alpha(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2) + \mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2))\zeta) \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$ . Then there is unique fixed point of  $g$  in  $\mathfrak{S}$ . Here  $*$  =  $*_m$ .

*Proof.* Beginning in the same manner as in Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \zeta) \\
 &= \mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_{m-1}, g\varkappa_m, \zeta) \\
 &\geq \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, g\varkappa_{m-1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \right. \\
 &\mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, g\varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, g\varkappa_m, \frac{(\alpha(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m) + \mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m))\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) * \\
 &\left. \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, g\varkappa_{m-1}, \frac{(\alpha(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m) + \mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m))\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right\} \\
 &\geq \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \right. \\
 &\mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{(\alpha(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m) + \mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m))\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) * \\
 &\left. \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_m, \frac{(\alpha(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m) + \mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m))\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right\} \\
 &\geq \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{(\alpha(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m) + \mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m))}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) * 1 \Big\} \\
& \geq \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \right. \\
& \left. \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{(\alpha(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m) + \mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m))\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right\} \\
& \geq \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \right. \\
& \left. \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) * \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right\}. \quad (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

If

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right\} \\
& = \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

then inequality (3.4) implies that

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \zeta \right) \geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right),$$

the remaining part of the proof is the consequence of the Lemma 1.

If

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right\} \\
& = \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

then from (3.4) we have

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \zeta \right) \geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right),$$

continuing in this way, we get

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \zeta \right) \geq \left( \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1, \frac{\zeta}{\prod_{i=1}^m \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-i}, \varkappa_{m+1-i}, \zeta))} \right).$$

The same method employed after inequality (3.2) in Theorem 1 can be used to finish the proof of this result.

Letting  $\min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_1, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_2, g\varkappa_2, \zeta), \right.$



$$\left( \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_2, (\alpha(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2) + \mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2))\zeta) * \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_2, g\varkappa_1, (\alpha(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2) + \mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2))\zeta) \right) \Big\} \\ = \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta).$$

We have deduced the following corollary from Theorem 2, which reduce the above theorem to Theorem 1.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{W}_u, *, \alpha, \mu)$  be a  $G$ -complete  $\mu\mathcal{EF}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}$  with mappings  $\alpha, \mu : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ . Let  $g$  be a self mapping satisfying*

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_2, \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta))\zeta) \geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta), \text{ for all } \varkappa_1, \varkappa_2 \in \mathfrak{S} \text{ where } \beta \in F_b.$$

Then  $g$  has a fixed point.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{W}_u, *, \alpha, \mu)$  be a  $G$ -complete  $\mu\mathcal{EF}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}$  with mappings  $\alpha, \mu : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  and  $g : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$  be a mapping satisfying*

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_2, \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta))\zeta) \\ \geq \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_2, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_1, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_2, g\varkappa_2, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta) \right\},$$

for all  $\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$  and  $\beta \in F_b$ . Then there is a unique  $\varkappa_0$  in  $\mathfrak{S}$  such that  $g(\varkappa_0) = \varkappa_0$ .

*Proof.* Beginning in the same manner as in Theorem 1, we have

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \zeta) \\ = \mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_{m-1}, g\varkappa_m, \zeta) \\ \geq \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( g\varkappa_{m-1}, g\varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, g\varkappa_{m-1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \right. \\ \left. \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, g\varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right\} \\ \geq \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \right. \\ \left. \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right\} \\ \geq \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right\},$$

employing the identical method as applied in Theorem 2 subsequent to the inequality (3.4), the existence and uniqueness of fixed point can be shown.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{W}_u, *, \alpha, \mu)$  be a  $G$ -complete  $\mu\mathcal{EF}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}$  with mappings  $\alpha, \mu : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ . Let  $g : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$  be a mapping satisfying*

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_2, \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta))\zeta) \geq \frac{\eta(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta)}{\max \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_1, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_2, g\varkappa_2, \zeta) \right\}},$$

where  $\eta(x_1, x_2, t) = \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu(gx_1, gx_2, \zeta) \mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_1, x_2, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_1, gx_1, \zeta) \mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_2, gx_2, \zeta) \right\},$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$ , and  $\beta \in F_b$ . Then there is a unique  $x_0$  in  $\mathfrak{S}$  such that  $g(x_0) = x_0$ .

*Proof.* Arguing as in Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_m, x_{m+1}, \zeta) \\ &= \mathfrak{W}_\mu(gx_{m-1}, gx_m, \zeta) \\ &\geq \frac{\eta(x_n, x_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))})}{\max \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, gx_{m-1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}), \mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_m, gx_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}) \right\}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta\left(x_n, x_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right) \\ &= \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(gx_{m-1}, gx_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right) \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(x_{m-1}, x_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right), \right. \\ & \left. \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(x_{m-1}, gx_{m-1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right) \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(x_m, gx_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(x_m, x_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right) \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(x_{m-1}, x_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right), \right. \\ & \left. \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(x_{m-1}, x_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right) \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(x_m, x_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right) \right\}. \\ & \eta\left(x_m, x_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right) \\ &= \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(x_{m-1}, x_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right) \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(x_m, x_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

By substituting (3.6) in (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_m, x_{m+1}, \zeta) \\ &\geq \frac{\mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(x_{m-1}, x_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right) \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(x_m, x_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right)}{\max \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(x_{m-1}, x_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(x_m, x_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right) \right\}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

If

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(x_{m-1}, x_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(x_m, x_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right) \right\} \\ &= \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(x_{m-1}, x_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_{m-1}, x_m, \zeta))}\right), \end{aligned}$$

as inequality (3.7) implies that

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \zeta) \geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))}\right),$$

then there is nothing to prove by the assertion stated in lemma 1.

If

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))}\right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_n, \zeta))}\right) \right\} \\ &= \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))}\right), \end{aligned}$$

then from inequality (3.7) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \zeta) &\geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))}\right) \\ &\geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\varkappa_{m-2}, \varkappa_{m-1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta)) \cdot \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-2}, \varkappa_{m-1}, \zeta))}\right) \\ &\vdots \\ &\geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\varkappa_0, \varkappa_1, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta)) \cdot \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-2}, \varkappa_{m-1}, \zeta)) \cdots \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_0, \varkappa_1, \zeta))}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The theorem can be proven using the same method as demonstrated in Theorem 1. After considering inequality (3.2), we are able to demonstrate the fixed point's existence and uniqueness.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{W}_u, *, \alpha, \mu)$  be a  $G$ -complete  $\mu\mathcal{EF}_b\mathfrak{WS}$  with mappings  $\alpha, \mu : \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ . Let  $g$  be a self mapping satisfying

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_2, \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta))\zeta) \geq \lambda(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta) * \gamma(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, t) &= \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_2, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_1, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_2, g\varkappa_2, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta) \right\} \\ \gamma(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta) &= \max \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_2, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$ , and  $\beta \in F_b$ . Then there will be a unique fixed point of  $g$  in  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

*Proof.* Using similar arguments as used in the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu(x_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \zeta)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, g\mathcal{X}_m, \zeta) \\
&\geq \lambda\left(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right) * \gamma\left(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right). \quad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda\left(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right) \\
&= \min\left\{\mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(g\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, g\mathcal{X}_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, g\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\mathcal{X}_m, g\mathcal{X}_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right)\right\}. \\
&= \min\left\{\mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{X}_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{X}_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right)\right\}. \\
&\lambda\left(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right) \\
&= \min\left\{\mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{X}_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right)\right\}. \quad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma\left(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right) \\
&= \max\left\{\mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, g\mathcal{X}_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(g\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right)\right\} \\
&= \max\left\{\mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{X}_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right)\right\} \\
&= \max\left\{\mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right), 1\right\} \\
&\gamma\left(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right) = 1. \quad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Using equation(3.9) and (3.10) in inequality (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{X}_{m+1}, \zeta) \\
&\geq \min\left\{\mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{X}_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right)\right\} * 1 \\
&\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{X}_{m+1}, \zeta) \\
&\geq \min\left\{\mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{X}_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}_{m-1}, \mathcal{X}_m, \zeta))}\right)\right\}. \quad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

If

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right\} \\ & = \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (3.11) implies that

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \zeta) \geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right).$$

The remaining portion of the proof follows from Lemma 1.

If

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right\} \\ & = \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \end{aligned}$$

then from inequality (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \zeta) & \geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \\ & \geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-2}, \varkappa_{m-1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-2}, \varkappa_{m-1}, \zeta))} \right) \\ & \vdots \\ & \geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_0, \varkappa_1, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-2}, \varkappa_{m-1}, \zeta)) \dots \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_0, \varkappa_1, \zeta))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the identical process as applied in Theorem 2 following the inequality (3.4), we can show the existence and uniqueness of fixed point.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{W}_u, *, \alpha, \mu)$  be a  $G$ -complete  $\mu\mathcal{EF}_b\mathfrak{WS}$  and  $b \geq 1$ . Let  $g$  be a self mapping satisfying

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_2, \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta))\zeta) \geq \frac{\lambda(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta) * \gamma(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta)}{\eta(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta) & = \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_2, \zeta)\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_1, \zeta)\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_2, g\varkappa_2, \zeta) \right\} \\ \gamma(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta) & = \max \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_1, \zeta)\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_2, \zeta), (\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_2, g\varkappa_1, \zeta))^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\eta(\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \zeta) = \max \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_1, g\varkappa_1, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_2, g\varkappa_2, \zeta) \right\}.$$

For all  $\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$ , with  $\beta \in F_b$ . Then  $g$  has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* By utilizing the identical method as outlined in the previous Theorems, we arrive at the same result.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \zeta) \\ &= \mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_{m-1}, g\varkappa_m, \zeta) \\ & \geq \frac{\lambda \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) * \gamma \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right)}{\eta \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \\ &= \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( g\varkappa_{m-1}, g\varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \right. \\ & \left. \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, g\varkappa_{m-1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, g\varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \right. \\ & \left. \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right\} \\ & \lambda \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \\ &= \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right). \quad (3.13) \\ & \gamma \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \\ &= \max \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, g\varkappa_{m-1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, g\varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \right. \\ & \left. \left( \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, g\varkappa_{m-1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \right. \\ & \left. \left( \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right)^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \max \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), 1 \right\} \\
 \gamma \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) &= 1. \tag{3.14}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\eta \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \\
 &= \max \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, g\varkappa_{m-1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, g\varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right\} \tag{3.15}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using equation (3.13),(3.14)and (3.15) in equation(3.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathfrak{W}_\mu(g\varkappa_{m-1}, g\varkappa_m, \zeta) \\
 &\geq \frac{\mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right)}{\max \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right\}} \tag{3.16}
 \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\max \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right\} \\
 &= \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

in inequality (3.16), implies that

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \zeta \right) \geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right),$$

then there is nothing to prove by Lemma 1.

If

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\max \left\{ \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right), \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right) \right\} \\
 &= \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

then inequality (3.16), implies that

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \zeta \right) \geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu \left( \varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))} \right).$$

Continuing in the same way, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_m, \varkappa_{m+1}, \zeta) &\geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))}\right) \\ &\geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\varkappa_{m-2}, \varkappa_{m-1}, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-2}, \varkappa_{m-1}, \zeta))}\right) \\ &\vdots \\ &\geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu\left(\varkappa_0, \varkappa_1, \frac{\zeta}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-1}, \varkappa_m, \zeta))\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_{m-2}, \varkappa_{m-1}, \zeta)) \cdots \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa_0, \varkappa_1, \zeta))}\right) \end{aligned}$$

using the identical method applied subsequent to Theorem 1 right after the inequality (3.2), we have the capability to demonstrate both the presence and exclusivity of a fixed point.

#### 4. Application to Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equations

In this section, existence of solution to a nonlinear fractional order differential equation ( $\mathcal{NFDE}$ ) is investigated via the results established in this manuscript. The set  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} \equiv C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ , furnished with norm  $\|\varkappa\| := \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |\varkappa(s)|$  is Banach space.

Consider

$$D_{0+}^\xi(\tilde{u}(\zeta)) = \mathbf{g}(\zeta, \tilde{u}(\zeta)), \quad \zeta \in ]0, 1[, \tag{4.1}$$

with conditions

$$\varkappa(0) + \acute{\varkappa}(0) = 0, \quad \varkappa(1) + \acute{\varkappa}(1) = 0,$$

here  $\varkappa \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}, \xi \in ]1, 2]$  and  $\mathbf{g} : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous mapping.

Now  $\varkappa \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  will be a solution to (4.1) if it solves the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} \varkappa(\tilde{s}) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^1 (1 - \tilde{r})^{\xi-1} (1 - \tilde{s}) \mathbf{g}(\tilde{r}, \varkappa(\tilde{r})) d\tilde{r} + \\ &\frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi - 1)} \int_0^1 (1 - \tilde{r})^{\xi-2} (1 - \tilde{s}) \mathbf{g}(\tilde{r}, \varkappa(\tilde{r})) d\tilde{r} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^{\tilde{s}} (\tilde{s} - \tilde{r})^{\xi-1} \mathbf{g}(\tilde{r}, \varkappa(\tilde{r})) d\tilde{r}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

For further detail and comprehensive discussion of the problem setting, [20–23] should be consulted.

Define an integral operator  $\tilde{\mathfrak{J}} : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}\varkappa(\tilde{s}) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^1 (1 - \tilde{r})^{\xi-1} (1 - \tilde{s}) \mathbf{g}(\tilde{r}, \varkappa(\tilde{r})) d\tilde{r} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi - 1)} \int_0^1 (1 - \tilde{r})^{\xi-2} (1 - \tilde{s}) \mathbf{g}(\tilde{r}, \varkappa(\tilde{r})) d\tilde{r} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^{\tilde{s}} (\tilde{s} - \tilde{r})^{\xi-1} \mathbf{g}(\tilde{r}, \varkappa(\tilde{r})) d\tilde{r}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$



where  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  is an  $\mu\mathcal{EF}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{W}_\mu$  is a  $\mu\mathcal{EF}_b\mathfrak{W}$  with functions  $\mu = 1 + \varkappa(\tilde{s}) + \tilde{y}(\tilde{s})$  and  $\alpha = 1 + \varkappa(\tilde{s})\tilde{y}(\tilde{s})$ , for all  $\zeta > 0$  and  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{y} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ .

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta) = e^{-\sup_{\tilde{s} \in [0,1]} \frac{|\varkappa(\tilde{s}) - \tilde{y}(\tilde{s})|}{\zeta}},$$

**Theorem 7.** Define  $\{g_m\} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ , by  $g_{m+1} = \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}(g_m)$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $g \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ . Let there be  $\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta)) \in (0, \frac{1}{k^2})$ , with  $k = \limsup_{m,n \rightarrow +\infty} \Omega(g_m, g_n)$ , for a bounded function

$\Omega : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \times \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  and the following conditions are satisfied:

- $|\mathfrak{g}(\tilde{r}, \varkappa(\tilde{r})) - \mathfrak{g}(\tilde{r}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{r}))| \leq |\varkappa(\tilde{r}) - \tilde{y}(\tilde{r})|$ , for all  $\varkappa, \tilde{y} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$
- $\sup_{\zeta \in [0,1]} \left\{ \frac{1-\tilde{s}}{\Gamma(\xi+1)} + \frac{1-\tilde{s}}{\Gamma(\xi)} + \frac{s^\xi}{\Gamma(\xi+1)} \right\} \leq \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta)) < 1$ .

Then  $(\mathcal{NFD}\mathcal{E})$  (4.1) has unique solution

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}\mathcal{X}(\tilde{s}) - \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}(\tilde{s})| &= \left| \frac{1 - \tilde{s}}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^1 (1 - \tilde{r})^{\xi-1} [\mathbf{g}(\tilde{r}, \mathcal{X}(\tilde{r})) - \mathbf{g}(\tilde{r}, \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}(\tilde{r}))] \mathbf{d}\tilde{r} \right. \\
 &\quad + \frac{1 - \tilde{s}}{\Gamma(\xi - 1)} \int_0^1 (1 - \tilde{r})^{\xi-2} [\mathbf{g}(\tilde{r}, \mathcal{X}(\tilde{r})) - \mathbf{g}(\tilde{r}, \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}(\tilde{r}))] \mathbf{d}\tilde{r} \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^{\tilde{s}} (\tilde{s} - \tilde{r})^{\xi-1} [\mathbf{g}(\tilde{r}, \mathcal{X}(\tilde{r})) - \mathbf{g}(\tilde{r}, \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}(\tilde{r}))] \mathbf{d}\tilde{r} \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1 - \tilde{s}}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^1 (1 - \tilde{r})^{\xi-1} |\mathbf{g}(\tilde{r}, \mathcal{X}(\tilde{r})) - \mathbf{g}(\tilde{r}, \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}(\tilde{r}))| \mathbf{d}\tilde{r} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1 - \tilde{s}}{\Gamma(\xi - 1)} \int_0^1 (1 - \tilde{r})^{\xi-2} |\mathbf{g}(\tilde{r}, \mathcal{X}(\tilde{r})) - \mathbf{g}(\tilde{r}, \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}(\tilde{r}))| \mathbf{d}\tilde{r} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^{\tilde{s}} (\tilde{s} - \tilde{r})^{\xi-1} |\mathbf{g}(\tilde{r}, \mathcal{X}(\tilde{r})) - \mathbf{g}(\tilde{r}, \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}(\tilde{r}))| \mathbf{d}\tilde{r} \\
 &\leq \frac{1 - \tilde{s}}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^1 (1 - \tilde{r})^{\xi-1} |\mathcal{X}(\tilde{r}) - \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}(\tilde{r})| \mathbf{d}\tilde{r} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1 - \tilde{s}}{\Gamma(\xi - 1)} \int_0^1 (1 - \tilde{r})^{\xi-2} |\mathcal{X}(\tilde{r}) - \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}(\tilde{r})| \mathbf{d}\tilde{r} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^{\tilde{s}} (\tilde{s} - \tilde{r})^{\xi-1} |\mathcal{X}(\tilde{r}) - \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}(\tilde{r})| \mathbf{d}\tilde{r} \\
 &\leq \sup_{\tilde{s} \in [0,1]} |\mathcal{X}(\tilde{s}) - \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}(\tilde{s})| \left( \frac{1 - \tilde{s}}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^1 (1 - \tilde{r})^{\xi-1} \mathbf{d}\tilde{r} + \frac{1 - \tilde{s}}{\Gamma(\xi - 1)} \int_0^1 (1 - \tilde{r})^{\xi-2} \mathbf{d}\tilde{r} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^{\tilde{s}} (\tilde{s} - \tilde{r})^{\xi-1} \mathbf{d}\tilde{r} \right) \\
 &= \sup_{\tilde{s} \in [0,1]} |\mathcal{X}(\tilde{s}) - \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}(\tilde{s})| \left( \frac{1 - \tilde{s}}{\Gamma(\xi + 1)} + \frac{1 - \tilde{s}}{\Gamma(\xi)} + \frac{s^\xi}{\Gamma(\xi + 1)} \right) \\
 &= \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}, \zeta)) \sup_{\tilde{s} \in [0,1]} |\mathcal{X}(\tilde{s}) - \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}(\tilde{s})|, \\
 \text{where } \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}, \zeta)) &= \frac{1 - \tilde{s}}{\Gamma(\xi + 1)} + \frac{1 - \tilde{s}}{\Gamma(\xi)} + \frac{s^\xi}{\Gamma(\xi + 1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality, it becomes apparent that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\tilde{s} \in [0,1]} |\tilde{\mathcal{J}}\varkappa(\tilde{s}) - \tilde{\mathcal{J}}\tilde{y}(\tilde{s})| \leq \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta)) \sup_{\tilde{s} \in [0,1]} |\varkappa(\tilde{s}) - \tilde{y}(\tilde{s})| \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{-\sup_{\tilde{s} \in [0,1]} |\tilde{\mathcal{J}}\varkappa(\tilde{s}) - \tilde{\mathcal{J}}\tilde{y}(\tilde{s})|}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta))\zeta} \geq \frac{-\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta)) \sup_{\tilde{s} \in [0,1]} |\varkappa(\tilde{s}) - \tilde{y}(\tilde{s})|}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta))\zeta} \\ \Rightarrow & e^{-\frac{\sup_{\tilde{s} \in [0,1]} |\tilde{\mathcal{J}}\varkappa(\tilde{s}) - \tilde{\mathcal{J}}\tilde{y}(\tilde{s})|}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta))\zeta}} \geq e^{-\frac{\sup_{\tilde{s} \in [0,1]} |\varkappa(\tilde{s}) - \tilde{y}(\tilde{s})|}{\zeta}} \\ \Rightarrow & \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}\varkappa, \tilde{\mathcal{J}}\tilde{y}, \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta))\zeta) \geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 implies that  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$  has a fixed point  $v^* \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ , and as a result, the  $\mathcal{NFDE}$  (4.1) has a unique solution in  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ .

### 5. Application to Integral Equations

In this section, we use our established results to ensure that integral equations of the type

$$u(\zeta) = g(\zeta) + \int_0^\zeta H(\zeta, \tilde{s}, \varkappa(\tilde{s}))ds, \quad \zeta \in [0, b], \tag{5.1}$$

have solution in  $\mathcal{C} \equiv C([0, b], \mathbb{R})$ , being a Banach space, with norm  $\|\varkappa\| := \sup_{\tilde{s} \in [0, b]} |\varkappa(s)|$ ,

where  $b$  is some given positive real number.

Consider a complete  $\mu\mathcal{EF}_b\mathfrak{W}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ ,  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{W}_\mu, *_p, \alpha, \mu)$  where

$$\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta) = e^{-\frac{\sup_{\tilde{s} \in [0, b]} |\varkappa(\tilde{s}) - \tilde{y}(\tilde{s})|^2}{\zeta}},$$

for all  $\varkappa, \tilde{y} \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta)) > 0$ , with  $t$ -norm  $*_p$  and bounded functions  $\mu, \alpha : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ . The existence of a solution to the integral equation of the form (5.1) is ensured by the following theorem.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $I : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be an integral operator given by*

$$[I(u)](\zeta) = g(\zeta) + \int_0^\zeta H(\zeta, \tilde{s}, \varkappa(\tilde{s}))d\tilde{s}, \quad u \in \mathcal{C}, \quad \zeta \in [0, b].$$

*Let  $\{g_m\} \subset \mathcal{C}$ , be defined by  $g_{m+1} = I(g_m)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , for  $g \in \mathcal{C}$ . Suppose there exists  $\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta)) \in (0, \frac{1}{\kappa^2})$ , with  $\kappa = \limsup_{m, n \rightarrow +\infty} \Omega(g_m, g_n)$ , where  $\Omega : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  is a bounded mapping and let  $H \in C([0, b] \times [0, b] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  holds the following condition:*

$$|H(\zeta, \tilde{s}, \varkappa(\tilde{s})) - H(\zeta, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{s}))| \leq \sqrt{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta))} |\varkappa(\tilde{r}) - \tilde{y}(\tilde{r})|.$$

*Then, the integral equation (5.1) has a solution  $u^* \in \mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $\varkappa, \tilde{y} \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $\beta(\mathfrak{W}(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta)) > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathfrak{W}_\mu(I(\varkappa), I(\tilde{y}), \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta))\zeta) &= e^{-\frac{\sup_{\tilde{r} \in [0, b]} |[I(\varkappa)](\tilde{s}) - [I(\tilde{y})](\tilde{s})|^2}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta))\zeta}} \\
 &= e^{-\frac{\sup_{\tilde{r} \in [0, b]} |\int_0^{\tilde{s}} H(\tilde{s}, \tilde{r}, \varkappa(\tilde{r}))d\tilde{r} - \int_0^{\tilde{s}} H(\tilde{s}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{r}))d\tilde{r}|^2}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta))\zeta}} \\
 &\geq e^{-\frac{\sup_{\tilde{r} \in [0, b]} \int_0^{\tilde{s}} |H(\tilde{s}, \tilde{r}, \varkappa(\tilde{r})) - H(\tilde{s}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{r}))|^2 d\tilde{r}}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta))\zeta}} \\
 &\geq e^{-\frac{\sup_{\tilde{r} \in [0, b]} \int_0^{\tilde{s}} (\sqrt{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta))} |\varkappa(\tilde{r}) - \tilde{y}(\tilde{r})|)^2 d\tilde{r}}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta))\zeta}} \\
 &\geq e^{-\frac{\sup_{\tilde{r} \in [0, b]} \int_0^{\tilde{s}} \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta)) |\varkappa(\tilde{r}) - \tilde{y}(\tilde{r})|^2 d\tilde{r}}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta))\zeta}} \\
 &\geq e^{-\frac{\sup_{\tilde{r} \in [0, b]} \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta)) |\varkappa(\tilde{r}) - \tilde{y}(\tilde{r})|^2}{\beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta))\zeta}} \\
 &= e^{-\frac{\sup_{\tilde{r} \in [0, b]} |\varkappa(\tilde{r}) - \tilde{y}(\tilde{r})|^2}{\zeta}} \\
 &= \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta). \\
 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_\mu(I(\varkappa), I(\tilde{y}), \beta(\mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta))\zeta) &\geq \mathfrak{W}_\mu(\varkappa, \tilde{y}, \zeta).
 \end{aligned}$$

As a result, the unique fixed point  $u^* \in \mathcal{C}$  of  $I$ , is unique solution to the integral equation (5.1).

### Acknowledgements

The authors would to thank Prince Sultan University for paying the APC and for the support through the TAS research lab.

### Author Contributions

Conceptualization, Writing original Draft(B.Rome, S.Nizam, M.Sarwar), Writing-Review and Editing original Draft, (B.Rome, S.Nizam, M.Sarwar, K.Abodayeh), Supervision(M. Sarwar, K.Abodayeh)

### Conflicts of Interest

The authors disclose that they have no competing interests.

### References

- [1] L. A. Zadeh. Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*, 8:16, 1965.
- [2] I. Kramosil and J. Michalek. Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces. *Kybernetika*, 11:9, 1975.

- [3] A. George and P. Veeramani. On some result in fuzzy metric space. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 64:5, 1994.
- [4] M. Grabiec. Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 27:5, 1988.
- [5] V. Gregori and A. Sapena. On fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 125:8, 2002.
- [6] S. Chauhana, W. Shatanawi, S. Kumar, and S. Radenovic. Existence and uniqueness of fixed points in modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. *Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications*, 7:14, 2014.
- [7] V. Gupta, W. Shatanawi, and A. Kanwar. Coupled fixed point theorems employing clr-property on  $v$ -fuzzy metric spaces. *Mathematics*, 8, 2020.
- [8] W. Shatanawi, V. Gupta, and A. Kanwar. New results on modified intuitionistic generalized fuzzy metric spaces by employing e.a property and common e.a property for coupled maps. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 38:8, 2020.
- [9] S. Nădăban. Fuzzy  $b$ -metric spaces. *International Journal of Computers, Communications & Control*, 11:9, 2016.
- [10] F. Mehmood, R. A. Ali, C. Ionescu, and T. A. Kamran. Extended fuzzy  $b$ -metric spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 8:8, 2017.
- [11] F. Mehmood, R. Ali, and N. Hussain. Contractions in fuzzy rectangular  $b$ -metric spaces with application. *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 8:8, 2017.
- [12] B. Rome, M. Sarwar, and T. Abdeljawad.  $\mu$ -extended fuzzy  $b$ -metric spaces and related fixed point results. *AIMS Mathematics*, 5:9, 2020.
- [13] M. Geraghty. On contractive mappings. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 40:5, 1973.
- [14] J. Martínez, W. Sintunavarat, and Y. J. Cho. Common fixed point theorems for geraghty's type contraction mappings using the monotone property with two metrics. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 2015:15, 2015.
- [15] M. S. Ashraf, R. Ali, and N. Hussain. Geraghty type contractions in fuzzy  $b$ -metric spaces with application to integral equations. *Filomat*, 34:16, 2020.
- [16] E. Peter Klement, R. Mesiar, and E. Pap. Triangular norms. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 8, 2013.
- [17] B. Rome, M. Sarwar, K. Shah, B. Abdalla, and T. Abdeljawad. Some generalized fixed point results of banach and ċirić type in extended fuzzy  $b$ -metric spaces with applications. *AIMS Mathematics*, 7:22, 2022.
- [18] T. Došenovic, A. Javaheri, S. Sedghi, and N. Shobe. Coupled fixed point theorem in  $b$ -fuzzy metric spaces. *Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics*, 47:12, 2017.
- [19] S. N. Mishra, S. N. Sharma, and S. L. Singh. Common fixed point of maps on fuzzy metric spaces. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 17:6, 1994.
- [20] A. Elhamed and M. Gehad. Fixed point results for  $(\beta, \alpha)$ -implicit contractions in two generalized  $b$ -metric spaces. *Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications*, 14:9, 2010.
- [21] N. K. Alharbi. Hyers–ulam and hyers-ulam-rassias stability for a class of fractional evolution differential equations with neutral time delay. *Symmetry*, 17, 2025.

- [22] T. T. Phong and L. D. Long. Well-posed results for nonlocal fractional parabolic equation involving caputo-fabrizio operator. *Journal of Mathematical and Computational Science*, 26:11, 2022.
- [23] S. Zhang. Positive solutions for boundary-value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equation. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 36:12, 2006.