EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

2025, Vol. 18, Issue 2, Article Number 6157 ISSN 1307-5543 – ejpam.com Published by New York Business Global

On Qualitative Properties of L_{Θ} -Solutions for Coupled Systems of Hadamard-Type Fractional Integral Equations in Banach Spaces

Mohamed M.A. Metwali^{1,2,*}, Shami A. M. Alsallami³

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Humanities in AlKharj, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, AlKharj 11942, Saudi Arabia
- ² Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Damanhour University, Damanhour, 22511, Egypt
- ³ Mathematics Department, College of Sciences, Umm Al-Qura University, Makkah 24381, Saudi Arabia

Abstract. In this manuscript, the measure of noncompactness (\mathcal{MNC}) , Darbo and Banach contraction fixed point theorems (\mathcal{FPT}) , as well as fractional calculus, are used to carry out the analysis of the solvability of a general but abstract coupled system of quadratic Hadamard-fractional integral equations in Orlicz spaces L_{Θ} . Several qualitative properties of the solution to the studied coupled system are established, such as the existence, monotonicity, and uniqueness, in addition to continuous dependence on the data. We conclude with some examples that illustrate our hypothesis.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 47H30, 45G10, 47N20

Key Words and Phrases: Fixed-point theorem (\mathcal{FPT}) , Orlicz spaces L_{Θ} , coupled system of integral equations, (\mathcal{MNC}) measure of noncompactness

1. Introduction

Coupled systems of differential and integral equations are often used to formulate physical and biological models. The study of coupled systems of integral equations is of significant interest to numerous fields of science, such as multimedia processing [1], nuclear physics [2], diffusion equations [3], electromagnetics [4], and heat conduction [5].

The aim of the present paper is to analyze and demonstrate the solutions of the coupled system:

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = h_1(t) + f_1\left(t, \ \Lambda_1(y)(t), \ \frac{G_1(y)(t)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \cdot \int_1^t \left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{\beta - 1} \frac{R_1(y)(s)}{s} \, ds \right) \\ y(t) = h_2(t) + f_2\left(t, \ \Lambda_2(x)(t), \ \frac{G_2(x)(t)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \cdot \int_1^t \left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{\beta - 1} \frac{R_2(x)(s)}{s} \, ds \right), \quad t \in [1, e], \end{cases}$$
(1)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v18i2.6157

Email addresses: m.metwali@psau.edu.sa (M. Metwali), sasallami@uqu.edu.sa (S. Alsallami)

^{*}Corresponding author.

where $0 < \beta < 1$, in Orlicz spaces L_{Θ} , and the operators G_i , Λ_i , R_i , i = 1, 2, operate on some arbitrary L_{Θ} .

We establish and present assumptions that allow us to solve and study the coupled system (1) under general growth conditions. As a result, we examine some qualitative properties of the problem (1), such as existence, monotonicity, and uniqueness, in addition to the continuous dependence on the data in the spaces L_{Θ} (cf. [6]).

Several authors examined various types of coupled systems of integral equations in the literature, including the space C(J) (cf. [7–10]) and the Banach algebras (cf. [11, 12], for instance), where the outcomes have been made under conditions that are "continuous," i.e., stronger than the ones provided in this article. Additionally, polynomial growth was used on the studied functions to obtain L_p -solutions for the coupled systems in [13, 14]. As a result of eliminating these limitations, we extended these results to examine the coupled system (1) using the technique presented in [15] that is not a Banach algebra, using appropriately and various Orlicz spaces $(L_{\Theta_1}, L_{\Theta_2}, L_{\Theta_3})$, which are not a Banach algebra.

Using Orlicz spaces L_{Θ} as the solution space, we can study operators with strong nonlinear properties (such as exponential growth, for example). This allows us to examine the solutions in L_{Θ} rather than continuous results. Statistical physics and physics models may inspire this (cf. [16, 17]). Recalling the thermodynamics model

$$y(s) + \int_I A(s,t)e^{y(t)} dt = 0$$

contains exponential nonlinearity (cf. [18]). Furthermore, the quadratic integral equations (QIE) were studied in the Banach-Orlicz algebra [19] and in various Orlicz spaces in [15, 20] employing the approach of the fixed point theorems (\mathcal{FPT}) in conjunction with a suitable (\mathcal{MNC}) measure of noncompactness (\mathcal{MNC}) concerning different assumptions, see also [21, 22]. The measures of noncompactness (\mathcal{MNC}) have been employed in the study of numerous models of integral equations; (cf. [23–25]). These cases are unified and included as special cases of the coupled system (1). Let us recall that, in [26], two existence theorems of the coupled system

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = g_1(t) + f_1\left(t, \ y(t), \ \lambda \cdot V_1 y(t) \int_a^b \mathcal{K}(t,s) h_1(s,y(s)) \ ds, \ \lambda \cdot G_1 y(t) \int_a^b u_1(t,s,y(s)) \ ds \right) \\ y(t) = g_2(t) + f_2\left(t, \ x(t), \ \lambda \cdot V_2 x(t) \int_a^b \mathcal{K}(t,s) h_2(s,x(s)) \ ds, \ \lambda \cdot G_2 x(t) \int_a^b u_2(t,s,x(s)) \ ds \right) \end{cases}$$

have been studied in arbitrary L_{Θ} , in two separately cases Δ' and Δ_3 -conditions using Darbo's (\mathcal{FPT}) with a (\mathcal{MNC}) . The authors in [27] studied the existence, in addition to the uniqueness of monotonic solutions of the Hadamard fraction equations

$$x(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(h_i(t) + G_{2i}(x)(t) + \frac{G_{1i}(x)(t)}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \cdot \int_1^t \left(\log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\alpha_i - 1} \frac{G_{3i}(x)(s)}{s} \, ds \right), \ t \in [1, e], \ 0 < \alpha_i < 1$$

in Orlicz spaces see also [28]. The current manuscript is motivated and induced by the extension and generalization of the results introduced in the previous literature to prove some qualitative properties of the solutions for an abstract but general coupled system of quadratic Hadamard-fractional integral equations (1), including existence, monotonicity, and uniqueness, in addition to continuous dependence on the data in L_{Θ} -spaces. We use the technique of (\mathcal{MNC}) concerning (\mathcal{FPT}) and the theory of fractional calculus to obtain the findings. We present a few constructed examples that support and illustrate our findings.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ and $J = [1, e], e \approx 2.718$.

Definition 1. [17] The function $\Theta(u) = \int_0^{|u|} p(t) dt$, defined on \mathbb{R}^+ is called a Young function (Y.F.) if:

- The function p is nondecreasing, right-continuous, positive, and defined on \mathbb{R}^+ ;
- $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Theta(t) = \infty$ and $\Theta(0) = \lim_{t\to 0} \Theta(t) = 0$.

The complementary (Y.F.) function Θ^* of the function Θ is known as

$$\Theta^*(t) = \sup_{s>0} \Big(ts - \Theta(s) \Big), \ \forall \ t \ge 0.$$

Furthermore, the function Θ is known as N-function if:

- $\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\Theta(t)}{t} = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to \infty} \frac{\Theta(t)}{t} = \infty$;
- $\Theta(s) = 0 \Leftrightarrow s = 0 \text{ and } \Theta(s) > 0 \text{ if } s > 0.$

Definition 2. [26] The space $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{X}} = L_{\Theta}(J) \times L_{\Theta}(J)$ is a Banach space under the norm

$$||(x,y)||_{\mathbb{X}} = ||x||_{\Theta} + ||y||_{\Theta},$$

where $x, y \in L_{\Theta}(J)$, and $L_{\Theta} = L_{\Theta}(J)$ is called the Orlicz space of the functions f under the norm

$$||f||_{\Theta} = \inf_{\epsilon > 0} \left\{ \int_{J} \Theta\left(\frac{f}{\epsilon}\right) ds \le 1 \right\}.$$

Let $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{X}} = E_{\Theta}(J) \times E_{\Theta}(J)$ be the closure in $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{X}}$, where $E_{\Theta} = E_{\Theta}(J)$ be the closure in $L_{\Theta}(J)$ such that

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \sup_{meas D < \delta} \sup_{f \in E_{\Theta}} \|f \cdot \chi_D\|_{\Theta} = 0,$$

where χ_D and "meas" are the characteristic function of a measurable subset $D \subset J$ and the Lebesgue measure, respectively. For multiplications of operators, we have:

Lemma 1. ([29, Theorem 10.2] Let Θ_1 , Θ_2 and Θ be arbitrary N-functions. The following hypotheses are identical:

- (i) For every $u_1 \in L_{\Theta_1}$ and $u_2 \in L_{\Theta_2}$, $u_1 \cdot u_2 \in L_{\Theta}$.
- (ii) $\exists k > 0$ such that for all measurable functions u_1, u_2 , we obtain $||u_1 u_2||_{\Theta} \le k||u_1||_{\Theta_1}||u_2||_{\Theta_2}$.
- (iii) $\exists l > 0, u_0 \ge 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall t \ge u_0, \Theta\left(\frac{st}{l}\right) \le \Theta_1(s) + \Theta_2(t).$
- (iv) $\limsup_{t\to\infty} \frac{\Theta_1^{-1}(t)\Theta_2^{-1}(t)}{\Theta(t)} < \infty$.

Denote by W = W(J) the set of Lebesgue measurable functions on the interval J. The functions are equal almost everywhere in the set W concerned with the metric

$$d(y,x) = \inf_{\rho > 0} [\rho + meas\{s : |y(s) - x(s)| \ge \rho\}],$$

becoming a complete metric space. It should be noted that the convergence in measure on the interval J is the same as the convergence concerning the above metric d (cf. [30]).

Corollary 1. [26] Assume that $U \subset \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is a bounded set and the functions $x, y \in L_{\Theta}$ are almost everywhere. nondecreasing (or almost everywhere nonincreasing) functions on the interval J. Therefore, the pair $(x, y) = u \in U$ becomes almost everywhere nondecreasing (or almost everywhere nonincreasing) on the interval J, in addition to the set U being compact in measure in $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{X}}$.

Definition 3. [31] Assume that $U \subset \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is a bounded set. The Hausdorff \mathcal{MNC} $\beta_H(X)$ (cf. [31]) is known as

$$\beta_H(U) = \inf\{r > 0: \exists Y \subset L_{\mathbb{X}} \ s.t. \ U \subset Y + B_r \},$$

where $B_r = \{x \in L_{\mathbb{X}} : ||x||_{\mathbb{X}} \le r\}, \ r > 0.$

Definition 4. [26] Assume that, $\emptyset \neq U = (X_1, X_2) \subset \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{X}}$, with $X_1, X_2 \subset L_{\Theta}$ are bounded sets and for $\epsilon > 0$, then

$$c(U) = c(X_1, X_2) = c(X_1) + c(X_2)$$

$$= \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{mesD \le \varepsilon} \sup_{x_1 \in X_1} ||x_1 \cdot \chi_D||_{\Theta} + \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{mesD \le \varepsilon} \sup_{x_2 \in X_2} ||x_2 \cdot \chi_D||_{\Theta}$$

is known as the measure of equiintegrability in $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{X}}$.

Corollary 2. [26] For a compact in measure and bounded set $\emptyset \neq U \subset \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{X}}$, we have

$$c(U) = \beta_H(U)$$
.

Theorem 1. [26] Assume that $\emptyset \neq \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is a closed, bounded, and convex in addition to the continuous map $T : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ verifying

$$\beta_H(T(U)) \le k \ \beta_H(U), \ 0 \le k < 1,$$
 (Contraction condition)

for any $\emptyset \neq U \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then T has at least one fixed point in \mathbb{C} .

Proposition 1. [32] Suppose that $\beta \in (0,1)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and Θ is a Young function (YF), then we get:

(a) For $\int_0^t \Theta(s^{-\beta}) ds < \infty$. If $\beta_2 < \beta$, then the integral

$$\int_0^t \Theta(s^{-\beta_2}) \ ds$$

is finite as well.

(b) The set

is increasing and continuous functions with U(0) = 0.

Definition 5. [33] The Hadamard type fractional integral of order $\beta > 0$ for a given integrable function y is known as

$$\mathcal{K}^{\beta}y(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{1}^{t} \left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{\beta-1} \frac{y(s)}{s} \, ds, \quad t > 1, \quad \beta > 0,$$

where $\Gamma(\beta) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\nu} \nu^{\beta-1} d\nu$.

Proposition 2. [34] The operator K^{β} maps the a.e. nonnegative-nondecreasing functions into itself.

Lemma 2. [28] Suppose, that M^* and M are complementary N-functions and Θ is N-function with $\int_0^t M(s^{\beta-1}) \, ds < \infty, \ 0 < \beta < 1$. Moreover, put

$$k(t) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}} \int_0^{t\epsilon^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}} M(s^{\beta-1}) ds \in E_{\Theta}, \ s \in J, \ \epsilon > 0,$$

then the Hadamard operator $\mathcal{K}^{\beta}: L_{M^*} \to L_{\Theta}$ is continuous and verifying

$$\|\mathcal{K}^{\beta}x\|_{\Theta} \le \frac{2}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|k\|_{\Theta} \|x\|_{M^*}.$$

3. Main results.

Next, we discuss the solvability of the coupled system (1) in L_{Θ} . Define the operator T as follows

$$T(x,y)(t) = (T_1y(t), T_2x(t)), t \in J,$$

where

$$T_1 y = h_1 + F_{f_1} \bigg(\Lambda_1(y), \ U_1(y) \bigg), \quad T_2 x = h_2 + F_{f_2} \bigg(\Lambda_2(x), \ U_2(x) \bigg),$$

$$F_{f_i}\bigg(\Lambda_i(w),\ U_i(w)\bigg) = f_i\bigg(t,\ \Lambda_2(w),\ U_i(w)\bigg),\ U_i(w) = G_i(w)\cdot A_i(w),$$

and

$$A_i(w)(t) = \mathcal{K}^{\beta} R_i(w),$$

s.t. \mathcal{K}^{β} is Hadamard operator 5 and $G_i, F_{f_i}, \Lambda_i, R_i$, are different operators operate on different Orlicz spaces i = 1, 2. First, we inspect the existence of monotonic- L_{Θ} solutions for the coupled system (1).

Definition 6. The ordered pair $u = (x, y) \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{X}}$ s.t. $x, y \in L_{\Theta}$ is called a solution of the coupled system (1), if u verifies the coupled system (1).

3.1. The existence of solutions.

Let M, M^* be complementary N-functions and Θ , Θ_1 , Θ_2 be N-functions. Furthermore, put the assumptions for i = 1, 2:

- (G1) $\exists k_1 > 0 \text{ s.t. for every } u_1 \in L_{\Theta_1} \text{ and } u_2 \in L_{\Theta_2} \text{ we have } ||u_1 u_2||_{\Theta} \leq k_1 ||u_1||_{\Theta_1} ||u_2||_{\Theta_2}$
- (G2) $h_i \in E_{\Theta}(J)$ are a.e. nondecreasing functions on the interval J,
- (G3) $f_i(t, x, y) : J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous in x and y for almost all t and measurable in $t \in J$. Furthermore, suppose that $t \to f_i(t, x, y)$ are nondecreasing-positive function and $\exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$, and functions $c_i \in L_{\Theta}$ s.t.

$$|f_i(t, x, y)| \le c_i(t) + \alpha_1 |x| + \alpha_2 |y|.$$
 (2)

- (G4) The operators $\Lambda_i: E_{\Theta} \to E_{\Theta}$, $G_i: E_{\Theta} \to E_{\Theta_1}$, and $R_i: E_{\Theta} \to E_{M^*}$, and they are continuous. Moreover, let Λ_i, G_i, R_i take the set of all a.e. nondecreasing functions into itself and assume that for any $w \in E_{\Theta}$ we get $\Lambda_i(w) \in E_{\Theta}$, $G_i(w) \in E_{\Theta_1}$, and $R_i(w) \in E_{M^*}$.
- (G5) There exist positive functions $a_i \in L_{\Theta}$, $g_i \in L_{\Theta_1}$, $b_i \in L_{M^*}$ s.t. for $t \in J$, $|\Lambda_i(w)(t)| \leq a_i(t) ||w||_{\Theta}, |G_i(w)(t)| \leq g_i(t) ||w||_{\Theta}, |R_i(w)(t)| \leq b_i(t) ||w||_{\Theta}.$
- (G6) Assume that $k(t) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}} \int_0^{t\epsilon^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}} M(s^{\beta-1}) ds \in E_{\Theta_2}$ for a.e. $s \in J$ and $\epsilon > 0$.
- (G7) Let $\left(\alpha_{1}\|a^{*}\|-1\right)^{2} > \frac{8\alpha_{2}k_{1}\|k\|_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)}\|g^{*}\|_{\Theta_{1}}\|b^{*}\|_{M^{*}}\left(\|h_{1}\|_{\Theta} + \|h_{2}\|_{\Theta} + \|c_{1}\|_{\Theta} + \|c_{2}\|_{\Theta}\right),$ and $\left(\alpha_{1}\|a^{*}\|_{\Theta} + \frac{2\cdot r\cdot\alpha_{2}k_{1}\|k\|_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)}\|g^{*}\|_{\Theta_{1}}\|b^{*}\|_{M^{*}}\right) < 1,$

where r is the positive solution of the equation

$$||h_1||_{\Theta} + ||h_2||_{\Theta} + ||c_1||_{\Theta} + ||c_2||_{\Theta} - \left(1 - \alpha_1 ||a^*||_{\Theta}\right) \cdot r + \frac{2\alpha_2 k_1 ||k||_{\Theta_2}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ||g^*||_{\Theta_1} ||b^*||_{M^*} \cdot r^2 = 0$$
and $||a^*||_{\Theta} = \max\left\{||a_i||_{\Theta}\right\}, \ ||b^*||_{M^*} = \max\left\{||b_i||_{M^*}\right\}$ and $||g^*||_{\Theta_1} = \max\left\{||g_i||_{\Theta_1}\right\}.$

Theorem 2. Let the assumptions (G1)-(G7) hold, then there exists a.e. nondecreasing-solution $u = (x, y) \in \mathbb{E}_{\Theta}$ of (1).

Proof. Step I. In what follows, put i=1,2. Lemma 2 and assumption (G6) imply that the operator $\mathcal{K}^{\beta}: L_{M^*} \to L_{\Theta_2}$ is continuous and assumptions (G3) and (G4) indicate that $F_{f_i}, \Lambda_i: E_{\Theta} \to E_{\Theta}, G_i: E_{\Theta} \to E_{\Theta_1}$ and $R_i: E_{\Theta} \to E_{M^*}$. Then the operators $A_i = \mathcal{K}^{\beta} R_i: E_{\Theta} \to E_{\Theta_2}$ is continuous. By assumptions (G1) and (G4) the operators $U_i = G_i \cdot A_i: E_{\Theta} \to E_{\Theta}$ is continuous. Assumption (G2) gives that, the operators $T_i: E_{\Theta} \to E_{\Theta}$ are continuous. Therefore, $T = (T_1, T_2)$ acts from $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{X}}$ into itself and is continuous.

Step II. We shall prove that $T: B_r(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is continuous, where

$$B_r(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{X}}) = \{ u = (x, y) \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{X}} : x, y \in E_{\Theta}, \ \|u\|_{\mathbb{X}} \le r \}.$$

For arbitrary $u = (x, y) \in B_r(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{X}}), x, y \in E_{\Theta}$, and recalling Remark 2, we have

$$||T_{1}y||_{\Theta} \leq ||h_{1}||_{\Theta} + ||f_{1}(t, \Lambda_{1}(y), U_{1}(y))||_{\Theta}$$

$$\leq ||h_{1}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{1}||_{\Theta} + |\alpha_{1}||\Lambda_{1}(y)||_{\Theta} + |\alpha_{2}||U_{1}y||_{\Theta}$$

$$\leq ||h_{1}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{1}||_{\Theta} + |\alpha_{1}||a_{1}||_{\Theta} \cdot ||y||_{\Theta} + |\alpha_{2}||G_{1}(y) \cdot A_{1}(y)||_{\Theta}$$

$$\leq ||h_{1}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{1}||_{\Theta} + |\alpha_{1}||a_{1}||_{\Theta} \cdot ||y||_{\Theta} + |\alpha_{2}k_{1}||G_{1}(y)||_{\Theta_{1}} \cdot ||A_{1}(y)||_{\Theta_{2}}$$

$$\leq ||h_{1}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{1}||_{\Theta} + |\alpha_{1}||a_{1}||_{\Theta} \cdot ||y||_{\Theta} + |\alpha_{2}k_{1}||g_{1}||_{\Theta_{1}} \cdot ||y||_{\Theta} ||K^{\beta}R_{1}(y)||_{\Theta_{2}}$$

$$\leq ||h_{1}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{1}||_{\Theta} + |\alpha_{1}||a_{1}||_{\Theta} \cdot ||y||_{\Theta} + |\alpha_{2}k_{1}||g_{1}||_{\Theta_{1}} \cdot ||y||_{\Theta} \frac{2||k||_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ||R_{1}(y)||_{M^{*}}$$

$$\leq ||h_{1}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{1}||_{\Theta} + |\alpha_{1}||a_{1}||_{\Theta} \cdot ||y||_{\Theta} + \frac{2\alpha_{2}k_{1}||k||_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ||g_{1}||_{\Theta_{1}} \cdot ||y||_{\Theta} \cdot ||b_{1}||_{M^{*}} ||y||_{\Theta}$$

$$= ||h_{1}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{1}||_{\Theta} + ||\alpha_{1}||a_{1}||_{\Theta} \cdot ||y||_{\Theta} + \frac{2\alpha_{2}k_{1}||k||_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ||g_{1}||_{\Theta_{1}} ||b_{1}||_{M^{*}} \cdot ||y||_{\Theta}^{2}.$$

Similarly, for $x \in E_{\Theta}$, we have

$$||T_2x||_{\Theta} \le ||h_2||_{\Theta} + ||c_2||_{\Theta} + ||\alpha_1||a_2||_{\Theta} \cdot ||x||_{\Theta} + \frac{2\alpha_2k_1||k||_{\Theta_2}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ||g_2||_{\Theta_1} ||b_2||_{M^*} \cdot ||x||_{\Theta}^2.$$

Then for $u \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{X}}$, we have

$$||Tu||_{\mathbb{X}} = ||T_{1}y||_{\Theta} + ||T_{2}x||_{\Theta}$$

$$\leq ||h_{1}||_{\Theta} + ||h_{2}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{1}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{2}||_{\Theta} + \alpha_{1}||a_{1}||_{\Theta} \cdot ||y||_{\Theta} + \frac{2\alpha_{2}k_{1}||k||_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)}||g_{1}||b_{1}||_{M^{*}} \cdot ||y||_{\Theta}^{2}$$

$$+\alpha_{1}||a_{2}||_{\Theta} \cdot ||x||_{\Theta} + \frac{2\alpha_{2}k_{1}||k||_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)}||g_{2}||_{\Theta_{1}}||b_{2}||_{M^{*}} \cdot ||x||_{\Theta}^{2}$$

$$\leq ||h_{1}||_{\Theta} + ||h_{2}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{1}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{2}||_{\Theta} + \alpha_{1}||a^{*}||_{\Theta} (||x||_{\Theta} + ||y||_{\Theta})$$

$$+\frac{2\alpha_{2}k_{1}\|k\|_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)}\|g^{*}\|_{\Theta_{1}}\|b^{*}\|_{M^{*}}(\|x\|_{\Theta}+\|y\|_{\Theta})^{2}$$

$$\leq \|h_{1}\|_{\Theta}+\|h_{2}\|_{\Theta}+\|c_{1}\|_{\Theta}+\|c_{2}\|_{\Theta}+\alpha_{1}\|a^{*}\|_{\Theta}\cdot\|u\|_{\mathbb{X}}+\frac{2\alpha_{2}k_{1}\|k\|_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)}\|g^{*}\|_{\Theta_{1}}\|b^{*}\|_{M^{*}}\cdot\|u\|_{\mathbb{X}}^{2}$$

$$\leq \|h_{1}\|_{\Theta}+\|h_{2}\|_{\Theta}+\|c_{1}\|_{\Theta}+\|c_{2}\|_{\Theta}+\alpha_{1}\|a^{*}\|_{\Theta}\cdot r+\frac{2\alpha_{2}k_{1}\|k\|_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)}\|g^{*}\|_{\Theta_{1}}\|b^{*}\|_{M^{*}}\cdot r^{2}\leq r,$$

where $||a^*||_{\Theta} = \max \{||a_i||_{\Theta}\}, ||b^*||_{M^*} = \max \{||b_i||_{M^*}\} \text{ and } ||g^*||_{\Theta_1} = \max \{||g_i||_{\Theta_1}\}, i = 1, 2.$ Recalling assumption (G7), we have $T: B_r(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{X}}) \to \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is continuous.

- **Step III.** Let $\mathbb{Q}_r \subset B_r(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{X}})$ include all monotonic (a.e. nondecreasing) functions on the interval J. Then $\emptyset \neq \mathbb{Q}_r$ is closed, convex, and bounded, in $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{X}}$ in addition to be compact in measure regarding Corollary 1.
- **Step IV.** The operator T keeps the monotonicity property for the functions. For i=1,2, let us choose $u=(x,y)\in\mathbb{Q}_r$, where x and y are nondecreasing on J. Proposition 2 implies that the operator \mathcal{K}^{β} takes the a.e. nonnegative-nondecreasing functions into itself. Therefore, the operators $A_i=\mathcal{K}^{\beta}R_i$ and Λ_i , and $U_i=G_i\cdot A_i$ are a.e. nondecreasing on the interval J (by using (G4)). Assumptions (G2) and (G3) grant us that the operators T_1,T_2 are a.e. nondecreasing on J. Those grant us that $T=(T_1,T_2):\mathbb{Q}_r\to\mathbb{Q}_r$ is continuous.
- **Step V.** We need to show that $\beta_H(TX) \leq k\beta_H(X)$, $k \in [0,1)$. For any $u = (x,y) \in \mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{Q}_r$ and a set $D \subset J$, with meas $D \leq \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$. By assumption (G5), we have

$$\|\Lambda_i(z) \cdot \chi_D\|_{\Theta} \le \|\Lambda_i(x \cdot \chi_D)\|_{\Theta} \le \|a_1 \cdot \|x \cdot \chi_D\|_{\Theta}\|_{\Theta} \le \|a_i\|_{\Theta} \|x \cdot \chi_D\|_{\Theta}$$

and similarly

$$||G_i(z) \cdot \chi_D||_{\Theta} \le ||g_i||_{\Theta} ||x \cdot \chi_D||_{\Theta}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$||T_{1}(y) \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} \leq ||h_{1} \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||F_{f_{1}}(\Lambda_{1}(y), U_{1}(y)) \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta}$$

$$\leq ||h_{1} \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{1} \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||\alpha_{1}|||\Lambda_{1}(y) \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||\alpha_{2}||G_{1}(y) \cdot A_{1}(y) \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta}$$

$$\leq ||h_{1} \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{1} \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||\alpha_{1}||a_{1}||_{\Theta} \cdot ||y \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||\alpha_{2}k_{1}||G_{1}(y) \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta_{1}} \cdot ||A_{1}(y)||_{\Theta_{2}}$$

$$\leq ||h_{1} \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{1} \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||\alpha_{1}||a_{1}||_{\Theta} \cdot ||y \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||\alpha_{2}k_{1}||g_{1}||_{\Theta_{1}} \cdot ||y \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} \frac{2||k||_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ||b_{1}||_{M^{*}} ||y||_{\Theta}$$

$$\leq ||h_{1} \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{1} \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||\alpha_{1}||a_{1}||_{\Theta} \cdot ||y \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + \frac{2\alpha_{2}k_{1}||k||_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ||g_{1}||_{\Theta_{1}} \cdot ||y \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} ||b_{1}||_{M^{*}} \cdot r.$$

Similarly, we have

$$||T_2x\cdot\chi_D||_{\Theta} \leq ||h_2\cdot\chi_D||_{\Theta} + ||c_2\cdot\chi_D||_{\Theta} + \alpha_1||a_2||_{\Theta} \cdot ||x\cdot\chi_D||_{\Theta} + \frac{2\alpha_2k_1||k||_{\Theta_2}}{\Gamma(\beta)}||g_2||_{\Theta_1} \cdot ||x\cdot\chi_D||_{\Theta} ||b_1||_{M^*} \cdot r.$$

Then

$$||Tu \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\mathbb{X}} = ||T_{1}y \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||T_{2}x \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta}$$

$$\leq ||h_{1} \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||h_{2} \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{1} \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{2} \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + \alpha_{1}||a^{*}||_{\Theta} \cdot (||x \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||y \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta})$$

$$+ \frac{2 \cdot r\alpha_{2}k_{1}||k||_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ||g^{*}||_{\Theta_{1}} ||b^{*}||_{M^{*}} \cdot (||x \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||y \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta})$$

$$\leq ||h_{1} \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||h_{2} \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{1} \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + ||c_{2} \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\Theta} + \alpha_{1}||a^{*}||_{\Theta} \cdot ||u \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\mathbb{X}}$$

$$+ \frac{2 \cdot r\alpha_{2}k_{1}||k||_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ||g^{*}||_{\Theta_{1}} ||b^{*}||_{M^{*}} \cdot ||u \cdot \chi_{D}||_{\mathbb{X}}.$$

Since $h_i, c_i \in E_{\Theta}, i = 1, 2$, we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left\{ \sup_{mes \ D < \varepsilon} \left[\sup_{u \in X} \left\{ \|h_i \chi_D\|_{\Theta} + \|c_i \chi_D\|_{\Theta} = 0 \right\} \right] \right\}.$$

Recalling Definition 4, we obtain

$$c(T(\mathbb{U})) \le \left(\alpha_1 \|a^*\|_{\Theta} + \frac{2 \cdot r\alpha_2 k_1 \|k\|_{\Theta_2}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|g^*\|_{\Theta_1} \|b^*\|_{M^*}\right) \cdot c(\mathbb{U}).$$

Since $\emptyset \neq \mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{Q}_r$ is bounded in addition to compact in measure, then we shall apply Corollary 2 to obtain

$$\beta_H(T(\mathbb{U})) \le \left(\alpha_1 \|a^*\|_{\Theta} + \frac{2 \cdot r \alpha_2 k_1 \|k\|_{\Theta_2}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|g^*\|_{\Theta_1} \|b^*\|_{M^*}\right) \cdot \beta_H(\mathbb{U}).$$

Since $\left(\alpha_1 \|a^*\|_{\Theta} + \frac{2 \cdot r \alpha_2 k_1 \|k\|_{\Theta_2}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|g^*\|_{\Theta_1} \|b^*\|_{M^*}\right) < 1$, we get our verification and Theorem 1 achieves our proof.

3.2. Uniqueness of the solution.

Next, we demonstrate that the coupled system (1) has exactly one solution.

Theorem 3. Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 2 hold with replacing the inequality (2) with the following

$$|f_i(t,0,0)| \le c_i(t), \quad |f_i(t,x,y) - f_i(t,\bar{x},\bar{y})| \le \alpha_1 |x - \bar{x}| + \alpha_2 |y - \bar{y}|, \ u = (x,y), \bar{u} = (\bar{x},\bar{y}) \in \mathbb{Q}_r,$$
(3)

for i = 1, 2, and in addition, assume that

$$C = \left(\alpha_1 \|a^*\|_{\Theta} + \frac{4r \cdot \alpha_2 k_1 \|k\|_{\Theta_2}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|g^*\|_{\Theta_1} \|b^*\|_{M^*}\right) < 1, \tag{4}$$

where r, \mathbb{Q}_r are defined in Theorem 2. Then the coupled system (1) has a unique solution $u \in L_{\mathbb{X}}$ in \mathbb{Q}_r .

Proof. Using the inequalities (3) for i = 1, 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| |f_i(t, x, y)| - |f_i(t, 0, 0)| \right| &\leq |f_i(t, x, y) - f_i(t, 0, 0)| \leq \alpha_1 |x| + \alpha_2 |y| \\ \Rightarrow |f_i(t, x, y)| &\leq |f_i(t, 0, 0)| + \alpha_1 |x| + \alpha_2 |y| \leq c_i(t) + \alpha_1 |x| + \alpha_2 |y|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Theorem 2 indicates that, there is a.e. nondecreasing solution $u \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{X}}$ of (1) in \mathbb{Q}_r . Now, let $u = (x, y), \bar{u} = (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathbb{Q}_r$ be any two distinct solutions of the coupled system (1), then we have

$$\begin{split} \|x - \bar{x}\|_{\Theta} & \leq & \left\| f_1\Big(t, \Lambda_1(y), U_1(y)\Big) - f_1\Big(t, \Lambda_1(\bar{y}), U_1(\bar{y})\Big) \right\|_{\Theta} \\ & \leq & \alpha_1 \|\Lambda_1(y) - \Lambda_1(\bar{y})\|_{\Theta} + \alpha_2 \|U_1(y) - U_1(\bar{y})\|_{\Theta} \\ & \leq & \alpha_1 \|a_1\|y\|_{\Theta} - a_1\|\bar{y}\|_{\Theta} \|_{\Theta} + \alpha_2 \|G_1(y)A_1(y) - G_1(\bar{y})A_1(\bar{y})\|_{\Theta} \\ & \leq & \alpha_1 \|a_1\|_{\Theta} \|y\|_{\Theta} - \|\bar{y}\|_{\Theta} + \alpha_2 \|G_1(y)A_1(y) - G_1(\bar{y})A_1(y)\|_{\Theta} + \alpha_2 \|G_1(\bar{y})A_1(y) - G_1(\bar{y})A_1(\bar{y})\|_{\Theta} \\ & \leq & \alpha_1 \|a_1\|_{\Theta} \|y - \bar{y}\|_{\Theta} + \alpha_2 k_1 \|G_1(y) - G_1(\bar{y})\|_{\Theta_1} \|A_1(y)\|_{\Theta_2} + \alpha_2 k_1 \|G_1(\bar{y})\|_{\Theta_1} \|A_1(y) - A_1(\bar{y})\|_{\Theta_2} \\ & \leq & \alpha_1 \|a_1\|_{\Theta} \|y - \bar{y}\|_{\Theta} \\ & + \alpha_2 k_1 \|g_1\|_{\Theta_1} \|y - \bar{y}\|_{\Theta} \frac{2\|k\|_{\Theta_2}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|b_1\|_{M^*} \|y\|_{\Theta} + \alpha_2 k_1 \|g_1\|_{\Theta_1} \|y\|_{\Theta} \frac{2\|k\|_{\Theta_2}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|R_1(y) - R_1(\bar{y})\|_{M^*} \\ & \leq & \alpha_1 \|a_1\|_{\Theta} \|y - \bar{y}\|_{\Theta} \\ & + \frac{2\alpha_2 k_1 \|k\|_{\Theta_2}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|g_1\|_{\Theta_1} \|b_1\|_{M^*} \|y\|_{\Theta} \|y - \bar{y}\|_{\Theta} + \frac{2\alpha_2 k_1 \|k\|_{\Theta_2}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|g_1\|_{\Theta_1} \|y\|_{\Theta} \|b_1\|_{M^*} \|y - \bar{y}\|_{\Theta} \\ & = & \left(\alpha_1 \|a_1\|_{\Theta} + \frac{4r \cdot \alpha_2 k_1 \|k\|_{\Theta_2}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|g_1\|_{\Theta_1} \|b_1\|_{M^*} \right) \|y - \bar{y}\|_{\Theta}. \\ \\ \text{Similarly,} & \|y - \bar{y}\|_{\Theta} \leq & \left(\alpha_1 \|a_2\|_{\Theta} + \frac{4r \cdot \alpha_2 k_1 \|k\|_{\Theta_2}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|g_2\|_{\Theta_1} \|b_2\|_{M^*} \right) \|x - \bar{x}\|_{\Theta}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} &\|u - \bar{u}\|_{\mathbb{X}} = \left\| (x - \bar{x}, \ y - \bar{y}) \right\|_{\mathbb{X}} = \|x - \bar{x}\|_{\Theta} + \|y - \bar{y}\|_{\Theta} \\ & \leq \left(\alpha_{1} \|a_{1}\|_{\Theta} + \frac{4r \cdot \alpha_{2}k_{1}\|k\|_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|g_{1}\|_{\Theta_{1}} \|b_{1}\|_{M^{*}} \right) \|y - \bar{y}\|_{\Theta} \\ & + \left(\alpha_{1} \|a_{2}\|_{\Theta} + \frac{4r \cdot \alpha_{2}k_{1}\|k\|_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|g_{2}\|_{\Theta_{1}} \|b_{2}\|_{M^{*}} \right) \|x - \bar{x}\|_{\Theta} \\ & \leq \left(\alpha_{1} \|a^{*}\|_{\Theta} + \frac{4r \cdot \alpha_{2}k_{1}\|k\|_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|g^{*}\|_{\Theta_{1}} \|b^{*}\|_{M^{*}} \right) \left(\|y - \bar{y}\|_{\Theta} + \|x - \bar{x}\|_{\Theta} \right) \\ & = C \cdot \|u - \bar{u}\|_{\mathbb{X}}. \end{split}$$

Equation (4) grants us that $u = \bar{u}$ (a.e.), and we get our verification.

3.3. Continuous dependence on the functions h_1 , and h_2 .

Next, we may discuss the continuous dependence of the obtained solutions for the coupled system (1) on the functions h_i , i = 1, 2.

Definition 7. A solution $u = (x, y) \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{X}}$ of (1) is continuously dependent on the function h_1, h_2 if $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > o$ such that $||h_1 - \bar{h_1}||_{\Theta} + ||h_2 - \bar{h_2}||_{\Theta} \leq \delta$ implies that $||u - \bar{u}||_{\Theta} \leq \epsilon$, where

$$\begin{cases}
\bar{x}(t) = \bar{h_1}(t) + f_1 \left(\Lambda_1(\bar{y})(t) + \frac{G_1(\bar{y})(t)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \cdot \int_1^t \left(\log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\beta - 1} \frac{R_1(\bar{y})(s)}{s} ds \right) \\
\bar{y}(t) = \bar{h_2}(t) + f_2 \left(\Lambda_2(\bar{x})(t) + \frac{G_2(\bar{x})(t)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \cdot \int_1^t \left(\log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\beta - 1} \frac{R_2(\bar{x})(s)}{s} ds \right), \ t \in [1, e].
\end{cases} (5)$$

Theorem 4. Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 3 hold. Then the solutions $u \in L_{\mathbb{X}}$ of the system (1) depend continuously on the functions h_1, h_2 .

Proof. Let u, \bar{u} be any two different solutions of (1), then similarly as done in Theorem 3, we have

$$\begin{split} \|u - \bar{u}\|_{\mathbb{X}} &\leq \|h_{1} - \bar{h_{1}}\|_{\Theta} + \|h_{2} - \bar{h_{2}}\|_{\Theta} \\ &+ \left(\alpha_{1} \|a^{*}\|_{\Theta} + \frac{4r \cdot \alpha_{2} k_{1} \|k\|_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|g^{*}\|_{\Theta_{1}} \|b^{*}\|_{M^{*}}\right) \left(\|y - \bar{y}\|_{\Theta} + \|x - \bar{x}\|_{\Theta}\right) \\ &= \|h_{1} - \bar{h_{1}}\|_{\Theta} + \|h_{2} - \bar{h_{2}}\|_{\Theta} + \left(\alpha_{1} \|a^{*}\|_{\Theta} + \frac{4r \cdot \alpha_{2} k_{1} \|k\|_{\Theta_{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \|g^{*}\|_{\Theta_{1}} \|b^{*}\|_{M^{*}}\right) \|u - \bar{u}\|_{\mathbb{X}} \\ &\leq \|h_{1} - \bar{h_{1}}\|_{\Theta} + \|h_{2} - \bar{h_{2}}\|_{\Theta} + C\|u - \bar{u}\|_{\mathbb{X}}, \end{split}$$

where C is given by (4). Then, we get

$$||u - \bar{u}||_{\mathbb{X}} \le (1 - C)^{-1} (||h_1 - \bar{h_1}||_{\Theta} + ||h_2 - \bar{h_2}||_{\Theta}).$$

Therefore, if $||h_1 - \bar{h_1}||_{\Theta} + ||h_2 - \bar{h_2}||_{\Theta} + \leq \delta(\epsilon)$, then $||u - \bar{u}||_{\Theta} \leq \epsilon$, where

$$\delta(\epsilon) = \epsilon \cdot (1 - C).$$

4. Remarks and Example

We would like to conclude with some significant remarks and examples that highlight the applicability of the results we have found.

Remark 1. The neutron transport [35], the traffic theory [36], the kinetic theory of gases [37], and astrophysics [38] are more efficient utilization of the quadratic integral equation through Hadamard fractional operators.

Remark 2. We can determine the acting and continuation assumptions for the operators of the form $G_i(w) = l_i(t) \cdot w(t)$, $l_i \in L_{\Theta}$, over several Orlicz spaces in (cf. [17] and assumption (G3)).

Example 1. Select the N-functions $M(s) = M^*(s) = s^2$ and $\Theta_2(s) = \exp|s| - |s| - 1$. We need to show that, the operator $\mathcal{K}^{\beta}: L_{M^*} \to L_{\Theta_2}$ is continuous and the outcomes of Lemma 2 is verified.

Indeed: Let $t \in [1, e]$ and for any $\beta \in (0, 1)$, we get

$$k(t) = \int_0^t M(u^{\beta-1}) du = \int_0^t u^{2\beta-2} du = \frac{t^{2\beta-1}}{2\beta-1}.$$

That gives us the verification of Proposition 1. Furthermore,

$$\int_{1}^{e} \Theta_{2}(k(t)) ds = \int_{1}^{e} \left(e^{\frac{t^{2\beta-1}}{2\beta-1}} - \frac{t^{2\beta-1}}{2\beta-1} - 1 \right) dt,$$

which is finite. Then for $x \in L_{M^*}$, we have $J^{\beta}: L_{M^*} \to L_{\Theta_2}$ is continuous.

For additional details and many instances of the N-functions M, M^* , and Θ_2 that satisfy Lemma 2, refer to [17, Theorem 15.4].

Example 2. For i=1,2, let $\beta=\frac{1}{2}$, $\Lambda_i(z)=a_i(t)\cdot z(t)$, $G_i(z)=g_i(t)\cdot z(t)$, and $R_i(z)=a_i(t)\cdot z(t)$, where $h_i\in L_{\Theta}, a_i\in L_{\Theta}, g_i\in L_{\Theta_1}$, and $b_i\in L_{M^*}$, then the coupled system

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = h_1(t) + f_1\left(t, \ a_1(t) \cdot y(t), \ \frac{g_1(t) \cdot y(t)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^t \sqrt{\log \frac{t}{s}} \frac{b_1(t) \cdot y(t)}{s} \ ds \right) \\ y(t) = h_2(t) + f_2\left(t, \ a_2(t) \cdot x(t), \ \frac{g_1(t) \cdot x(t)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^t \sqrt{\log \frac{t}{s}} \frac{b_2(t) \cdot x(t)}{s} \ ds \right), \end{cases}$$

have a solution $u = (x, y) \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{X}}$, where $t \in J$.

5. Conclusion

There have been several qualitative properties developed in this paper, consisting of existence, monotonicity, and uniqueness, in addition to the continuous dependence of the data, which are all indications for an abstract and general coupled system of quadratic Hadamard-fractional integral equations. To perform our analysis, we used the (\mathcal{MNC}) measure of noncompactness, as well as the (\mathcal{FPT}) fixed-point theorem and the fractional calculus in the Orlicz spaces L_{Θ} . Finally, we concluded with a few remarks as well as a few examples that illustrate and support our hypothesis. Future research will concentrate on the qualitative properties of the solutions for numerous fractional problems in distinct function spaces, such as Lebesgue spaces or Orlicz spaces. Furthermore, we shall check the numerical results for the issues considered.

Acknowledgements

The authors extend their appreciation to Umm Al-Qura University, Saudi Arabia for funding this research work through grant number: 25UQU4290491GSSR03.

Author contributions

All the authors contributed equally in preparing, writing, and obtaining the paper's results.

Funding

This research work was funded by Umm Al-Qura University, Saudi Arabia, under grant number: 25UQU4290491GSSR03.

References

- [1] E Cuesta; M Kirance; and S A Malik. Image structure preseving denoising generalized fractional time integrals. *Signal Process.*, 92:553–563, 2012.
- [2] M A Polo-Labarrios; S Q Garcia; G E Paredes; L F Perez; and J O Villafuerta. Novel numerical solution to the fractional neutron point kinetic equation in nuclear reactor dynamics. *Ann. Nucl. Energy*, 137(10717), 2020.
- [3] V Gafiychuk; B Datsko; V Meleshko; and D Blackmore. Chaos Solitons and Fract. Southern Economic Journal, 41:1095–1104, 2009.
- [4] T E Roth and W C Chew. Stability analysis and discretization of A- ϕ time domain integral equations for multiscale electromagnetic. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 408(109102):705–717, 2020.
- [5] H Chen; J I Frankel; and M Keyhani. Two-probe calibration integral equation method for nonlinear inverse heat conduction problem of surface heat flux estimation. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.*, 121:246–264, 2018.
- [6] A. M. Alotaibi; M. Metwali; H. Taha; R. P. Agarwal. Existence, uniqueness, continuous dependence on the data for the product of *n*-fractional integral equations in Orlicz spaces. *AIMS Mathematics*, 10(4):8382–8397, 2025.
- [7] R Arab. Application of measure of noncompactness for the system of functional integral equations. *Filomat*, 30:3063–3073, 2016.
- [8] B Ahmad; S K Ntouyas; A Alsaedi; and A F Albideewi. A study of a coupled system of Hadamard fractional differential equations with nonlocal coupled initial-multipoint conditions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, 33(2021), 2021.
- [9] M I Youssef. On the solvability of a general class of a coupled system of stochastic functional integral equations. *Arab Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 27:142–148, 2020.
- [10] J West and Linster. Boundary value problem for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 22:64–69, 2009.

- [11] B D Karande and S N Kondekar. Existence the solution of coupled system of quadratic hybrid functional integral equation in Banach algebras. *Journal of Mechanics of Continua and Mathematical Sciences*, 15:243–255, 2020.
- [12] S Baghdad. Existence and stability of solutions for a system of quadratic integral equations in Banach algebras. *Ann. Univ. Paedagog. Crac. Stud. Math.*, 19:203–218, 2020.
- [13] H A Hammad; H Aydi; and C Park. Fixed point approach for solving a system of Volterra integral equations and Lebesgue integral concept in F_{CM} -spaces. AIMS Mathematics, 7:9003–9022, 2022.
- [14] A El-Sayed and S Abd El-Salam. Coupled system of a fractional order differential equations with weighted initial conditions. *Open Math.*, 17:1737–1749, 2019.
- [15] M Cichoń and M Metwali. On a fixed point theorem for the product of operators. J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 18:753–770, 2016.
- [16] J Berger and J Robert. Strongly nonlinear equations of Hammerstein type. J. Lond. Math. Soc., 15:277–287, 1977.
- [17] M A Krasnosel'skii and Yu Rutitskii. Convex functions and Orlicz spaces. Gröningen, Noordhoff, 1961.
- [18] I-Y S Cheng and J J Kozak. Application of the theory of Orlicz spaces to statistical mechanics. I. Integral equations. J. Math. Phys., 13:51–58, 1972.
- [19] M Cichoń and M Metwali. On quadratic integral equations in Orlicz spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 387:419–432, 2012.
- [20] M Cichoń and M Metwali. On solutions of quadratic integral equations in Orlicz spaces. *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 12:901–920, 2015.
- [21] M Metwali. On some properties of Riemann-Liouville fractional operator in Orlicz spaces and applications to quadratic integral equations. *Filomat*, 36(17):6009–6020, 2022.
- [22] M Metwali and S A M Alsallami. On ErdÉlyi–Kober Fractional Operator and Quadratic Integral Equations in Orlicz Spaces. *Mathematics*, 11(3901), 2023.
- [23] A Samadi. Applications of measure of noncompactness to coupled fixed points and systems of integral equations. *Miskolc Mathematical Notes*, 19(537):537–5530, 2018.
- [24] K Kavitha; V Vijayakumar; R Udhayakumar; and C Ravichandran. Results on controllability of Hilfer fractional differential equations with infinite delay via measures of noncompactness. Asian J. Control., 2021:1–10, 2021.
- [25] S Singh; S Kumar; M Metwali; S Aldosary; and K Nisar. An existence theorem for nonlinear functional Volterra integral equations via Petryshyn's fixed point theorem. AIMS Mathematics, 7:5594–5604, 2022.
- [26] A Alsaadi and M Metwali. On existence theorems for coupled systems of quadratic Hammerstein-Urysohn integral equations in Orlicz spaces. *AIMS Mathematics*, 7(9):16278–16295, 2022.
- [27] S F Aldosary and M Metwali. Solvability of product of *n*-quadratic Hadamard-type fractional integral equations in Orlicz spaces. *AIMS Mathematics*, 9(5):11039–11050, 2024
- [28] M Metwali. Solvability of quadratic Hadamard-type fractional integral equations in

- Orlicz spaces. Rocky Mountain J. Math., 53(2):531–540, 2023.
- [29] L Maligranda. Orlicz spaces and interpolation. Campinas SP Brazil, Campinas SP Brazil: Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 1989.
- [30] M Väth. Volterra and integral equations of vector functions. Marcel Dekker, New York, Basel, 2000.
- [31] J Banaś and K Goebel. *Measures of noncompactness in Banach spaces*. Lect. Notes in Math. 60, M. Dekker, New York, Basel, 1980.
- [32] M Cichoń and H A H Salem. On the solutions of Caputo-Hadamard Pettis-type fractional differential equations. *RACSAM*, 2019:1–23, 2019.
- [33] A A Kilbas; H M Srivastava; and J J Trujillo. Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2006.
- [34] A M Abdalla and H A H Salem. On the Monotonic Solutions of Quadratic Integral Equations in Orlicz Space. *Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science.*, 30:1–11, 2019.
- [35] C T Kelly. Approximation of solutions of some quadratic integral equations in transport theory. J. Integral Equ., 4:221–237, 1982.
- [36] S Chandrasekhar. Radiative transfer. Dover Publ., New York, 1960.
- [37] S Hu; M Khavanin; and W. Zhuang. Integral equations arising in the kinetic theory of gases. *Appl. Anal.*, 34(2):261–266, 1989.
- [38] J Caballero; A B Mingarelli; and K. Sadarangani. Existence of solutions of an integral equation of Chandrasekhar type in the theory of radiative transfer. *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, 57:1–11, 2006.