#### EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

Vol. 2, No. 4, 2009, (554-563) ISSN 1307-5543 – www.ejpam.com



# On Köthe-Toeplitz and Null Duals of Some Difference Sequence Spaces Defined by Orlicz Functions

Hemen Dutta

Department of Mathematics, A.D.P. College, Nagaon-782002, Assam, India

**Abstract.** The main aim of this paper is to compute Köthe-Toeplitz and Null duals of some difference sequence spaces, defined by means of a fixed sequence of multiplier and by an Orlicz function. Further the coincidence for three pairs of analogous spaces is established.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 40A05, 40C05, 46A45.

**Key Words and Phrases**: Difference sequence spaces, Orlicz function, Köthe-Toeplitz dual, Null dual.

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout this section w,  $\ell_{\infty}$ ,  $\ell_1$ , c and  $c_0$  denote the spaces of *all*, *bounded*, *absolutely summable*, *convergent* and *null* sequences  $x = (x_k)$  with complex terms respectively.

http://www.ejpam.com

Email address: hemen\_dutta08@rediffmail.com

An Orlicz function is a function  $M : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ , which is continuous, nondecreasing and convex with M(0) = 0, M(x) > 0, for x > 0 and  $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

An Orlicz function *M* is said to satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all values of *u*, if there exists a constant *K* > 0, such that

$$M(2u) \le KM(u) \ (u \ge 0).$$

The above  $\Delta_2$ -condition implies  $M(lu) \leq K l^{\log_2 K} M(u)$ , for all u > 0, l > 1.

For details on integral representation of Orlicz function as well as on complementary Orlicz functions one may refer to [7, 12].

For an Orlicz function *M*, we have the following inequality:

$$M(\lambda x) < \lambda M(x)$$
, for all  $x \ge 0$  and  $\lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [9] used the Orlicz function and introduced the sequence space  $\ell_M$  as follows:

$$\ell_M = \{(x_k) \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\}.$$

They proved that  $\ell_M$  is a Banach space normed by

$$||(x_k)|| = \inf\{\rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}) \le 1\}.$$

Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_k)$  be a sequence of non-zero scalars. Then for *E* a sequence space, the multiplier sequence space  $E(\Lambda)$ , associated with the multiplier sequence  $\Lambda$  is defined as

$$E(\Lambda) = \{ (x_k) \in w : (\lambda_k x_k) \in E \}.$$

The scope for the studies on sequence spaces was extended by using the notion of associated multiplier sequences. Goes and Goes [4] defined the differentiated sequence space dE and integrated sequence space  $\int E$  for a given sequence space E, using the multiplier sequences  $(k^{-1})$  and (k) respectively. A multiplier sequence can be used to accelerate the convergence of the sequences in some spaces. In some sense, it can be viewed as a catalyst, which is used to accelerate the process of chemical reaction.

The notion of difference sequence space was introduced by Kizmaz [6], who studied the difference sequence spaces  $Z(\Delta)$ , for  $Z = \ell_{\infty}, c, c_0$  and defined as follows:

$$Z(\Delta) = \{ x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta x_k) \in Z \},\$$

where  $\Delta x = (\Delta x_k) = (x_k - x_{k+1})$ , for all  $k \in N$ .

In this paper our aim is to investigate some important structures of some spaces which are defined using an Orlicz function and a multiplier sequence. These spaces generalize the spaces  $Z(\Delta)$ , for  $Z = \ell_{\infty}, c, c_0$  introduced and studied by Kizmaz [6].

Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_k)$  be a non-zero sequence of scalars. Then we define the following sequence spaces for an Orlicz function *M*:

$$c_{0}(M,\Lambda,\Delta) = \{x = (x_{k}) : \lim_{k} M(\frac{|\Delta\lambda_{k}x_{k}|}{\rho}) = 0, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\},\$$
$$c(M,\Lambda,\Delta) = \{x = (x_{k}) : \lim_{k} M(\frac{|\Delta\lambda_{k}x_{k}-L|}{\rho}) = 0, \text{ for some } L \text{ and } \rho > 0\},\$$
$$\ell_{\infty}(M,\Lambda,\Delta) = \{x = (x_{k}) : \sup_{k} M(\frac{|\Delta\lambda_{k}x_{k}|}{\rho}) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\},\$$

where  $\Delta \lambda_k x_k = \lambda_k x_k - \lambda_{k+1} x_{k+1}$ , for all  $k \in N$ .

It is obvious that  $c_0(M, \Lambda, \Delta) \subset c(M, \Lambda, \Delta) \subset \ell_{\infty}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$ .

Throughout the paper *X* will denote one of the sequence spaces  $c_0, c$  and  $\ell_{\infty}$ . The sequence spaces  $X(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$  are Banach spaces normed by

$$\|x\|_{\Delta} = |\lambda_1 x_1| + \inf\{\rho > 0 : \sup_k M(\frac{|\Delta \lambda_k x_k|}{\rho}) \le 1\}.$$

Now we shall write  $\Delta^{-1}x_k = x_k - x_{k-1}$ , for all  $k \in N$ . It is trivial that  $(\Delta \lambda_k x_k) \in X(M)$ 

if and only if  $(\Delta^{-1}\lambda_k x_k) \in X(M)$ . Now for  $x \in X(M, \Lambda, \Delta^{-1})$ , we define

$$||x||_{\Delta^{-1}} = \inf\{\rho > 0 : \sup_{k} M(\frac{|\Delta^{-1}\lambda_{k}x_{k}|}{\rho}) \le 1\}.$$

It can be shown that  $X(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$  is a *BK*-space under the norms  $\|.\|_{\Delta}$  and  $\|.\|_{\Delta^{-1}}$  respectively and it is obvious that the norms  $\|.\|_{\Delta}$  and  $\|.\|_{\Delta^{-1}}$  are equivalent.

Obviously  $\Delta^{-1} : X(M, \Lambda, \Delta^{-1}) \longrightarrow X(M)$ , defined by  $\Delta^{-1}x = y = (\Delta^{-1}\lambda_k x_k)$ , is isometric isomorphism.

Hence  $c_0(M, \Lambda, \Delta^{-1})$ ,  $c(M, \Lambda, \Delta^{-1})$  and  $\ell_{\infty}(M, \Lambda, \Delta^{-1})$  are isometrically isomorphic to  $c_0(M)$ , c(M) and  $\ell_{\infty}(M)$  respectively. From abstract point of view  $X(M, \Lambda, \Delta^{-1})$  is identical with X(M), for  $X = c_0$ , c and  $\ell_{\infty}$ .

The results obtained in the next section also hold for the spaces  $c_0(M, \Lambda, \Delta^{-1})$ ,  $c(M, \Lambda, \Delta^{-1})$  and  $\ell_{\infty}(M, \Lambda, \Delta^{-1})$  as well as for the spaces associated with these three spaces.

Now we define the spaces  $\tilde{c}_0(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$ ,  $\tilde{c}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$  and  $\tilde{\ell_{\infty}}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$  as follows:

 $\tilde{c}_0(M,\Lambda,\Delta)$  is a subspace of  $c_0(M,\Lambda,\Delta)$  consisting of those  $x \in c_0(M,\Lambda,\Delta)$  such that

$$\lim_{k} M(\frac{|\Delta\lambda_{k}x_{k}|}{d}) = 0 \text{ for each } d > 0.$$

Similarly we can define  $\tilde{c}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$  and  $\tilde{\ell_{\infty}}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$  as subspace of  $c(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$  and  $\ell_{\infty}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$  respectively.

It is obvious that  $\tilde{c}(M, \Lambda, \Delta) \subset \tilde{c}(M, \Lambda, \Delta) \subset \tilde{\ell}_{\infty}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$ . Also as above we can show that  $\tilde{c}_0(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$ ,  $\tilde{c}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$  and  $\tilde{\ell}_{\infty}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$  are isometrically isomorphic to  $\tilde{c}_0(M)$ ,  $\tilde{c}(M)$  and  $\tilde{\ell}_{\infty}(M)$  respectively.

Moreover  $X(M, \Lambda) \subset X(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$  and  $\tilde{X}(M, \Lambda) \subset \tilde{X}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$  which can be shown by using the following inequality:

$$M(\frac{|\Delta\lambda_k x_k|}{2\rho}) \leq \frac{1}{2}M(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho}) + \frac{1}{2}M(\frac{|\lambda_{k+1} x_{k+1}|}{\rho}).$$

#### 2. Köthe-Toeplitz and Null Dual Spaces

In this section we compute Köthe-Toeplitz or  $\alpha$ -dual and Null or *N*- dual of some difference sequence spaces as described in the preceding section.

Let E and F be two sequence spaces. Then the F dual of E is defined as

$$E^F = \{(x_k) \in w : (x_k y_k) \in F \text{ for all } (y_k) \in E\}.$$

For  $F = \ell_1$  and  $c_0$ , the duals are termed as  $\alpha$ -(or Köthe-Toeplitz) dual and N-(or Null) dual of E and denoted by  $E^{\alpha}$  and  $E^N$  respectively. If  $X \subset Y$ , then  $Y^z \subset X^z$  for  $z = \alpha, N$ .

**Lemma 1.**  $x \in \ell_{\infty}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$  implies  $\sup_{k} M(\frac{|k^{-1}\lambda_{k}x_{k}|}{\rho}) < \infty$ , for some  $\rho > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \ell_{\infty}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$ , then

$$\sup_{k} M(\frac{|\lambda_{k}x_{k} - \lambda_{k+1}x_{k+1}|}{\rho}) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0.$$

Then there exists a U > 0 such that

$$M(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k - \lambda_{k+1} x_{k+1}|}{\rho}) < U, \text{ for all } k \in N.$$

Taking  $\eta = k\rho$ , for an arbitrary fixed positive integer *k*, by the subadditivity of modulus, the monotonicity and convexity of *M*:

$$M(\frac{|\lambda_{1}x_{1}-\lambda_{k+1}x_{k+1}|}{\eta}) < \frac{1}{k} \sum_{l=1}^{k} M(\frac{|\lambda_{l}x_{l}-\lambda_{l+1}x_{l+1}|}{\rho}) < U.$$

Then the above inequality, the inequality

$$\frac{|\lambda_{k+1}x_{k+1}|}{(k+1)\rho} \le \frac{1}{k+1} (\frac{|\lambda_1x_1|}{\rho} + k \frac{|\lambda_1x_1 - \lambda_{k+1}x_{k+1}|}{k\rho})$$

and the convexity of M imply

$$M(\frac{|\lambda_{k+1}x_{k+1}|}{(k+1)\rho}) \leq \frac{1}{k+1}(M(\frac{|\lambda_1x_1|}{\rho}) + kM(\frac{|\lambda_1x_1 - \lambda_{k+1}x_{k+1}|}{k\rho}))$$

$$\leq \max\{M(\frac{|\lambda_1 x_1|}{\rho}), U\} < \infty$$

Hence we have the desired result.

**Lemma 2.**  $x \in \ell_{\infty}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$  implies  $\sup_{k} k^{-1} |\lambda_{k} x_{k}| < \infty$ .

Proof. Proof is obvious by using Lemma 1.

**Remark 1.** Similar results as in Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 hold for  $\tilde{\ell_{\infty}}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$  also, where the statement 'for some  $\rho > 0$ ' should be replaced by 'for every  $\rho > 0$ '.

For the next theorem, let  $D_1 = \{a = (a_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |\lambda_k^{-1} a_k| < \infty\}, D_2 = \{b = (b_k) : \sup_k k^{-1} |\lambda_k b_k| < \infty\}.$ 

Theorem 1. Let M be an Orlicz function. Then

 $\begin{aligned} (i) \ [c(M,\Lambda,\Delta)]^{\alpha} &= [\ell_{\infty}(M,\Lambda,\Delta)]^{\alpha} = D_{1}, \\ (ii) \ [\tilde{c}(M,\Lambda,\Delta)]^{\alpha} &= [\tilde{\ell_{\infty}}(M,\Lambda,\Delta)]^{\alpha} = D_{1}, \\ (iii) \ D_{1}^{\alpha} &= D_{2}. \end{aligned}$ 

*Proof.* (i) Let  $a \in D_1$ , then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |k\lambda_k^{-1}a_k| < \infty$ . Now for any  $x \in \ell_{\infty}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$  we have  $\sup_k |k^{-1}\lambda_k x_k| < \infty$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k x_k| \leq \sup_k |k^{-1} \lambda_k x_k| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |k \lambda_k^{-1} a_k| < \infty.$$

Hence  $a \in [\ell_{\infty}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)]^{\alpha}$ .

Thus

$$D_1 \subseteq [\ell_{\infty}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)]^{\alpha} \tag{1}$$

Again we know

$$[\ell_{\infty}(M,\Lambda,\Delta)]^{\alpha} \subseteq [c(M,\Lambda,\Delta)]^{\alpha} \subseteq [c_0(M,\Lambda,\Delta)]^{\alpha}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Conversely suppose that  $a \in [c(M, \Lambda, \Delta)]^{\alpha}$ . Then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k x_k| < \infty$ , for each  $x \in c(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$ . So we take

$$x_k = \lambda_k^{-1} k, k \ge 1$$

then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |k\lambda_k^{-1}a_k| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_kx_k| < \infty.$$

This implies that  $a \in D_1$ . Thus

$$[c(M,\Lambda,\Delta)]^{\alpha} \subseteq D_1. \tag{3}$$

Combining (3) with (1), (2) it follows

$$[c(M,\Lambda,\Delta)]^{\alpha} = [\ell_{\infty}(M,\Lambda,\Delta)]^{\alpha} = D_1$$

This completes the proof of part(i).

(*ii*) Proof is similar to that of part (*i*).

(*iii*) The proof of the inclusion  $D_1^{\alpha} \supseteq D_2$  is similar to that of  $D_1 \subseteq [\ell_{\infty}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)]^{\alpha}$ .

For the converse part suppose  $a \in D_1^{\alpha}$  and  $a \notin D_2$ . Then we have

$$\sup_k |k^{-1}\lambda_k a_k| = \infty$$

Hence we can find a strictly increasing sequence  $(k_i)$  of positive integers  $k_i$  such that

$$|k_j^{-1}\lambda_{k_j}a_{k_j}| > j^2$$
 for all  $j \ge 1$ 

We define the sequence x by

$$x_{k} = \begin{cases} |a_{k_{j}}^{-1}|, \text{ if } k = k_{j} \\ 0, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $x \in D_1$ , because

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |k\lambda_k^{-1}x_k| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |k_j\lambda_{k_j}^{-1}a_{k_j}^{-1}| \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} < \infty$$

Thus  $x \in D_1$  but  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k x_k| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{k_j} x_{k_j}| = \infty$ . This is a contradiction to  $a \in D_1^{\alpha}$ . Hence  $a \in D_2$ . This completes the proof.

If we take  $\lambda_k = 1$ , for all  $k \in N$  in Theorem 1, then we obtain the following corollary.

## **Corollary 1.** For X = c and $\ell_{\infty}$ , (i) $[X(M, \Delta)]^{\alpha} = [\tilde{X}(M, \Delta)]^{\alpha} = H_1$ , (ii) $H_1^{\alpha} = H_2$ ,

where

$$H_{1} = \{a = (a_{k}) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |ka_{k}| < \infty\}$$
  
and  
$$H_{2} = \{b = (b_{k}) : \sup_{k} |k^{-1}b_{k}| < \infty\}.$$

For the next theorem, let  $G_1 = \{a = (a_k) : \lim_k k \lambda_k^{-1} a_k = 0\}.$ 

Theorem 2. Let M be an Orlicz function. Then

$$\begin{split} (i) \ [c(M,\Lambda,\Delta)]^N &= [\ell_{\infty}(M,\Lambda,\Delta)]^N = G_1, \\ (ii) \ [\tilde{c}(M,\Lambda,\Delta)]^N &= [\tilde{\ell_{\infty}}(M,\Lambda,\Delta)]^N = G_1. \end{split}$$

*Proof.* (*i*) Proof is immediate using Lemma 2.

(*ii*) Proof is similar to that of part (i).

If we take  $\lambda_k = 1$ , for all  $k \in N$  in Theorem 2, then we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** For X = c and  $\ell_{\infty}$ , (*i*)  $[X(M, \Delta)]^N = [\tilde{X}(M, \Delta)]^N = L_1$ , where  $L_1 = \{a = (a_k) : \lim_k ka_k = 0\}$ .

**Theorem 3.** If *M* satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, then we have  $X(M, \Lambda, \Delta) = \tilde{X}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$ , for every  $X = c_0$ , *c* and  $\ell_{\infty}$ .

*Proof.* We give the proof for  $X = \ell_{\infty}$  and for other spaces it will follow on applying similar arguments.

To prove the theorem, it is enough to show that  $\ell_{\infty}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$  is a subspace of  $\tilde{\ell_{\infty}}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$ .

Let  $x \in \ell_{\infty}(M, \Lambda, \Delta)$ , then for some  $\rho > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{k} M(\frac{|\Delta\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho}) < \infty$$

Therefore

$$M(\frac{|\Delta\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho}) < \infty$$
, for every  $k \in N$ .

Choose an arbitrary  $\eta > 0$ . If  $\rho \le \eta$  then  $M(\frac{|\Delta \lambda_k x_k|}{\eta}) < \infty$  for every  $k \in N$ . Let now  $\eta < \rho$  and put  $l = \frac{\rho}{\eta} > 1$ .

Since *M* satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, there exists a constant *K* such that

$$M(\frac{|\Delta\lambda_k x_k|}{\eta}) \le K(\frac{\rho}{\eta})^{\log_2 K} M(\frac{|\Delta\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho}) < \infty \text{ for every } k \in N.$$

Now let us denote

$$S = \sup_{k} M(\frac{|\Delta \lambda_k x_k|}{\rho}) < \infty, \text{ for the fixed } \rho > 0.$$

Then it follows that for every  $\eta > 0$ , we have

$$\sup_{k} M(\frac{|\Delta\lambda_k x_k|}{\eta}) \leq K(\frac{\rho}{\eta})^{\log_2 K} . S < \infty.$$

#### REFERENCES

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** The author is very grateful to the anonymous referee for the constructive comments and helpful suggestions which have improved the presentation of this paper.

#### References

- [1] R.S. Alsaedi and A.H.A. Bataineh, On a generalized difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of Orlicz functions, International Mathematical Forum, 2:4 (2007), 167-177.
- [2] M. Et and A. Esi, On Köthe-Toeplitz duals of generalized difference sequence spaces, Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society, 23 (2000), 25-32.
- [3] Y. Gribanov, On the theory of  $\ell_M$ -spaces(Russian), Uc. Zap. Kazansk un-ta, 117(1957), 62-65.
- [4] G. Goes and S. Goes, Sequences of bounded variation and sequences of Fourier coefficients, Math. Zeift., 118(1970), 93-102.
- [5] E. Kreyszig, Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications, Jhon Wiley and Sons (1978).
- [6] H. Kizmaz, On certain sequence spaces, Canad. Math. Bull., 24:2(1981), 169-176.
- [7] P.K. Kamthan and M. Gupta, Sequence Spaces and Series, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York (1981).
- [8] M.A. Krasnoselskii and Y.B. Rutitsky, Convex functions and Orlicz spaces, Groningen, Netherlands, 1961.
- [9] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, On Orlicz sequence spaces, Israel J. Math.,10(1971), 379-390.
- [10] I.J. Maddox, Elements of Functional Analysis, Universal Book Stall (1989).
- [11] E. Malkowsky and S.D. Parasar, Matrix transformation in spaces of bounded and convergent difference sequences of order m, Analysis, 17(1997), 87-97.
- [12] M.M. Rao and Z.D. Ren, Theory on Orlicz spaces, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991.