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#### Abstract

We define the notion of vector space-groupoid. The main purpose of this paper is to give the basic properties of vector space-groupoids.
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## 1. Introduction

In the category theoretical approach, a groupoid is a small category in which every morphism is an isomorphism [6].

The concept of groupoid was first introduced by H. Brandt [1] and it is developed by P. J. Higgins in [6]. The topological and differentiable versions of the groupoids were defined by C. Ehresmann [5]. The notion of group-groupoid was defined by R. Brown and Spencer in the paper [4].

In this paper, the group-groupoid is extended to notion of vector space-groupoid. Another algebraic concept considered in this paper is the vector groupoid. This new mathematical structure was defined by V. Popuţa and Gh. Ivan [13, 14].

The groupoids, group- groupoids and their generalizations (topological groupoids, Lie groupoids etc.) are mathematical structures that have proved to be useful in many areas of science (see for instance [2, 7, 9-12, 15].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present some concepts and main results related to groupoids and group-groupoids [4]. In Section 3 we introduce the concept of vector space-groupoid. This is viewed as a groupoid object in the category of vector spaces. The useful properties of vector space-groupoids are established. Finally, we prove that each vector space-groupoid is a vector groupoid.
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## 2. Preliminaries about Group-groupoids

We begin with the presentation of some necessary backgrounds on groupoids (for further details see e.g. [8, 9]).

Definition 1 ([9]). A groupoid $G$ over $G_{0}$ is a pair ( $G, G_{0}$ ) of sets endowed with two surjective maps $\alpha, \beta: G \rightarrow G_{0}$ (source and target), a partially binary operation (multiplication)
$m: G_{(2)}:=\{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid \beta(x)=\alpha(y)\} \rightarrow G,(x, y) \rightarrow m(x, y):=x \cdot y,\left(G_{(2)}\right.$ is the set of composable pairs), an injective map $\varepsilon: G_{0} \rightarrow G$ (inclusion map) and a map i:G $\rightarrow G$, $x \rightarrow i(x):=x^{-1}$ (inversion).

These maps must verify the following conditions:
(G1) (associativity): if $(x, y) \in G_{(2)}$ and $(y, z) \in G_{(2)}$, then so $(x \cdot y, z) \in G_{(2)}$ and $(x, y \cdot z) \in G_{(2)}$, and the relation, $(x \cdot y) \cdot z=x \cdot(y \cdot z)$ is satisfied;
(G2) (units): $\alpha \circ \varepsilon=\beta \circ \varepsilon=I d_{G_{0}}$ and $\varepsilon(\alpha(x)) \cdot x=x=x \cdot \varepsilon(\beta(x)),(\forall) x \in G$;
(G3) (inverses): for each $x \in G$ we have $\alpha\left(x^{-1}\right)=\beta(x), \beta\left(x^{-1}\right)=\alpha(x), x^{-1} \cdot x=\varepsilon(\beta(x))$ and $x \cdot x^{-1}=\varepsilon(\alpha(x))$.

We sometimes use the notation $x y$ instead of the product $x \cdot y$. Whenever we write a product in a given groupoid, we are assuming that it is defined.

The element $\varepsilon(\alpha(x))$ (resp., $\varepsilon(\beta(x))$ ) is called the left unit (resp., right unit) of $x ; \varepsilon\left(G_{0}\right)$ is called the unit set; $x^{-1}$ is called the inverse of $x$.

For a groupoid we use the notation ( $G, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i, G_{0}$ ) or ( $G, G_{0}$ ) or $G$. The functions $\alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i$ are called structure functions. For each $u \in G_{0}$, the set $\alpha^{-1}(u)$ (resp., $\beta^{-1}(u)$ ) is called $\alpha$-fibre (resp., $\beta$-fibre) of $G$ at $u \in G_{0}$. For any $u \in G_{0}$, the set $G(u):=\alpha^{-1}(u) \cap \beta^{-1}(u)$ is a group under the restriction of the multiplication, called the isotropy group at $u$ of the groupoid ( $G, G_{0}$ ). The map $(\alpha, \beta): G \rightarrow G_{0} \times G_{0}$ defined by $(\alpha, \beta)(x):=(\alpha(x), \beta(x))$, $(\forall) x \in G$ is called the anchor map of $G$. A groupoid is transitive, if its anchor map is surjective.

In particular, if ( $G, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i, G_{0}$ ) is a groupoid such that $G_{0} \subseteq G$ and $\varepsilon: G_{0} \rightarrow G$ is the inclusion map, then ( $G, \alpha, \beta, m, i, G_{0}$ ) is a Brandt groupoid, called $G_{0}$-groupoid.

Some elementary properties of groupoids are contained in the following proposition.
Theorem 1. [8] In a groupoid ( $G, G_{0}$ ) the following assertions hold:
(i) $\alpha(x y)=\alpha(x)$ and $\beta(x y)=\beta(y)$ for any $(x, y) \in G_{(2)}$;
(ii) $\alpha \circ i=\beta, \beta \circ i=\alpha$ and $i \circ i=I d_{G}$;
(iii) $i \circ \varepsilon=\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon(u) \cdot \varepsilon(u)=\varepsilon(u)$ for each $u \in G_{0}$;
(iv) $i(x \cdot y)=i(y) \cdot i(x)$, for all $(x, y) \in G_{(2)}$;
(v) $\varphi: G(\alpha(x)) \rightarrow G(\beta(x)), \varphi(z):=x^{-1} z x$ is an isomorphism of groups.
(vi) if $\left(G, G_{0}\right)$ is transitive, then all isotropy groups are isomorphic.

## Example 1.

(i) A nonempty set $G_{0}$ may be considered to be a groupoid over $G_{0}$, called the null groupoid associated to $G_{0}$. For this, we take $\alpha=\beta=\varepsilon=i=I d_{G_{0}}$ and $u \cdot u=u$ for all $u \in G_{0}$.
(ii) A group $G$ having $e$ as unity has a structure of $\{e\}$-groupoid with respect to maps: $\alpha(x)=\beta(x):=e, G_{(2)}=G \times G, m(x, y):=x y, \varepsilon(e):=e$ and $i(x):=x^{-1}$. Conversely, a groupoid with one unit (i.e., $G_{0}=\{e\}$ ) is a group.
(iii) For the groupoids $\left(G_{j}, \alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}, m_{j}, \varepsilon_{j}, i_{j}, G_{j, 0}\right), j=1,2$, one may construct the groupoid $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ whose its structure functions are given by: $\alpha:=\alpha_{1} \times \alpha_{2}, \beta:=\beta_{1} \times \beta_{2}, \varepsilon:=\varepsilon_{1} \times \varepsilon_{2}$, $i:=i_{1} \times i_{2}$ and $m\left(\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right),\left(g_{1}^{\prime}, g_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(m_{1}\left(g_{1}, g_{1}^{\prime}\right), m_{2}\left(g_{2}, g_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for all $\left(g, g_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in G_{(2)}$, $\left(g_{2}, g_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in G_{(2)}$. Then $\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}, \alpha, m, \varepsilon, i, G_{1,0} \times G_{2,0}\right)$ is a groupoid, called the direct product of $\left(G_{1}, G_{1,0}\right)$ and $\left(G_{2}, G_{2,0}\right)$.

Definition 2 ([8]). Let ( $G, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i, G_{0}$ ) be a groupoid.
(i) A pair $\left(H, H_{0}\right)$ of nonempty subsets where $H \subseteq G$ and $H_{0} \subseteq G_{0}$, is called subgroupoid of G, if:
(1) $\alpha(H)=H_{0}$ and $\beta(H)=H_{0}$;
(2) $H$ is closed under partially multiplication and inversion, that is:
(a) $(\forall) x, y \in H$ such that $(x, y) \in G_{(2)}$ we have $x \cdot y \in H$;
(b) $x^{-1} \in H$, for all $x \in H$.
(ii) A subgroupoid $\left(H, H_{0}\right)$ of $\left(G, G_{0}\right)$ is said to be wide, if $H_{0}=G_{0}$.
(iii) A wide subgroupoid $\left(N, N_{0}\right)$ of $\left(G, G_{0}\right)$ is called normal, if for all $x \in G$ and $a \in N$ we have $x \cdot a \cdot x^{-1} \in N$.

Definition 3 ([9]). Let $\left(G, \alpha, \beta, G_{0}\right)$ and $\left(G^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, G_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ be two groupoids.
(i) A morphism of groupoids or groupoid morphism from $G$ into $G^{\prime}$ is a pair $\left(f, f_{0}\right)$ of maps $f: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ and $f_{0}: G_{0} \rightarrow G_{0}^{\prime}$ such that the following conditions hold:
(i1) $\alpha^{\prime} \circ f=f_{0} \circ \alpha, \beta^{\prime} \circ f=f_{0} \circ \beta$;
(i2) $f(m(x, y))=m^{\prime}(f(x), f(y))$ for all $(x, y) \in G_{(2)}$.
(ii) If $G_{0}=G_{0}^{\prime}$ and $f_{0}=I d_{G_{0}}$, we say that $f$ is a $G_{0}$-morphism of groupoids.
(iii) A groupoid morphism $\left(f, f_{0}\right):\left(G, G_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(G^{\prime}, G_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $f$ and $f_{0}$ are bijective maps, is called isomorphism of groupoids.

If $\left(f, f_{0}\right):\left(G, G_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(G^{\prime}, G_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ is a groupoid morphism, then [8]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \circ \varepsilon=\varepsilon^{\prime} \circ f_{0} \text { and } f \circ i=i^{\prime} \circ f \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sequel we describe the notion of group-groupoid as algebraic structure (for definition see [4]).

A group structure on a nonempty set is regarded as an universal algebra determined by a binary operation, an nullary operation and an unary operation.

Let ( $G, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i, G_{0}$ ) be a groupoid. We suppose that on $G$ is defined a group structure $\omega: G \times G \rightarrow G,(x, y) \mapsto \omega(x, y):=x \oplus y$. The unit element of the group $G$ is denoted by $e$, that is $v:\{\lambda\} \rightarrow G, \lambda \mapsto v(\lambda):=e$ (here $\{\lambda\}$ is a singleton). The inverse of $x \in G$ is denoted by $\bar{x}$, that is $\sigma: G \rightarrow G, x \rightarrow \sigma(x):=\bar{x}$. Also, we suppose that on $G_{0}$ is defined a group structure $\omega_{0}: G_{0} \times G_{0} \rightarrow G_{0},(u, v) \mapsto \omega_{0}(u, v):=u \oplus v$. The neutral element of the group $G_{0}$ is denoted by $e_{0}$, that is $v_{0}:\{\lambda\} \rightarrow G_{0}, \lambda \rightarrow v_{0}(\lambda):=e_{0}$. The inverse of $u \in G_{0}$ is denoted by $\bar{u}$, that is $\sigma_{0}: G_{0} \rightarrow G_{0}, u \mapsto \sigma_{0}(u):=\bar{u}$.

Definition 4 ([4]). A group-groupoid or $\mathcal{G}$-groupoid, is a groupoid ( $G, G_{0}$ ) such that the following conditions hold:
(i) $(G, \omega, v, \sigma)$ and $\left(G_{0}, \omega_{0}, v_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$ are groups.
(ii) The maps $\left(\omega, \omega_{0}\right):\left(G \times G, G_{0} \times G_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(G, G_{0}\right), v:\{\lambda\} \rightarrow G$ and $\left(\sigma, \sigma_{0}\right):\left(G, G_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(G, G_{0}\right)$ are groupoid morphisms.

We shall denote a group-groupoid by ( $G, \alpha, \beta, m, i, \varepsilon, \oplus, G_{0}$ ) or $\left(G, \alpha, \beta, m, \oplus, G_{0}\right)$.
Theorem 2. If $\left.G, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i, \oplus, G_{0}\right)$ is a group-groupoid, then:
(i) the multiplication $m$ and the binary operation $\omega$ are compatible, that is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x \cdot y) \oplus(z \cdot t)=(x \oplus z) \cdot(y \oplus t), \quad(\forall)(x, y),(z, t) \in G_{(2)} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) the structure functions $\alpha, \beta:(G, \oplus) \rightarrow\left(G_{0}, \oplus\right), \varepsilon:\left(G_{0}, \oplus\right) \rightarrow(G, \oplus)$ and $i:(G, \oplus) \rightarrow(G, \oplus)$ are morphisms of groups;
(iii) the multiplication $m$ and the unary operation $\sigma$ are compatible, that is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(x \cdot y)=\sigma(x) \cdot \sigma(y), \quad(\forall)(x, y) \in G_{(2)} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Definition 3, since $\left(\omega, \omega_{0}\right)$ is a groupoid morphism it follows that:
(a) $\alpha \circ \omega=\omega_{0} \circ(\alpha \times \alpha)$ and $\beta \circ \omega=\omega_{0} \circ(\beta \times \beta)$;
(b) $\omega\left(m_{G \times G}((x, y),(z, t))\right)=m_{G}(\omega(x, z), \omega(y, t)),(\forall)(x, y),(z, t) \in G_{(2)}$.
(i) We have

$$
\omega\left(m_{G \times G}((x, y),(z, t))\right)=\omega\left(m_{G}(x, y), m_{G}(z, t)\right)=\omega(x \cdot y, z \cdot t)=(x \cdot y) \oplus(z \cdot t)
$$

and

$$
m_{G}(\omega(x, z), \omega(y, t))=m_{G}(x \oplus z, y \oplus t)=(x \oplus z) \cdot(y \oplus t) .
$$

Using (b) one obtains $(x \cdot y) \oplus(z \cdot t)=(x \oplus z) \cdot(y \oplus t)$, and (2) holds.
(ii) For each $(x, y) \in G \times G$, we have

$$
\alpha(\omega(x, y))=\alpha(x \oplus y)
$$

and

$$
\omega_{0}((\alpha \times \alpha)(x, y))=\omega_{0}(\alpha(x), \alpha(y))=\alpha(x) \oplus \alpha(y) .
$$

According to the first equality (a), it follows $\alpha(x \oplus y)=\alpha(x) \oplus \alpha(y)$, and $\alpha$ is a group morphism. Similarly, we prove that $\beta$ is a group morphism.

Since $\left(\omega, \omega_{0}\right)$ is a groupoid morphism, from (1) it follows.
(c) $\omega \circ(\varepsilon \times \varepsilon)=\varepsilon \circ \omega_{0}$ and $i \circ \omega=\omega \circ(i \times i)$.

For all $u, v \in G_{0}$, we have $\omega((\varepsilon \times \varepsilon)(u, v))=\omega(\varepsilon(u), \varepsilon(v))=\varepsilon(u) \oplus \varepsilon(v)$ and $\varepsilon\left(\omega_{0}(u, v)\right)=\varepsilon(u \oplus v)$. From the first equality (c), it follows $\varepsilon(u \oplus v)=\varepsilon(u) \oplus \varepsilon(v)$. Hence, $\varepsilon$ is a group morphism.
For all $x, y \in G$, we have $i(\omega(x, y))=i(x \oplus y)$ and $\omega(i(x), i(y))=i(x) \oplus i(y)$. Using the second equality (c), it follows $i(x \oplus y)=i(x) \oplus i(y)$, and $i$ is a group morphism.
(iii) Since ( $\sigma, \sigma_{0}$ ) is a groupoid morphism, for all $(x, y) \in G_{(2)}$ we have

$$
\sigma(m(x, y))=m(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) ;
$$

i.e., $\sigma(x \cdot y)=\sigma(x) \cdot \sigma(y)$. Hence (3) holds.

The relation (2) (resp., (3)) is called the interchange law between groupoid multiplication $m$ and group operation $\omega$ (resp., $\sigma$ ).

We say that the group-groupoid ( $G, \alpha, \beta, m, i, \varepsilon, \oplus, G_{0}$ ) is a commutative group-groupoid, if the groups $G$ and $G_{0}$ are commutative.

## Remark 1.

(i) Let $\left(G, G_{0}\right)$ be a $\mathcal{G}$-groupoid. For all $x, y \in G$, we have

$$
\sigma(x \oplus y)=\sigma(y) \oplus \sigma(x) \text { and } \sigma(\sigma(x))=x
$$

(ii) If $\left(G, G_{0}\right)$ is a commutative group-groupoid, then

$$
\overline{x \oplus y}=\bar{x} \oplus \bar{y}, \quad(\forall) x, y \in G .
$$

Theorem 3. If ( $G, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i, \oplus, G_{0}$ ) is a $\mathcal{G}$-groupoid, then:

$$
\begin{gather*}
e \cdot y=y, \quad(\forall) y \in \alpha^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right) \text { and } x \cdot e=x, \quad(\forall) x \in \beta^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right) ;  \tag{4}\\
x \cdot(y \oplus t)=x \cdot y \oplus t, \quad(\forall)(x, y) \in G_{(2)} \text { and } t \in \alpha^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right) ;  \tag{5}\\
(x \oplus z) \cdot y=x \cdot y \oplus z, \quad(\forall)(x, y) \in G_{(2)} \text { and } z \in \beta^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. If $y \in \alpha^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right)$, then $\alpha(y)=e_{0}$. We have $\beta\left(\varepsilon\left(e_{0}\right)\right)=e_{0}$, since $\beta \circ \varepsilon=I d_{G_{0}}$. So $\left(\varepsilon\left(e_{0}\right), y\right) \in G_{(2)}$. Using the condition (G2) from Definition 1, one obtains
$e \cdot y=\varepsilon\left(e_{0}\right) \cdot y=\varepsilon(\alpha(y)) \cdot y=y$. Hence the first relation of (4) holds. Similarly, we prove that the second relation of (4) hold.

For to prove the relation (5) we apply the interchange law (2) and (4). Indeed, if in (2) we replace $z$ with $e$, one obtains

$$
(x \cdot y) \oplus(e \cdot t)=(x \oplus e) \cdot(y \oplus t), \quad(\forall)(x, y),(e, t) \in G_{(2)} .
$$

It follows $(x \cdot y) \oplus t=x \cdot(y \oplus t)$, since $x \oplus e=x, \beta(e)=e_{0}$ and $t \in \alpha^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right)$. Hence, the relation (5) holds. Similarly, if in (2) we replace $t$ with $e$, one obtains

$$
(x \cdot y) \oplus(z \cdot e)=(x \oplus y) \cdot(y \oplus e), \quad(\forall)(x, y),(y, e) \in G_{(2)} .
$$

It follows $(x \cdot y) \oplus z=(x \oplus z) \cdot y$, since $y \oplus e=y, \alpha(e)=e_{0}$ and $z \in \beta^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right)$. Hence, the relation (6) holds.

Theorem 4. [3] If $\left(G, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i, \oplus, G_{0}\right)$ is a $\mathcal{G}$-groupoid, then:

$$
\begin{align*}
x \cdot y & =x \oplus \overline{\varepsilon(\beta(x))} \oplus y, \quad(\forall)(x, y) \in G_{(2)} ;  \tag{7}\\
x^{-1} & =\varepsilon(\alpha(x)) \oplus \bar{x} \oplus \varepsilon(\beta(x)), \quad(\forall) x \in G . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in G_{(2)}$. Then $\beta(x)=\alpha(y)$. We have

$$
x \cdot y=(x \oplus(\overline{\varepsilon(\beta(x))} \oplus \varepsilon(\beta(x)))) \cdot(e \oplus y)
$$

since $\overline{\varepsilon(\beta(x))} \oplus \varepsilon(\beta(x))=e, x \oplus e=x$ and $e \oplus y=y$.
From the associativity of the law $\oplus$ and $\beta(x)=\alpha(y)$, one obtains

$$
x \cdot y=((x \oplus \overline{\varepsilon(\beta(x))}) \oplus \varepsilon(\alpha(y))) \cdot(e \oplus y) .
$$

Applying the interchange law (2), the relations (4) and (G.2), we have

$$
x \cdot y=((x \oplus(\overline{\varepsilon(\beta(x)}) \cdot e) \oplus(\varepsilon(\alpha(y)) \cdot y) \quad \Rightarrow \quad x \cdot y=x \oplus \overline{\varepsilon(\beta(x))} \oplus y .
$$

Hence, the relation (7) holds. Applying the fact that $\alpha$ is a group morphism and the relation $\alpha \circ \varepsilon=I d_{G_{0}}$, one obtains

$$
\alpha(a)=\alpha(\varepsilon(\alpha(x))) \oplus \alpha(\bar{x}) \oplus \alpha(\varepsilon(\beta(x)))=\alpha(x) \oplus \alpha(\bar{x}) \oplus \beta(x)=\alpha(x \oplus \bar{x}) \oplus \beta(x)=\beta(x) .
$$

From $\alpha(a)=\beta(x)$ it follows that the product $x \cdot a$ is defined.
Applying the interchange law (2) and (4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \cdot a & =(e \oplus x) \cdot((\varepsilon(\alpha(x)) \oplus \bar{x}) \oplus \varepsilon(\beta(x)))=(e \cdot(\varepsilon(\alpha(x)) \oplus \bar{x})) \oplus(x \cdot \varepsilon(\beta(x))) \\
& =\varepsilon(\alpha(x)) \oplus \bar{x} \oplus x=\varepsilon(\alpha(x)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $x \cdot a=\varepsilon(\alpha(x))$. Similarly, we verify that $a \cdot x=\varepsilon(\beta(x))$. Then $a=x^{-1}$ and the relation (8) holds.

Corollary 1. If ( $G, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i, \oplus, G_{0}$ ) is a $\mathcal{G}$-groupoid, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \cdot y=x \oplus y \text { and } x^{-1}=\bar{x}, \quad(\forall) x, y \in G\left(e_{0}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in G\left(e_{0}\right)$. Then $\alpha(x)=\alpha(y)=\beta(x)=\beta(y)=e_{0}$ and $(x, y) \in G_{(2)}$. Applying (7), we have $x \cdot y=x \oplus y$, since $\overline{\varepsilon(\beta(x))}=\overline{\varepsilon\left(e_{0}\right)}=e$. Hence, the first equality from (9) holds. Also, we have $\varepsilon(\alpha(x))=\varepsilon(\beta(x))=\varepsilon\left(e_{0}\right)=e$. Applying now (8), we have $x^{-1}=\bar{x}$. Hence, the second equality from (9) holds.

## 3. Category of Vector Space-groupoids

Let ( $V, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i, V_{0}$ ) be a groupoid. We suppose that $V$ (resp., $V_{0}$ ) is a vector space over a field $K$. For the binary operation and unary operation in the group $V$ (resp., $V_{0}$ ) we will use the notations $\omega:=+$ (resp., $\omega_{0}:=+$ ) and $\sigma(x):=-x, x \in V$ (resp., $\sigma_{0}(u):=-u, u \in V_{0}$ ). The null vector of $V$ (resp., $V_{0}$ ) is $e$ (resp., $e_{0}$ ). The scalar multiplication $\varphi: K \times V \rightarrow V$ (resp., $\varphi_{0}: K \times V_{0} \rightarrow V_{0}$ ) is given by

$$
(k, x) \mapsto \varphi(k, x):=k x\left(\text { resp., }(k, u) \mapsto \varphi_{0}(k, u):=k u\right) .
$$

Consider the direct product ( $K \times V, I d \times \alpha, I d \times \beta, I d \times m, I d \times \varepsilon, I d \times i, K \times V_{0}$ ) of the null groupoid associated to $K$ and groupoid ( $V, V_{0}$ ). Its set of composable elements is $(K \times V)_{(2)}=\left\{\left(\left(k_{1}, x\right),\left(k_{2}, y\right)\right) \in(K \times V)^{2} \mid k_{1}=k_{2}, \beta(x)=\alpha(y)\right\}$.

The multiplication in $K \times V$ is given by

$$
(k, x) \cdot(k, y):=(k, x \cdot y), \quad(\forall)(x, y) \in V_{(2)}, k \in K
$$

Definition 5. A vector space-groupoid or $\mathcal{V S}$-groupoid, is a groupoid $\left(V, V_{0}\right)$ such that the following conditions hold:
(5.1) $(V,+, \varphi)$ and $\left(V_{0},+, \varphi_{0}\right)$ are vector spaces;
(5.2) ( $\left.V, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i,+, V_{0}\right)$ is a commutative group-groupoid;
(5.3) The pair $\left(\varphi, \varphi_{0}\right):\left(K \times V, K \times V_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(V, V_{0}\right)$ is a groupoid morphism.

We shall denote a vector space-groupoid by $\left(V, \alpha, \beta, m, i, \varepsilon,+, \varphi, V_{0}\right)$ or $\left(V, V_{0}\right)$.
Theorem 5. If ( $V, \alpha, \beta, m, i, \varepsilon,+, \varphi, V_{0}$ ) is a vector space-groupoid, then:
(i) the multiplication $m$ and the additive operation $\omega$ are compatible, that is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x \cdot y)+(z \cdot t)=(x+z) \cdot(y+t), \quad(\forall)(x, y),(z, t) \in V_{(2)} ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) the structure functions $\alpha, \beta:(V,+) \rightarrow\left(V_{0},+\right), \varepsilon:\left(V_{0},+\right) \rightarrow(V,+)$ and $i:(V,+) \rightarrow(V,+)$ are linear maps;
(iii) the multiplication $m$ and the scalar multiplication $\varphi$ are compatible, that is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(x \cdot y)=(k x) \cdot(k y), \quad(\forall)(x, y) \in V_{(2)} \text { and } k \in K ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) the multiplication $m$ and the unary operation $\sigma$ are compatible, that is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(x \cdot y)=(-x) \cdot(-y), \quad(\forall)(x, y) \in V_{(2)} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) and (iv). Since ( $V, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i,+, V_{0}$ ) is a group-groupoid, it follows that the relation (10) holds and the structure functions $\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon, i$ are morphisms from the corresponding additive groups. Also, Theorem $2($ iii $)$ it implies that the equality (12) is verified.

From the fact that $\left(\varphi, \varphi_{0}\right)$ is a groupoid morphism, we have:
(a) $\alpha \circ \varphi=\varphi_{0} \circ(I d \times \alpha)$ and $\beta \circ \varphi=\varphi_{0} \circ(I d \times \beta)$;
(b) $\varphi((I d \times m)((k, x),(k, y)))=m(\varphi(k, x), \varphi(k, y)),(\forall)(x, y) \in V_{(2)}, k \in K$.
(ii) and (iii). For each $(k, x) \in K \times V$, we have $\alpha(\varphi(k, x))=\alpha(k x)$ and $\varphi_{0}((I d \times \alpha)(k, x))=\varphi_{0}(k, \alpha(x))=k \alpha(x)$.

According to the first equality (a), it follows $\alpha(k x)=k \alpha(x)$, and $\alpha$ is a linear map. Similarly, we prove that $\beta$ is a linear map.

We have $\varphi((I d \times m)((k, x),(k, y)))=\varphi(k, m(x, y))=k m(x, y)=k(x \cdot y)$ and $m(\varphi(k, x), \varphi(k, y))=m(k x, k y)=(k x) \cdot(k y)$. Using $(b)$ one obtains $k(x \cdot y)=(k x) \cdot(k y)$, and (11) holds.

Since $\left(\varphi, \varphi_{0}\right)$ is a groupoid morphism, from (1) it follows
(c) $\varphi \circ(I d \times \varepsilon)=\varepsilon \circ \varphi_{0}$ and $i \circ \varphi=\varphi \circ(I d \times i)$.

For all $u \in V_{0}$ and $k \in K$, we have $\varphi((I d \times \varepsilon)(k, u))=\varphi(k, \varepsilon(u))=k \varepsilon(u)$ and $\varepsilon\left(\varphi_{0}(k, u)\right)=\varepsilon(k u)$. From the first equality (c), it follows $\varepsilon(k u)=k \varepsilon(u)$. Hence, $\varepsilon$ is a linear map.

For all $x \in V$ and $k \in K$, we have $i(\varphi(k, x))=i(k x)$ and $\varphi(k, i(x))=k i(x)$. Using the second equality (c), it follows $i(k x)=k i(x)$, and $i$ is a linear map.

The relation (10) (resp., (11)) is called the interchange law between groupoid multiplication $m$ and scalar multiplication $\omega$ (resp., $\varphi$ ). The relation (12) is called the interchange law between groupoid multiplication $m$ and group operation $\sigma$.

From the Theorems 5, 1, 3, 4 follows the following corollary.
Corollary 2. Let ( $V, \alpha, \beta, m, i, \varepsilon,+, \varphi, V_{0}$ ) be a $\mathcal{V S}$-groupoid. Then:
(i) The source and target $\alpha, \beta: V \rightarrow V_{0}$ are surjective linear maps, and

$$
\alpha(e)=\beta(e)=e_{0}, \quad \alpha(-x)=-\alpha(x) \text { and } \beta(-x)=-\beta(x), \quad(\forall) x \in V ;
$$

(ii) The inclusion map $\varepsilon: V_{0} \rightarrow V$ is an injective linear map, and

$$
\varepsilon\left(e_{0}\right)=e, \quad \varepsilon(-u)=-\varepsilon(u), \quad(\forall) u \in V_{0} ;
$$

(iii) The inversion i:V $\rightarrow V$ is a linear automorphism, and

$$
i(e)=e, \quad i(-x)=-i(x), \quad(\forall) x \in V \text {; }
$$

(iv) The following assertions hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
e \cdot y=y, \quad(\forall) y \in \alpha^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right) \text { and } x \cdot e=x, \quad(\forall) x \in \beta^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right) ;  \tag{13}\\
x \cdot(y+t)=x \cdot y+t, \quad(\forall)(x, y) \in V_{(2)} \text { and } t \in \alpha^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right) ;  \tag{14}\\
(x+z) \cdot y=x \cdot y+z, \quad(\forall)(x, y) \in V_{(2)} \text { and } z \in \beta^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right) ;  \tag{15}\\
x \cdot y=x+y-\varepsilon(\beta(x)), \quad(\forall)(x, y) \in V_{(2)} ;  \tag{16}\\
x^{-1}=\varepsilon(\alpha(x))+\varepsilon(\beta(x))-x, \quad(\forall) x \in V \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Corollary 3. If $\left(V, \alpha, \beta, m, i, \varepsilon,+, \varphi, V_{0}\right)$ is a $\mathcal{V S}$-groupoid, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \cdot y=x+y \text { and } x^{-1}=-x, \quad(\forall) x, y \in V\left(e_{0}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows immediately from (16) and (17).
Theorem 6. Let ( $V, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i, V_{0}$ ) be a groupoid. If the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $(V,+, \varphi)$ and $\left(V_{0},+, \varphi_{0}\right)$ are vector spaces;
(ii) $\alpha, \beta: V \rightarrow V_{0}, \varepsilon: V_{0} \rightarrow V$ and $i: V \rightarrow V$ are linear maps;
(iii) the interchange law (10) between the operations $m$ and $\omega$ holds, then $\left(V, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i,+, \varphi, V_{0}\right)$ is a vector space-groupoid.

Proof. By hypothesis, the condition (5.1) from Definition 5 is verified. We prove now the condition (5.2) from Definition 5 is satisfied. The condition (i) from Definition 4 holds, since ( $V, \omega, v, \sigma$ ) and $\left(V_{0}, \omega_{0}, v_{0}, \sigma_{0}\right)$ are commutative groups.
(a) We prove that $\left(\omega, \omega_{0}\right):\left(V \times V, V_{0} \times V_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(V, V_{0}\right)$ is a morphism of groupoids. Since $\alpha$ is a morphism of groups, it follows $\alpha(x+y)=\alpha(x)+\alpha(y)$, for all $x, y \in V$. Then $\alpha(\omega(x, y))=\omega_{0}(\alpha(x), \alpha(y))$, and it follows

$$
\alpha(\omega(x, y))=\omega_{0}((\alpha \times \alpha)(x, y)) ;
$$

i.e., $\alpha \circ \omega=\omega_{0} \circ(\alpha \times \alpha)$. Similarly, we prove that $\beta \circ \omega=\omega_{0} \circ(\beta \times \beta)$. Hence the condition (i1) from Definition 3(i) is satisfied.
We suppose that the interchange law (10) holds. Then, for all $(x, y)$ and $(z, t)$ in $G_{(2)}$ we have $(x \cdot y)+(z \cdot t)=(x+z) \cdot(y+t)$. From the last equality it follows

$$
m(x, y) \oplus m(z, t)=\omega(x, z) \cdot \omega(y, t) \Rightarrow \omega(m(x, y), m(z, t))=m(\omega(x, z),(\omega(y, t)) .
$$

Then $\omega\left(m_{G \times G}((x, y),(z, t))\right)=m(\omega(x, z),(\omega(y, t))$, and the condition (i2) from Definition $3(i)$ holds. Hence, $\left(\omega, \omega_{0}\right)$ is a groupoid morphism.
(b) We prove that $\left(v, v_{0}\right)$ is a morphism of groupoids (here $\{\lambda\}$ is regarded as null groupoid with the structure functions $\alpha_{0}^{\prime}, \beta_{0}^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{0}^{\prime}, i_{0}^{\prime}$ and multiplication $m_{0}^{\prime}$ ). Since $\alpha$ and $\varepsilon$ are group morphisms, we have $\alpha(e)=e_{0}$ and $\varepsilon\left(e_{0}\right)=e$. From $\alpha(v(\lambda))=\alpha(e)=e_{0}$ and $v_{0}(\lambda)=e_{0}$, it follows $\alpha \circ v=v_{0} \circ I d$. Similarly, we have $\beta \circ v=v_{0} \circ I d$. Also, we have $v\left(m_{0}^{\prime}(\lambda, \lambda)\right)=v(\lambda)=e$ and $m(v(\lambda), v(\lambda))=e \cdot e=\varepsilon(\alpha(e)) \cdot e=e$. Then, $v\left(m_{0}^{\prime}(\lambda, \lambda)\right)=m(v(\lambda), v(\lambda))$. Hence, $\left(v, v_{0}\right)$ is a groupoid morphism.
(c) We prove that $\left(\sigma, \sigma_{0}\right)$ is a groupoid morphism. Applying the fact that $\alpha$ is group morphism, we have $\alpha(\sigma(x))=\alpha(-x)=-\alpha(x)$ and $\sigma_{0}(\alpha(x))=-\alpha(x)$. Then $\alpha \circ \sigma=\sigma_{0} \circ \alpha$. Similarly, we have $\beta \circ \sigma=\sigma_{0} \circ \beta$. We shall prove that:
(c1) $-x \cdot y=(-x) \cdot(-y),(\forall)(x, y) \in V_{(2)}$.
From $(x, y) \in V_{(2)}$ we have $\beta(x)=\alpha(y)$. Then $\beta(-x)=\alpha(-y)$. Therefore $(-x,-y) \in V_{(2)}$. Using now (10) one obtains
(c2) $(x \cdot y)+((-x) \cdot(-y))=(x+(-x)) \cdot(y+(-y))$ and
(c3) $((-x) \cdot(-y))+(x \cdot y)=((-x)+x) \cdot((-y)+y)$.
Since $a+(-a)=(-a)+a=e$, and $e \cdot e=e$, from (c2) and (c3), we have
(c4) $(x \cdot y)+((-x) \cdot(-y))=e$ and $((-x) \cdot(-y))+(x \cdot y)=e$.
From (c4) one obtains that the equality (c1) holds. The relation (c1) is equivalently with $\sigma(x \cdot y)=\operatorname{sigma}(x) \cdot \sigma(y)$. Then $\sigma(m(x, y))=m(\sigma(x), \sigma(y))$. Hence, $\left(\sigma, \sigma_{0}\right)$ is a groupoid morphism. Therefore, $\left(V, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i,+, V_{0}\right)$ is a commutative group-groupoid and the condition (5.2) from Definition 5 holds.
We shall prove that $\left(\varphi, \varphi_{0}\right):\left(K \times V, K \times V_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(V, V_{0}\right)$ is a groupoid morphism.
Applying the fact that $\alpha$ is a linear map, for all $x \in V$ and $k \in K$ we have $\alpha(\varphi(k, x))=\alpha(k x)=k \alpha(x)$ and $\varphi_{0}((I d \times \alpha)(k, x))=\varphi_{0}(k, \alpha(x))=k \alpha(x)$. Then $\alpha \circ \varphi=\varphi_{0} \circ(I d \times \alpha)$. Similarly, we have $\beta \circ \varphi=\varphi_{0} \circ(I d \times \beta)$.
We consider $x, y \in V$ such that $(x, y) \in V_{(2)}$. We have also $(k x, k y) \in V_{2}$. Indeed, using the linearity of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, from $\beta(x)=\alpha(y)$ follows $\beta(k x)=\alpha(k y)$.
Applying now the relation (16), linearity of $\varepsilon$ and $\beta$ and the fact that $V$ is a vector space, we have $k(x \cdot y)=k(x+y-\varepsilon(\beta(x)))=k x+k y-k \varepsilon(\beta(x))$ and

$$
(k x) \cdot(k y)=k x+k y-\varepsilon(\beta(k x)))=k x+k y-k \varepsilon(\beta(x)) .
$$

Then $k(x \cdot y)=(k x) \cdot(k y)$, for all $(x, y) \in V_{(2)}$ and $k \in K$; i.e. the interchange law (11) holds. From (11) it follows

$$
\varphi(k, m(x, y))=\varphi(k, x) \cdot \varphi(k, y) \Rightarrow \varphi((I d \times m)((k, x),(k, y)))=m(\varphi(k, x), \varphi(k, y)) .
$$

Therefore, $\left(\varphi, \varphi_{0}\right)$ is a groupoid morphism. Hence, $\left(V, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i,+, \varphi, V_{0}\right)$ is vector space-groupoid.

According to Theorems 5 and 6 , we can give another definition for the notion of vector space-groupoid (this is equivalent with Definition 5).

Definition 6. $A$ vector space-groupoid is a groupoid ( $V, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i, V_{0}$ ) such that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $(V,+, \varphi)$ and $\left(V_{0},+, \varphi_{0}\right)$ are vector spaces;
(ii) $\alpha, \beta: V \rightarrow V_{0}, \varepsilon: V_{0} \rightarrow V$ and $i: V \rightarrow V$ are linear maps;
(iii) the interchange law (10) between the operations $m$ and $\omega$ holds.

If in Definition 6, we consider $V_{0} \subseteq V$ and $\varepsilon: V_{0} \rightarrow V$ is the inclusion map, then $\left(V, \alpha, \beta, m, i,+, \varphi, V_{0}\right)$ is a vector space-groupoid. In this case, we will say that $\left(V, V_{0}\right)$ is a vector space $-V_{0}-$ groupoid.

## Example 2.

(i) Let $(V,+, \varphi)$ be a vector space. Then $V$ has a structure of null groupoid over $V$ (see Example $1(i))$. We have that $V_{0}=V$ and $\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon, i$ are linear maps. It is easy to verify that the interchange law (10) holds. Then $V$ is a vector space-groupoid, called the null vector space-groupoid associated to $V$.
(ii) Let $(V,+, \varphi)$ be a vector space having $\{e\}$ as null vector. The set $V$ is a $\{e\}$-groupoid (see Example 1(ii)). In this case, $m=+$. We have that $V_{0}:=\{e\}$ is a vector subspace in $V$ and $\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon$ and $i$ are linear maps. The relation (10) holds. Indeed, for $x, y, z, t \in V$ we have $(x+y)+(z+t)=(x+z)+(y+t)$, since the addition operation is associative and commutative. Hence ( $V, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i,+, \varphi,\{e\}$ ) is a vector space-groupoid called the vector space-groupoid with a single unit associated to $V$. Therefore, each vector space $V$ has a structure of vector space-\{e\}-groupoid.

Definition 7. Let ( $V, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i,+, \varphi,\{e\}$ ) be a vector space-groupoid.
(i) By a vector space-subgroupoid (resp., vector space-wide subgroupoid or vector space $-V_{0}$-subgroupoid) of ( $V, V_{0}$ ), we mean a subgroupoid (resp., wide subgroupoid) ( $W, W_{0}$ ) of the groupoid ( $V, V_{0}$ ) with the property that $W$ and $W_{0}$ are vector subspaces in $V$ and $V_{0}$, respectively.
(ii) A vector space-subgroupoid $\left(N, V_{0}\right)$ of $\left(V, V_{0}\right)$ is called vector space-normal subgroupoid, if $\left(N, V_{0}\right)$ is a normal subgroupoid of the groupoid ( $V, V_{0}$ ).

According to the Definition 6, if ( $W, W_{0}$ ) is a vector space-subgroupoid of $\left(V, V_{0}\right)$, then the pair $\left(W, W_{0}\right)$ endowed with the restrictions of the functions $\alpha, \beta, i$ and + to $W$, the restriction of $\varepsilon$ to $W_{0}$ and the restriction of $m$ to $W_{(2)}$, is a vector space-groupoid, denoted by $\left(W, W_{0}\right)$.
Theorem 7. Let ( $V, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i,+, \varphi, V_{0}$ ) be a vector space-groupoid. Then:
(i) The fibres $\alpha^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right)$ and $\beta^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right)$ are vector subspaces in $V$.
(ii) The isotropy group $V\left(e_{0}\right)$ is a vector space- $\left\{e_{0}\right\}$-subgroupoid of $V$.
(iii) $\varepsilon\left(V_{0}\right)$ is a vector space - normal subgroupoid of $V$.
(iv) $I s(V):=\{x \in V \mid \alpha(x)=\beta(x)\}$ is a vector space-normal subgroupoid of $V$.

Proof.
(i) For all $x, y \in \alpha^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right)$ and $k \in K$, we have $\alpha(x-y)=\alpha(x)-\alpha(y)=e_{0}$ and $\alpha(k x)=k \alpha(x)=k e_{0}=e_{0}$. Then $x-y, k x \in \alpha^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right)$. Hence $\alpha^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right)$ is a vector subspace. Similarly, we prove that $\beta^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right)$ is a vector subspace.
(ii) $V\left(e_{0}\right)$ is a vector subspace, since $V\left(e_{0}\right)=\alpha^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right) \cap \beta^{-1}\left(e_{0}\right)$. Also, $V\left(e_{0}\right)$ is a $\left\{e_{0}\right\}$-subgroupoid. Then, $V\left(e_{0}\right)$ is a vector space- $\left\{e_{0}\right\}$-subgroupoid of $V$.
For to prove the following assertions, we apply the Theorem 1.
(iii) For $x, y \in \varepsilon\left(V_{0}\right)$ there exist $u, v \in V_{0}$ such that $\varepsilon(u)=x$ and $\varepsilon(v)=y$. It follows $\beta(x)=\beta(\varepsilon(u))=u$ and $\alpha(y)=\alpha(\varepsilon(v))=v$. We suppose that the product $x \cdot y$ is defined. From $\beta(x)=\alpha(y)$ it follows $x=y$. Then $x \cdot y=\varepsilon(u) \cdot \varepsilon(u)=\varepsilon(u) \in \varepsilon\left(V_{0}\right)$. Also, for $x \in \varepsilon\left(V_{0}\right)$, we have $x^{-1}=i(x)=i(\varepsilon(u))=\varepsilon(u) \in \varepsilon\left(V_{0}\right)$. Let now $a \in V$ and $x \in \varepsilon\left(V_{0}\right)$ such that $a \cdot x \cdot a^{-1}$ is defined. From $x=\varepsilon(u)$ and $\beta(a)=\alpha(x)$ it follows $\beta(a)=\alpha(\varepsilon(u))=u$ and $x=\varepsilon(\beta(a))$. Then

$$
a \cdot x \cdot a^{-1}=(a \cdot \varepsilon(\beta(a))) \cdot a^{-1}=a \cdot a^{-1}=\varepsilon(\alpha(a)) \in \varepsilon\left(V_{0}\right) .
$$

Hence, $\varepsilon\left(V_{0}\right)$ is a normal subgroupoid. Also, $\varepsilon\left(V_{0}\right)$ is a vector subspace in $V$, since $\varepsilon: V_{0} \rightarrow V$ is a linear map. Therefore, $\varepsilon\left(V_{0}\right)$ is a vector space-normal subgroupoid.
(iv) Clearly, $\alpha(I s(V))=\beta(I s(V))=V_{0}$. Let $x, y \in I s(V)$ with $(x, y) \in V_{(2)}$. Then $\alpha(x)=\beta(x)=\alpha(y)=\beta(y)$. We have $\alpha(x y)=\beta(x y)$ and $\alpha\left(x^{-1}\right)=\beta\left(x^{-1}\right)$. It follows that $x y, x^{-1} \in I s(V)$. Let now $a \in V$ and $x \in I s(V)$ such that $a \cdot x \cdot a^{-1}$ is defined. From $\alpha\left(a \cdot x \cdot a^{-1}\right)=\alpha(a)$ and $\beta\left(a \cdot x \cdot a^{-1}\right)=\beta\left(a^{-1}\right)=\alpha(a)$ it follows $\alpha\left(a \cdot x \cdot a^{-1}\right)=\beta\left(a \cdot x \cdot a^{-1}\right)$. Then $a \cdot x \cdot a^{-1} \in I s(V)$ and $I s(V)$ is a normal subgroupoid.
Using the linearity of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, we have $\alpha(x-y)=\beta(x-y)$ and $\alpha(k x)=\beta(k x)$ for all $x, y \in I s(V)$ and $k \in K$. Therefore, $I s(V)$ is a vector subspace. Hence, $I s(V)$ is a vector space- normal subgroupoid.

The group-subgroupoid $I s(V)$ is the union of all isotropy groups of $V$ and it is called the isotropy bundle of the vector space-groupoid ( $V, V_{0}$ ).

Example 3. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}, a \neq 1$. Consider the vector spaces groups $V:=\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $V_{0}:=\mathbb{R}$. For $\left(V, V_{0}\right)$, we define the structure functions $\alpha, \beta: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and
$i: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ as follows: $\alpha\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right):=a x_{1}+x_{2}, \beta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right):=x_{1}+x_{2}, \varepsilon\left(x_{2}\right):=\left(0, x_{2}, 0\right)$ and $i\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right):=\left(-x_{1},(a+1) x_{1}+x_{2},-x_{3}\right)$, for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $V_{(2)}:=\left\{\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid y_{2}=x_{1}+x_{2}-a y_{1}\right\}$ be the set of composable pairs. The multiplication $m: V_{(2)} \rightarrow V$ is given by:

$$
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \cdot\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right):=\left(x_{1}+y_{1}, x_{2}-a y_{1}, x_{3}+y_{3}\right), \text { if } y_{2}=x_{1}+x_{2}-a y_{1}
$$

It is easy to check that the above structure functions determine on $V$ a structure of a groupoid over $V_{0}$. Also, the maps $\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon$ and $i$ are linear maps. Therefore, the conditions ( $i$ ) and (ii) from the Definition 6 hold.

Let $x, y, z, t \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $x \cdot y$ and $z \cdot t$ are defined. Then $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$, $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right), t=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right)$ such that $y_{2}=x_{1}+x_{1}-a y_{1}$ and $t_{2}=z_{1}+z_{2}-a t_{1}$. We have $x \cdot y=\left(x_{1}+y_{1}, x_{2}-a y_{1}, x_{3}+y_{3}\right), z \cdot t=\left(z_{1}+t_{1}, z_{2}-a t_{1}, z_{3}+t_{3}\right)$. Then

$$
(x \cdot y)+(z \cdot t)=\left(x_{1}+y_{1}+z_{1}+t_{1}, x_{2}-a y_{1}+z_{2}-a t_{1}, x_{3}+y_{3}+z_{3}+t_{3}\right)
$$

and

$$
(x+z) \cdot(y+t)=\left(x_{1}+z_{1}+y_{1}+t_{1}, x_{2}+z_{2}-a\left(y_{1}+t_{1}\right), x_{3}+z_{3}+y_{3}+t_{3}\right)
$$

Hence, $(x \cdot y)+(z \cdot t)=(x+y) \cdot(z+t)$ and the interchange law (10) holds. Therefore, $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i,+, \varphi, \mathbb{R}\right)$ is a vector space-groupoid.

We have $\varepsilon\left(V_{0}\right)=\{(0, u, 0) \mid u \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\operatorname{Is}(V)=\{(0, u, v) \mid u, v \in \mathbb{R}\}$ are vector space-normal subgroupoid. The isotropy group at $e_{0}=0$ is $V(0)=\{(0,0, v) \mid v \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Let us we consider the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with the Cartesian coordinate system $O x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$. The $\alpha$-fibres $\alpha^{-1}(u)$ for $u \in \mathbb{R}$ are represented by parallel planes of equation $x_{1}+2 x_{2}-u=0$. Also, the $\beta$-fibres $\beta^{-1}(v)$ for $v \in \mathbb{R}$ are represented by parallel planes of equation $x_{1}+x_{2}-v=0$.

Let be the points $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}$ associated to elements $\varepsilon(\beta(x)), x, x \cdot y, y \in V$, for $\beta(x)=\alpha(y)$. We have $A_{1}\left(0, b_{1}+b_{2}, 0\right), A_{2}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right), A_{3}\left(b_{1}+c_{1}, b_{2}-a c_{1}, b_{3}+c_{3}\right)$ and $A_{4}\left(c_{1}, b_{1}+b_{2}-a c_{1}, c_{3}\right)$. Then: the simple quadrilateral $A_{1} A_{2} A_{3} A_{4}$ is a parallelogram.

Indeed, the straight line through $A_{1}$ and $A_{4}$ has the equation: $\frac{x_{1}}{c_{1}}=\frac{x_{2}-\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)}{-a c_{1}}=\frac{x_{3}}{c_{3}}$ and the distance from $A_{1}$ and $A_{4}$ is
$d\left(A_{1}, A_{4}\right)=\sqrt{\left(1+a^{2}\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}}$. Also, the straight line through $A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ has the equation: $\frac{x_{1}-b_{1}}{c_{1}}=\frac{x_{2}-b_{2}}{-a c_{1}}=\frac{x_{3}-b_{3}}{c_{3}}$ and the distance from $A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ is $d\left(A_{2}, A_{3}\right)=\sqrt{\left(1+a^{2}\right) c_{1}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}}$.

Let be the points $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}, B_{4}$ associated to $\varepsilon(\alpha(x)), x, \varepsilon(\beta(x)), x^{-1} \in V$. We have $B_{1}\left(0, a b_{1}+b_{2}, 0\right), B_{2}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right), B_{3}\left(0, b_{1}+b_{2}, 0\right)$ and $B_{4}\left(-b_{1},(a+1) b_{1}+b_{2},-b_{3}\right)$. Then: the simple quadrilateral $B_{1} B_{2} B_{3} B_{4}$ is a parallelogram.

Indeed, the straight line through $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ has the equation: $\frac{x_{1}}{b_{1}}=\frac{x_{2}-\left(a b_{1}+b_{2}\right)}{-a b_{1}}=\frac{x_{3}}{b_{3}}$ and $d\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)=\sqrt{\left(1+a^{2}\right) b_{1}^{2}+b_{3}^{2}}$. Also, the straight line through $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ has the equation: $\frac{x_{1}}{-b_{1}}=\frac{x_{2}-\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)}{a b_{1}}=\frac{x_{3}}{-b_{3}}$ and $d\left(B_{3}, B_{4}\right)=d\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$.

Definition 8. Let $\left(V_{j}, \alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}, m_{j}, \varepsilon_{j}, i_{j},+_{j}, \varphi_{j}, V_{j, 0}\right), j=1,2$ be two vector space-groupoids. A groupoid morphism $\left(f, f_{0}\right):\left(V_{1}, V_{1,0}\right) \rightarrow\left(V_{2}, V_{2,0}\right)$ with property that $f: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ and
$f_{0}: V_{1,0} \rightarrow V_{2,0}$ are linear maps, is called vector space-groupoid morphism or morphism of vector space-groupoids.

If $V_{2,0}=V_{1,0}$ and $f_{0}=I d_{V_{1,0}}$, then we say that $\left(f, I d_{V_{1,0}}\right):\left(V_{1}, V_{1,0}\right) \rightarrow\left(V_{2}, V_{1,0}\right)$ is a $V_{1,0}$-morphism of vector space-groupoids. It is denoted by $f: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$.

The category of vector space-groupoids, denoted by VSGpd, has its objects all vector space-groupoids ( $V, V_{0}$ ) and as morphisms from ( $V, V_{0}$ ) to ( $V^{\prime}, V_{0}^{\prime}$ ) the set of all morphisms of vector space-groupoids.

Finally we will present the concept of vector groupoid defined by V. Popuţa and Gh. Ivan [13, 14].

Definition 9 ([13]). By vector groupoid, we mean a groupoid ( $V, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i, V_{0}$ ) which verifies the following conditions:
(9.1) $V$ and $V_{0}$ are vector spaces;
(9.2) $\alpha, \beta: V \rightarrow V_{0}$ are linear maps;
(9.3) the inclusion $\varepsilon: V_{0} \rightarrow V$ and the inversion $i: V \rightarrow V$ are linear maps and the following condition is verified:
(9.3.1) $x+i(x)=\varepsilon(\alpha(x))+\varepsilon(\beta(x))$ for all $x \in V$;
(9.4) the multiplication $m: V_{(2)} \rightarrow V$ satisfy the following relations:
(9.4.1) $x \cdot(y+z-\varepsilon(\beta(x)))=x \cdot y+x \cdot z-x,(\forall) x, y, z \in V$ such that

$$
\alpha(y)=\beta(x)=\alpha(z) ;
$$

(9.4.2) $x \cdot(k y+(1-k) \varepsilon(\beta(x)))=k(x \cdot y)+(1-k) x,(\forall)(x, y) \in V_{(2)}$;
(9.4.3) $(y+z-\varepsilon(\alpha(x))) \cdot x=y \cdot x+z \cdot x-x$, ( $\forall$ ) $x, y, z \in V$ such that $\alpha(x)=\beta(y)=\beta(z) ;$
(9.4.4) $(k y+(1-k) \varepsilon(\alpha(x))) \cdot x=k(y \cdot x)+(1-k) x,(\forall)(y, x) \in V_{(2)}$.

Theorem 8. Each vector space-groupoid is a vector groupoid in the sense of Definition 9.
Proof. We suppose that ( $V, \alpha, \beta, m, \varepsilon, i,+, \varphi, V_{0}$ ) is a vector space-groupoid. From Definition 6 and (17) it follows that the conditions (9.1) - (9.3) are satisfied.

Let $x, y, z \in V$ such that $\alpha(y)=\beta(x)=\alpha(z)$. Denote $t:=y+z-\varepsilon(\beta(x))$. Using the linearity of $\alpha$, we have $\alpha(t)=\alpha(y)+\alpha(z)-\alpha(\varepsilon(\beta(x)))=\beta(x)$ and $(x, t) \in V_{(2)}$.

Applying (16), we have $x \cdot t=x+t-\varepsilon(\beta(x))=x+y+z-2 \varepsilon(\beta(x))$ and

$$
x \cdot y+x \cdot z-x=(x+y-\varepsilon(\beta(x)))+(x+z-\varepsilon(\beta(x)))-x=x+y+z-2 \varepsilon(\beta(x)) .
$$

Then, $x \cdot t=x \cdot y+x \cdot z-x$. Hence, the relation (9.4.1) holds.
Let $y, x) \in V_{(2)}$. Denote $v:=k y+(1-k) \varepsilon(\alpha(x))$. Using the linearity of $\beta$, we have $\beta(v)=k \beta(y)+(1-k) \beta(\varepsilon(\alpha(x)))=\alpha(x)$, since $\beta(y)=\alpha(x)$. Then $(v, x) \in V_{(2)}$.

Applying (16), we have $v \cdot x=v+x-\varepsilon(\beta(v))=x+k y-k \varepsilon(\alpha(x))$ and

$$
k(y \cdot x)+(1-k) x=k(y+x-\varepsilon(\beta(y)))+(1-k) x=x+k y-k \varepsilon(\alpha(x))
$$

Then, $v \cdot x=k(y \cdot x)+(1-k) x$. Hence, (9.4.4) holds. Similarly, we prove that (9.4.2) and (9.4.3) are verified. Therefore, $\left(V, V_{0}\right)$ is a vector groupoid.
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