EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

Vol. 10, No. 3, 2017, 473-487 ISSN 1307-5543 – www.ejpam.com Published by New York Business Global



Common fixed point theorems for three maps in cone pentagonal metric spaces

Abba Auwalu^{1,*}, Evren Hınçal¹

¹ Department of Mathematics, Near East University, Nicosia-TRNC, Turkey

Abstract. In this paper, we prove some common fixed point theorems of three self mappings in non-normal cone pentagonal metric spaces. Our results extend and improve the recent results announced by many authors.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 47H10, 54H25

Key Words and Phrases: Cone pentagonal metric spaces, Common fixed point, Contraction mapping principle, Weakly compatible maps

1. Introduction

The concept of metric space was introduced by Fréchet [8]. Let (X,d) be a metric space and $S: X \to X$ be a mapping. Then S is called Banach contraction if there exists $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that

$$d(Sx, Sy) \le \alpha d(x, y), \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$
 (1)

Banach [7] proved that if X is complete, then every Banach contraction mapping has a fixed point. The mapping S is called Kannan contraction if there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1/2)$ such that

$$d(Sx, Sy) \le \alpha [d(x, Sx) + d(y, Sy)], \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$
 (2)

Kannan [14] proved that if X is complete, then every Kannan contraction has a fixed point. He further showed that the conditions (1) and (2) are independent of each other (see, [14, 15]).

The study of existence and uniqueness of fixed points of a mapping and common fixed points of two or more mappings has become a subject of great interest. Many authors proved the Banach contraction and Kannan contraction principles in various generalized metric spaces (e.g., see [4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 13, 18]).

Long-Guang and Xian [11] introduced the concept of a cone metric space and proved some fixed point theorems for contractive type conditions in cone metric spaces. Later on many

 $Email\ addresses: \verb|abba.auwalu@neu.edu.tr|, \ abbaauwalu@yahoo.com (A.\ Auwalu), evren.hincal@neu.edu.tr|, evrenhincal@yahoo.co.uk (E.\ Hinçal)$

^{*}Corresponding author.

authors have (for e.g., [1, 6, 9, 12, 17, 19]) proved some fixed point theorems for different contractive types conditions in cone metric spaces.

Recently, Garg and Agarwal [9] introduced the notion of cone pentagonal metric space and proved Banach contraction mapping principle in a normal cone pentagonal metric space setting.

Motivated and inspired by the results of [9, 17, 16], it is our purpose in this paper to continue the study of common fixed points of a three self mappings in non-normal cone pentagonal metric space setting. Our results extend and improve the results of [2, 3, 6, 9, 13, 18, 17, 16], and many others.

2. Preliminaries

The following definitions and Lemmas, introduced in [1, 3, 6, 9, 11], are needed in the sequel.

Definition 1. Let E be a real Banach space and P subset of E. P is called a cone if and only if:

- (i) P is closed, nonempty, and $P \neq \{0\}$;
- (ii) $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, a, b > 0 and $x, y \in P \Longrightarrow ax + by \in P$;
- (iii) $x \in P$ and $-x \in P \Longrightarrow x = 0$.

Given a cone $P \subseteq E$, we defined a partial ordering \leq with respect to P by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. We shall write x < y to indicate that $x \leq y$ but $x \neq y$, while $x \ll y$ will stand for $y - x \in int(P)$, where int(P) denotes the interior of P.

A cone P is called normal if there is a number $k \geq 1$ such that for all $x, y \in E$, the inequality

$$0 \le x \le y \Longrightarrow ||x|| \le k||y||. \tag{3}$$

The least positive number k satisfying (3) is called the normal constant of P. In this paper, we always suppose that E is a real Banach space and P is a cone in E with $int(P) \neq \emptyset$ and \leq is a partial ordering with respect to P.

Definition 2. Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose the mapping $\rho: X \times X \to E$ satisfies:

- (i) $0 < \rho(x,y)$ for all $x,y \in X$ and $\rho(x,y) = 0$ if and only if x = y;
- (ii) $\rho(x,y) = \rho(y,x)$ for all $x,y \in X$;
- (iii) $\rho(x,y) \le \rho(x,z) + \rho(z,y)$ for all $x,y,z \in X$.

Then ρ is called a cone metric on X, and (X, ρ) is called a cone metric space.

The concept of a cone metric space is more general than that of a metric space, because each metric space is a cone metric space where $E = \mathbb{R}$ and $P = [0, \infty)$ (e.g., see [11]).

Definition 3. Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose the mapping $\rho: X \times X \to E$ satisfies:

- (i) $0 < \rho(x,y)$ for all $x,y \in X$ and $\rho(x,y) = 0$ if and only if x = y;
- (ii) $\rho(x,y) = \rho(y,x)$ for all $x,y \in X$;
- (iii) $\rho(x,y) \leq \rho(x,w) + \rho(w,z) + \rho(z,y)$ for all $x,y,z \in X$ and for all distinct points $w,z \in X \{x,y\}$ [Rectangular property].

Then ρ is called a cone rectangular metric on X, and (X, ρ) is called a cone rectangular metric space.

Remark 1. Every cone metric space is cone rectangular metric space. The converse is not necessarily true (e.g., see [6]).

Definition 4. Let X be a non empty set. Suppose the mapping $d: X \times X \to E$ satisfies:

- (i) 0 < d(x,y) for all $x, y \in X$ and d(x,y) = 0 if and only if x = y;
- (ii) d(x,y) = d(y,x) for $x, y \in X$:
- (iii) $d(x,y) \leq d(x,z) + d(z,w) + d(w,u) + d(u,y)$ for all $x,y,z,w,u \in X$ and for all distinct points $z,w,u,\in X-\{x,y\}$ [Pentagonal property].

Then d is called a cone pentagonal metric on X, and (X,d) is called a cone pentagonal metric space.

Remark 2. Every cone rectangular metric space and so cone metric space is cone pentagonal metric space. The converse is not necessarily true (e.g., see [9]).

Let (X,d) be a cone pentagonal metric space. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $x \in X$. If for every $c \in E$ with $0 \ll c$ there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and that for all $n > n_0$, $d(x_n, x) \ll c$, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent and $\{x_n\}$ converges to x, and x is the limit of $\{x_n\}$. We denote this by $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n\to x$ as $n\to\infty$. If for every $c\in E$, with $0\ll c$ there exist $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n,m>n_0$, $d(x_n,x_m)\ll c$, then $\{x_n\}$ is called Cauchy sequence in X. If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in X, then X is called a complete cone pentagonal metric space.

Definition 5. Let P be a cone defined as above and let Φ be the set of non decreasing continuous functions $\varphi: P \to P$ satisfying:

- (i) $0 < \varphi(t) < t \text{ for all } t \in P \setminus \{0\},$
- (ii) the series $\sum_{n>0} \varphi^n(t)$ converge for all $t \in P \setminus \{0\}$

From (i), we have $\varphi(0) = 0$, and from (ii), we have $\lim_{n\to 0} \varphi^n(t) = 0$ for all $t \in P \setminus \{0\}$.

Let T and S be self maps of a nonempty set X. If w = Tx = Sx for some $x \in X$, then x is called a coincidence point of T and S and W is called a point of coincidence of T and S. Also, T and S are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, that is, Tx = Sx implies that TSx = STx.

Lemma 1. Let T and S be weakly compatible self mappings of nonempty set X. If T and S have a unique point of coincidence w = Tx = Sx, then w is the unique common fixed point of T and S.

Lemma 2. Let (X,d) be a cone metric space with cone P not necessary to be normal. Then for $a, c, u, v, w \in E$, we have

- (i) If $a \le ha$ and $h \in [0, 1)$, then a = 0.
- (ii) If $0 \le u \ll c$ for each $0 \ll c$, then u = 0.
- (iii) If $u \le v$ and $v \ll w$, then $u \ll w$.

Lemma 3. Let (X, d) be a complete cone pentagonal metric space. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in X and suppose that there is natural number N such that:

- (i) $x_n \neq x_m$ for all n, m > N;
- (ii) x_n, x are distinct points in X for all n > N;
- (iii) x_n, y are distinct points in X for all n > N;
- (iv) $x_n \to x$ and $x_n \to y$ as $n \to \infty$.

Then x = y.

3. Main Results

In this section, we prove Banach type and Kannan type contraction principles in cone pentagonal metric spaces of a three self mappings. We give some examples to illustrate the results.

Theorem 1. Let (X,d) be a cone pentagonal metric space. Suppose the mappings $S, f, g: X \to X$ satisfies the contractive condition:

$$d(Sx, fy) \le \varphi(d(gx, gy)), \tag{4}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\varphi \in \Phi$. Suppose that $S(X) \cup f(X) \subseteq g(X)$, and g(X) is a complete subspace of X, then the mappings S, f and g have a unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if (S, g) and (f, g) are weakly compatible then S, f and g have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X. Since $S(X) \cup f(X) \subseteq g(X)$, we can choose $x_1 \in X$ such that $gx_1 = Sx_0$. Also we can choose $x_2 \in X$ such that $gx_2 = fx_1$. Continuing this process, having chosen x_n in X, we obtain x_{n+1} such that

$$gx_{n+1} = Sx_n$$
 and $gx_{n+2} = fx_{n+1}$, for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

If $gx_n = gx_{n+1}$, then $gx_n = Sx_n = fx_n$, and x_n is a coincidence point of S, f and g. Hence, we assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, from (4), it follows that

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = \varphi(d(Sx_{n-1}, fx_n))$$

$$\leq \varphi(d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n))$$

$$\leq \varphi^2(d(gx_{n-2}, gx_{n-1}))$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\leq \varphi^n(d(gx_0, gx_1)). \tag{5}$$

In similar way, it again follows that

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+2}) \le \varphi^n (d(gx_0, gx_2)), \tag{6}$$

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+3}) \le \varphi^n (d(gx_0, gx_3)). \tag{7}$$

Similarly, for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, it further follows that

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+3k+1}) \le \varphi^n (d(gx_0, gx_{3k+1})), \tag{8}$$

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+3k+2}) \le \varphi^n \big(d(gx_0, gx_{3k+2}) \big), \tag{9}$$

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+3k+3}) \le \varphi^n (d(gx_0, gx_{3k+3})). \tag{10}$$

By pentagonal property and (5), we have

$$d(gx_0, gx_4) \leq d(gx_0, gx_1) + d(gx_1, gx_2) + d(gx_2, gx_3) + d(gx_3, gx_4)$$

$$\leq d(gx_0, gx_1) + \varphi(d(gx_0, gx_1)) + \varphi^2(d(gx_0, gx_1)) + \varphi^3(d(gx_0, gx_1))$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{3} \varphi^i(d(gx_0, gx_1)),$$

and

$$d(gx_0, gx_7) \le d(gx_0, gx_1) + d(gx_1, gx_2) + d(gx_2, gx_3) + d(gx_3, gx_4)$$

$$+ d(gx_4, gx_5) + d(gx_5, gx_6) + d(gx_6, gx_7)$$

$$\le \sum_{i=0}^{6} \varphi^i (d(gx_0, gx_1)).$$

Now, by induction, we obtain for each $k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$

$$d(gx_0, gx_{3k+1}) \le \sum_{i=0}^{3k} \varphi^i (d(gx_0, gx_1)). \tag{11}$$

Also, using (5), (6), and pentagonal property, we have that

$$d(gx_0, gx_5) \le \sum_{i=0}^{2} \varphi^i (d(gx_0, gx_1)) + \varphi^3 (d(gx_0, gx_2)),$$

and

$$d(gx_0, gx_8) \le \sum_{i=0}^{5} \varphi^i (d(gx_0, gx_1)) + \varphi^6 (d(gx_0, gx_2)).$$

By induction, we obtain for each $k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$

$$d(gx_0, gx_{3k+2}) \le \sum_{i=0}^{3k-1} \varphi^i (d(gx_0, gx_1)) + \varphi^{3k} (d(gx_0, gx_2)).$$
 (12)

Again, using (5), (7), and pentagonal property, we have that

$$d(gx_0, gx_6) \le \sum_{i=0}^{2} \varphi^i (d(gx_0, gx_1)) + \varphi^3 (d(gx_0, gx_3)),$$

and

$$d(gx_0, gx_9) \le \sum_{i=0}^{5} \varphi^i (d(gx_0, gx_1)) + \varphi^6 (d(gx_0, gx_3)).$$

By induction, we obtain for each $k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$

$$d(gx_0, gx_{3k+3}) \le \sum_{i=0}^{3k-1} \varphi^i (d(gx_0, gx_1)) + \varphi^{3k} (d(gx_0, gx_3)).$$
 (13)

Using (8) and (11), for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we have

$$d(gx_{n}, gx_{n+3k+1}) \leq \varphi^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{3k} \varphi^{i} (d(gx_{0}, gx_{1}))$$

$$\leq \varphi^{n} \Big[\sum_{i=0}^{3k} \varphi^{i} (d(gx_{0}, gx_{1}) + d(gx_{0}, gx_{2}) + d(gx_{0}, gx_{3})) \Big]$$

$$\leq \varphi^{n} \Big[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi^{i} (d(gx_{0}, gx_{1}) + d(gx_{0}, gx_{2}) + d(gx_{0}, gx_{3})) \Big].$$
(14)

Similarly for $k=1,2,3,\cdots$, (9) and (12) implies that

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+3k+2}) \le \varphi^n \Big[\sum_{i=0}^{3k-1} \varphi^i \big(d(gx_0, gx_1) \big) + \varphi^{3k} \big(d(gx_0, gx_2) \big) \Big]$$

$$\le \varphi^n \Big[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi^i \big(d(gx_0, gx_1) + d(gx_0, gx_2) + d(gx_0, gx_3) \big) \Big].$$
 (15)

Again, for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, (10) and (13) implies that

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+3k+3}) \le \varphi^n \Big[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi^i \big(d(gx_0, gx_1) + d(gx_0, gx_2) + d(gx_0, gx_3) \big) \Big].$$
 (16)

Thus, by (14), (15), and (16), we have, for each m,

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+m}) \le \varphi^n \Big[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi^i \big(d(gx_0, gx_1) + d(gx_0, gx_2) + d(gx_0, gx_3) \big) \Big]. \tag{17}$$

Since $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi^i (d(gx_0, gx_1) + d(gx_0, gx_2) + d(gx_0, gx_3))$ converges (by definition 5), where $d(gx_0, gx_1) + d(gx_0, gx_2) + d(gx_0, gx_3) \in P \setminus \{0\}$, and P is closed, then $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi^i (d(gx_0, gx_1) + d(gx_0, gx_2) + d(gx_0, gx_3)) \in P \setminus \{0\}$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi^n \Big[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi^i \Big(d(gx_0, gx_1) + d(gx_0, gx_2) + d(gx_0, gx_3) \Big) \Big] = 0.$$

Then, for given $c \gg 0$, there is a natural number N_1 such that

$$\varphi^{n} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi^{i} \left(d(gx_{0}, gx_{1}) + d(gx_{0}, gx_{2}) + d(gx_{0}, gx_{3}) \right) \right] \ll c, \quad \forall n \geq N_{1}.$$
 (18)

Thus, from (17) and (18), we have

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+m}) \ll c$$
, for all $n \geq N_1$.

Therefore, $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since g(X) is a complete subspace of X, there exists a points $u, v \in g(X)$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = v = gu$.

Now, we show that gu = Su. Given $c \gg 0$, we choose a natural numbers N_2, N_3 such that $d(v, gx_n) \ll \frac{c}{4}$, $\forall n \geq N_2$, and $d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \ll \frac{c}{4}$, $\forall n \geq N_3$. Since $x_n \neq x_m$ for $n \neq m$, by pentagonal property, we have that

$$\begin{split} d(gu,Su) & \leq d(gu,gx_n) + d(gx_n,gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1},gx_{n+2}) + d(gx_{n+2},Su) \\ & = d(v,gx_n) + d(gx_n,gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1},gx_{n+2}) + d(Su,fx_{n+1}) \\ & \leq d(v,gx_n) + d(gx_n,gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1},gx_{n+2}) + \varphi \Big(d(gu,gx_{n+1}) \Big) \\ & < d(v,gx_n) + d(gx_n,gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1},gx_{n+2}) + d(v,gx_{n+1}) \\ & \ll \frac{c}{4} + \frac{c}{4} + \frac{c}{4} + \frac{c}{4} = c, \text{ for all } n \geq N, \end{split}$$

where $N:=\max\{N_2,N_3\}$. Since c is arbitrary, we have $d(gu,Su)\ll\frac{c}{m},\ \forall m\in\mathbb{N}$. Since $\frac{c}{m}\to 0$ as $m\to\infty$, we conclude $\frac{c}{m}-d(gu,Su)\to -d(gu,Su)$ as $m\to\infty$. Since P is closed, $-d(gu,Su)\in P$. Hence $d(gu,Su)\in P\cap -P$. By definition of cone we get that d(gu,Su)=0, and so gu=Su=v. Hence, v is a coincidence point of S and g. Similarly, we can prove that gu=fu=v, which implies that v is a point of coincidence of S,f and g, i.e. gu=fu=Su=v.

Next, we show that v is unique. For suppose v' be another point of coincidence of S, f and g, that is Su' = fu' = gu' = v', for some $u' \in X$, then

$$d(v,v') = d(Su,fu') \le \varphi \big(d(gu,gu')\big) = \varphi \big(d(v,v')\big) < d(v,v').$$

Hence v = v'. Since (S, g) and (f, g) are weakly compatible, by Lemma 1, v is the unique common fixed point of S, f and g. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Example 1. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $E = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $P = \{(x, y) : x, y \ge 0\}$ is a cone in E. Define $d: X \times X \to E$ as follows:

$$d(x,x) = 0, \forall x \in X;$$

$$d(1,2) = d(2,1) = (4,8);$$

$$d(1,3) = d(3,1) = d(3,4) = d(4,3) = d(2,4) = d(4,2) = (1,2);$$

$$d(1,5) = d(5,1) = d(2,5) = d(5,2) = d(3,5) = d(5,3) = d(4,5) = d(5,4) = (3,6).$$

Then (X, d) is a cone pentagonal metric space, but (X, d) is not a cone rectangular metric space because it lacks the rectangular property:

$$(4,8) = d(1,2) > d(1,3) + d(3,4) + d(4,2)$$

= $(1,2) + (1,2) + (1,2)$
= $(3,6)$ as $(4,8) - (3,6) = (1,2) \in P$.

Define a mapping S, f and $g: X \to X$ as follows:

$$S(x) = 4, \ \forall x \in X.$$

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } x \neq 5; \\ 2, & \text{if } x = 5. \end{cases}$$

$$q(x) = x, \ \forall x \in X.$$

Clearly $S(X) \cup f(X) \subseteq g(X)$, g(X) is a complete subspace of X. Also, the pairs (S,g) and (f,g) are weakly compatibles. The conditions of Theorem 1 holds for all $x,y \in X$, where $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{3}t$, and 4 is the unique common fixed point of the mappings S, f and g.

Corollary 1. Let (X, d) be a cone pentagonal metric space. Suppose the mappings $S, f, g : X \to X$ satisfies the contractive condition:

$$d(Sx, fy) < \lambda d(qx, qy),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\lambda \in [0,1)$. Suppose that $S(X) \cup f(X) \subseteq g(X)$, and g(X) is a complete subspace of X, then the mappings S, f and g have a unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if (S,g) and (f,g) are weakly compatible then S, f and g have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. Define $\varphi: P \to P$ by $\varphi(t) = \lambda t$. Then it is clear that φ satisfies the conditions in definition 5. Hence the results follows from Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. (see [4]) Let (X, d) be a cone pentagonal metric space. Suppose the mappings $S, g: X \to X$ satisfies the contractive condition:

$$d(Sx, Sy) \le \varphi(d(gx, gy)),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\varphi \in \Phi$. Suppose that $S(X) \subseteq g(X)$, and g(X) or S(X) is a complete subspace of X, then the mappings S and g have a unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if S and g are weakly compatible then S and g have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. Putting f = S in Theorem 1. This completes the proof.

Corollary 3. Let (X,d) be a cone pentagonal metric space. Suppose the mappings $S,g:X\to X$ satisfies the contractive condition:

$$d(Sx, Sy) < \lambda d(qx, qy),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\lambda \in [0, 1)$. Suppose that $S(X) \subseteq g(X)$, and g(X) or S(X) is a complete subspace of X, then the mappings S and g have a unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if S and g are weakly compatible then S and g have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. Putting f = S in Theorem 1. The results follows from Corollary 1.

Corollary 4. (see [16]) Let (X, d) be a cone rectangular metric space. Suppose the mappings $S, f, g: X \to X$ satisfies the contractive condition:

$$d(Sx, fy) \le \lambda d(gx, gy),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\lambda \in [0, 1)$. Suppose that $S(X) \cup f(X) \subseteq g(X)$, and g(X) is a complete subspace of X, then the mappings S, f and g have a unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if (S, g) and (f, g) are weakly compatible then S, f and g have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. This follows from the Remark 2 and Theorem 1.

Corollary 5. (see [17]) Let (X, d) be a cone rectangular metric space. Suppose the mappings $S, g: X \to X$ satisfies the contractive condition:

$$d(Sx, Sy) \le \varphi(d(gx, gy)),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\varphi \in \Phi$. Suppose that $S(X) \subseteq g(X)$, and g(X) or S(X) is a complete subspace of X, then the mappings S and g have a unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if S and g are weakly compatible then S and g have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. This follows from the Remark 2 and Corollary 2.

Corollary 6. (see [2]) Let (X, d) be a cone pentagonal metric space. Suppose the mapping $S: X \to X$ satisfy the following:

$$d(Sx, Sy) \le \varphi(d(x, y)),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\varphi \in \Phi$. Then S has a unique fixed point in X.

Proof. Putting g=I in Corollary 2, where I is the identity mapping. This completes the proof.

Corollary 7. (see [17]) Let (X, d) be a cone rectangular metric space. Suppose the mapping $S: X \to X$ satisfy the following:

$$d(Sx, Sy) \le \varphi(d(x, y)),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\varphi \in \Phi$. Then S has a unique fixed point in X.

Proof. This follows from the Remark 2 and Putting q = I in Corollary 2.

Corollary 8. (see [9]) Let (X,d) be a cone pentagonal metric space and P be a normal cone with normal constant k. Suppose the mapping $S: X \to X$ satisfies the contractive condition:

$$d(Sx, Sy) \le \lambda d(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\lambda \in [0, 1)$. Then S has a unique fixed point in X.

Proof. Putting g = I in Corollary 3, where I is the identity mapping. This completes the proof.

Corollary 9. (see [6]) Let (X,d) be a cone rectangular metric space and P be a normal cone with normal constant k. Suppose the mapping $S: X \to X$ satisfies:

$$d(Sx, Sy) < \lambda d(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\lambda \in [0,1)$. Then S has a unique fixed point in X.

Proof. Putting q = I in Corollary 3 and Remark 2, the results follows.

Theorem 2. Let (X,d) be a cone pentagonal metric space. Suppose the mappings $S, f, g: X \to X$ satisfies the contractive condition:

$$d(Sx, fy) \le \lambda \left[d(gx, Sx) + d(gy, fy) \right], \tag{19}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\lambda \in [0, 1/2)$. Suppose that $S(X) \cup f(X) \subseteq g(X)$, and g(X) is a complete subspace of X, then the mappings S, f and g have a unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if (S, g) and (f, g) are weakly compatible then S, f and g have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X. Define, like in Theorem 1, a sequence $\{gx_n\}$ in X such that

$$gx_{n+1} = Sx_n$$
 and $gx_{n+2} = fx_{n+1}$, for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

We assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, from (19), it follows that

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = d(Sx_{n-1}, fx_n)$$

$$\leq \lambda (d(gx_{n-1}, Sx_{n-1}) + d(gx_n, fx_n))$$

$$\leq \lambda (d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})).$$

So that,

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)$$

$$\leq rd(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), \text{ where } r = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \in [0, 1)$$

$$\leq r^2 d(gx_{n-2}, gx_{n-1})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\leq r^n (d(gx_0, gx_1)). \tag{20}$$

In similar way, it again follows that

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+2}) \le r^n \big(d(gx_0, gx_2) \big), \tag{21}$$

and

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+3}) \le r^n (d(gx_0, gx_3)).$$
 (22)

Similarly, for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, It further follows that

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+3k+1}) \le r^n (d(gx_0, gx_{3k+1})), \tag{23}$$

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+3k+2}) \le r^n (d(gx_0, gx_{3k+2})), \tag{24}$$

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+3k+3}) \le r^n (d(gx_0, gx_{3k+3})). \tag{25}$$

Using the same argument in the proof of Theorem 1, we can show that $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since g(X) is a complete subspace of X, there exists a points $u, v \in g(X)$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = v = gu$.

Now, we show that gu = Su. Given $c \gg 0$, we choose a natural numbers M_1, M_2, M_3 such that $d(v, gx_n) \ll \frac{c(1-\lambda)}{3}$, $\forall n \geq M_1$, $d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \ll \frac{c(1-\lambda)}{3}$, $\forall n \geq M_2$ and $d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) \ll \frac{c(1-\lambda)}{3(1+\lambda)}$, $\forall n \geq M_3$. Since $x_n \neq x_m$ for $n \neq m$, by pentagonal property, we have that

$$d(gu, Su) \le d(gu, gx_n) + d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) + d(gx_{n+2}, Su)$$

$$\leq d(v, gx_n) + d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) + d(fx_{n+1}, Su)$$

$$\leq d(v, gx_n) + d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) + \lambda \left(d(gu, Su) + d(gx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1})\right)$$

$$< d(v, gx_n) + d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) + \lambda \left(d(gu, Su) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2})\right)$$

$$d(gu, Su) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \left(d(v, gx_n) + d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + (1 + \lambda)d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2})\right)$$

$$\ll \frac{c}{3} + \frac{c}{3} + \frac{c}{3} = c, \text{ for all } n \geq M,$$

where $M := \max\{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$. Since c is arbitrary, we have $d(gu, Su) \ll \frac{c}{m}$, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\frac{c}{m} \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$, we conclude $\frac{c}{m} - d(gu, Su) \to -d(gu, Su)$ as $m \to \infty$. Since P is closed, $-d(gu, Su) \in P$. Hence $d(gu, Su) \in P \cap -P$. By definition of cone we get that d(gu, Su) = 0, and so gu = Su = v. Hence, v is a point of coincidence of S and g. Similarly, we can prove that gu = fu = v, which implies that v is a point of coincidence of S, f and g, i.e. gu = fu = Su = v.

Next, we show that v is unique. For suppose v' be another point of coincidence, that is qu' = fu' = Su' = v', for some $u' \in X$, then

$$d(v,v') = d(Su, fu') \le \lambda \big(d(gu, Su) + d(gu', fu')\big) \le \lambda \big(d(v,v) + d(v',v')\big).$$

Hence v = v'. Since (S, g) and (f, g) are weakly compatible, by Lemma 1, v is the unique common fixed point of S, f and g. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 10. (see [5]) Let (X,d) be a cone pentagonal metric space. Suppose the mappings $S, g: X \to X$ satisfies the contractive condition:

$$d(Sx, Sy) \le \lambda [d(qx, Sx) + d(qy, Sy)],$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\lambda \in [0, 1/2)$. Suppose that $S(X) \subseteq g(X)$, and S(X) or g(X) is a complete subspace of X, then the mappings S and g have a unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if S and g are weakly compatible then S and g have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. Putting f = S in Theorem 2. This completes the proof.

Corollary 11. (see [16]) Let (X,d) be a cone rectangular metric space. Suppose the mappings $S, f, g: X \to X$ satisfies the contractive condition:

$$d(Sx,fy) \leq \lambda \big[d(gx,Sx) + d(gy,fy) \big],$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\lambda \in [0, 1/2)$. Suppose that $S(X) \cup f(X) \subseteq g(X)$, and g(X) is a complete subspace of X, then the mappings S, f and g have a unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if (S, g) and (f, g) are weakly compatible then S, f and g have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. This follows from the Remark 2 and Theorem 2.

Corollary 12. (see [3]) Let (X,d) be a complete cone pentagonal metric space and P be a normal cone with normal constant k. Suppose the mapping $S: X \to X$ satisfies the contractive condition:

$$d(Sx, Sy) \le \lambda \left[d(x, Sx) + d(y, Sy) \right],\tag{26}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\lambda \in [0, 1/2)$. Then

- (i) S has a unique fixed point in X.
- (ii) For any $x \in X$, the iterative sequence $\{S^n x\}$ converges to the fixed point.

Proof. Putting g = I in Corollary 10. This completes the proof.

Corollary 13. (see [18]) Let (X,d) be a cone rectangular metric space. Suppose the mappings $S, g: X \to X$ satisfies the contractive condition:

$$d(Sx, Sy) \le \lambda [d(gx, Sx) + d(gy, Sy)],$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\lambda \in [0, 1/2)$. Suppose that $S(X) \subseteq g(X)$, and S(X) or g(X) is a complete subspace of X, then the mappings S and g have a unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if S and g are weakly compatible then S and g have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. This follows from the Remark 2 and Corollary 10.

Corollary 14. (see [13]) Let (X, d) be a complete cone rectangular metric space and P be a normal cone with normal constant k. Suppose the mapping $S: X \to X$ satisfies the contractive condition:

$$d(Sx, Sy) \le \lambda [d(x, Sx) + d(y, Sy)], \tag{27}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\lambda \in [0, 1/2)$. Then

- (i) S has a unique fixed point in X.
- (ii) For any $x \in X$, the iterative sequence $\{S^n x\}$ converges to the fixed point.

Proof. Putting q = I in Corollary 10 and Remark 2. This completes the proof.

Example 2. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $E = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $P = \{(x, y) : x, y \ge 0\}$ is a cone in E. Define $d: X \times X \to E$ as follows:

$$d(x,x) = 0, \forall x \in X;$$

$$d(1,2) = d(2,1) = (4,8);$$

$$d(1,3) = d(3,1) = d(3,4) = d(4,3) = d(2,4) = d(4,2) = (1,2);$$

$$d(1,5) = d(5,1) = d(2,5) = d(5,2) = d(3,5) = d(5,3) = d(4,5) = d(5,4) = (3,6).$$

REFERENCES 486

Then (X, d) is a cone pentagonal metric space, but (X, d) is not a cone rectangular metric space because it lacks the rectangular property:

$$(4,8) = d(1,2) > d(1,3) + d(3,4) + d(4,2)$$

= $(1,2) + (1,2) + (1,2)$
= $(3,6)$ as $(4,8) - (3,6) = (1,2) \in P$.

Define a mapping S, f and $g: X \to X$ as follows:

$$S(x) = 4, \ \forall x \in X.$$

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } x \neq 5; \\ 2, & \text{if } x = 5. \end{cases}$$

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } x = 1; \\ 1, & \text{if } x = 2; \\ 2, & \text{if } x = 3; \\ 4, & \text{if } x = 4; \\ 5, & \text{if } x = 5. \end{cases}$$

Clearly $S(X) \cup f(X) \subseteq g(X)$, g(X) is a complete subspace of X. Also, the pairs (S,g) and (f,g) are weakly compatibles. The conditions of Theorem 2 holds for all $x,y \in X$, where $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$, and 4 is the unique common fixed point of the mappings S, f and g.

Acknowledgements

This research project was supported by the Center of Excellence, Near East University, Nicosia-TRNC, Mersin 10, Turkey.

References

- [1] M Abbas and G Jungck. Common fixed point results for non commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 341(1):416–420, 2008.
- [2] A Auwalu. Banach fixed point theorem in a cone pentagonal metric spaces. *Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology*, 7(2):60–67, 2016.
- [3] A Auwalu. Kannan fixed point theorem in a cone pentagonal metric spaces. *Journal of Mathematics and Computational Sciences*, 6(4):515–526, 2016.
- [4] A Auwalu and E Hınçal. Common fixed points of two maps in cone pentagonal metric spaces. Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 12(3):2423–2435, 2016.

REFERENCES 487

[5] A Auwalu and E Hinçal. Kannan - type fixed point theorem in cone pentagonal metric spaces. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 108(1):29–38, 2016.

- [6] A Azam, M M Arshad, and I Beg. Banach contraction principle on cone rectangular metric spaces. *Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics*, 3(2):236–241, 2009.
- [7] S Banach. Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales. Fundamenta Mathematicae, 3:133–181, 1922.
- [8] M Fréchet. Sur quelques points du calcul fonctionnel. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 22:1–74, 1906.
- [9] M Garg and S Agarwal. Banach contraction principle on cone pentagonal metric space. *Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology*, 3(1):12–18, 2012.
- [10] R George, S Janković, K Reshma, and S Shukla. Rectangular b-metric space and contraction principles. *Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications*, 8(6):1005–1013, 2015.
- [11] L Huang and X Zhang. Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 332(2):1468–1476, 2007.
- [12] D Ilić and V Rakoćević. Common fixed points for maps on cone metric space. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 341(2):876–882, 2008.
- [13] M Jleli and B Samet. The kannans fixed point theorem in a cone rectangular metric space. *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, 2(3):161–167, 2009.
- [14] R Kannan. Some results on fixed points. Bulletin of Calcutta Mathematical Society, 60:71–76, 1968.
- [15] R Kannan. Some results on fixed points ii. American Mathematics Monthly, 76:405–408, 1969.
- [16] S Patil and J Salunke. Fixed point theorems for expansion mappings in cone rectangular metric spaces. *General Mathematics Notes*, 29(1):30–39, 2015.
- [17] R Rashwan and S Saleh. Some fixed point theorems in cone rectangular metric spaces. *Mathematica Aeterna*, 2(6):573–587, 2012.
- [18] M Reddy and M Rangamma. A common fixed point theorem for two self maps in a cone rectangular metric space. Bulletin of Mathematics and Statistics Research, 3(1):47–53, 2015.
- [19] S Rezapour and R Hamlbarani. Some notes on the paper cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 345(2):719–724, 2008.