



Approximation of a function in Hölder class using double Karamata ($K^{\lambda,\mu}$) method

H. K. Nigam¹, Md Hadish^{1,*}

¹ Department of Mathematics, Central University of South Bihar, Gaya, Bihar, India

Abstract. In this paper, we establish a new theorem on the best approximation of a function of two variables belonging to Hölder class by double Karamata ($K^{\lambda,\mu}$) means of its double Fourier series.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 40C10, 40G05, 40G10, 42A10, 42A24, 40C05, 41A25, 42B05

Key Words and Phrases: Hölder class, double Karamata ($K^{\lambda,\mu}$), double Fourier series, stirling numbers, error approximation.

1. Introduction

K^λ -method was first introduced by Karamata [7]. Lotosky [10] re-introduced the special case $\lambda = 1$. Only after the study of Agnew [1], an intensive study of these and similar cases took place. Vučković [19] applied this method for summability of Fourier series. Kathal[8] extended the result of Vučković [19]. The approximation of a 2π -periodic function $f(x)$ in different Lipschitz classes using Cesàro, Nörlund and K^λ summability methods of Fourier series and conjugate Fourier series has been studied by the researchers [2, 5, 6, 12, 14–16].

The approximation of a 2π -periodic function $f(x)$ in Hölder metric by different summability transforms of Fourier series has been studied by the researchers like [4, 11, 13]. The approximation of a function $f(x, y)$ (2π -periodic with respect to the variables x, y) of their Fourier series has been studied by [17, 18]. Lal [9] studied the approximation of a function in Lipschitz class by matrix means of its double Fourier series. But nothing seems to have done to obtain the best approximation of the function $f(x, y)$, a 2π -periodic with respect to the variable x, y , of its double Fourier series. Thus, in this paper, we obtain the best approximation of the function $h(\zeta, \Theta)$ in Hölder class by $K^{\lambda,\mu}$ method of its double Fourier series.

*Corresponding author.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v13i3.3663>

Email addresses: hknigam@cusb.ac.in (H. K. Nigam), hadish@cusb.ac.in (Md Hadish)

2. Preliminaries

Under usual assumptions, Fourier series of $h(t)$ is defined as

$$h(t) \sim \frac{1}{2}a_0 + \sum_{\rho=1}^{\infty}(a_{\rho} \cos \rho t + b_{\rho} \sin \rho t). \quad (1)$$

Under usual assumptions, double Fourier series of $h(\zeta, \Theta)$ is given by

$$h(\zeta, \Theta) \sim \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{\nu, \rho} [a_{\nu, \rho} \cos \nu \zeta \cos \rho \Theta + b_{\nu, \rho} \sin \nu \zeta \cos \rho \Theta + c_{\nu, \rho} \cos \nu \zeta \sin \rho \Theta + d_{\nu, \rho} \sin \nu \zeta \sin \rho \Theta], \quad (2)$$

where

$$\alpha_{\nu, \rho} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} & \text{for } \nu = \rho = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{for } \nu > 0, \rho = 0 \text{ and } \nu = 0, \rho > 0 \\ 1 & \text{for } \nu > 0, \rho > 0. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

and

$$a_{\nu, \rho} = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \iint_{S^2} h(\zeta, \Theta) \cos \nu \zeta \cos \rho \Theta d\zeta d\Theta \quad (4)$$

with similar expressions for $b_{\nu, \rho}$, $c_{\nu, \rho}$ and $d_{\nu, \rho}$ for $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $\rho = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where S^2 denotes the fundamental square $(-\pi, \pi; -\pi, \pi)$.

The partial sums of (2) can be denoted by

$$s_{\nu, \rho}(h; \zeta, \Theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{\rho} [a_{ij} \cos i\zeta \cos j\Theta + b_{ij} \sin i\zeta \cos j\Theta + c_{ij} \cos i\zeta \sin j\Theta + d_{ij} \sin i\zeta \sin j\Theta].$$

We can also write

$$s_{\nu, \rho}(\zeta, \Theta) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \iint_{S^2} h(\zeta + \sigma, \Theta + \tau) \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})\sigma \sin(\rho + \frac{1}{2})\tau}{4 \sin(\frac{\sigma}{2}) \cdot \sin(\frac{\tau}{2})} d\sigma d\tau.$$

The number $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \nu \\ p \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ for $0 \leq p \leq \nu$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ is defined by

$$\prod_{l=0}^{\nu-1} (\zeta + l) = \zeta(\zeta + 1) \cdots (\zeta + \nu - 1) = \sum_{p=0}^{\nu} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \nu \\ p \end{smallmatrix} \right] \zeta^p = \frac{\Gamma(\zeta + \nu)}{\Gamma \zeta}.$$

Let us also define for $\rho \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the number $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \rho \\ q \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ for $0 \leq q \leq \rho$, by

$$\prod_{l=0}^{\rho-1} (\Theta + l) = \Theta(\Theta + 1) \cdots (\Theta + \rho - 1) = \sum_{q=0}^{\rho} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \rho \\ q \end{smallmatrix} \right] \Theta^q = \frac{\Gamma(\Theta + \rho)}{\Gamma \Theta}.$$

The numbers $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \nu \\ p \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \rho \\ q \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ are called the absolute values of Stirling numbers of first kind.

Let $\{s_{\nu,\rho}\}$ be the sequence of partial sums of double infinite series $\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu,\rho}$ and write

$$s_{\nu,\rho}^{\lambda,\mu} = \frac{\Gamma\lambda}{\Gamma(\lambda+\nu)} \times \frac{\Gamma\mu}{\Gamma(\mu+\rho)} \sum_{p=0}^{\nu} \sum_{q=0}^{\rho} \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ q \end{bmatrix} \lambda^p \mu^q s_{p,q} \quad (5)$$

to denote $(\nu, \rho)^{th}$ $K^{\lambda,\mu}$ -means of order $(\lambda, \mu) > 0$. If

$$s_{\nu,\rho}^{\lambda,\mu} \rightarrow s \text{ as } (\nu, \rho) \rightarrow \infty, \quad (6)$$

then the sequence $s_{\nu,\rho}$ or the series $\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu,\rho}$ summable to s by double Karamata ($K^{\lambda,\mu}$) method of order $(\lambda, \mu) > 0$.

Thus,

$$s_{\nu,\rho}^{\lambda,\mu} \rightarrow s(K^{\lambda,\mu}) \text{ as } (\nu, \rho) \rightarrow \infty.$$

The method $K^{\lambda,\mu}$ is regular for $(\lambda, \mu) > 0$. The regularity of the $K^{\lambda,\mu}$ method is supposed throughout the paper.

“Since $h(\zeta)$ is continuous and 2π -periodic function then the Hölder class for $h(\zeta)$ is defined as

$$H_{\alpha} = \{h \in C_{2\pi} : |h(\zeta) - h(\Theta)| \leq K|\zeta - \Theta|\},$$

where K is a positive constant. It can be verified that H_{α} is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ defined by

$$\|h\|_{\alpha} = \|h\|_C + \sup_{\zeta \neq \Theta} \Delta^{\alpha} h(\zeta, \Theta), \quad (7)$$

where

$$\Delta^{\alpha} h(\zeta, \Theta) = \frac{|h(\zeta) - h(\Theta)|}{|\zeta - \Theta|^{\alpha}} \text{ for } \zeta \neq \Theta.$$

By convention $\Delta^0 h(\zeta, \Theta) = 0$ and $\|h\|_C = \sup_{\zeta \in [-\pi, \pi]} |h(\zeta)|$. The metric induced by the norm (7) on H_{α} is called the Hölder metric [13].”

Since $h(\zeta, \Theta)$ is continuous and 2π -periodic function then the Hölder class for $h(\zeta, \Theta)$ is defined as

$$H_{\alpha,\beta} = \left\{ h : |h(\zeta, \Theta; z, w) := |h(\zeta, \Theta) - h(z, w)| \leq C_1 (|\zeta - z|^{\alpha} + |\Theta - w|^{\beta}) \right\}$$

for some $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and for all ζ, Θ, z, w . In above class of function, C_1 is some positive constant, which may depend on h , but not on ζ, Θ, t . $H_{\alpha,\beta}$ class of function is identical to $\text{Lip}(\alpha, \beta)$ class of function.

$H_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a Banach space, whose norm $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha,\beta}$ is defined by

$$\|h\|_{\alpha,\beta} = \|h\|_C + \sup_{\substack{\zeta \neq z, \\ \Theta \neq w}} \Delta^{\alpha,\beta} h(\zeta, \Theta; z, w) \quad (8)$$

i.e.

$$\|h\|_{\alpha,\beta} = \|h\|_C + \sup_{\substack{\zeta \neq z, \\ \Theta \neq w}} \frac{|f(\zeta, \Theta) - f(z, w)|}{|\zeta - z|^{\alpha} + |\Theta - w|^{\beta}} \text{ for } \zeta \neq z, \Theta \neq w$$

where $\Delta^{\alpha,\beta} h(\zeta, \Theta; z, w) = \frac{|h(\zeta, \Theta) - h(z, w)|}{|\zeta - z|^\alpha + |\Theta - w|^\beta}$ ($\zeta \neq z, \Theta \neq w$).

By convention $\Delta^{0,0} h(\zeta, \Theta; z, w) = 0$ and

$$\|h\|_C = \sup_{(\zeta, \Theta) \in S^2} |h(\zeta, \Theta)|. \quad (9)$$

“The η -order error of approximation of a function $h \in C_{2\pi}$ is defined by

$$E_\eta(h) = \inf_{t_\eta} \|h - t_\eta\|,$$

where t_η is a trigonometric polynomial of degree η (Bernstein [3]).

If $E_\eta(h) \rightarrow 0$ as $\eta \rightarrow \infty$, then $E_\eta(h)$ is said to be the best approximation of h ([20]). We write

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t) &= h(\zeta + t) + h(\zeta - t) - 2h(\zeta). \\ \Phi(t) &= \int_0^t |\phi(\sigma)| d\sigma. \\ \Phi(\sigma, \tau) &= \Phi(\zeta, \Theta; \sigma, \tau) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} [h(\zeta + \sigma, \Theta + \tau) + h(\zeta + \sigma, \Theta - \tau) + h(\zeta - \sigma, \Theta + \tau) \\ &\quad + h(\zeta - \sigma, \Theta - \tau) - 4h(\zeta, \Theta)] \end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi(\sigma, \tau) := \Psi(z, w; \sigma, \tau)$.

Since $h(\zeta, \Theta) \in H_{\alpha, \beta}$, then $|F(\sigma, \tau)| = O(|\zeta - z|^\alpha + |\Theta - w|^\beta)$.

$$\begin{aligned} K_\nu^\lambda(\sigma) &= \frac{\sum_{p=0}^\nu \binom{\nu}{p} \lambda^p \sin(p + \frac{1}{2}) \sigma}{\Gamma(\lambda + \nu) \sin(\frac{\sigma}{2})}. \\ K_\rho^\mu(\tau) &= \frac{\sum_{q=0}^\rho \binom{\rho}{q} \mu^q \sin(q + \frac{1}{2}) \tau}{\Gamma(\mu + \rho) \sin(\frac{\tau}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

3. Main Theorem

Theorem 1. *The best approximation of a 2π -periodic function $h(\zeta, \Theta)$ of two variables ζ and Θ and Lebesgue integrable over $S^2(-\pi, \pi; -\pi, \pi)$ in $H_{\alpha, \beta}$, $0 < \alpha, \beta \leq 1$ class by double Karamata ($K^{\lambda, \mu}$) method of its double Fourier series is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|s_{\nu, \rho}^{\lambda, \mu}(\zeta, \Theta) - h(\zeta, \Theta)\|_{\alpha, \beta} \\ &= O \left[\frac{M N \Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu}{(\nu + 1)(\rho + 1)} \left(\frac{1}{(\nu + 1)^\alpha} + \frac{1}{(\rho + 1)^\beta} + 1 \right) \right] \\ &+ O \left[\frac{M \Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu}{(\nu + 1) \Gamma(\mu + \rho)} \left(1 + \frac{\ln \pi(\rho + 1)}{(\nu + 1)^\alpha} + \ln \pi(\rho + 1) \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + O \left[\frac{N \Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu}{(\rho+1)\Gamma(\lambda+\nu)} \left(1 + \frac{\ln \pi(\rho+1)}{(\rho+1)^\beta} + \ln \pi(\nu+1) \right) \right] \\
& + O \left[\frac{\Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu}{\Gamma(\lambda+\nu)\Gamma(\mu+\rho)} (\ln((\nu+1)(\rho+1)\pi^2) + \{\ln \pi(\nu+1)\}\{\ln \pi(\rho+1)\}) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

where $M = \lambda \ln(\nu+1) + 1$ and $N = \mu \ln(\rho+1) + 1$.

4. Lemmas

Lemma 1. “([19]). Let $\lambda > 0$ and $0 < t < \frac{\pi}{2}$ then

$$\frac{\operatorname{Im} \Gamma(\lambda e^{it} + \rho)}{\Gamma(\lambda \cos t + \rho) \sin(\frac{t}{2})} = \frac{|\sin(\lambda \ln(\rho+1) \cdot \sin t)|}{\sin(\frac{t}{2})} + O(1)$$

as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in t ”.

Lemma 2. “([12]). For $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{\nu+1}$,

$$K_\nu^\lambda(\sigma) = O[\lambda \ln(\nu+1)] + O(1)$$

and for $0 < \tau < \frac{1}{\rho+1}$,

$$K_\rho^\mu(\tau) = O[\mu \ln(\rho+1)] + O(1)$$

”.

Lemma 3. For $\frac{1}{\nu+1} \leq \sigma \leq \pi$

$$K_\nu^\lambda(\sigma) = O \left[\frac{1}{\sigma \Gamma \lambda} \right].$$

Proof. Using $\sin \frac{\sigma}{2} \geq \frac{\sigma}{\pi}$ and $|\sin(\rho\sigma)| \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
|K_\nu^\lambda(\sigma)| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{p=0}^{\nu} \binom{\nu}{p} \lambda^p \sin(p + \frac{1}{2}) \sigma}{\Gamma(\lambda + \nu) \sin(\frac{\sigma}{2})} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda + \nu)} \sum_{p=0}^{\nu} \binom{\nu}{p} \lambda^p \frac{1}{\sin(\frac{\sigma}{2})} \\
&= O \left[\frac{1}{\sigma \Gamma \lambda} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4. For $\frac{1}{\rho+1} \leq \tau \leq \pi$

$$K_\rho^\mu(\tau) = O \left[\frac{1}{\tau \Gamma \mu} \right].$$

Proof. This can be proved along the same lines of Lemma 4.3.

5. Proof of the Main Theorem

Let $s_{\nu,\rho}(\zeta, \Theta)$ denote the $(\nu, \rho)^{th}$ partial sum of the series (2), we have

$$s_{\nu,\rho}(\zeta, \Theta) - h(\zeta, \Theta) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \Phi(\sigma, \tau) \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})\sigma}{\sin(\frac{\sigma}{2})} \cdot \frac{\sin(\rho + \frac{1}{2})\tau}{\sin(\frac{\tau}{2})} d\sigma d\tau.$$

Denoting $K^{\lambda,\mu}$ means of $\{s_{\nu,\rho}(\zeta, \Theta)\}$ by $s_{\nu,\rho}^{\lambda,\mu}(\zeta, \Theta)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & s_{\nu,\rho}^{\lambda,\mu}(\zeta, \Theta) - h(\zeta, \Theta) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\lambda}{\Gamma(\lambda+\nu)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\mu}{\Gamma(\mu+\rho)} \sum_{p=0}^{\nu} \sum_{q=0}^{\rho} \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ q \end{bmatrix} \lambda^p \mu^q (s_{p,q}(\zeta, \Theta) - h(\zeta, \Theta)) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\lambda\Gamma\mu}{\pi^2} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \Phi(\sigma, \tau) \sum_{p=0}^{\nu} \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ p \end{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda^p \sin(p + \frac{1}{2})\sigma}{\Gamma(\lambda+\nu) \sin(\frac{\sigma}{2})} \sum_{q=0}^{\rho} \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ q \end{bmatrix} \frac{\mu^q \sin(q + \frac{1}{2})\tau}{\Gamma(\mu+\rho) \sin(\frac{\tau}{2})} d\sigma d\tau \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\lambda\Gamma\mu}{\pi^2} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \Phi(\sigma, \tau) K_\nu^\lambda(\sigma) K_\rho^\mu(\tau) d\sigma d\tau \\ &= I_{\nu,\rho}(\zeta, \Theta) \quad (\text{say}). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Let us estimate

$$\sup_{\substack{\zeta \neq z, \\ \Theta \neq w}} \frac{|I_{\nu,\rho}(\zeta, \Theta) - I_{\nu,\rho}(z, w)|}{|\zeta - z|^\alpha + |\Theta - w|^\beta} = O(1). \tag{11}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & |I_{\nu,\rho}(\zeta, \Theta) - I_{\nu,\rho}(z, w)| \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\lambda\Gamma\mu}{\pi^2} \left| \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi F(\sigma, \tau) K_\nu^\lambda(\sigma) K_\rho^\mu(\tau) d\sigma d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma\lambda\Gamma\mu}{\pi^2} \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{\nu+1}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\rho+1}} + \int_0^{\frac{1}{\nu+1}} \int_{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}^\pi + \int_{\frac{1}{\nu+1}}^\pi \int_0^{\frac{1}{\rho+1}} + \int_{\frac{1}{\nu+1}}^\pi \int_{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}^\pi \right) |F(\sigma, \tau) K_\nu^\lambda(\sigma) K_\rho^\mu(\tau)| d\sigma d\tau \\ &= O\left[\frac{\Gamma\lambda\Gamma\mu}{\pi^2} (J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Using the fact that $|F(\sigma, \tau)| = O(|\zeta - z|^\alpha + |\Theta - w|^\beta)$ and Lemma 4.2 for $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{\nu+1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{\nu+1}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\rho+1}} |F(\sigma, \tau) K_\nu^\lambda(\sigma) K_\rho^\mu(\tau)| d\sigma d\tau \\ &= [O\{\lambda \ln(\nu+1)\} + O(1)] [O\{\mu \ln(\rho+1)\} + O(1)] \int_0^{\frac{1}{\nu+1}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\rho+1}} |F(\sigma, \tau)| d\sigma d\tau \\ &= O(1)[\{\lambda \ln(\nu+1)\} + 1][\{\mu \ln(\rho+1)\} + 1][(|\zeta - z|^\alpha + |\Theta - w|^\beta)] \int_0^{\frac{1}{\nu+1}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\rho+1}} d\sigma d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$= O(1) \left\{ \frac{[\{\lambda \ln(\nu + 1)\} + 1]}{\nu + 1} \times \frac{[\{\mu \ln(\rho + 1)\} + 1]}{\rho + 1} \right\} (|\zeta - z|^\alpha + |\Theta - w|^\beta). \quad (13)$$

For $0 < \alpha, \beta \leq 1$, by using Lemmas 4.2 for $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{\nu+1}$, 4.4 and the fact that $|F(\sigma, \tau)| = O(|\zeta - z|^\alpha + |\Theta - w|^\beta)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{\nu+1}} \int_{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}^{\pi} |F(\sigma, \tau) K_\nu^\lambda(\sigma) K_\rho^\mu(\tau)| d\sigma d\tau \\ &= O\{\lambda \log(\nu + 1) + 1\} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\nu+1}} \int_{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}^{\pi} |F(\sigma, \tau)| |K_\rho^\mu(\tau)| d\sigma d\tau \\ &= O(1) \frac{\{\lambda \log(\nu + 1) + 1\}}{\nu + 1} \int_{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}^{\pi} |F(\sigma, \tau)| |K_\rho^\mu(\tau)| d\tau \\ &= O\left\{ \frac{\{\lambda \ln(\nu + 1)\} + 1}{\nu + 1} \right\} (|\zeta - z|^\alpha + |\Theta - w|^\beta) \int_{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}^{\pi} \frac{1}{\tau \Gamma \mu} d\tau \\ &= O\left\{ \frac{\{\lambda \ln(\nu + 1)\} + 1}{(\nu + 1) \Gamma \mu} \right\} (|\zeta - z|^\alpha + |\Theta - w|^\beta) \ln(\pi(\rho + 1)). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Similarly by changing the order of integration in J_3 and using Lemmas 4.2 for $0 < \tau < \frac{1}{\rho+1}$ and 4.3, we obtain

$$J_3 = O\left\{ \frac{\{\mu \ln(\rho + 1)\} + 1}{(\rho + 1) \Gamma \lambda} \right\} (|\zeta - z|^\alpha + |\Theta - w|^\beta) \ln((\nu + 1) \pi). \quad (15)$$

Now, using Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_4 &= \int_{\frac{1}{\nu+1}}^{\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}^{\pi} |F(\sigma, \tau) K_\nu^\lambda(\sigma) K_\rho^\mu(\tau)| d\sigma d\tau \\ &= \int_{\frac{1}{\nu+1}}^{\pi} K_\nu^\lambda(\sigma) \left(\int_{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}^{\pi} \frac{1}{\tau \Gamma \mu} d\tau \right) |F(\sigma, \tau)| d\sigma \\ &= O\left\{ \frac{1}{\Gamma \mu} \right\} \int_{\frac{1}{\nu+1}}^{\pi} K_\nu^\lambda(\sigma) \ln((\rho + 1) \pi) |F(\sigma, \tau)| d\sigma \\ &= O\left\{ \frac{\ln((\rho + 1) \pi) \cdot \ln((\nu + 1) \pi)}{\Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu} (|\zeta - z|^\alpha + |\Theta - w|^\beta) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Combining (12) to (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{|I_{\nu, \rho}(\zeta, \Theta) - I_{\nu, \rho}(z, w)|}{(|\zeta - z|^\alpha + |\Theta - w|^\beta)} \\ &= O\left(\frac{[\{\lambda \ln(\nu + 1)\} + 1][\{\mu \ln(\rho + 1)\} + 1] \Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu}{(\nu + 1)(\rho + 1)} + \frac{[\{\lambda \ln(\nu + 1)\} + 1] \Gamma \lambda \ln(\pi(\rho + 1))}{\nu + 1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ O\left(\frac{[\{\mu \ln(\rho + 1)\} + 1]\Gamma\mu \ln\pi(\nu + 1)}{\rho + 1} + \frac{\ln(\rho + 1)\pi \ln((\nu + 1)\pi)}{\Gamma\lambda\Gamma\mu}\right). \quad (17)$$

Now, from (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |I_{\nu,\rho}(\zeta, \Theta)| \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\lambda\Gamma\mu}{\pi^2} \left| \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \Phi(\sigma, \tau) K_\nu^\lambda(\sigma) K_\rho^\mu(\tau) d\sigma d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma\lambda\Gamma\mu}{\pi^2} \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{\nu+1}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\rho+1}} + \int_0^{\nu+1} \int_{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}^\pi + \int_{\frac{1}{\nu+1}}^\pi \int_0^{\frac{1}{\rho+1}} + \int_{\frac{1}{\nu+1}}^\pi \int_{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}^\pi |\Phi(\sigma, \tau)| |K_\nu^\lambda(\sigma)| |K_\rho^\mu(\tau)| d\sigma d\tau \right] \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\lambda\Gamma\mu}{4\pi^2} (I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{\nu+1}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\rho+1}} |\Phi(\sigma, \tau)| |K_\nu^\lambda(\sigma)| |K_\rho^\mu(\tau)| d\sigma d\tau \\ I_1 &= O\left[(\lambda \log(\nu + 1) + 1)(\mu \log(\rho + 1) + 1) \int_0^{\frac{1}{\nu+1}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\rho+1}} (\sigma^\alpha + \tau^\beta) d\sigma d\tau\right] \\ &= O\left[MN \int_0^{\frac{1}{\nu+1}} \sigma^\alpha \left\{ \int_0^{\frac{1}{\rho+1}} d\tau \right\} d\sigma\right] + O\left[MN \int_0^{\frac{1}{\rho+1}} \tau^\beta \left\{ \int_0^{\frac{1}{\nu+1}} d\sigma \right\} d\tau\right] \\ &\text{where } M = \{\lambda \ln(\nu + 1)\} + 1 \text{ and } N = \{\mu \ln(\rho + 1)\} + 1 \\ &= \left[\frac{MN}{\rho + 1} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\nu+1}} \sigma^\alpha d\sigma \right] + \left[\frac{MN}{\nu + 1} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\rho+1}} \tau^\beta d\tau \right] \\ &= O(1) \frac{MN}{(\nu + 1)(\rho + 1)} \left[\frac{1}{(\nu + 1)^\alpha} + \frac{1}{(\rho + 1)^\beta} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{\nu+1}} \int_{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}^\pi |\Phi(\sigma, \tau)| |K_\nu^\lambda(\sigma)| |K_\rho^\mu(\tau)| d\sigma d\tau \\ &= O\left[\{\lambda \log(\nu + 1) + 1\} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\nu+1}} \int_{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}^\pi \frac{1}{\tau\Gamma\mu} (\sigma^\alpha + \tau^\beta) d\sigma d\tau\right] \\ &= O\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma\mu} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\nu+1}} \int_{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}^\pi \frac{1}{\tau} \sigma^\alpha d\sigma d\tau\right] + O\left[\frac{M}{\Gamma\mu} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\nu+1}} \int_{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}^\pi \frac{1}{\tau} \tau^\beta d\sigma d\tau\right] \\ &= O(1) \frac{M}{(\nu + 1)\Gamma\mu} \left(\frac{\ln(\rho + 1)\pi}{(\nu + 1)^\alpha} + 1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Similarly,

$$I_3 = O(1) \frac{N}{(\rho + 1)\Gamma\lambda} \left(\frac{\ln(\nu + 1)\pi}{(\rho + 1)^\beta} + 1 \right) \quad (21)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &= \int_{\frac{1}{\nu+1}}^{\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}^{\pi} |\Phi(\sigma, \tau)| |K_{\nu}^{\lambda}(\sigma)| |K_{\rho}^{\mu}(\tau)| d\sigma d\tau \\
&= O \left[\int_{\frac{1}{\nu+1}}^{\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}^{\pi} \frac{1}{\sigma \tau \Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu} [\sigma^{\alpha} + \tau^{\beta}] d\sigma d\tau \right] \\
&= O \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu} \int_{\frac{1}{\nu+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\sigma^{\alpha}}{\sigma} \left\{ \int_{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}^{\pi} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \right\} d\sigma \right] + O \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu} \int_{\frac{1}{\nu+1}}^{\pi} \frac{1}{\sigma} \left\{ \int_{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\tau^{\beta}}{\tau} d\tau \right\} d\sigma \right] \\
&= O \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu} \ln(\rho+1)\pi \right] + O \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu} \ln(\nu+1)\pi \right] \\
&= O \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu} \ln(\nu+1)(\rho+1)\pi^2 \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Combining (18) to (22), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\nu, \rho} &= O \left[\frac{\Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu}{\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{MN}{(\nu+1)(\rho+1)} \left(\frac{1}{(\nu+1)^{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{(\rho+1)^{\beta}} \right) + \frac{M}{(\nu+1)\Gamma \mu} \left(1 + \frac{\ln(\rho+1)\pi}{(\nu+1)^{\alpha}} \right) \right\} \right] \\
&\quad + O \left[\frac{\Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu}{\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{N}{(\nu+1)\Gamma \lambda} \left(1 + \frac{\ln(\nu+1)\pi}{(\rho+1)^{\beta}} \right) + \frac{1}{\Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu} \log(\nu+1)(\rho+1)\pi^2 \right\} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

By (17) and (23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_{\nu, \rho}\|_{\alpha, \beta} &= \|s_{\nu, \rho}^{\lambda, \mu}(\zeta, \Theta) - h(\zeta, \Theta)\|_{\alpha, \beta} = O \left[\frac{MN\Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu}{(\nu+1)(\rho+1)} \left(\frac{1}{(\nu+1)^{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{(\rho+1)^{\beta}} + 1 \right) \right] \\
&\quad + O \left[\frac{M\Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu}{(\nu+1)\Gamma(\mu+\rho)} \left(1 + \frac{\log((\rho+1)\pi)}{(\nu+1)^{\alpha}} + \log((\rho+1)\pi) \right) \right] \\
&\quad + O \left[\frac{N\Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu}{(\nu+1)\Gamma(\lambda+\nu)} \left(1 + \frac{\log((\rho+1)\pi)}{(\rho+1)^{\beta}} + \log((\nu+1)\pi) \right) \right] \\
&\quad + O \left[\frac{\Gamma \lambda \Gamma \mu}{\Gamma(\lambda+\nu)\Gamma(\mu+\rho)} (\log((\nu+1)(\rho+1)\pi^2) + \{\log((\nu+1)\pi)\}\{\log((\rho+1)\pi)\}) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

where $M = \{\lambda \ln(\nu+1)\} + 1$ and $N = \{\mu \ln(\rho+1)\} + 1$.

6. Verification

We calculate error by putting some values of $\nu, \rho, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu$.

6.1. $\nu = \rho = 10, \alpha = \beta = 0.5, \lambda = 2, \mu = 3$

error $E_1 \sim 1.25827$

6.2. $\nu = \rho = 20, \alpha = \beta = 0.5, \lambda = 2, \mu = 3$

error $E_2 \sim 0.46798$

6.3. $\nu = \rho = 50, \alpha = \beta = 0.5, \lambda = 2, \mu = 3$

error $E_3 \sim 0.111632$

6.4. $\nu = \rho = 500, \alpha = \beta = 0.5, \lambda = 2, \mu = 3$

error $E_4 \sim 0.0011456$

6.5. $\nu = \rho = 1000, \alpha = \beta = 0.5, \lambda = 2, \mu = 3$

error $E_5 \sim 6.83193 \times 10^{-4}$.

6.6. $\nu = \rho = 10000, \alpha = \beta = 0.5, \lambda = 2, \mu = 3$

error $E_6 \sim 1.13411 \times 10^{-5}$.

6.7. $\nu = \rho = 100000, \alpha = \beta = 0.5, \lambda = 2, \mu = 3$

error $E_7 \sim 1.1191 \times 10^{-7}$.

6.8. $\nu = \rho = 10^{10}, \alpha = \beta = 0.5, \lambda = 2, \mu = 3$

error $E_8 \sim 5.36301 \times 10^{-15}$.

7. Conclusion

From above verification, we observed that error estimation approaches to zero rapidly as ν, ρ increase infinitely. Thus, we arrive at the best approximation of the function.

Acknowledgements

First author expresses his gratitude towards his mother for her blessings. The first author also expresses his gratitude towards his father in heaven, whose soul is always guiding and encouraging him. Second author is thankful to the University Grants Commission, India for providing Senior Research fellowship(SRF) to carry out the present work as a part of Ph.D, degree. The Second author also expresses his gratitude towards his parents for blessings. Both the authors are also grateful to the Hon'ble vice-chancellor, Central University of South Bihar, for motivation to this work.

References

- [1] Ralph Palmer Agnew et al. The lototsky method for evaluation of series. *The Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 4(2):105–128, 1957.
- [2] G. Alexits. Problems in the convergence of orthogonal series, 1961.
- [3] S. N. Bernstein. On the best approximation of continuous functions by polynomials of given degree (1912). *Collected works*, 1:11–104, 1952.
- [4] P. Chandra. On the generalised fejér means in the metric of hölder space. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 109(1):39–45, 1982.
- [5] P. Chandra. Trigonometric approximation of functions in l_p -norm. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 275(1):13–26, 2002.
- [6] H. K. Neha K. Qureshi. A class of functions and their degree of approximation. *Ganita*, 41(1):37–42, 1990.
- [7] J. Karamata. Théorèmes sur la sommabilité exponentielle et d'autres sommabilités s'y rattachant. 1935.
- [8] P. D. Kathal. A new criteria for karamata summability of fourier series. *Riv Math Univ Parma Italy*, 10:33–38, 1969.
- [9] S. Lal. On the approximation of function $f(x, y)$ belonging to lipschitz class by matrix summability method of double fourier series. *Journal of the Indian Math. Soc*, 78(1-4):93–101, 2011.
- [10] A.V. Lotosky. On a linear transformation of sequences. *Ivanov Gos Red Inst Fluchen Zap*, 4:61, 1963.
- [11] R. N. Mohapatra and P. Chandra. Degree of approximation of functions in the hölder metric. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 41(1-2):67–76, 1983.
- [12] H. K. Nigam and K. Sharma. A study on degree of approximation by karamata summability method. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2011(1):85, 2011.
- [13] S. Prössdorf. Zur konvergenz der fourierreihen hölderstetiger funktionen. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 69(1):7–14, 1975.
- [14] K. Qureshi. On the degree of approximation of a periodic function f by almost nörlund means. *Tamkang J. Math*, 12(1):35–38, 1981.
- [15] B. E. Rhoades. On the degree of approximation of functions belonging to a lipschitz class by hausdorff means of its fourier series. *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, 34(3):245–247, 2003.

- [16] B. N. Sahney and D. S. Goel. On the degree of continuous functions, ranchi university math. *Jour.*, 4:50–53, 1973.
- [17] A. I. Stepanets. Approximation of certain classes of periodic functions of two variables by linear methods of summation of their fourier series. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, 26(2):168–176, 1974.
- [18] AI Stepanets. The approximation of certain classes of differentiable periodic functions of two variables by fourier sums. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, 25(5):498–506, 1973.
- [19] V. Vučković. The summability of fourier series by karamata methods. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 89(3):192–195, 1965.
- [20] A. Zygmund. *Trigonometric series*, volume 1. Cambridge university press, 2002.