



## Univalence of New General Integral Operator Defined by the Ruscheweyh Type $q$ -Difference Operator

Suhila Elhaddad<sup>1</sup>, Huda Aldweby<sup>2</sup>, Maslina Darus<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600, Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Asmara Islamic University, Libya*

**Abstract.** In this study, by employing the Ruscheweyh type  $q$ -analogue operator we consider a new family of integral operators on the space of analytic functions. For this family, we demonstrate some sufficient conditions of univalence criteria on the class of analytical functions.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications:** 30C45, 30C50

**Key Words and Phrases:**  $q$ -analogue of Ruscheweyh operator, integral operators, univalence criteria.

### 1. Introduction

Univalence criteria for certain class of analytic functions has attracted many and some of their work can be seen widely in the literature. For example, Pascu [21], [22] studied on the univalence criterion for certain class of functions and improvement of Becker's univalence criteria in 1985 and 1987 respectively. Then, Pescar [23] led on the generalised univalence criteria of Ahlfors' and Becker's. Later, Faisal and Darus [13–15] and Al-Refai and Darus [1] continued to study the same for different operators and classes. Here we are studying similar criteria for a class generated by a  $q$ -analogue of Ruscheweyh.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and satisfy the following normalized condition:

$$f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0.$$

\*Corresponding author.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v13i4.3817>

*Email addresses:* P89257@siswa.ukm.edu.my (S. Elhaddad), hu.aldweby@gmail.com (H. Aldweby), maslina@ukm.edu.my (M. Darus)

Additionally, let  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be the family of univalent functions in  $U$ . The Hadamard product for two analytic functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  defined in (1) and

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n,$$

is given by

$$f(z) * g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

Firstly, we will present the concepts and definitions for  $q$ -calculus which will later be applied (see [5] and [12]). Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ , the  $q$ -integer and  $q$ -factorial are defined by

$$[n]_q! = \begin{cases} [n]_q [n-1]_q \dots [1]_q, & n = 1, 2, \dots, \\ 1, & n = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$[n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q}.$$

As  $q \rightarrow 1$ ,  $[n]_q \rightarrow n$ .

In 2014, Aldweby and Darus [2] defined the Ruscheweyh type  $q$ -operator  $\mathcal{R}_q^v$  as following:

**Definition 1.** The  $q$ -analogue of Ruscheweyh operator of  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}_q^v f(z)$  and defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_q^v f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[n+v-1]_q!}{[v]_q! [n-1]_q!} a_n z^n, \quad (3)$$

where  $v > -1$  and  $[n]_q!$  defined by (2).

From the Definition 1, we note that, if  $q \rightarrow 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{R}_q^v f(z) &= z + \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \left[ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[n+v-1]_q!}{[v]_q! [n-1]_q!} a_n z^n \right] \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+v-1)!}{(v)!(n-1)!} a_n z^n \\ &= \mathcal{R}^v f(z), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{R}^v f(z)$  is Ruscheweyh operator that was presented in [24] and has been examined by many authors, for instance [19] and [26]. In fact, the  $q$ -derivative type of Ruscheweyh operator has been studied recently by Hussain et.al [17], Aldweby and Darus [3] for different properties. Other type of  $q$ -derivative can be seen in [16].

**Definition 2.** A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{B}^v(q, \vartheta)$  if it is satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{z^2 (\mathcal{R}_q^v f(z))'}{[\mathcal{R}_q^v f(z)]^2} - 1 \right| < \vartheta, \quad (z \in U, 0 < \vartheta \leq 1), \tag{4}$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_q^v f(z)$  is the operator defined by (3).

Note that,  $\mathcal{B}^0(q \rightarrow 1, \vartheta) = \mathcal{B}(\vartheta)$ , where the analytic and univalent functions class  $\mathcal{B}(\vartheta)$  was presented and studied in [11].

Using the operator  $\mathcal{R}_q^v f(z)$ , we now introduce the general integral operator as following:

**Definition 3.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n, |q| < 1$  and  $\varrho \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, \dots\}$ , then the integral operator  $I_{\gamma_n, \varrho}(v, q, z) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is defined by

$$I_{\gamma_n, \varrho}(v, q, z) = \left( \varrho \int_0^z t^{\varrho-1} \prod_{n=1}^m \left( \frac{\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(t)}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_n}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}}, \tag{5}$$

where  $f_n \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Remark 1.** Interestingly, the integral operator  $I_{\gamma_n, \varrho}(v, q, z)$  generalizes a number of operators that have been implemented and studied by several authors, for instance

- For  $v = 0$  and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m = \sigma$ , we get the following operator

$$I_{\sigma, \varrho}(z) = \left( \varrho \int_0^z t^{\varrho-1} \prod_{n=1}^m \left( \frac{f_n(t)}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}}, \tag{6}$$

that considered by Breaz and Breaz [7].

- For  $v = 0, m = 1, \gamma_n = \frac{1}{\sigma_n}, \varrho = 1, \sigma_1 = 1, \sigma_2 = \dots = \sigma_m = 0$  and  $f_1 = f_2 = \dots = f_m = f \in \mathcal{S}$ , we have the following integral operator developed and studied by Alexander [4],

$$I(z) = \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt. \tag{7}$$

- For  $v = 0, \varrho = 1$  and  $\gamma_n = \frac{1}{\sigma_n}$ , we obtain the following integral operator introduced by Breaz and Breaz [6],

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \left[ \frac{f_1(t)}{t} \right]^{\sigma_1} \dots \left[ \frac{f_m(t)}{t} \right]^{\sigma_m} dt. \tag{8}$$

- For  $v = 0, \gamma_n = \frac{1}{\sigma - 1}$  and  $\varrho = m(\sigma - 1) + 1$ , we have the integral operator:

$$G_{m, \sigma}(z) = \left( [m(\sigma - 1) + 1] \int_0^z (f_1(t))^{\sigma-1} \dots (f_m(t))^{\sigma-1} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{m(\sigma-1)+1}}, \tag{9}$$

studied by Breaz et al. [9].

- For  $v = 0, m = 1, \gamma_n = \frac{1}{a_n}, \varrho = 1, \sigma_1 = \sigma, \sigma_2 = \dots = \sigma_m = 0$  and  $f_1 = f_2 = \dots = f_m = f \in \mathcal{S}$ , we obtain the integral operator:

$$I_\sigma(z) = \int_0^z \left[ \frac{f(t)}{t} \right]^\sigma dt, \quad (10)$$

introduced by Miller and Mocanu [18].

- For  $v = 0, \gamma_n = \frac{1}{\sigma - 1}, \varrho = \sigma$  and  $f_1 = f_2 = \dots = f_m = f \in \mathcal{A}$  where  $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\Re(\sigma) > 0$ , we obtain the following operator:

$$G_\sigma(z) = \left( \sigma \int_0^z (f(t))^{\sigma-1} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}, \quad (11)$$

studied and introduced by Pescar [23].

- For  $v = 1, q \rightarrow 1, \gamma_n = \frac{1}{\sigma - 1}$  and  $\varrho = 1 + m(\sigma - 1)$ , we get the integral operator that Selvaraj and Karthikeyan [25] introduced

$$G_\sigma(z) = \left( [m(\sigma - 1) + 1] \int_0^z t^{m(\sigma-1)} (f'_1(t))^{\sigma-1} \dots (f'_m(t))^{\sigma-1} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{1+m(\sigma-1)}}. \quad (12)$$

- For  $v = 1, q \rightarrow 1, \gamma_n = \frac{1}{\sigma}$  and  $\varrho = 1$ , we obtain the following integral operator:

$$G_\sigma(z) = \int_0^z (f'_1(t))^\sigma \dots (f'_m(t))^\sigma dt, \quad (13)$$

studied and introduced by Breaz and Güneş [10].

## 2. Preliminaries

In order to prove our main results, we need to recall the following.

**Lemma 1.** (see [21] and [22]) Let  $\varrho \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(\varrho) > 0$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies

$$\frac{1 - |z|^{2\Re(\varrho)}}{\Re(\varrho)} \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1, \quad z \in U,$$

then the operator

$$f_\varrho(z) = \left\{ \varrho \int_0^z t^{\varrho-1} f'(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\varrho}},$$

is belonging to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Lemma 2.** (see [23]) *Let  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|c| \leq 1, c \neq -1, \varrho \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(\varrho) > 0$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies*

$$\left| c|z|^{2\varrho} + (1 - |z|^{2\varrho}) \frac{zf''(z)}{\varrho f'(z)} \right| \leq 1, \quad z \in U,$$

then the operator

$$f_\varrho(z) = \left\{ \varrho \int_0^z t^{\varrho-1} f'(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\varrho}},$$

is belonging to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Lemma 3.** (see [20]) (Generalized Schwarz Lemma) *Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  within  $U_R = \{z : |z| < R\}$ , with  $|f(z)| < N$  for fixed  $N$ . If  $f(z)$  has one zero with multiplicity order  $> m$  for  $z = 0$ , thus*

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{N}{R^m} |z|^m, \quad (z \in U_R).$$

Equality can only be achieved if

$$f(z) = e^{i\theta} \left( \frac{N}{R^m} \right) z^m,$$

where  $\theta$  is constant.

### 3. Main Results

In this part, by utilizing the above lemmas, we find the univalence of this integral operator defined by Ruscheweyh type  $q$ -analogue.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\varrho, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $N \geq 1$  with*

$$\frac{1}{\Re(\varrho)} \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{[(1 + \vartheta_n)N + 1]}{|\gamma_n|} \leq 1. \tag{14}$$

If  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{B}^v(q, \vartheta_n), 0 < \vartheta_n \leq 1, n = 1, \dots, m$  and

$$|\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)| \leq N, \quad (z \in U),$$

then the function  $I_{\gamma_n, \varrho}(v, q, z)$  given by (5) is univalent.

*Proof.* From the definition of the operator  $\mathcal{R}_q^v f(z)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{R}_q^v f(z)}{z} &= \frac{z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[n+v-1]_q!}{[v]_q! [n-1]_q!} a_n z^n}{z} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[n+v-1]_q!}{[v]_q! [n-1]_q!} a_n z^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}_q^v f(z)}{z} \neq 0, \quad (z \in U),$$

and for  $z = 0$  and  $n = 1, \dots, m$ , we have

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}_q^v f_1(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}} \dots \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}_q^v f_m(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_m}} = 1.$$

Define the function

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \prod_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(t)}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_n}} dt, \tag{15}$$

then we have  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) = 1$ . Therefore

$$f'(z) = \prod_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_n}}. \tag{16}$$

The equality (16) implies

$$\ln f'(z) = \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \left(\ln \frac{\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)}{z}\right).$$

Or equivalently

$$\ln f'(z) = \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{\gamma_n} (\ln \mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z) - \ln z).$$

By differentiating the above equality, we have

$$\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \left(\frac{z(\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z))'}{\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)} - 1\right). \tag{17}$$

From (17), we have

$$\left|\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{|\gamma_n|} \left(\left|\frac{z(\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z))'}{\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)}\right| + 1\right) = \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{|\gamma_n|} \left(\left|\frac{z^2(\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z))'}{[\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)]^2}\right| \left|\frac{\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)}{z}\right| + 1\right). \tag{18}$$

From the hypothesis, we have  $|\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)| \leq N$ ,  $f_n \in \mathcal{B}^v(q, \vartheta_n)$ , ( $n = 1, \dots, m, z \in U$ ), then by using lemma 3, we get that

$$|\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)| \leq N|z|, \quad (n = 1, \dots, m, z \in U).$$

From (18), we get

$$\left|\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{|\gamma_n|} \left(\left|\frac{z^2(\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z))'}{[\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)]^2}\right| N + 1\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{|\gamma_n|} \left( \left| \frac{z^2 (\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z))'}{[\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)]^2} - 1 \right| N + N + 1 \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{|\gamma_n|} (\vartheta_n N + N + 1) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{(1 + \vartheta_n)N + 1}{|\gamma_n|}, \end{aligned}$$

which easily shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |z|^{2\Re(\varrho)}}{\Re(\varrho)} \left| \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| &= \frac{1 - |z|^{2\Re(\varrho)}}{\Re(\varrho)} \left| \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \left( \frac{z (\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z))'}{\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)} - 1 \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Re(\varrho)} \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{(1 + \vartheta_n)N + 1}{|\gamma_n|}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\frac{1}{\Re(\varrho)} \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{[(1 + \vartheta_n)N + 1]}{|\gamma_n|} \leq 1$ . Using Lemma 1, we obtain that the integral  $I_{\gamma_n, \varrho}(v, q, z)$  given by (5) is univalent.

Setting  $N = 1, v = 0, \gamma_n = \frac{1}{\sigma - 1}$ , and  $\varrho = m(\sigma - 1) + 1$  in Theorem 1, we get

**Corollary 1.** [8] Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$  with

$$|\sigma - 1| \leq \frac{\Re(\sigma)}{3m},$$

if

$$\left| \frac{z^2 f'_k(z)}{(f_n(z))^2} - 1 \right| < 1, \quad (z \in U),$$

then the function  $G_{m, \sigma}(z)$  defined by (9) is univalent.

Setting  $N = 1, v = 0, \gamma_n = \frac{1}{\sigma - 1}, f_1 = \dots = f_m = f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\varrho = \sigma$  where  $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$  in Theorem 1, we get

**Corollary 2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$  with

$$|\sigma - 1| \leq \frac{\Re(\sigma)}{3},$$

if

$$\left| \frac{z^2 f'(z)}{(f(z))^2} - 1 \right| < 1, \quad (z \in U),$$

then the function  $G_\sigma(z)$  defined by (11) is univalent.

Next, we prove

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\varrho \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(\varrho) > \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{[(1+\vartheta_n)N+1]}{|\gamma_n|}$ . Let  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $N \geq 1$  with

$$|c| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\Re(\varrho)} \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{[(1+\vartheta_n)N+1]}{|\gamma_n|}.$$

If  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{B}^v(q, \vartheta_n)$ ,  $0 < \vartheta_n \leq 1$ ,  $n = 1, \dots, m$  and

$$|\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)| \leq N, \quad (z \in U),$$

then the function  $I_{\gamma_n, \varrho}(v, q, z)$  given by (5) is univalent.

*Proof.* Following the proof of Theorem 1, we get

$$\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \left( \frac{z(\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z))'}{\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)} - 1 \right).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| c|z|^{2\varrho} + (1 - |z|^{2\varrho}) \frac{zf''(z)}{\varrho f'(z)} \right| &= \left| c|z|^{2\varrho} + (1 - |z|^{2\varrho}) \frac{1}{\varrho} \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \left( \frac{z(\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z))'}{\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)} - 1 \right) \right| \\ &\leq |c| + \frac{1}{|\varrho|} \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{|\gamma_n|} \left( \left| \frac{z^2 (\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z))'}{[\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)]^2} \right| \frac{|\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)|}{|z|} + 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now directly from the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| c|z|^{2\varrho} + (1 - |z|^{2\varrho}) \frac{zf''(z)}{\varrho f'(z)} \right| &\leq |c| + \frac{1}{|\varrho|} \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{[(1+\vartheta_n)N+1]}{|\gamma_n|} \\ &\leq |c| + \frac{1}{\Re(\varrho)} \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{[(1+\vartheta_n)N+1]}{|\gamma_n|}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $|c| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\varrho} \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{[(1+\vartheta_n)N+1]}{|\gamma_n|}$ , thus we have

$$\left| c|z|^{2\varrho} + (1 - |z|^{2\varrho}) \frac{zf''(z)}{\varrho f'(z)} \right| \leq 1, \quad (z \in U).$$

Using Lemma 2 for the function  $f(z)$  we obtain that the integral operator  $I_{\gamma_n, \varrho}(v, q, z)$  given by (5) is univalent.

**Corollary 3.** Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\varrho \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(\varrho) > \frac{m[(1+\vartheta_n)N+1]}{|\gamma|}$ . Let  $N \geq 1$  with

$$|c| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\Re(\varrho)} \frac{m[(1+\vartheta_n)N+1]}{|\gamma|}, \quad (c \in \mathbb{C}).$$

If for all  $n = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $f_n \in \mathcal{B}^v(q, \vartheta_n)$ ,  $0 < \vartheta_n \leq 1$ , and

$$|\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)| \leq N, \quad (z \in U).$$

Then the integral operator

$$I_{\gamma_n, \varrho}(v, q, z) = \left( \varrho \int_0^z t^{\varrho-1} \prod_{n=1}^m \left( \frac{\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(t)}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}},$$

is univalent.

*Proof.* In Theorem 2, we consider  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \dots = \gamma_m = \gamma$ .

**Corollary 4.** Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\gamma_n \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\varrho \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(\varrho) > \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{[\vartheta_n+2]}{|\gamma_n|}$ . Let  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  with

$$|c| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\Re(\varrho)} \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{[\vartheta_n+2]}{|\gamma_n|}.$$

If for all  $n = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $f_n \in \mathcal{B}^v(q, \vartheta_n)$ ,  $0 < \vartheta_n \leq 1$ , and

$$|\mathcal{R}_q^v f_n(z)| \leq 1, \quad (z \in U),$$

then the function  $I_{\gamma_n, \varrho}(v, q, z)$  given by (5) is univalent.

*Proof.* In Theorem 2, we consider  $N = 1$ .

Setting  $v = 0$ ,  $\gamma_n = \frac{1}{\sigma - 1}$ , and  $\varrho = m(\sigma - 1) + 1$  where  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  in Theorem 2, we have

**Corollary 5.** Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $N \geq 1$  with

$$|c| \leq 1 + \left( \frac{1 - \sigma}{(\sigma - 1)m + 1} \right) (2N + 1)m,$$

and

$$\sigma \in \left[ 1, \frac{2mN + 1}{2mN} \right],$$

if for all  $n = 1, \dots, m$

$$\left| \frac{z^2 f'_k(z)}{(f_n(z))^2} - 1 \right| < 1, \quad (z \in U),$$

and

$$|f_n(z)| \leq N, \quad (z \in U),$$

then the function  $G_{m, \sigma}(z)$  defined by (9) is univalent.

#### 4. Conclusion

In our present investigation, we have considered a new integral operator  $I_{\gamma_n, \varrho}(v, q, z)$  by using the Ruscheweyh type  $q$ -analogue operator. Additionally, some sufficient conditions of univalence for this operator are determined.

#### Acknowledgements

The above work is funded by Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia under the grant no: GUP-2019-032.

#### Conflict of interest

We declare that there is no conflict of interest.

#### References

- [1] O Al-Refai and M Darus. General univalence criterion associated with the  $n$ th derivative. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2012:1–9, 2012.
- [2] H Aldweby and M Darus. Some subordination results on  $q$ -analogue of Ruscheweyh differential operator. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2014:1–6, 2014.
- [3] H Aldweby and M Darus. On Fekete-Szegő problems for certain subclasses defined by  $q$ -derivative. *Journal of Function Spaces*, 2017:1–6, 2017.
- [4] J W Alexander. Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple regions. *The Annals of Mathematics*, 17(1):12–22, 1915.
- [5] A Aral, V Gupta, and R P Agarwal. *Applications of  $q$ -calculus in operator theory*. Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- [6] D Breaz and N Breaz. Two integral operators. *Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai, Mathematica, Cluj-Napoca*, 3(3002):13–21, 2002.
- [7] D Breaz and N Breaz. The univalent conditions for an integral operator on the classes  $S_p$  and  $T_2$ . *J. Approx. Theory Appl*, 1(2):93–98, 2005.
- [8] D Breaz and N Breaz. An integral univalent operator. *Acta Mathematica Universitatis Comenianae. New Series*, 76(2):137–142, 2007.
- [9] D Breaz, N Breaz, and H M Srivastava. An extension of the univalent condition for a family of integral operators. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 22(1):41–44, 2009.
- [10] D Breaz and H Ö Güney. The integral operator on the classes  $S_\sigma^*(b)$  and  $C_\sigma(b)$ . *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, 2:97–100, 2008.

- [11] D Breaz and H Ö Güney. On the univalence criterion of a general integral operator. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2008:1–8, 2008.
- [12] H Exton. *q-Hypergeometric functions and applications*. Horwood, Chichester, UK, 1983.
- [13] I Faisal and M Darus. A study of Pescar’s univalence criteria for space of analytic functions. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2011(1):1–7, 2011.
- [14] I Faisal and M Darus. A study on Becker’s univalence criteria. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2011:1–13, 2011.
- [15] I Faisal and M Darus. A study of Ahlfors univalence criteria for a space of analytic functions: Criteria II. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 55(3-4):1466–1470, 2012.
- [16] S Hussain, S Khan, M A Zaighum, and M Darus. Certain subclass of analytic functions related with conic domains and associated with salagean  $q$ -differential operator. *AIMS Mathematics*, 2(4):622–634, 2017.
- [17] S Hussain, S Khan, M A Zaighum, M Darus, and Z Shareef. Coefficients bounds for certain subclass of bi-univalent functions associated with Ruscheweyh  $q$ -differential operator. *Journal of Complex Analysis*, 2017:1–9, 2017.
- [18] S S Miller, P T Mocanu, and M O Reade. Starlike integral operators. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 79(1):157–168, 1978.
- [19] M L Mogra. Applications of Ruscheweyh derivatives and Hadamard product to analytic functions. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 22:795–805, 1999.
- [20] Z Nehari. *Conformal Mapping*. Dover, NY, New York, USA, 1975.
- [21] N N Pascu. On a univalence criterion ii. In *Itinerant seminar on functional equations, approximation and convexity (Cluj-Napoca, 1985)*, volume 85, pages 153–154. Babeş-Bolyai University Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 1985.
- [22] N N Pascu. An improvement of Beckers univalence criterion. *Proceedings of the Commemorative Session Simion Stoilow, Brasov*, pages 43–48, 1987.
- [23] V Pescar. A new generalization of Ahlfors and Beckers criterion of univalence. *Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc. (Second Series)*, 19:53–54, 1996.
- [24] S Ruscheweyh. New criteria for univalent functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 49:109–115, 1975.
- [25] C Selvaraj and K R Karthikeyan. Sufficient conditions for univalence of a general integral operator. *Acta Universitatis Apulensis. Mathematics-Informatics*, 17:87–94, 2009.

- [26] S L Shukla and V Kumar. Univalent functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivatives. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 6:483–486, 1983.