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#### Abstract

In this paper, the divisibility property of the type $2(p, q)$-analogue of the $r$-Whitney numbers of the second kind is established. More precisely, a congruence relation modulo $p q$ for this $(p, q)$-analogue is derived. 2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05A19, 05A30, 11B65 Key Words and Phrases: $r$-Whitney numbers, $r$-Dowling numbers, Stirling numbers, Bell numbers, congruence relation, divisibility property, binomial transform, Hankel tranform


## 1. Introduction

The $r$-Whitney numbers of the second kind were introduced by Mezo [18] as coefficients of the following generating function:

$$
(m x+r)^{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} m^{k} W_{m, r}(n, k) x^{\underline{k}}
$$

where $x^{k}=x(x-1) \ldots(x-k+1)$. These numbers satisfy the following properties:

1. the exponential generating function

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W_{m, r}(n, k) \frac{z^{n}}{n!}=\frac{e^{r z}}{k!}\left(\frac{e^{m z}-1}{m}\right)^{k}
$$

2. the explicit formula

$$
W_{m, r}(n, k)=\frac{1}{m^{k} k!} \sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{k}{i}(-1)^{k-i}(m i+r)^{n},
$$

[^0]3. the triangular recurrence relation
$$
W_{m, r}(n, k)=W_{m, r}(n-1, k-1)+(k m+r) W_{m, r}(n-1, k) .
$$

These properties are exactly the same properties that the $(r, \beta)$-Stirling numbers in [7] have possessed. This implies that the $r$-Whitney numbers of the second kind and the $(r, \beta)$-Stirling numbers are equivalent. More properties of these numbers can be found in [2, 4, 5, 7, 18].

One of the early studies on $q$-analogue of Stirling numbers of the second kind was introduced by Carlitz in [1] in connection with a problem in abelian groups. This is known as $q$-Stirling numbers of the second kind and is defined in terms of the following recurrence relation

$$
S_{q}[n, k]=S_{q}[n-1, k-1]+[k]_{q} S_{q}[n-1, k], \quad[k]_{q}=\frac{1-q^{k}}{1-q}
$$

such that, when $q \rightarrow 1$, this gives the triangular recurrence relation for the classical Stirling numbers of the second kind $S(n, k)$

$$
S(n, k)=S(n-1, k-1)+k S(n-1, k) .
$$

Another version of definition of this $q$-analogue was adapted in [17] as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}[n, k]=q^{k-1} S_{q}[n-1, k-1]+[k]_{q} S_{q}[n-1, k] . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Through this definition, the Hankel transform of $q$-exponential polynomials and numbers was successfully established, which may be considered as the Hankel transform of a certain $q$-analogue of Bell polynomials and numbers.

There are many ways to define $q$-analogue of Stirling-type and Bell-type numbers (see [6, 8-10, 12, 14]). However, in the desire to establish the Hankel transform of $q$-analogue of generalized Bell numbers, Corcino et al. [11] were motivated to define a $q$-analogue of $r$-Whitney numbers of the second kind parallel to that in (1) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{m, r}[n, k]_{q}=q^{m(k-1)-r} W_{m, r}[n-1, k-1]_{q}+[m k-r]_{q} W_{m, r}[n-1, k]_{q} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two more forms of this $q$-analogue, denoted by $W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k]_{q}$ and $\widetilde{W}_{m, r}[n, k]_{q}$, were respectively defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k]_{q}:=q^{-k r+m\binom{k}{2}} W_{m, r}[n, k]_{q}, \\
& \widetilde{W}_{m, r}[n, k]_{q}:=q^{-k r} W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k]_{q}=q^{-m\binom{k}{2}} W_{m, r}[n, k] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The corresponding $q$-analogues of generalized Bell numbers, also known as $q$-analogues of $r$-Dowling numbers, were also defined in three forms as (see [3, 11, 13, 15])

$$
D_{m, r}[n]_{q}:=\sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{m, r}[n, k]_{q},
$$

$$
D_{m, r}^{*}[n]_{q}:=\sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k]_{q},
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{D}_{m, r}[n]_{q}:=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \widetilde{W}_{m, r}[n, k]_{q} .
$$

where $D_{m, r}[n]_{q}, D_{m, r}^{*}[n]_{q}$ and $\widetilde{D}_{m, r}[n]_{q}$ denote the first, second and third form of the $q$ analogues of $r$-Dowling numbers, respectively. The Hankel transforms of $D_{m, r}[n]_{q}, D_{m, r}^{*}[n]_{q}$ and $\widetilde{D}_{m, r}[n]_{q}$ were successfully established in $[3,11,15]$.

To extend these research studies, a certain $(p, q)$-analogue of $r$-Whitney numbers of the second kind, denoted by $W_{m, r}[n, k]_{p, q}$, was defined in [16] as coefficients of the following generating function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[m t+r]_{p, q}^{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{m, r}[n, k]_{p, q}[m t \mid m]_{\bar{p}, q}^{\frac{k}{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
[t \mid m]_{p, q}^{n}=\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}[t-j m]_{p, q} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The orthogonality and inverse relations, an explicit formula, and a kind of exponential generating function of $W_{m, r}[n, k]_{p, q}$ were already obtained. Unfortunately, its Hankel transform was not successfully established using the method applied in [3, 11, 15]. This motivated Corcino et al. [19] to define the type $2(p, q)$-analogue of $r$-Whitney numbers of the second kind, denoted by $W_{m, r}[n, k ; t]_{p, q}$, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{m, r}[n+1, k ; t]_{p, q}=q^{m(k-1)+r} W_{m, r}[n, k-1 ; t]_{p, q}+[m k+r]_{p, q} p^{m t-k m} W_{m, r}[n, k ; t]_{p, q} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second form was then defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k ; t]_{p, q}:=q^{-k r-m\binom{k}{2}} W_{m, r}[n, k ; t]_{p, q} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Several properties of these $(p, q)$-analogues were established in [19] including their Hankel transforms, which are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left(W_{m, r}[s+i+j, s+j ; t]_{p, q}\right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}=\prod_{k=0}^{n} q^{m\binom{s+k}{2}+(s+k) r} p^{n m t}[m(s+k)+r]_{p, q}^{k} \\
& \operatorname{det}\left(W_{m, r}^{*}[s+i+j, s+j ; t]_{p, q}\right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}=\prod_{k=0}^{n} p^{n m t}[m(s+k)+r]_{p, q}^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, the first, second and third forms of type $2(p, q)$-analogue of the $r$-Dowling numbers, denoted by $D_{m, r}[n]_{p, q}, D_{m, r}^{*}[n]_{p, q}$ and $\widetilde{D}_{m, r}[n]_{p, q}$ were defined respectively in [19] as follows:

$$
D_{m, r}[n]_{p, q}:=\sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{m, r}[n, k ; t]_{p, q},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{m, r}^{*}[n]_{p, q} & :=\sum_{k=0}^{n} W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k ; t]_{p, q}, \\
\widetilde{D}_{m, r}[n]_{p, q} & :=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \widetilde{W}_{m, r}[n, k ; t]_{p, q},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{W}_{m, r}[n, k ; t]_{p, q}=q^{k r} W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k ; t]_{p, q} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

denotes the third form of the $(p, q)$-analogue of the $r$-Whitney numbers of the second kind. Among these three forms, only the second form was provided a Hankel transform, which is given by

$$
H\left(D_{m, r}^{*}[n]_{p, q}\right)=\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{n\left(n^{2}+3 n+8\right)}{6}+r-1\binom{n}{2}}\left([m]_{p}^{q}\right)^{\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}[k]_{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{m!}}
$$

The main objective of this study is to establish additional property of the type $2(p, q)$ analogues of the $r$-Whitney numbers of the second kind. More precisely, the divisibility property of these type $2(p, q)$-analogues will be discussed thoroughly.

## 2. Preliminary Results

This section provides a brief discussion on some relations that are necessary in deriving the divisibility property of the type $2(p, q)$-analogue of the $r$-Whitney numbers of the second kind $W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k ; t]_{p, q}$.

Multiplying both sides of the recurrence relation in (5) by $q^{-k r-m\binom{k}{2}}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{-k r-m\binom{k}{2}} W_{m, r}[n+1, k ; t]_{p, q}= & q^{-k r-m\binom{k}{2}} q^{m(k-1)+r} W_{m, r}[n, k-1 ; t]_{p, q} \\
& +q^{-k r-m\binom{k}{2}}[m k+r]_{p, q} p^{m t-k m} W_{m, r}[n, k ; t]_{p, q} \\
q^{-k r-m\binom{k}{2}} W_{m, r}[n+1, k ; t]_{p, q}= & q^{-(k-1) r-m\binom{k-1}{2}} W_{m, r}[n, k-1 ; t]_{p, q} \\
& +[m k+r]_{p, q} p^{m t-k m} q^{-k r-m\binom{k}{2}} W_{m, r}[n, k ; t]_{p, q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying (6) consequently gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{m, r}^{*}[n+1, k ; t]_{p, q}=W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k-1 ; t]_{p, q}+[m k+r]_{p, q} p^{m t-k m} W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k ; t]_{p, q} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation can be used to generate the following first few values of $W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k ; t]_{p, q}$ :
By repeated application of (8), we can easily derive the following vertical recurrence relation.

Theorem 2.1. For nonnegative integers $n$ and $k$, and real number $r$, the $(p, q)$-analogue of $r$-Whitney numbers of the second kind satisfies the following vertical recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{m, r}^{*}[n+1, k+1 ; t]_{p, q}=\sum_{j=k}^{n}[m(k+1)+r]_{p, q}^{n-j} p^{(n-j)[m t-(k+1) m]} W_{m, r}^{*}[j, k ; t]_{p, q} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

| $n / k$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1 | $[r]_{p, q} p^{m t}$ | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2 | $[r]_{, q}^{2} p^{2 m t}$ | $[r]_{p, q} p^{m t}+[m+r]_{p, q} p^{m(t-1)}$ |  |  |
| 3 | $[r]_{p, q}^{3} p^{3 m t}$ | $[r]_{p, q}^{2} p^{2 m t}+[r]_{p, q}[m+r]_{p, q} p^{m(2 t-1)}$ | $[r]_{p, q} p^{m t}+2[m+r]_{p, q} p^{m(t-1)}$ | 1 |
|  |  | $+[m+r]_{p, q}^{2} p^{2 m(t-1)}$ |  |  |

Table 1: The First Values of $W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k ; t]_{p, q}$
One can easily verify relation (9) using the values of $W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k ; t]_{p, q}$ in Table 1.
Now, let us derive the rational generating function for $W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k ; t]_{p, q}$. Suppose that

$$
\Psi_{k}^{*}(x)=\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k ; t]_{p, q} x^{n-k} .
$$

When $k=0$, ( 8 ) reduces to

$$
W_{m, r}^{*}[n+1,0 ; t]_{p, q}=[r]_{p, q} p^{m t} W_{m, r}^{*}[n, 0 ; t]_{p, q} .
$$

By repeated application of (8), this inductively gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{m, r}^{*}[n+1,0 ; t]_{p, q} & =[r]_{p, q} p^{m t} W_{m, r}^{*}[n, 0 ; t]_{p, q}=\left([r]_{p, q} p^{m t}\right)^{2} W_{m, r}^{*}[n-1,0 ; t]_{p, q} \\
& \vdots \\
& =\left([r]_{p, q} p^{m t}\right)^{n+1} W_{m, r}^{*}[0,0 ; t]_{p, q}=\left([r]_{p, q} p^{m t}\right)^{n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\Psi_{0}^{*}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W_{m, r}^{*}[n, 0 ; t]_{p, q} x^{n}=\frac{1}{\left(1-x p^{m t}[r]_{p, q}\right)}
$$

When $k>0$ and applying the triangular recurrence relation in (5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{k}^{*}(x)= & \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k ; t]_{p, q} x^{n-k} \\
= & \sum_{n-1=k-1}^{\infty} W_{m, r}^{*}[n-1, k-1 ; t]_{p, q} x^{(n-1)(k-1)} \\
& \quad+x p^{m t-k m}[m k+r]_{p, q} \sum_{n-1=k}^{\infty} W_{m, r}^{*}[n-1, k ; t]_{p, q} x^{n-1-k} \\
= & \Psi_{k-1}^{*}(x)+x p^{m(t-k)}[m k+r]_{p, q} \Psi_{k}^{*}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving for $\Psi_{k}^{*}(t)$ yields

$$
\Psi_{k}^{*}(x)=\frac{1}{1-x p^{m(t-k)}[m k+r]_{p, q}} \Psi_{k-1}^{*}(x) .
$$

Applying backward substitution gives the following rational generating function for $W_{m, r}[n, k ; t]_{p, q}$.

Theorem 2.2. For nonnegative integers $n$ and $k$, and real number $r$, the $(p, q)$-analogue $W_{m, r}[n, k ; t]_{p, q}$ satisfies the following rational generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{k}^{*}(x)=\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k ; t]_{p, q} x^{n-k}=\frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{k}\left(1-x p^{m(t-j)}[m j+r]_{p, q}\right)} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.3. This rational generating function plays an important role in proving the main result of the paper.

## 3. Divisibility Property

In this section, the congruence relation modulo $p q$ for the type $2(p, q)$-analogue of the $r$-Whitney numbers of the second kind $W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k ; t]_{p, q}$ will be established using the rational generating function in (10).

Using the values of $W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k ; t]_{p, q}$ in Table 1, we observe that, with

$$
[t]_{p, q}=p^{t-1}+p^{t-2} q+p^{t-3} q^{2}+\ldots+p q^{t-2}+q^{t-1}
$$

the polynomial expressions of $W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k]_{q}$ from row 0 to row 3 , if they are divided by $p q$, the remainders form the following triangle of expressions in $p$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
1  \tag{1.}\\
p^{m t+r-1} \\
p^{3(m t+r-1)} \\
p^{2(m t+r-1)}
\end{gather*} \quad 3 p^{2(m t+r-1)} \quad 2 p^{m t+r-1} \quad 1 \quad 3 p^{m t+r-1}
$$

This can further be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{0}{0} \\
& \binom{1}{0} p^{m t+r-1} \quad\binom{1}{1} \\
& \binom{2}{0} p^{2(m t+r-1)} \quad\binom{2}{1} p^{m t+r-1} \quad\binom{2}{2} \\
& \binom{3}{0} p^{3(m t+r-1)} \quad\binom{3}{1} p^{2(m t+r-1)} \quad\binom{3}{2} p^{m t+r-1} \quad\binom{3}{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

To generalize this observation, the next theorem contains the divisibility property of $W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k ; t]_{p, q}$.

Theorem 3.1. For nonnegative integers $n$ and $k$, the type $2(p, q)$-analogue of the $r$ Whitney numbers of the second kind $W_{m, r}[n, k ; t]_{p, q}$ satisfies the following congruence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k ; t]_{p, q} \equiv\binom{n}{k} p^{(n-k)(m t+r-1)} \quad \bmod p q \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The polynomial $[t]_{p, q}$ can be written as

$$
[t]_{p, q}=p^{t-1}+q^{t-1}+p q y
$$

where $y$ is a polynomial in $p$ and $q$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{k}\left(1-x p^{m(t-j)}[m j+r]_{p, q}\right)} & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(x p^{m(t-j)}[m j+r]_{p, q}\right)^{n} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n m(t-j)}\left(p^{m j+r-1}+q^{m j+r-1}+p q y\right)^{n} x^{n} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n(m t+r-j)} x^{n}+p q \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{z}_{n} x^{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\hat{z}_{n}$ is a polynomial in $p$ and $q$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{k}\left(1-x p^{m(t-j)}[m j+r]_{p, q}\right)} & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{n(m t+r-j)} x^{n} \quad \bmod p q \\
& =\frac{1}{1-p^{m t+r-1} x} \quad \bmod p q .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, using (10), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k ; t]_{p, q} x^{n-k} & \equiv \frac{1}{\left(1-p^{m t+r-1} x\right)^{k+1}} \bmod p q \\
& \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\binom{n+(k+1)-1}{n} p^{n(m t+r-1)} x^{n} \bmod p q \\
& \equiv \sum_{n=k}^{\infty}\binom{n}{k} p^{(n-k)(m t+r-1)} x^{n-k} \bmod p q .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the coefficients of $x^{n-k}$ completes the proof of the theorem.
Remark 3.2. Using (6) and Theorem 3.1, the first form of the type $2(p, q)$-analogues of the $r$-Whitney numbers of the second kind satisfies the following congruence relation modulo $p q$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{m, r}[n, k ; t]_{p, q} & \equiv\binom{n}{k} p^{(n-k)(m t+r-1)} q^{k r+m\binom{k}{2}} \bmod p q  \tag{12}\\
& \equiv \begin{cases}q^{n r+m\binom{n}{2} \bmod p q,} & \text { for } n=k \\
0 \bmod p q, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, using (7) and Theorem 3.1, the third form of the type $2(p, q)$-analogues of the $r$-Whitney numbers of the second kind satisfies the following congruence relation modulo $p q$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{W}_{m, r}[n, k ; t]_{p, q} \equiv\binom{n}{k} p^{(n-k)(m t+r-1)} q^{k r} \quad \bmod p q \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\equiv \begin{cases}q^{n r} \bmod p q, & \text { for } n=k \\ 0 \quad \bmod p q, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Remark 3.3. When $p=1$, the congruence relation in (11) reduces to

$$
W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k]_{q}=W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k ; t]_{1, q} \equiv\binom{n}{k} \quad \bmod q,
$$

which is exactly the congruence relation in [15, Theorem 2.1] for the second form of $(q, r)$ Whitney numbers of the second kind. Moreover, the congruence relations in (12) and (13) reduce to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{m, r}[n, k]_{q}=W_{m, r}[n, k ; t]_{1, q} \equiv\binom{n}{k} q^{k r+m\binom{k}{2}} \equiv 0 \quad \bmod q \\
& \widetilde{W}_{m, r}[n, k]_{q}=\widetilde{W}_{m, r}[n, k ; t]_{1, q} \equiv\binom{n}{k} q^{k r} \equiv 0 \quad \bmod q,
\end{aligned}
$$

which are the congruence relations for the first and third forms of $(q, r)$-Whitney numbers of the second kind. We recall that, for a prime $p$, the $p$-adic valuation $\nu_{p}(n)$ of $n$ is defined to be the largest exponent $k$ such that $p^{k} \mid n$. Moreover, the $p$-adic valuation of the rational number $\frac{n}{m}$ is defined by

$$
\nu_{p}\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)=\nu_{p}(n)-\nu_{p}(m) .
$$

Furthermore, the $p$-adic absolute value $|n|_{p}$ of $n$ is defined by

$$
|n|_{p}=\frac{1}{p^{\nu_{p}(n)}} .
$$

Clearly, when $q$ is prime,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{q}\left(W_{m, r}[n, k]_{q}\right) & =k r+m\binom{k}{2} \\
\nu_{q}\left(\widetilde{W}_{m, r}[n, k]_{q}\right) & =k r .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\nu_{q}\left(\frac{W_{m, r}[n, k]_{q}}{\widetilde{W}_{m, r}[n, k]_{q}}\right)=\nu_{q}\left(W_{m, r}[n, k]_{q}\right)-\nu_{q}\left(\widetilde{W}_{m, r}[n, k]_{q}\right)=m\binom{k}{2} .
$$

Also, one can easily see that

$$
\nu_{q}\left(W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k]_{q}-\binom{n}{k}\right)=q^{\nu_{p}\left(W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k]_{q}-\binom{n}{k}\right)}\left|W_{m, r}^{*}[n, k]_{q}-\binom{n}{k}\right|_{q}=1 .
$$
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