## EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

Vol. 16, No. 3, 2023, 1434-1447 ISSN 1307-5543 – ejpam.com Published by New York Business Global



# Characterizations of some topological spaces

Chawalit Boonpok<sup>1</sup>, Montri Thongmoon<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Mathematics and Applied Mathematics Research Unit, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mahasarakham University, Maha Sarakham, 44150, Thailand

Abstract. This paper is concerned with the concepts of some topological spaces. Firstly, we introduce the notions of  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets. Some properties concerning  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets are discussed. Secondly, the concept of  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -connected spaces is introduced. Moreover, we give several characterizations of  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -connected spaces by utilizing  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets. Thirdly, we apply the notion of  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets to present and study new classes of spaces called  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -regular spaces and  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -normal spaces. Especially, some characterizations of  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -regular spaces and  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -normal spaces. Finally, we introduce and investigate the concepts of  $s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_2$  spaces and  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -Urysohn spaces. Finally, the notion of  $S(\Lambda, p)$ -closed spaces is studied. Basic properties and characterizations of  $S(\Lambda, p)$ -closed spaces are considered.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 54A05, 54D10

**Key Words and Phrases:**  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set,  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -connected space,  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -regular space,  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -normal space,  $s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_2$  space,  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -Urysohn space,  $S(\Lambda, p)$ -closed space

# 1. Introduction

In 1968, Veličko [14] introduced  $\delta$ -open sets, which are stronger than open sets. In 1982, Mashhour et al. [9] introduced and investigated the notion of preopen sets which is weaker than the notion of open sets in topological spaces. In 1993, Raychaudhuri and Mukherjee [11] introduced and studied the notions of  $\delta$ -preopen sets and  $\delta$ -closures. The class of  $\delta$ -preopen sets is larger than that of preopen sets. In 1996, Raychaudhuri and Mukherjee [12] introduced and investigated the concept of  $\delta_p$ -closed spaces. In 2005, Caldas et al. [4] introduced some weak separation axioms by utilizing the notions of  $\delta$ -preopen sets and the  $\delta$ -preclosure operator. Caldas et al. [4] showed that  $(\delta, p)$ - $T_1$  spaces,  $(\delta, p)$ - $R_0$  spaces and  $(\delta, p)$ -symmetric spaces are all equivalent. Moreover, Caldas et al. [6] investigated some weak separation axioms by utilizing  $\delta$ -semiclosed sets which is defined as the intersection of a  $\delta$ - $\Lambda_s$ -set and a  $\delta$ -semiclosed set. In 2011, Buadong et al. [1] introduced and investigated some separation axioms in generalized topology and minimal structure

Email addresses: chawalit.b@msu.ac.th (C. Boonpok), montri.t@msu.ac.th (M. Thongmoon)

https://www.ejpam.com

© 2023 EJPAM All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v16i3.4737

spaces. Dungthaisong et al. [7] studied some properties of pairwise  $\mu$ - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces. Torton et al. [13] introduced and investigated the notions of  $\mu_{(m,n)}$ -regular spaces and  $\mu_{(m,n)}$ normal spaces. In [3], the present authors introduced the notions of  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets and  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed sets which are defined by utilizing the notions of  $\Lambda_p$ -sets and preclosed sets. This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 is devoted to basic definitions and preliminaries. In Section 3, we introduce the notions of  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets and  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ closed sets in topological spaces. Moreover, some characterizations of  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_0$  spaces,  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_1$  spaces and  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -symmetric spaces are investigated. In Section 4, the notion of  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -connected spaces is introduced. Several characterizations of  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -connected spaces are obtained. In Section 5, we introduce the concepts of  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -regular spaces and  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -normal spaces. Furthermore, we give some characterizations of  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -regular spaces and  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -normal spaces by utilizing  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets. Basic properties and characterizations of  $s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_2$  spaces and  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -Urysohn spaces are discussed in Section 6. In the last Section 7, we define the notion of  $S(\Lambda, p)$ -closed spaces. Characterizations and properties concerning  $S(\Lambda, p)$ -closed spaces are considered.

# 2. Preliminaries

Throughout the present paper, spaces  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  (or simply X and Y) always mean topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. Let A be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . The closure of A and the interior of A are denoted by Cl(A) and Int(A), respectively. A subset A of a topological space  $(X,\tau)$  is said to be preopen [9] if  $A \subseteq \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(A))$ . The complement of a preopen set is called *preclosed*. The family of all preopen sets of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $PO(X,\tau)$ . A subset  $\Lambda_p(A)$  [8] is defined as follows:  $\Lambda_p(A) = \cap \{U \mid A \subseteq U, U \in PO(X,\tau)\}.$ A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a  $\Lambda_p$ -set [3] (pre- $\Lambda$ -set [8]) if  $A = \Lambda_p(A)$ . A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed [3] if  $A = T \cap C$ , where T is a  $\Lambda_p$ -set and C is a preclosed set. The complement of a  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed set is called  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open. The family of all  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open (resp.  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed) sets in a topological space  $(X,\tau)$  is denoted by  $\Lambda_p O(X,\tau)$  (resp.  $\Lambda_p C(X,\tau)$ ). Let A be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . A point  $x \in X$  is called a  $(\Lambda, p)$ -cluster point [3] of A if  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for every  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open set U of X containing x. The set of all  $(\Lambda, p)$ -cluster points of A is called the  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closure [3] of A and is denoted by  $A^{(\Lambda, p)}$ . The union of all  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets of X contained in A is called the  $(\Lambda, p)$ -interior [3] of A and is denoted by  $A_{(\Lambda,p)}$ . A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\alpha(\Lambda, p)$ -open (resp.  $p(\Lambda, p)$ -open,  $s(\Lambda, p)$ open,  $\beta(\Lambda, p)$ -open,  $r(\Lambda, p)$ -open [3]) if  $A \subseteq [[A_{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$  (resp.  $A \subseteq [A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$ ,  $A \subseteq [A_{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, p)}$ ,  $A \subseteq [[A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, p)}$ ,  $A = [A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$ ). The family of all  $\alpha(\Lambda, p)$ -open (resp.  $p(\Lambda, p)$ -open,  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open,  $\beta(\Lambda, p)$ -open,  $r(\Lambda, p)$ -open) sets in a topological space  $(X,\tau)$  is denoted by  $\alpha(\Lambda,p)O(X,\tau)$  (resp.  $p(\Lambda,p)O(X,\tau), s(\Lambda,p)O(X,\tau), \beta(\Lambda,p)O(X,\tau), \beta(\Lambda,p)O(X,\tau)$  $r(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$ ). The complement of a  $p(\Lambda, p)$ -open (resp.  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open,  $\alpha(\Lambda, p)$ -open,  $\beta(\Lambda, p)$ -open,  $r(\Lambda, p)$ -open) set is said to be  $p(\Lambda, p)$ -closed (resp.  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed,  $\alpha(\Lambda, p)$ closed,  $\beta(\Lambda, p)$ -closed,  $r(\Lambda, p)$ -closed). Let A be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . The intersection of all  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed sets of X containing A is called the  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -closure of A and is denoted by  $A^{s(\Lambda,p)}$ . A point x of X is called a  $\delta(\Lambda,p)$ -cluster point [2] of A if  $A \cap [V^{(\Lambda,p)}]_{(\Lambda,p)} \neq \emptyset$  for every  $(\Lambda,p)$ -open set V of X containing x. The set of all  $\delta(\Lambda,p)$ -cluster points of A is called the  $\delta(\Lambda,p)$ -closure [2] of A and is denoted by  $A^{\delta(\Lambda,p)}$ . If  $A = A^{\delta(\Lambda,p)}$ , then A is said to be  $\delta(\Lambda,p)$ -closed [2]. The complement of a  $\delta(\Lambda,p)$ -closed set is said to be  $\delta(\Lambda,p)$ -open. The union of all  $\delta(\Lambda,p)$ -open sets of X contained in A is called the  $\delta(\Lambda,p)$ -interior [2] of A and is denoted by  $A_{\delta(\Lambda,p)}$ .

# **3.** $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets

In this section, we introduce the notion of  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets. Moreover, some characterizations of  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_0$  spaces,  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_1$  spaces and  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -symmetric spaces are discussed.

**Definition 1.** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open if  $A \subseteq [A_{(\Lambda,p)}]^{\delta(\Lambda,p)}$ . The complement of a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set is said to be  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed.

The family of all  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open (resp.  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed) sets in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  (resp.  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)C(X, \tau)$ ).

**Definition 2.** Let A be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . A point x of X is called a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -cluster point of A if  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for every  $\delta s(\Lambda, s)$ -open set U of X containing x. The set of all  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -cluster points of A is called the  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closure of A and is denoted by  $A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$ .

**Lemma 1.** The intersection of arbitrary collection of  $\delta s(\Lambda, s)$ -closed sets in  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed.

**Corollary 1.** Let A be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then,

$$A^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} = \cap \{ F \in \delta s(\Lambda,p)C(X,\tau) \mid A \subseteq F \}.$$

**Lemma 2.** For the  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closure of subsets A, B in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties hold:

- (1) A is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $A = A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$ .
- (2) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $A^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} \subseteq B^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$ .
- (3)  $A^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$  is  $\delta s(\Lambda,p)$ -closed, that is,  $A^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} = [A^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}]^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$ .

**Lemma 3.** For a family  $\{A_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \nabla\}$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties hold:

- $(1) \ [\cap \{A_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \nabla\}]^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} \subseteq \cap \{A_{\gamma}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} \mid \gamma \in \nabla\}.$
- (2)  $[\cup \{A_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \nabla\}]^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} \supseteq \cup \{A_{\gamma}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} \mid \gamma \in \nabla\}.$

**Definition 3.** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -regular if A is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open and  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed.

The family of all  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -regular sets in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $s(\Lambda, p)r(X, \tau)$ .

**Lemma 4.** For a subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties hold:

- (1) If A is a  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -regular set, then A is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open.
- (2) If A is a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set, then A is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open.
- (3) If A is a  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set, then  $A^{s(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -regular.

**Definition 4.** Let A be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . A point x of X is called a  $\theta s(\Lambda, p)$ -cluster point of A if  $A \cap U^{s(\Lambda, p)} \neq \emptyset$  for every  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set U of X containing x. The set of all  $\theta s(\Lambda, p)$ -cluster points of A is called the  $\theta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closure of A, denoted by  $A^{\theta s(\Lambda, p)}$ . A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\theta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed if  $A = A^{\theta s(\Lambda, p)}$ . The complement of a  $\theta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed set is said to be  $\theta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open.

**Lemma 5.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then,  $V^{\theta s(\Lambda, p)} = V^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} = V^{s(\Lambda, p)}$  for each  $V \in s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 5.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_0$  if, for any distinct pair of points in X, there exists a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set containing one of the points but not the other.

**Theorem 1.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_0$  if and only if for each point of distinct points x, y of  $X, \{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} \neq \{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \neq y$  and  $\{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} \neq \{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$ . Let z be a point of X such that  $z \in \{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$  but  $z \notin \{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$ . We claim that  $x \notin \{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$ . For, if  $x \in \{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$ , then  $\{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} \subseteq \{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$  and this contradicts the fact that  $z \notin \{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$ . Thus, x belongs to the  $\delta s(\Lambda,p)$ -open set  $X - \{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$  to which y does not belong.

Conversely, let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_0$  space and x, y be any two distinct points of X. Then, there exists a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set U containing x or y, say x but not y. Then, X - U is a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed set which does not contain x but contains y. Thus,  $\{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} \subseteq X - U$  and hence  $x \notin \{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$ . This shows that  $\{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} \neq \{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$ .

**Definition 6.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_1$  if, for any distinct pair of points x and y in X, there exist a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set U of X containing x but not y and a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set V of X containing y but not x.

**Theorem 2.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_1$  if and only if the singletons are  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed sets.

Proof. Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_1$  and x be any point of X. Let  $y \in X - \{x\}$ . Then,  $x \neq y$  and so there exists a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set  $V_y$  such that  $y \in V_y$  but  $x \notin V_y$ . Therefore,  $y \in V_y \subseteq X - \{x\}$ . Thus,  $X - \{x\} = \cup \{V_y \mid y \in (X - \{x\})\}$  which is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open.

Conversely, suppose that  $\{z\}$  is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed for each  $z \in X$ . Let  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ . Now  $x \neq y$  implies  $y \in X - \{x\}$ . Thus,  $X - \{x\}$  is a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set containing y but not containing x. Similarly,  $X - \{y\}$  is a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set containing x but not containing y. This shows that  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_1$  space.

**Definition 7.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -symmetric if, for each x and y in  $X, x \in \{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$  implies  $y \in \{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$ .

**Lemma 6.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. For each point  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open or  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed.

**Theorem 3.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -symmetric.
- (2) For each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed.
- (3)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -T<sub>1</sub>.

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -symmetric. Let x be any point of Xand y be any distinct point from x. By Lemma 6,  $\{y\}$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open or  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . (i) In case  $\{y\}$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open, put  $V_y = \{y\}$ , then  $V_y \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$ . (ii) In case  $\{y\}$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed,  $x \notin \{y\} = \{y\}^{s(\Lambda, p)}$  and  $x \notin \{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$ . By (1),  $y \notin \{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$ . Now put  $V_y = X - \{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$ . Then,  $x \notin V_y$ ,  $y \in V_y$  and  $V_y \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$ . Thus,  $X - \{x\} = \bigcup_{y \in X - \{x\}} V_y \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  and hence  $\{x\}$  is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Suppose that  $\{z\}$  is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed for each  $z \in X$ . Let  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ . Now  $x \neq y$  implies  $y \in X - \{x\}$ . Thus,  $X - \{x\}$  is a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set containing y but not containing x. Similarly, we have  $X - \{y\}$  is a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set containing x but not containing y. This shows that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_1$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Suppose that  $y \notin \{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$ . Then, since  $x \neq y$ , by (3) there exists a  $\delta s(\Lambda,p)$ -open set U containing x such that  $y \notin U$  and hence  $x \notin \{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$ . This shows that  $x \in \{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$  implies  $y \in \{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$ . Thus,  $(X,\tau)$  is  $\delta s(\Lambda,p)$ -symmetric.

**Definition 8.** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called generalized  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed (briefly  $g \cdot \delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed) if  $A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 4.** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is g- $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed if and only if  $A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} - A$  contains no nonempty  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed set.

*Proof.* Let F be a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed subset of  $A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} - A$ . Since  $A \subseteq X - F$  and A is g- $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed,  $A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} \subseteq X - F$  and hence  $F \subseteq X - A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$ . Thus,

$$F \subseteq A^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} \cap [X - A^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}] = \emptyset$$

and F is empty.

Conversely, suppose that  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open. If  $A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} \not\subseteq U$ , then

$$A^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} \cap (X-U)$$

is a nonempty  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed subset of  $A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} - A$ .

**Theorem 5.** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is g- $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed if and only if  $F \cap A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} = \emptyset$  whenever  $A \cap F = \emptyset$  and F is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed.

*Proof.* Suppose that A is a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed set. Let F be a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed set and  $A \cap F = \emptyset$ . Then,  $A \subseteq X - F \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  and  $A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} \subseteq X - F$ . Thus,

$$F \cap A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} = \emptyset.$$

Conversely, let  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$ . Then,  $A \cap (X - U) = \emptyset$  and X - U is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed. By the hypothesis,  $(X - U) \cap A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} = \emptyset$  and hence  $A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} \subseteq U$ . Thus, A is  $g \cdot \delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed.

**Theorem 6.** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is g- $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed if and only if  $A \cap \{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} \neq \emptyset$  for every  $x \in A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$ .

*Proof.* Let A be a g- $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed set and suppose that there exists  $x \in A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$  such that  $A \cap \{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $A \subseteq X - \{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$  and hence  $A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} \subseteq X - \{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$ . Therefore,  $x \notin A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$ , which is a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that the condition of the theorem holds and let U be any  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ open set containing A. Let  $x \in A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$ . By the hypothesis,  $A \cap A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} \neq \emptyset$ , so there exists  $y \in A \cap \{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$  and hence  $y \in A \subseteq U$ . Thus,  $\{x\} \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $x \in U$ , which implies that  $A^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} \subseteq U$ . This shows that A is  $g \cdot \delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed.

**Theorem 7.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -symmetric if and only if  $\{x\}$  is g- $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed for each  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x \in \{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$  but  $y \in \{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$ . This means that the complement of  $\{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$  contains y. Thus, the set  $\{y\}$  is a subset of the complement of  $\{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$ . This implies that  $\{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$  is a subset of the complement of  $\{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$ . Now the complement of  $\{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$  contains x which is a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that  $\{x\} \subseteq U \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$ , but  $\{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$  is not a subset of U. This means that  $\{x\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$  and the complement of U are not disjoint. Let y belongs to their intersection. Now we have  $x \in \{y\}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$  which is a subset of the complement of U and  $x \notin U$ . This is a contradiction.

#### 4. Characterizations of $s(\Lambda, p)$ -connected spaces

We begin this section by introducing the concept of  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -connected spaces.

**Definition 9.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -connected if X cannot be expressed by the disjoint union of two nonempty  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets.

**Theorem 8.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $V^{(\Lambda,p)} = X$  for every nonempty  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open set V of X;
- (2)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -connected;
- (3) X cannot be expressed by the disjoint union of two nonempty  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets;
- (4)  $V^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} = X$  for every nonempty  $\delta s(\Lambda,p)$ -open set V of X.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2): The proof follows from Theorem 4.3 of [10].

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ : Suppose that there exist two nonempty  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets  $V_1, V_2$  such that  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$  and  $V_1 \cup V_2 = X$ . Since  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau) \subseteq s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$ , this shows that  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -connected.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Suppose that  $V^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} \neq X$  for some nonempty  $\delta s(\Lambda,p)$ -open set V of X. Then,  $X - V^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} \neq \emptyset$  and  $X = (X - V^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}) \cup V^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$ . Since

$$\delta s(\Lambda, p) O(X, \tau) \subseteq s(\Lambda, p) r(X, \tau),$$

by Lemma 4 and 5,  $V^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} = V^{s(\Lambda,p)} \in s(\Lambda,p)r(X,\tau)$ . Moreover, since  $s(\Lambda,p)r(X,\tau) \subseteq \delta s(\Lambda,p)O(X,\tau)$ ,  $(X - V^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)})$  and  $V^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$  are  $\delta s(\Lambda,p)$ -open.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let V be any nonempty  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open set of X. Then,  $V^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $r(\Lambda, p)$ -closed and hence  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -regular. Thus,  $V^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open and

$$X = [V^{(\Lambda,p)}]^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} = [V^{(\Lambda,p)}]^{s(\Lambda,p)} = V^{(\Lambda,p)}.$$

**Theorem 9.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -connected;
- (2)  $V^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} = X$  for every nonempty  $V \in \beta(\Lambda,p)O(X,\tau)$ ;
- (3)  $V^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} = X$  for every nonempty  $V \in s(\Lambda,p)O(X,\tau)$ ;
- (4)  $V^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} = X$  for every nonempty  $V \in p(\Lambda,p)O(X,\tau)$ ;
- (5)  $V^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} = X$  for every nonempty  $V \in \alpha(\Lambda,p)O(X,\tau)$ ;
- (6)  $V^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} = X$  for every nonempty  $V \in \Lambda_p O(X,\tau)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let V be any nonempty  $\beta(\Lambda, p)$ -open set and U be any nonempty  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set. Then,  $[V^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)} \neq \emptyset$  and  $U_{(\Lambda, p)} \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, by Theorem 8,

$$\emptyset \neq U_{(\Lambda,p)} \cap [V^{(\Lambda,p)}]_{(\Lambda,p)} \subseteq U \cap [V^{(\Lambda,p)}]_{(\Lambda,p)} \subseteq U \cap (V \cup [V^{(\Lambda,p)}]_{(\Lambda,p)}) = U \cap V^{s(\Lambda,p)} \subseteq U \cap V^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}.$$

Since  $U \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau), U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . This shows that  $V^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} = X$ . (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let U, V be any nonempty  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets. Since

$$\delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau) \subseteq s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$$

and  $V_{(\Lambda,p)} \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $\emptyset \neq U \cap V_{(\Lambda,p)} \subseteq U \cap V$ . This shows that  $V^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} = X$  for every nonempty  $V \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$ . Thus, by Theorem 8,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -connected.

Other implications are obvious since

$$\Lambda_p O(X,\tau) \subseteq \alpha(\Lambda,p) O(X,\tau) \subseteq s(\Lambda,p) O(X,\tau) \cap p(\Lambda,p) O(X,\tau)$$

and  $s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau) \cup p(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau) \subseteq \beta(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau).$ 

**Corollary 2.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -connected;
- (2)  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for every nonempty sets  $U \in \beta(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  and  $V \in \delta s(\Lambda, p) O(X, \tau);$
- (3)  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for every nonempty sets  $U \in p(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  and  $V \in \delta s(\Lambda, p) O(X, \tau);$
- (4)  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for every nonempty sets  $U \in s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  and  $V \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau);$
- (5)  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for every nonempty sets  $U \in \alpha(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  and  $V \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau);$
- (6)  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for every nonempty sets  $U \in \Lambda_p O(X, \tau)$  and  $V \in \delta s(\Lambda, p) O(X, \tau);$
- (7)  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for every nonempty sets  $U \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  and  $V \in \delta s(\Lambda, p) O(X, \tau).$

*Proof.* This is immediate consequence of Theorem 8 and 9.

#### 5. Characterizations of $s(\Lambda, p)$ -regular spaces and $s(\Lambda, p)$ -normal spaces

In this section, we introduce the notions of  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -regular spaces and  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -normal spaces. Moreover, several characterizations of  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -regular spaces and  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -normal spaces are discussed.

**Definition 10.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -regular if, for each  $s(\Lambda, p)$ closed set F of X and each point  $x \notin F$ , there exist  $U, V \in s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $F \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 10.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -regular.
- (2) For each  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed set F and each point  $x \notin F$ , there exist  $U, V \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U, F \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .
- (3) For each point  $x \in X$  and each  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set V containing x, there exists

$$U \in \delta s(\Lambda, p) O(X, \tau)$$

such that  $x \in U \subseteq U^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} \subseteq V$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let F be a  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed set and  $x \notin F$ . Then, there exist  $G, H \in s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in G, F \subseteq H$  and  $G \cap H = \emptyset$ . By Lemma 4,  $G^{s(\Lambda,p)}$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -regular and  $G^{s(\Lambda,p)} \cap H = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $G^{s(\Lambda,p)} \cap H^{s(\Lambda,p)} = \emptyset$ . Now, we put  $U = G^{s(\Lambda,p)}$  and  $V = H^{s(\Lambda,p)}$ , then U and V are  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets such that  $x \in U, F \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ : Let  $x \in X$  and V be any  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set containing x. Since  $x \notin X - V$ , there exist  $U, G \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U, X - V \subseteq G$  and  $U \cap G = \emptyset$ . Since X - G is  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed and  $U \subseteq X - G, x \in U \subseteq U^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} \subseteq X - G \subseteq V$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let F be a  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed set and  $x \notin F$ . Then, X - F is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set containing x. By (3), there exists  $U \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq U^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} \subseteq X - F$ . Thus,  $x \in U, F \subseteq X - U^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$  and  $U \cap (X - U^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}) = \emptyset$ . Since

$$\delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau) \subseteq s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau),$$

 $(X, \tau)$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -regular.

**Definition 11.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -normal if, for each disjoint  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed sets F and K of X, there exist  $U, V \in s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  such that  $F \subseteq U$ ,  $K \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 11.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

(1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -normal.

- (2) For each disjoint  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed sets F and K of X, there exist  $U, V \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  such that  $F \subseteq U, K \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .
- (3) For each  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -closed set F and each  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set V containing F, there exists  $U \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  such that  $F \subseteq U \subseteq U^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} \subseteq V$ .

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 10 and is omitted.

#### 6. Characterizations of $s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_2$ spaces and $s(\Lambda, p)$ -Urysohn spaces

In this section, we introduce the notions of  $s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_2$  spaces and  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -Urysohn spaces. Furthermore, some characterizations of  $s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_2$  spaces and  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -Urysohn spaces are investigated.

**Definition 12.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_2$  if, for each pair of distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exist  $U, V \in s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 12.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_2$ .
- (2) For each pair of distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exist  $U, V \in s(\Lambda, p)r(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .
- (3) For each pair of distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exist  $U, V \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $U^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} \cap V^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} = \emptyset$ .
- (4) For each pair of distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exist  $U, V \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $U^{s(\Lambda, p)} \cap V^{s(\Lambda, p)} = \emptyset$ .
- (5) For each pair of distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exist  $U, V \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ - $T_2$ . Then, for each pair of distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exist  $G, H \in s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in G, y \in H$  and  $G \cap H = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $G^{s(\Lambda,p)} \cap H = \emptyset$ . By Lemma 4, we have  $G^{s(\Lambda,p)} \in s(\Lambda, p)r(X, \tau)$  and

$$G^{s(\Lambda,p)} \cap H^{s(\Lambda,p)} = \emptyset.$$

Now set  $U = G^{s(\Lambda,p)}$  and  $V = H^{s(\Lambda,p)}$ . Then, U and V are  $s(\Lambda,p)$ -regular sets such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): This is follows from the facts that  $s(\Lambda, p)r(X, \tau) \subseteq \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  and  $U^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)} = U^{s(\Lambda, p)} = U$  for every  $U \in s(\Lambda, p)r(X, \tau)$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): This follows from the fact that  $U^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} = U^{s(\Lambda,p)}$  for every

$$U \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau).$$

- $(4) \Rightarrow (5)$ : This is obvious.
- (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): This is obvious since  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau) \subseteq s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 13.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -Urysohn if, for each pair of distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exist  $U, V \in s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $U^{(\Lambda,p)} \cap V^{(\Lambda,p)} = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 13.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -Urysohn if and only if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X, there exist  $U, V \in \delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $U^{(\Lambda,p)} \cap V^{(\Lambda,p)} = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -Urysohn. Then, for each pair of distinct points x, y of X, there exist  $U, V \in s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and

$$U^{(\Lambda,p)} \cap V^{(\Lambda,p)} = \emptyset.$$

Since  $U \in s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$ ,  $U^{(\Lambda, p)} = [U_{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, p)}$  and  $U^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $r(\Lambda, p)$ -closed. Thus,

$$U^{(\Lambda,p)}, V^{(\Lambda,p)} \in s(\Lambda,p)r(X,\tau) \subseteq \delta s(\Lambda,p)O(X,\tau).$$

It is obvious that  $x \in U^{(\Lambda,p)}$ ,  $y \in V^{(\Lambda,p)}$  and  $[U^{(\Lambda,p)}]^{(\Lambda,p)} \cap [V^{(\Lambda,p)}]^{(\Lambda,p)} = U^{(\Lambda,p)} \cap V^{(\Lambda,p)} = \emptyset$ . Conversely, the proof is obvious since  $\delta s(\Lambda,p)O(X,\tau) \subseteq s(\Lambda,p)O(X,\tau)$ .

# 7. Characterizations of $S(\Lambda, p)$ -closed spaces

In this section, we introduce the notion of  $S(\Lambda, p)$ -closed spaces. In particular, several characterizations of  $S(\Lambda, p)$ -closed spaces are discussed.

**Definition 14.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $S(\Lambda, p)$ -closed if, for every cover  $\{V_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \nabla\}$  of X by  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets of X, there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \nabla_0} V_{\gamma}^{s(\Lambda, p)}$ .

**Theorem 14.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $S(\Lambda, p)$ -closed.
- (2) For every  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open cover  $\{V_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \nabla\}$  of X, there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \nabla_0} V_{\gamma}^{s(\Lambda, p)}$ .
- (3) For every  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open cover  $\{V_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \nabla\}$  of X, there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \nabla_0} V_{\gamma}^{\delta s(\Lambda, p)}$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $S(\Lambda, p)$ -closed. Let  $\{V_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \nabla\}$  be a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open cover of X. By Lemma 4,  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau) \subseteq s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$  and there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \nabla_0} V_{\gamma}^{s(\Lambda,p)}$ .

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ : Let  $\{V_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \nabla\}$  be a  $\delta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open cover of X. By Lemma 4,

$$\delta s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau) \subseteq s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$$

and it follows from Lemma 5 that  $V_{\gamma}^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} = V_{\gamma}^{s(\Lambda,p)}$  for each  $\gamma \in \nabla$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $\{V_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \nabla\}$  be a  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open cover of X. Then,  $X = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \nabla_0} V_{\gamma}^{s(\Lambda, p)}$ . By

Lemma 4,  $V_{\gamma}^{s(\Lambda,p)} \in s(\Lambda,p)r(X,\tau) \subseteq \delta s(\Lambda,p)O(X,\tau)$  and there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \nabla_0} [V_{\gamma}^{s(\Lambda,p)}]^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)}$ . By Lemma 5,

$$[V_{\gamma}^{s(\Lambda,p)}]^{\delta s(\Lambda,p)} = [V_{\gamma}^{s(\Lambda,p)}]^{s(\Lambda,p)} = V_{\gamma}^{s(\Lambda,p)}$$

and hence  $X = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \nabla_0} V_{\gamma}^{s(\Lambda,p)}$ . Thus,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $S(\Lambda, p)$ -closed.

**Theorem 15.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $S(\Lambda, p)$ -closed if and only if for every  $\theta s(\Lambda, p)$ open cover  $\{V_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \nabla\}$  of X, there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \nabla_0} V_{\gamma}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{V_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \nabla\}$  be a  $\theta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open cover of X. For each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $\gamma(x) \in \nabla$  such that  $x \in V_{\gamma(x)}$ . Since  $V_{\gamma(x)}$  is  $\theta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open, there exists

$$G_{\gamma(x)} \in s(\Lambda, p)O(X, \tau)$$

such that  $x \in G_{\gamma(x)} \subseteq G_{\gamma(x)}^{s(\Lambda,p)} \subseteq V_{\gamma(x)}$ . Since  $\{G_{\gamma(x)} \mid x \in X\}$  is a  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open cover of X, there exist finite points, say,  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_{\gamma(x_i)}^{s(\Lambda,p)}$ . Thus,  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{\gamma(x_i)}$ . Conversely, let  $\{V_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \nabla\}$  be a  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open cover of X. By Lemma 4,

$$\{V_{\gamma}^{s(\Lambda,p)} \mid \gamma \in \nabla\}$$

is a  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -regular cover of X and hence a  $\theta s(\Lambda, p)$ -open cover of X. Thus, there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \nabla_0} V_{\gamma}^{s(\Lambda,p)}$ . This shows that  $(X,\tau)$  is  $S(\Lambda,p)$ -closed.

# Acknowledgements

This research project was financially supported by Mahasarakham University.

#### References

- S. Baudong, C. Viriyapong, and C. Boonpok. On generalized topology and minimal structure spaces. *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 5(31):1507–1516, 2011.
- [2] C. Boonpok and M. Thongmoon.  $\delta p(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets in topological spaces. European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, In Press, 2023. https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v16i3.4737.
- [3] C. Boonpok and C. Viriyapong. On  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed sets and the related notions in topological spaces. European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 15(2):415–436, 2022.
- [4] M. Caldas, T. Fukutake, S. Jafari, and T. Noiri. Some applications of  $\delta$ -preopen sets in topological spaces. Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, 33(3):261–276, 2005.
- [5] M. Caldas, M. Ganster, D. N. Georgiou, S. Jafari, and T. Noiri.  $\delta$ -semiopen sets in topological spaces. *Topology Proceedings*, 29(2):369–383, 2005.
- [6] M. Caldas, D. N. Georgiou, S. Jafari, and T. Noiri. More on δ-semiopen sets. Note di Matematica, 22(2):1–14, 2003.
- [7] W. Dungthaisong, C. Boonpok, and C. Viriyapong. Generalized closed sets in bigeneralized topological spaces. *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 5(24):1175–1184, 2011.
- [8] M. Ganster, S. Jafari, and T. Noiri. On pre-Λ-sets and pre-V-sets. Acta Mathematica Hungarica, 95:337–343, 2002.
- [9] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef, and S. N. El-Deeb. On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings. *Proceedings of the Mathematical and Physical Society* of Egypt, 53:47–53, 1982.
- [10] V. Pipitone and G. Russo. Spazi semiconnessi e spazi semiaperti. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2, 24:273–285, 1975.
- [11] S. Raychaudhuri and M. N. Mukherjee. On  $\delta$ -almost continuity and  $\delta$ -preopen sets. Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, 21:357–366, 1993.
- [12] S. Raychaudhuri and M. N. Mukherjee.  $\delta_p$ -closedness for topological spaces. The Journal of the Indian Academy of Mathematics, 18:89–99, 1996.
- [13] P. Torton, C. Viriyapong, and C. Boonpok. Some separation axioms in bigeneralized topological spaces. *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 6(56):2789–2796, 2012.

[14] N. V. Veličko. H-closed topological spaces. American Mathematical Society Translations, 78(2):102–118, 1968.