#### EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

2025, Vol. 18, Issue 3, Article Number 6108 ISSN 1307-5543 – ejpam.com Published by New York Business Global



# Hankel Determinant of Analytical Functions Closely Tied to Bell Polynomials

Omar Alnajar<sup>1,\*</sup>, Khalid M. K. Alshammari<sup>2</sup>, Ala Amourah<sup>3</sup>, Maslina Darus<sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi 43600, Malaysia
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Ha'il, Ha'il 55425, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>3</sup> Mathematics Education Program, Faculty of Education and Arts, Sohar University, Sohar 311, Oman.

**Abstract.** Applying the state-of-the-art Bell polynomials to the open unit disk, a differential operator  $\vartheta^m_{\xi,P}$  is produced. In this paper, we shall provide a family of analytic functions related to the differential operator indicated above. The upper bound for the nonlinear functional  $|a_2a_4-a_3^2|$ , otherwise known as the Hankel determinant, is our primary finding. Aside from using the Bell polynomial, the differential operator is gained using the Hadamard product. Coefficient equating and other fundamentals of classical calculus will be used in the primary finding of the upper bound.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 30C45

**Key Words and Phrases**: Univalent function, Hankel determinant, Bell polynomial, Inclusion relation

## 1. preliminaries

Various branches within mathematics, such as complex analysis, differential geometry, and mathematical physics, present opportunities for application in the geometric function theory [1, 2]. Additionally, it provides tools that can be utilized to understand and characterize the geometry of intricate functions and their associated mappings.

Castellares et al. [3] studied the Bell polynomial, which is useful in many areas, such as biology, physics, engineering, and finance. Researchers have successfully used it to model the distribution of stock returns, describe noisy signals, and examine the functioning of biological systems. The Bell curve is used in many areas of statistics, such as testing hypotheses, finding confidence ranges, and performing regression analysis. It is also used

DOI: https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v18i3.6108

Email addresses: P117246@siswa.ukm.edu.my (O. Alnajar), aamourah@su.edu.om (A. Amourah), khmo.alshammari@uoh.edu.sa (K. Alshammari), maslina@ukm.edu.my (M. Darus)

1

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

to describe complicated systems and make predictions based on real-world data, such as in psychology, economics, and finance. The Bell polynomial, which was made to be better than the Bell numbers [4], is defined by a generating function for a discrete random variable X, which can be written in the following way:

$$P(X=n) = \frac{\xi^n e^{e^{\left(-\xi^2\right)+1}} \mathbb{F}_n}{n!}; \quad n = 1, 2, 3, ...,$$
 (1)

where  $\mathbb{F}_n = \frac{1}{e} \sum_{b=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^n}{b!}$  is the Bell numbers,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $0 < \xi \leq 1$ .

The first few terms for Bell numbers are as follows:

$$\mathbb{F}_1 = 1$$
,  $\mathbb{F}_2 = 2$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_3 = 5$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_4 = 15$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_5 = 52$ .

Let us now present a new power series, the coefficients of which will represent the Bell generating function.

$$\mathbb{L}(\xi, z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^{n-1} e^{e^{(-\xi^2)+1}} \mathbb{F}_n}{(n-1)!} z^n, \qquad z \in U. \text{ where } 0 < \xi \le 1.$$
 (2)

Consequently, coefficients can be viewed as probabilities linked to the Bell polynomial. It is possible to confirm the convergence of the previously mentioned series on the unit disk U by applying the ratio test, a widely recognized and proven effective method. Recently, Alnajar and Darus [5], Alnajar et al.,[6–8], Amourah et al., [9], and Illafe et al., [10] employed Bell, Borel and Neutrosophic Poisson polynomials to address specific problems related to complex analysis. The motivation behind this study is to look at the behavior of the polynomials determined by their coefficient values.

Suppose f is defined on the open unit disk, and A represents the categorization of all analytical functions. This is valid only if conditions  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and f(0) = 0 and f'(0) - 1 = 0 are satisfied. For each  $f \in A$ , we write the following Taylor series:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (z \in U, \ a_n \in \mathbb{C}, \ n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}).$$
 (3)

The examination of inclusion relationships among analytic functions within specific special sets was a topic that was previously incorporated into the realm of geometric function theory. This area held considerable interest for researchers. An investigation conducted by Ruscheweyh [11] centered on the neighborhood and inclusion relationships of univalent functions. Simultaneously, Srivastava et al., [12] delved into a comprehensive study on all inclusion characteristics of multivalent functions. In recent times, scholars in the field of geometric function theory have directed their focus towards diverse sub-classes of univalent functions. Amourah et al., [13], Mahmood et al., [14], Amini et al., [15], Jahangiri et al., [16], and Amini et al., [17] provide additional details that yield a more in-depth understanding, see also [18–22].

Using the symbol  $\vartheta_{\xi}$  we may express the linear operator, which is defined by the Hadamard product, also known as convolution:  $A \to A$ 

$$\vartheta_{\xi} f(z) = \mathbb{L}(\xi, z) * f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^{n-1} e^{e^{\left(-\xi^2\right) + 1}} \mathbb{F}_n}{(n-1)!} a_n z^n, \qquad z \in U.$$
 (4)

we define the operator  $\vartheta^m_{\mathcal{E},P}f(z):A\to A$  as

$$\vartheta_{\xi,P}^{0}f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^{n-1}e^{e^{\left(-\xi^{2}\right)+1}}\mathbb{F}_{n}}{(n-1)!} a_{n}z^{n}, 
\vartheta_{\xi,P}^{1}f(z) = (1-P)\vartheta_{\xi,P}^{0}f(z) + Pz\left(\vartheta_{\xi,P}^{0}f(z)\right)', 
\vartheta_{\xi,P}^{1}f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^{n-1}e^{e^{\left(-\xi^{2}\right)+1}}\mathbb{F}_{n}}{(n-1)!} \left[1 + P(n-1)\right] a_{n}z^{n}, 
\vartheta_{\xi,P}^{2}f(z) = (1-P)\vartheta_{\xi,P}^{1}f(z) + Pz\left(\vartheta_{\xi,P}^{1}f(z)\right)', 
\vartheta_{\xi,P}^{2}f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^{n-1}e^{e^{\left(-\xi^{2}\right)+1}}\mathbb{F}_{n}}{(n-1)!} \left[1 + P(n-1)\right]^{2} a_{n}z^{n}, 
\vdots 
\vartheta_{\xi,P}^{m}f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^{n-1}e^{e^{\left(-\xi^{2}\right)+1}}\mathbb{F}_{n}}{(n-1)!} \left[1 + P(n-1)\right]^{m} a_{n}z^{n}, \tag{5}$$

 $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \ P > 0, \ 0 < \xi < 1.$ 

Pommerenke [23, 24] defined the Hankel determinant of f for  $r \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 1$  as

$$H_r(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \dots & a_{n+r-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \dots & a_{n+r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n+r-1} & a_{n+r} & \dots & a_{n+2(r-1)} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Many authors have also given this problem some thoughts. For example, Noor [25] calculated the growth rate of  $H_r(n)$  as  $n \to \infty$  with a constrained boundary, Ehrenborg [26] examined the Hankel determinant of exponential polynomials, and Layman [27] and Panigrahi, and Murugusundaramoorthy [28] covered some of its characteristics. Mishra

and Gochhayat [29] also investigated the Hankel determinant using fractional operators. Furthermore, this study develops the classes utilised in [30–39] by incorporating the Bell polynomial.

In the present work, we will examine the Hankel determinant when r=2 and n=2, that is:

$$H_2(2) = \begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{vmatrix} = |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2|.$$

Bear in mind,  $H_2(1) = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_3 \end{vmatrix} = |a_1a_3 - a_2|$  is the well-known Fekete-Szego functional for  $a_1 = 1$ .

This study aims to find the upper bound for the functional  $|a_2a_4 - a_3^2|$  of a function f that belongs to the class  $T_k(m)$  defined as follows:

**Definition 1.** Let f be given by (3). It is said to satisfy the inequality if  $f \in T_k(m)$ .

$$\Re\left\{\left(\vartheta_{\xi,P}^{m}f(z)\right)'\right\} > 0, z \in U. \tag{6}$$

We begin by stating a few foundational lemmas that will be utilized in our proof. Let B stand for the function class

$$B(z) = 1 + y_1 z + y_2 z^2 + y_3 z^3 + \dots = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n z^n,$$
 (7)

which are analytic in U and satisfy  $Re \{B(z)\} > 0$  for any  $z \in U$ .

**Lemma 1.** [40] If  $y \in B$ , then  $|y_n| \le 2$ , for each  $n \ge 1$ .

**Lemma 2.** [41, 42] If  $y \in B$ , then

$$2y_2 = y_1^2 + (4 - y_1^2) x = y_2 = \frac{1}{2} (y_1^2 + (4 - y_1^2) x), \tag{8}$$

for some x,  $|x| \leq 1$ , and

$$4y_{3} = y_{1}^{3} + 2y_{1} (4 - y_{1}^{2}) x - y_{1} (4 - y_{1}^{2}) x^{2} + 2 (4 - y_{1}^{2}) (1 - |x^{2}||) z =$$

$$y_{3} = \frac{1}{4} (y_{1}^{3} + 2y_{1} (4 - y_{1}^{2}) x - y_{1} (4 - y_{1}^{2}) x^{2} + 2 (4 - y_{1}^{2}) (1 - |x^{2}|) z),$$

$$(9)$$

for some  $z, |z| \leq 1$ .

#### 2. Main Result

Our main result as follows:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f \in T_k(m)$ . Then

$$\left|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2\right| \le \frac{16}{9\left(\xi^4 e^{2e^{(-\xi^2)+1}} \mathbb{F}_3 \left[1 + 2P\right]^{2m}\right)}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $f \in T_k(m)$ , it follows from Eq (5) and Eq (7) that:

$$\left(\vartheta_{\varepsilon,P}^m f(z)\right)' = B(z),$$

we can write as follows:

$$1 + 2\xi e^{e^{\left(-\xi^2\right)+1}} \mathbb{F}_2 \left[1+P\right]^m a_2 z + \frac{3}{2} \left(\xi^2 e^{e^{\left(-\xi^2\right)+1}} \mathbb{F}_3 \left[1+2P\right]^m\right) a_3 z^2 + \frac{4}{6} \left(\xi^3 e^{e^{\left(-\xi^2\right)+1}} \mathbb{F}_4 \left[1+3P\right]^m\right) a_4 z^3 = 1 + y_1 z + y_2 z^2 + y_3 z^3.$$

Through coefficient comparison, we obtain

$$\left(2\xi e^{e^{\left(-\xi^{2}\right)+1}}\mathbb{F}_{2}\left[1+P\right]^{m}\right)a_{2} = y_{1},$$

$$\frac{3}{2}\left(\xi^{2}e^{e^{\left(-\xi^{2}\right)+1}}\mathbb{F}_{3}\left[1+2P\right]^{m}\right)a_{3} = y_{2},$$

$$\frac{2}{3}\left(\xi^{3}e^{e^{\left(-\xi^{2}\right)+1}}\mathbb{F}_{4}\left[1+3P\right]^{m}\right)a_{4} = y_{3}.$$

Therefore,

$$a_{2} = \frac{y_{1}}{\left(2\xi e^{e^{(-\xi^{2})+1}}\mathbb{F}_{2}\left[1+P\right]^{m}\right)}, \ a_{3} = \frac{2y_{2}}{3\left(\xi^{2}e^{e^{(-\xi^{2})+1}}\mathbb{F}_{3}\left[1+2P\right]^{m}\right)},$$

$$a_{4} = \frac{3y_{3}}{2\left(\xi^{3}e^{e^{(-\xi^{2})+1}}\mathbb{F}_{4}\left[1+3P\right]^{m}\right)}.$$

Then combining  $a_2a_4 - a_3^2$  and take the magnitude based on Hankel determinant, also by applying Lemma (2) and taking common factors we have the following:

$$\left| a_2 a_4 - a_3^2 \right| = \frac{1}{\xi e^{e^{(-\xi^2)+1}}} \left| \frac{3y_1 y_3}{4\xi^2 \mathbb{F}_2 \mathbb{F}_4 \left[ 1 + P \right]^m \left[ 1 + 3P \right]^m} - \frac{4y_2^2}{9\xi^3 e^{e^{(-\xi^2)+1}} \mathbb{F}_3 \left[ 1 + 2P \right]^{2m}} \right|. (10)$$

Given that the function B(z) belongs to the class B simultaneously, we can assume that  $y_1 = y > 0$  without losing generality. For ease of notation, we will use  $y_1 = y(y \in [0, 2])$ .

Next, by combining (8) with (9) and substitute in (10), we obtain the following:

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_2a_4 - a_3^2 | = Q(h) \end{vmatrix} + \frac{\frac{27y^4 + 54y^2(4 - y^2)x - 27y^2(4 - y^2)x^2}{144}}{\frac{27y^4 + 54y^2(4 - y^2)x - 27y^2(4 - y^2)x^2}{144}} + \frac{\xi^2 \mathbb{F}_2 \mathbb{F}_4 [1 + P]^m [1 + 3P]^m \left(-16y^4 - 32y^2(4 - y^2)x - 16(4 - y^2)^2x^2\right)}{144\left(\xi^3 e^{e^{\left(-\xi^2\right) + 1}} \mathbb{F}_3 [1 + 2P]^{2m}\right)} + \frac{6y(4 - y^2)(1 - |x^2|)z}{16}$$

where

$$Q(h) = \frac{1}{\left(\xi e^{e^{(-\xi^2)+1}}\right) \left(\xi^2 \mathbb{F}_2 \mathbb{F}_4 \left[1+P\right]^m \left[1+3P\right]^m\right)}.$$

When |k| is substituted with v and the triangle inequality is applied, we have

$$|a_{2}a_{4} - a_{3}^{2}| \leq Q(h) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{27}{144} - \frac{16(\xi^{2}\mathbb{F}_{2}\mathbb{F}_{4}[1+P]^{m}[1+3P]^{m})}{144(\xi^{3}e^{\epsilon(-\xi^{2})+1}\mathbb{F}_{3}[1+2P]^{2m})} y^{4} \\ + \left( \frac{54}{144} - \frac{32(\xi^{2}\mathbb{F}_{2}\mathbb{F}_{4}[1+P]^{m}[1+3P]^{m})}{144(\xi^{3}e^{\epsilon(-\xi^{2})+1}\mathbb{F}_{3}[1+2P]^{2m})} y^{2}(4-y^{2})v \\ + \left( \frac{27y^{2}}{144} + \frac{16(4-y^{2})(\xi^{2}\mathbb{F}_{2}\mathbb{F}_{4}[1+P]^{m}[1+3P]^{m})}{144(\xi^{3}e^{\epsilon(-\xi^{2})+1}\mathbb{F}_{3}[1+2P]^{2m})} \right) (4-y^{2})v^{2} \\ + \frac{6y(4-y^{2})(1-v^{2})}{16} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= Q(h) \begin{bmatrix} \left( \frac{27}{144} - \frac{16(\xi^{2}\mathbb{F}_{2}\mathbb{F}_{4}[1+P]^{m}[1+3P]^{m})}{144(\xi^{3}e^{\epsilon(-\xi^{2})+1}\mathbb{F}_{3}[1+2P]^{2m})} \right) y^{4} \\ + \left( \frac{54}{144} - \frac{32(\xi^{2}\mathbb{F}_{2}\mathbb{F}_{4}[1+P]^{m}[1+3P]^{m})}{144(\xi^{3}e^{\epsilon(-\xi^{2})+1}\mathbb{F}_{3}[1+2P]^{2m})} \right) y^{2}(4-y^{2})v \\ + \left( \frac{27y^{2}}{144} + \frac{16(4-y^{2})(\xi^{2}\mathbb{F}_{2}\mathbb{F}_{4}[1+P]^{m}[1+3P]^{m})}{144(\xi^{3}e^{\epsilon(-\xi^{2})+1}\mathbb{F}_{3}[1+2P]^{2m})} - \frac{6y}{16} \right) (4-y^{2})v^{2} \\ + \frac{6y(4-y^{2})}{16} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= K(y, v)$$

$$(12)$$

where  $0 \le y \le 2$  and  $0 \le v \le 1$ . The function K(y, v) is then maximized on the closed square  $[0, 2] \times [0, 1]$ . Differentiating K(y, v) with respect to v, we get

$$\frac{dK}{dv} = Q(h) \left[ \frac{\left(\frac{54}{144} - \frac{32(\xi^2 \mathbb{F}_2 \mathbb{F}_4 [1+P]^m [1+3P]^m)}{144(\xi^3 e^{\epsilon(-\xi^2)+1} \mathbb{F}_3 [1+2P]^{2m})}\right) y^2 (4-y^2) + \left(\frac{3y(y-2)}{8} + \frac{16(4-y^2)(\xi^2 \mathbb{F}_2 \mathbb{F}_4 [1+P]^m [1+3P]^m)}{72(\xi^3 e^{\epsilon(-\xi^2)+1} \mathbb{F}_3 [1+2P]^{2m})}\right) (4-y^2) v \right].$$

For 0 < v < 1, and for fixed y with 0 < y < 2, and  $\frac{\left(\xi^2 \mathbb{F}_2 \mathbb{F}_4 [1+P]^m [1+3P]^m\right)}{\left(\xi^3 e^{e^{\left(-\xi^2\right)+1}} \mathbb{F}_3 [1+2P]^{2m}\right)} < \frac{27}{16}$ , we

observe that  $\frac{dK}{dv} > 0$ . Consequently, a maximum of K(y,v) cannot exist inside the closed square  $[0,2] \times [0,1]$ . Additionally, for fixed  $y \in [0,2]$ , we have  $\max_{0 \le v \le 1} K(y,v) = K(y,1) = G(y)$ .

$$G(y) = Q(h) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{27}{144} - \frac{16(\xi^2 \mathbb{F}_2 \mathbb{F}_4 [1+P]^m [1+3P]^m)}{144(\xi^3 e^{e^{(-\xi^2)+1}} \mathbb{F}_3 [1+2P]^{2m})} y^4 \\ + \left( \frac{54}{144} - \frac{32(\xi^2 \mathbb{F}_2 \mathbb{F}_4 [1+P]^m [1+3P]^m)}{144(\xi^3 e^{e^{(-\xi^2)+1}} \mathbb{F}_3 [1+2P]^{2m})} y^2 (4-y^2) \\ + \left( \frac{27y^2}{144} + \frac{16(4-y^2)(\xi^2 \mathbb{F}_2 \mathbb{F}_4 [1+P]^m [1+3P]^m)}{144(\xi^3 e^{e^{(-\xi^2)+1}} \mathbb{F}_3 [1+2P]^{2m})} - \frac{6y}{16} \right) (4-y^2) \\ + \frac{6y(4-y^2)}{16} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Next

$$G'(y) = Q(h) \left[ \frac{3y(3-y^2)}{2} - \frac{8y(4-y^2) \left( \xi^2 \mathbb{F}_2 \mathbb{F}_4 \left[ 1 + P \right]^m \left[ 1 + 3P \right]^m \right)}{9 \left( \xi^3 e^{e^{(-\xi^2)+1}} \mathbb{F}_3 \left[ 1 + 2P \right]^{2m} \right)} \right] = 0,$$

implies y = 0. Further, we observe that G''(y) =

$$Q(h) \left[ \frac{9}{2} - \frac{27y^2}{4} - \frac{8(4-3y^2)\left(\xi^2 \mathbb{F}_2 \mathbb{F}_4 [1+P]^m [1+3P]^m\right)}{9\left(\xi^3 e^{e^{\left(-\xi^2\right)+1}} \mathbb{F}_3 [1+2P]^{2m}\right)} \right] < 0, \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{81}{64} < \frac{\left(\xi^2 \mathbb{F}_2 \mathbb{F}_4 [1+P]^m [1+3P]^m\right)}{\left(\xi^3 e^{e^{\left(-\xi^2\right)+1}} \mathbb{F}_3 [1+2P]^{2m}\right)} < \frac{27}{16}.$$

We also note that G(y) > G(2). Thus,  $\max_{0 \le y \le 2} G(y)$  happens at y = 0, that is when v = 1 and y = 0 we obtain the bound of Eq. (11):

$$\left|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2\right| \le \frac{16}{9\left(\xi^4 e^{2e^{(-\xi^2)+1}} \mathbb{F}_3 \left[1 + 2P\right]^{2m}\right)}.$$

The proof is completed.

Corollary 1. Let  $f \in T_k(0)$ , for m = 0. Then

$$\left|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2\right| \le \frac{16}{9\left(\xi^4 e^{2e^{(-\xi^2)+1}} \mathbb{F}_3\right)}.$$

#### 3. Conclusions

The bound of the second Hankel determinant is our main focus. It is established within a class of univalent functions associated with a new operator linked to the Bell polynomial. The result is not sharp and may be improved in future work.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia for the support given at the Universiti while doing research.

### References

- [1] T. Al-Hawary, A. Amourah, F. Yousef, and J. Salah. Investigating new inclusive subclasses of bi-univalent functions linked to gregory numbers. WSEAS Transactions on Mathematics, 24:231–239, 2025.
- [2] A. Amourah, B. Frasin, J. Salah, and F. Yousef. Subfamilies of bi-univalent functions associated with the imaginary error function and subordinate to jacobi polynomials. *Symmetry*, 17(2):157, 2025.
- [3] F. Castellares, S. L. P. Ferrari, and A. J. Lemonte. On the bell distribution and its associated regression model for count data. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 56:172–185, 2018.
- [4] E. T. Bell. Exponential numbers. The American Mathematical Monthly, 41(7):411–419, 1934.
- [5] O. Alnajar and M. Darus. Coefficient estimates for subclasses of bi-univalent functions related to gegenbauer polynomials and an application of bell distribution. In *AIP Conference Proceedings*, volume 3150. AIP Publishing, 2024.
- [6] O. Alnajar, K. Alshammari, and A. Amourah. The neutrosophic poisson distribution applied to horadam polynomial-subordinate bi-univalent functions. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 18(2):5955–5955, 2025.
- [7] O. Alnajar, A. Amourah, and M. Darus. The characteristics of inclusion pertaining to univalent functions associated with bell distribution functions. *International Journal of Open Problems in Complex Analysis*, 15(13):46–61, 2023.
- [8] O. Alnajar, O. Khabour, A. Amourah, and M. Darus. The relationship of borel distribution and horadam polynomials leads to analytical bi-univalent functions. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 18(2):5929–5929, 2025.
- [9] A. Amourah, O. Alnajar, M. Darus, A. Shdouh, and O. Ogilat. Estimates for the coefficients of subclasses defined by the bell distribution of bi-univalent functions subordinate to gegenbauer polynomials. *Mathematics*, 11(8):1799, 2023.
- [10] M. Illafe, A. Hussen, M. H. Mohd, and F. Yousef. On a subclass of bi-univalent functions affiliated with bell and gegenbauer polynomials. *Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matematica*, 43(3):1–10, 2025.

- [11] S. Ruscheweyh. Neighborhoods of univalent functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 81(4):521–527, 1981.
- [12] H. M. Srivastava and O. Halit. Coefficient inequalities and inclusion relations for some families of analytic and multivalent functions. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 20(6):686–691, 2007.
- [13] A. Amourah, O. Alnajar, J. Salah, and M. Darus. Geometric properties and neighborhoods of certain subclass of analytic functions defined by using bell distribution. *Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 5473–5481, 2024.
- [14] S. Mahmood, I. Khan, H. M. Srivastava, and S. N. Malik. Inclusion relations for certain families of integral operators associated with conic regions. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 59:1–11, 2019.
- [15] E. Amini, S. Al-Omari, K. Nonlaopon, and D. Baleanu. Estimates for coefficients of bi-univalent functions associated with a fractional q-difference operator. Symmetry, 14(5):879, 2022.
- [16] J. M. Jahangiri and S. G. Hamidi. Advances on the coefficients of bi-prestarlike functions. *Comptes Rendus. Mathématique*, 354(10):980–985, 2016.
- [17] E. Amini, M. Fardi, S. Al-Omari, and R. Saadeh. Certain differential subordination results for univalent functions associated with q-salagean operators. AIMS Mathematics, 8(7):15892–15906, 2023.
- [18] M. Illafe, M. H. Mohd, F. Yousef, and S. Supramaniam. A subclass of bi-univalent functions defined by asymmetric q-derivative operator and gegenbauer polynomials. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 17(4):2467–2480, 2024.
- [19] M. Illafe, M. H. Mohd, F. Yousef, and S. Supramaniam. Investigating inclusion, neighborhood, and partial sums properties for a general subclass of analytic functions. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 25(3):501–510, 2025.
- [20] M. Illafe, F. Yousef, M. H. Mohamed, and S. Supramaniam. Fundamental properties of a class of analytic functions defined by a generalized multiplier transformation operator. *International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, 19(4):1203– 1211, 2024.
- [21] M. Illafe, F. Yousef, M. H. Mohd, and S. Supramaniam. Initial coefficients estimates and fekete—szegő inequality problem for a general subclass of bi-univalent functions defined by subordination. *Axioms*, 12(3):235, 2023.
- [22] F. Yousef, S. Alroud, and M. Illafe. New subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions endowed with coefficient estimate problems. *Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, 11:1–12, 2021.
- [23] C. Pommerenke. On the hankel determinants of univalent functions. *Mathematika*, 14(1):108–112, 1967.
- [24] C. Pommerenke. On the coefficients and hankel determinants of univalent functions. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 1(1):111–122, 1966.
- [25] K. I. Noor. Hankel determinant problem for the class of functions with bounded boundary rotation. Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 28(8):731–739, 1983.
- [26] R. Ehrenborg. The hankel determinant of exponential polynomials. American Math-

- ematical Monthly, 107(6):557-560, 2000.
- [27] J. W. Layman. The hankel transform and some of its properties. *Journal of Integer Sequences*, 4(1):1–11, 2001.
- [28] T. Panigrahi and G. Murugusundaramoorthy. Second hankel determinant for a subclass of analytic functions defined by sălăgean-difference operator. *Matematychni Studii*, 57(2):147–156, 2022.
- [29] A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat. Second hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions defined by a fractional operator. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 28(2):234–241, 2009.
- [30] T. Al-Hawary, A. Amourah, A. Alsoboh, A. M. Freihat, O. Ogilat, I. Harny, and M. Darus. Subclasses of yamakawa-type bi-starlike functions subordinate to gegenbaur polynomials associated with quantum calculus. *Results in Nonlinear Analysis*, 7(4):75–83, Oct 17 2024.
- [31] O. Alnajar, A. Amourah, and M. Darus. Application of gegenbauer polynomials to certain classes of bi-univalent functions of order  $\nu + i\varsigma$ . Korean Journal of Mathematics, 32(1):183–193, 2024.
- [32] O. Alnajar, A. Amourah, J. Salah, and M. Darus. Fekete-szegő functional problem for analytic and bi-univalent functions subordinate to gegenbauer polynomials. *Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 5731–5742, 2024.
- [33] O. Alnajar, O. Ogilat, A. Amourah, M. Darus, and M. S. Alatawi. The miller-ross poisson distribution and its applications to certain classes of bi-univalent functions related to horadam polynomials. *Heliyon*, 10(7), 2024.
- [34] A. Alsoboh, A. Amourah, O. Alnajar, M. Ahmed, and T. M. Seoudy. Exploring q-fibonacci numbers in geometric function theory: Univalence and shell-like star-like curves. *Mathematics*, 13(8):1294, 2025.
- [35] A. Alsoboh and G. I. Oros. A class of bi-univalent functions in a leaf-like domain defined through subordination via q-calculus. *Mathematics*, 12(10):1594, May 20 2024.
- [36] A. Alsoboh, M. Çağlar, and M. Buyankara. Fekete-szegő inequality for a subclass of bi-univalent functions linked to q-ultraspherical polynomials. *Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 2531–2545, May 23 2024.
- [37] A. Amourah, A. Alsoboh, D. Breaz, and S. M. El-Deeb. A bi-starlike class in a leaf-like domain defined through subordination via q-calculus. *Mathematics*, 12(11):1735, 2024
- [38] A. A. Amourah, F. Yousef, T. Al-Hawary, and M. Darus. On h3(p) hankel determinant for certain subclass of p-valent functions. *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 37:611–618, 2017.
- [39] M. Illafe, M. H. Mohd, F. Yousef, and S. Supramaniam. Bounds for the second hankel determinant of a general subclass of bi-univalent functions. *International Journal of Mathematics, Engineering, and Management Sciences*, 9(5):1226–1239, 2024.
- [40] C. Pommerenke. Univalent Functions: With a Chapter on Quadratic Differentials by Gerd Jensen. Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
- [41] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz. Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex

function. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 85(2):225–230, 1982.

[42] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz. Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivative in p. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 87(2):251–257, 1983.