



The Stability of the Generalized Functional Equation

Gang Lyu¹, Yingxiu Jiang^{2,*}, Qi Liu³, Choonkil Park⁴

¹ School of General Education, Guangzhou College of Technology and Business, Guangzhou 510850, P.R. China

² Department of Mathematics, Yanbian University, Yanji 133001, P.R. China

³ School of Mathematics and Physics, Anqing Normal University, Anqing 246133, P.R. China

⁴ Research Institute for Convergence of Basic Science, Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, Korea

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to prove the stability (in the sense of Ulam) of the functional equation:

$$f(x) = \alpha(x)f(f_1(x)) + \beta(x)f(f_2(x)),$$

where α and β are given real valued functions defined on a nonempty set S such that $\sup\{|\alpha(x)| : x \in S\} < 1$ and $f_i(x) (i = 1, 2)$ are given mappings.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 39B62, 39B52, 47H10, 46B25

Key Words and Phrases: Functional inequations, Hyers-Ulam stability, Banach space

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The origin of the stability problem for functional equations traces back to a question posed by Ulam [1]. Hyers [2] made remarkable headway in 1941. In the context of Banach spaces, he derived highly renowned and captivating results regarding the Cauchy functional equation. Later, there are also very many generalizations of Cauchy equations (see [3–5]). For more information concerning various functional equations, see [6–16].

Baker was the first to use the fixed point method to study the Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations in [17]. In that work, he actually utilized the following variant of Banach's fixed point theorem. For the functional equation

$$f(t) = (\alpha(t) + \beta(t))f(\phi(t)),$$

*Corresponding author.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v18i3.6197>

Email addresses: lvgang@gzgs.edu.cn (G. Lyu),
yxjiang@ybu.edu.cn (Y. Jiang), liuq67@aqnu.edu.cn (Q. Liu),
baak@hanyang.ac.kr (C. Park)

if

$$\|g(t) - \{\alpha(t) + \beta(t)\}g(\phi(t))\| \leq \delta,$$

then there exists a unique mapping f satisfying this functional equation, and

$$\|f(t) - g(t)\| \leq \frac{\delta}{1 - \lambda}.$$

Sikorska [18] built a direct method (see, e.g., [19, 20]) to improve the approximating constant for the following mappings

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - af(h(x)) - bf(-h(x))\| &\leq \epsilon(x), \\ \|f(x) - af(h^n(x)) - bf(h^{n+1}(x))\| &\leq \epsilon(x). \end{aligned}$$

It improves the research results of Sikorska [18], breaking through the limitation that her method could only handle cases where the intermediate function is odd. The paper proposes a new direct method, which is not only used to solve specific functional equations but also to construct and study a series of functional equations. This provides new research tools and ideas for this field. The fixed point theory is a pivotal method for proving the stability of functional equations[21], widely applied in differential equations[22] and computer science[23], this theory transforms abstract solvability problems into concrete analyses of operator properties, remaining indispensable for establishing existence and uniqueness in functional equation frameworks.

Thoroughly, we explore the dependence relationships and properties of different parameters in generalized functional equations. When studying single-variable abstract equations, we need to analyze the influence of parameters such as α and β on the solutions. Also, expand the parameters from the real number field to the complex number field to reveal the characteristics of the equations more comprehensively.

In this paper, we discuss the Hyers-Ulam stability of the following functional equation

$$\|f(x) - \alpha(x)f(g(x)) - \beta(x)f(h(x))\| \leq \epsilon(x)$$

in Banach spaces.

In fact, the above mentioned inequality represents an even more general form. In Section 2, we introduce certain improvements to the existing approximations. Specifically, we enhance the existing approximation methods, aiming to obtain more accurate and comprehensive results. These improvements contribute to a deeper understanding of the problem and provide more effective tools for subsequent research. In Section 3, we explore several applications of the stability results. We not only demonstrate the practical value of the stability theory in different scenarios but also expand its scope of application, showing its potential in solving real-world problems. For the sake of simplicity, we present our results for functions with values in Banach spaces. However, with some minor additional assumptions, these results can be extended and reformulated in more general Banach space settings. This indicates that our research has the potential to be further generalized, making it applicable to a wider range of mathematical models and real world applications.

2. Main results

In this section, we prove the main theorem of the paper.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that X is a linear normed space and Y is a Banach space. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying*

$$\|f(x) - \alpha(x)f(g(x)) - \beta(x)f(h(x))\| \leq \epsilon(x) \quad (1)$$

for all $x \in X$. If $\alpha(x)$ and $\beta(x)$ are functions from X to \mathbb{R} , and $g, h : X \rightarrow X$ are mappings and $\epsilon : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Lambda^n \epsilon)(x) =: \epsilon^*(x) < \infty$$

holds and Λ is a linear operator defined by

$$(\Lambda \delta)(x) := |\alpha(x)|\delta(g(x)) + |\beta(x)|\delta(h(x))$$

for a function $\delta : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $x \in X$, then there exists a unique determined mapping $K : X \rightarrow Y$, given by

$$K(x) = \alpha(x)K(g(x)) + \beta(x)K(h(x))$$

such that

$$\|f(x) - K(x)\| \leq \epsilon^*(x) \quad (2)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $T : Y^X \rightarrow Y^X$ be an operator satisfying $(Tf)(x) = \alpha(x)f(g(x)) + \beta(x)f(h(x))$ in (1). Then we get

$$\|f(x) - (Tf)(x)\| \leq \epsilon(x). \quad (3)$$

At the same time, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (T\xi)(x) - (T\zeta)(x) \| \\ & \leq |\alpha(x)| \|\xi(g(x)) - \zeta(g(x))\| + |\beta(x)| \|\xi(h(x)) - \zeta(h(x))\| \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for all $\xi, \zeta \in Y^X$ and $x \in X$.

First, we get by induction that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|(T^n f)(x) - (T^{n+1} f)(x)\| \leq (\Lambda^n \epsilon)(x), x \in X. \quad (5)$$

Obviously, from (3), for the case $n = 0$, (5) holds. Now fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that the inequality (5) is valid. Then, using (4) for all $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (T^{n+1} f)(x) - (T^{n+2} f)(x) \| \\ & \leq |\alpha(x)| \| (T^n f)(g(x)) - (T^{n+1} f)(g(x)) \| + |\beta(x)| \| (T^n f)(h(x)) - (T^{n+1} f)(h(x)) \| \\ & \leq |\alpha(x)| (\Lambda^n \epsilon)(g(x)) + |\beta(x)| (\Lambda^n \epsilon)(h(x)) = (\Lambda^{n+1} \epsilon)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof of (5). For $n, k \in \mathcal{N}, k > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T^n f)(x) - (T^{n+k} f)(x)\| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \|(T^n f)(x) - (T^{n+i+1} f)(x)\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{n+k-1} (\Lambda^i \epsilon)(x) \leq \epsilon^*(x), x \in X. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

From the convergence of the series $\sum (\Lambda^n \epsilon)(x)$, for every $x \in X$, $\{(T^n f)(x)\}_{n \in \mathcal{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since Y is complete, we can define $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T^n f)(x) := \psi(x)$. Taking $n = 0$ and $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (6), we know that (2) holds, and

$$\|(T\psi)(x) - (T^{n+1} f)(x)\| \leq (\Lambda^{n+1} \epsilon)(x), n \in \mathcal{N}, x \in X,$$

and thus

$$(T\psi)(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T^{n+1} \psi)(x) = \psi(x), x \in X.$$

In order to prove the uniqueness of ψ , suppose that $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in Y^X$ are two fixed points of T with $\|\psi_i(x) - f(x)\| \leq \epsilon^*(x)$ for all $x \in X, i = 1, 2$. We can easily show that

$$\|\psi_1(x) - \psi_2(x)\| = \|(T^m \psi_1)(x) - (T^m \psi_2)(x)\| \leq 2 \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (\Lambda^i \epsilon)(x), x \in X.$$

Thus $\psi_1(x) = \psi_2(x)$.

Remark 1. We can easily prove

$$\left\| f(x) - \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i(x) (f(f_i(x))) \right\| \leq \epsilon(x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Thus there exists a unique determined mapping $K : X \rightarrow Y$, given by

$$K(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i(x) (K(f_i(x))), x \in X,$$

such that

$$\|f(x) - K(x)\| \leq \epsilon^*(x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

3. The stability of generalized functional equations in Banach spaces

In the section, we use Theorem 1 to prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of functional inequalities in Banach spaces. The several mathematicians have investigated the problems of Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations (see [19, 20, 24–35]).

Theorem 2. Let $\epsilon : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function with $\epsilon(0, 0, 0) = 0$ such that there exists an $L < 1$ with

$$\epsilon(kx, ky, kz) \leq |k|L\epsilon(x, y, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that X is a linear normed space and Y is a Banach space. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(Ax + By + Cz) + f(Ax) + \gamma(x)f(z) \\ & - \alpha(x)f(Ax + y) - \beta(x)f(x + Cz) - \gamma(x)f(By + z)\| \\ & \leq \epsilon(x, y, z), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions and $A, B, C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{A} := \left| \frac{A+B+C}{A} \right| + \left| \gamma\left(\frac{x}{A}\right) \right| \left| \frac{1}{A} \right| + \left| \alpha\left(\frac{x}{A}\right) \right| \left| \frac{A+1}{A} \right| + \left| \beta\left(\frac{x}{A}\right) \right| \left| \frac{C+1}{A} \right| + \left| \gamma\left(\frac{x}{A}\right) \right| \left| \frac{B+1}{A} \right| < 1$. Then there exists a unique mapping $k : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - k(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{A}L} \epsilon(x, x, x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Letting $x = y = z$ in (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f((A + B + C)x) + f(Ax) + \gamma(x)f(x) \\ & - \alpha(x)f(Ax + x) - \beta(x)f(x + Cx) - \gamma(x)f(Bx + x)\| \\ & \leq \epsilon(x, x, x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\left(\frac{A+B+C}{A}\right)x\right) + f(x) + \gamma\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)f\left(\frac{x}{A}\right) \right. \\ & \left. - \alpha\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)f\left(\frac{(A+1)x}{A}\right) - \beta\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)f\left(\frac{(C+1)x}{A}\right) - \gamma\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)f\left(\frac{(B+1)x}{A}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \epsilon\left(\frac{x}{A}, \frac{x}{A}, \frac{x}{A}\right) \\ & \leq \left| \frac{1}{A} \right| L\epsilon(x, x, x). \end{aligned}$$

In Remark 1, let $\alpha_1(x) = -\gamma\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)$, $\alpha_2(x) = -1$, $\alpha_3(x) = \alpha\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)$, $\alpha_4 = \beta\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)$, $\alpha_5(x) = \gamma\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)$, $f_1(x) = \frac{x}{A}$, $f_2(x) = \frac{A+B+C}{A}$, $f_3(x) = \frac{(A+1)x}{A}$, $f_4(x) = \frac{(C+1)x}{A}$, $f_5(x) = \frac{(B+1)x}{A}$.

Consider $T : Y^X \rightarrow Y^X$ given as

$$Tf(x) = -f\left(\left(\frac{A+B+C}{A}\right)x\right) - \gamma\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)f\left(\frac{x}{A}\right) \\ + \alpha\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)f\left(\frac{(A+1)x}{A}\right) + \beta\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)f\left(\frac{(C+1)x}{A}\right) + \gamma\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)f\left(\frac{(B+1)x}{A}\right)$$

for all $x \in X, f \in Y^X$.

We can define the operator $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}_+^X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^X$, given by

$$\Lambda f(x) = f\left(\left(\frac{A+B+C}{A}\right)x\right) + \left|\gamma\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)\right|f\left(\frac{x}{A}\right) \\ + \left|\alpha\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)\right|f\left(\frac{(A+1)x}{A}\right) + \left|\beta\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)\right|f\left(\frac{(C+1)x}{A}\right) + \left|\gamma\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)\right|f\left(\frac{(B+1)x}{A}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda\epsilon(x, x, x) &= \epsilon\left(\left(\frac{A+B+C}{A}\right)x, \left(\frac{A+B+C}{A}\right)x, \left(\frac{A+B+C}{A}\right)x\right) \\ &\quad + \left|\gamma\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)\right|\epsilon\left(\frac{x}{A}, \frac{x}{A}, \frac{x}{A}\right) \\ &\quad + \left|\alpha\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)\right|\epsilon\left(\frac{(A+1)x}{A}, \frac{(A+1)x}{A}, \frac{(A+1)x}{A}\right) \\ &\quad + \left|\beta\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)\right|\epsilon\left(\frac{(C+1)x}{A}, \frac{(C+1)x}{A}, \frac{(C+1)x}{A}\right) \\ &\quad + \left|\gamma\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)\right|\epsilon\left(\frac{(B+1)x}{A}, \frac{(B+1)x}{A}, \frac{(B+1)x}{A}\right) \\ &\leq \left|\frac{A+B+C}{A}\right|L\epsilon(x, x, x) \\ &\quad + \left|\gamma\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)\right|\left|\frac{1}{A}\right|L\epsilon(x, x, x) \\ &\quad + \left|\alpha\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)\right|\left|\frac{A+1}{A}\right|L\epsilon(x, x, x) \\ &\quad + \left|\beta\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)\right|\left|\frac{C+1}{A}\right|L\epsilon(x, x, x) \\ &\quad + \left|\gamma\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)\right|\left|\frac{B+1}{A}\right|L\epsilon(x, x, x) \\ &\leq \left(\left|\frac{A+B+C}{A}\right| + \left|\gamma\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)\right|\left|\frac{1}{A}\right| + \left|\alpha\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)\right|\left|\frac{A+1}{A}\right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left|\beta\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)\right|\left|\frac{C+1}{A}\right| + \left|\gamma\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)\right|\left|\frac{B+1}{A}\right|\right)L\epsilon(x, x, x) \\ &= \tilde{A}L\epsilon(x, x, x). \end{aligned}$$

Since Λ is linear, we can get

$$\Lambda^n \epsilon(x, x, x) = (\tilde{A}L)^n \epsilon(x, x, x), \quad x \in X, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Since $\tilde{A} < 1$, the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^n \epsilon(x, x, x)$ is convergent for every $x \in X$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^*(x, x, x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^n \epsilon(x, x, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\tilde{A}L)^n \epsilon(x, x, x) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{A}L} \epsilon(x, x, x), \quad x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1, there exists a mapping $l : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} l(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n f(x), \\ l(x) &= -l\left(\left(\frac{A+B+C}{A}\right)x\right) - \gamma\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)l\left(\frac{x}{A}\right) \\ &\quad + \alpha\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)l\left(\frac{(A+1)x}{A}\right) + \beta\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)l\left(\frac{(C+1)x}{A}\right) + \gamma\left(\frac{x}{A}\right)l\left(\frac{(B+1)x}{A}\right), \\ \|f(x) - k(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{A}L} \epsilon(x, x, x). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 3. Suppose that X is a linear normed space, Y is a Banach space and $\theta \geq 0$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying

$$\|f(ax + by) + f(x - y) - Af(x) - Bf(y) - Df(-y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\| + \|y\|) \quad (8)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If a, b, A, B and D satisfy $\frac{|A+B|+|D|}{|a+b|} < 1$ and $|A + B + D - 2| \neq 0$, then there exists a unique mapping $k : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - k(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta\|x\|}{|a+b| - (|A+B| + |D|)}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Letting $x = y = 0$ in (8), we get $f(0) = 0$. Letting $y = x$ in (8), we get

$$\left\| f(x) - (A+B)f\left(\frac{1}{a+b}x\right) - Df\left(-\frac{1}{a+b}x\right) \right\| \leq \frac{2\theta\|x\|}{|a+b|} \quad (9)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Consider $T : Y^X \rightarrow Y^X$ and $\epsilon : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$Jf(x) = (A+B)f\left(\frac{1}{a+b}x\right) + Df\left(-\frac{1}{a+b}x\right)$$

for all $x \in X, f \in Y^X$ and

$$\epsilon(x) = \frac{2\theta\|x\|}{|a+b|}, \quad x \in X.$$

The inequality (9) becomes

$$\|Jf(x) - f(x)\| \leq \epsilon(x), \forall x \in X.$$

For every $g, h \in Y^X$ and $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tg(x) - Th(x)\| &= \left\| (A+B)g\left(\frac{1}{a+b}x\right) + Dg\left(-\frac{1}{a+b}x\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (A+B)h\left(\frac{1}{a+b}x\right) - Dh\left(-\frac{1}{a+b}x\right) \right\| \\ &\leq |A+B| \left\| g\left(\frac{1}{a+b}x\right) - h\left(\frac{1}{a+b}x\right) \right\| \\ &\quad + |D| \left\| g\left(-\frac{1}{a+b}x\right) - h\left(-\frac{1}{a+b}x\right) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can define $f_1(x) = \frac{1}{a+b}x, f_2(x) = -\frac{1}{a+b}x, L_1(x) = |A+B|, L_2(x) = |D|$ and the operator $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}_+^X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^X$, given by

$$\Lambda\eta(x) = |A+B|\eta\left(\frac{1}{a+b}x\right) + |D|\eta\left(-\frac{1}{a+b}x\right)$$

for all $x \in X$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda\epsilon(x) &= |A+B|\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{a+b}x\right) + |D|\epsilon\left(-\frac{1}{a+b}x\right) \\ &= |A+B|\theta\frac{2\|x\|}{|a+b|^2} + |D|\theta\frac{2\|x\|}{|a+b|^2} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{|A+B| + |D|}{|a+b|} \right\} \epsilon(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since Λ is linear, we can get

$$\Lambda^n \epsilon(x) = \left\{ \frac{|A+B| + |D|}{|a+b|} \right\}^n \epsilon(x), \quad x \in X, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Since $\frac{|A+B|+|D|}{|a+b|} < 1$, the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^n \epsilon(x)$ is convergent for every $x \in X$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^*(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^n \epsilon(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{|A+B|+|D|}{|a+b|} \right\}^n \epsilon(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{|A+B|+|D|}{|a+b|}} \epsilon(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{|A+B|+|D|}{|a+b|}} \frac{2\theta\|x\|}{|a+b|} \\ &= \frac{2\theta\|x\|}{|a+b| - (|A+B| + |D|)}, \quad x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1, there exists a mapping $k : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} k(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J^n f(x), \\ k(x) &= (A+B)k\left(\frac{1}{a+b}x\right) + Dk\left(-\frac{1}{a+b}x\right), \\ \|f(x) - k(x)\| &\leq \frac{2\theta\|x\|}{|a+b| - (|A+B| + |D|)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

4. The stability of the generalized function inequations

This section primarily investigates the stability of functional equations, improves existing results through a novel direct method, and explores the dependency relationships among different parameters in generalized functional equations along with their related properties. It also solves functional inequalities and constructs as well as studies inequalities related to functional equations. Park [36] introduced additive ρ -functional inequalities and employed the direct method to establish the Hyers-Ulam stability of these inequalities within Banach spaces. Subsequently, in 2016, Choi et al. [37] investigated additive ρ -functional inequalities in normed spaces. Leveraging the fixed-point method, they demonstrated the Hyers-Ulam stability of two distinct additive ρ -functional inequalities

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \left\| \rho \left(2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right) \right\|$$

and

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right\| \leq \|\rho(f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y))\|$$

(where $\rho < 1$) in normed spaces. In 2023, Nawaz et al. [38] analyzed the Hyers-Ulam stability of cubic and quartic ρ -functional inequalities in fuzzy matrix spaces. They used

the fixed-point method to study the following functional inequalities:

$$\begin{cases} (f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) - 2f(x+y) - 2f(x-y) - 12f(x) \\ -\rho(4f(x+\frac{y}{2}) + 4(f(x-\frac{y}{2}) - f(x+y) - f(x-y)) - 6f(x), r) \geq \frac{r}{r+\varphi(x,y)}, \\ f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) - 4f(x+y) - 4f(x-y) - 24f(x) + 6f(y) \\ -\rho(8f(x+\frac{y}{2}) + 8(f(x-\frac{y}{2}) - 2f(x+y) - 2f(x-y)) - 12f(x) + 3f(y), r) \geq \frac{r}{r+\varphi(x,y)} \end{cases}$$

where $\rho \neq 2$ is a real number.

Functional equations play a fundamental role in mathematics and its applications, particularly in areas such as information theory, economics, and decision sciences. One important equation is the generalized Drygas equation:

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + f(y) + f(-y),$$

whose solution is called a *Drygas mapping*. The general solution of the above functional equation was given by Ebanks, Kannappan and Sahoo [39] as

$$f(x) = Q(x) + A(x),$$

where A is an additive mapping and Q is a quadratic mapping offering insights into the underlying economic behavior[40]. The following theorem explores the solutions and stability properties of the generalized Drygas equation, extending its applications to broader mathematical and practical contexts.

Theorem 4. Let $\phi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function with $\phi(0, 0) = 0$ such that there exists an $L < 1$ with

$$\phi(ax, ay) \leq |a|L\phi(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Suppose that X is a linear normed space, Y is a Banach space, and $\rho : X \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is a function. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(ax+by) + f(ax-by) - Af(x) - Bf(y) - Df(-y)\| \\ & \leq \|\rho(x)(f(ax+by) - f(ax) - f(by))\| + \phi(x, y) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If L , A and a satisfy $\frac{2|a|L}{|A|} < 1$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $k : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - k(x)\| \leq \frac{|A|}{|A| - 2L|a|} \phi(x, 0)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Letting $y = 0$ in (10), we get

$$\|f(x) - \frac{2}{A}f(ax)\| \leq \frac{1}{|A|} \phi(x, 0), x \in X.$$

Consider the mapping $J : Y^X \rightarrow Y^X$ such that

$$J\xi(x) = \frac{2}{A}\xi(ax), x \in X, \xi \in Y^X.$$

Then we get

$$\|Jf(x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{|A|}\phi(x, 0), x \in X.$$

For every $\xi, \mu \in Y^X$,

$$\|Jg(x) - Jh(x)\| = \frac{2}{|A|}\|g(ax) - h(ax)\|.$$

Thus J satisfies the inequality (4) with $f_1(x) = 2ax$ and $L_1(x) = \frac{2}{|A|}$. Next, we define $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}_+^X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^X$ by

$$\Lambda\phi(x, y) = \frac{2}{|A|}\phi(ax, ay) < \frac{2|a|L}{|A|}\phi(x, y), x \in X, \phi \in \mathbb{R}_+^X.$$

Since Λ is linear, we get

$$\Lambda^n\phi(x, y) = \left(\frac{2|a|L}{|A|}\right)^n \phi(x, y)$$

and

$$\varepsilon^*(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2|a|L}{|A|}\right)^n \phi(x, y) = \frac{|A|}{|A| - 2L|a|}\phi(x, y).$$

By Theorem 1, there exists a mapping $k : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} k(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J^n f(x), \\ k(x) &= \frac{2}{A}k(ax), \\ \|f(x) - k(x)\| &\leq \frac{|A|}{|A| - 2L|a|}\phi(x, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove that k satisfies the generalized Drygas equation. Replacing x by $\frac{x}{a}$ and y by $\frac{y}{b}$ in (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| f(x+y) + f(x-y) - Af\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) - Bf\left(\frac{y}{b}\right) - Df\left(-\frac{y}{b}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \rho(x) \|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| + \phi\left(\frac{x}{a}, \frac{y}{b}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then, from the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x)\| \\ & \leq \rho(x) \|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| + \phi\left(\frac{x}{a}, \frac{y}{b}\right) + \phi\left(\frac{x}{a}, 0\right) + \phi\left(0, \frac{y}{b}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Jf(x+y) + Jf(x-y) - 2Jf(x) - Jf(y) - Jf(-y)\| \\ & = \frac{2}{|A|} \|f(ax+y) + f(ax-y) - 2f(ax) - f(ay) - f(-ay)\| \\ & \leq \frac{2}{|A|} \rho(x) \|f(x + \frac{a}{b}y) - f(x) - f(\frac{a}{b}y)\| + \frac{2}{|A|} \phi(x, y) + \frac{2}{|A|} \phi(x, 0) + \frac{2}{|A|} \phi(0, y) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \|k(x+y) + k(x-y) - k(x) - k(y) - k(-y)\| \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|J^n f(x+y) + J^n f(x-y) - 2J^n f(x) - J^n f(y) - J^n f(-y)\| \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|J^n f(x + \frac{a}{b}y) - J^n f(x) - J^n f(\frac{a}{b}y)\| \\ & + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (J^n \phi(x, y) + J^n \phi(x, 0) + J^n \phi(0, y)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x, y \in X$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\|k(x+y) + k(x-y) - 2k(x)\| \leq \rho(x) \|k(x+y) - k(x) - k(y)\| \quad (11)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Letting $y = x$ in (11), we get

$$\|k(2x) - 2k(x)\| \leq \rho(x) \|k(2x) - 2k(x)\|$$

for all $x \in X$. Moreover, $k(2x) = 2k(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Letting $l = x + y, m = x - y$ in (11), we get

$$\left\| k(l) + k(m) - 2k\left(\frac{l+m}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \rho(x) \left\| k(l) - k\left(\frac{l+m}{2}\right) - k\left(\frac{l-m}{2}\right) \right\| \quad (12)$$

for all $l, m \in X$. By (11) and (12),

$$\|k(l) + k(m) - k(l+m)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\rho(x))^2 \|k(l) + k(m) - k(l+m)\|$$

for all $l, m \in X$. Thus $k(l+m) = k(l) + k(m)$ for all $l, m \in X$. So f is additive.

Theorem 5. Let $\psi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with

$$\psi(ax, ay) \leq a^2 L \psi(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping with $f(0) = 0$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) - Af(x) - Bf(y) - Df(-y)\| \\ & \leq \|\rho(x)(f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) - 2f(ax) - 2f(by))\| + \psi(x, y) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Assume that L, A and a satisfy $\frac{2a^2L}{|A|} < 1$, and $\rho : X \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is a function. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{|A|}{|A| - 2La^2} \psi(x, 0)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Letting $y = 0$ in (13), we get

$$\|f(x) - \frac{2}{A}f(ax)\| \leq \frac{1}{|A|} \psi(x, 0), x \in X.$$

Similar to Theorem 4, we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J^n f(x), \\ H(x) &= \frac{2}{A}H(ax), \\ \|f(x) - H(x)\| &\leq \frac{|A|}{|A| - 2La^2} \psi(x, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x) - f(y) - f(-y)\| \\ & \leq \|\rho(x)(f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y))\| \\ & + \psi(x/a, y/b) + \psi(x/a, 0) + \psi(0, y/b). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove $H(x)$ is quadratic.

By the definition of $H(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H(x + y) + H(x - y) - 2H(x) - 2H(y)\| \\ & \leq \|\rho(x)(H(x + y) + H(x - y) - 2H(x) - 2H(y))\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So $H(x + y) + H(x - y) = 2H(x) + 2H(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. This completes the proof.

5. Conclusion

This paper focuses on the generalized Drygas functional equation and deeply explores its Hyers - Ulam stability in the context from a real vector space to a Banach space. A

series of key results have been obtained by using the fixed point method. Regarding the stability of the functional equation, under different conditions (such as the specific restrictions on a, b, A, B, D), the close relationship between the mapping satisfying the relevant inequality and the unique generalized Drygas mapping is clearly given, and the error estimate is accurately provided. This not only deepens the theoretical understanding of the properties of this equation but also provides an important methodological reference and theoretical basis for subsequent studies on the stability of such equations. In the study of the functional inequality, it is successfully proven that there exist unique additive and quadratic mappings under specific conditions, and the corresponding error estimates are obtained. These conclusions are of great significance in theoretical research fields such as mathematical analysis and function approximation. They provide new perspectives and methods for function classification and property characterization. In practical applications, such as in the design of optimization algorithms and signal processing, they provide powerful mathematical tools, which can effectively improve algorithm performance and optimize signal processing effects.

The research results of this paper expand the research boundaries of the stability theory of functional equations and open up new directions for the future development of this field. Follow up research can further explore the properties of the generalized Drygas functional equation and inequality in more complex spaces or under different types of operators. At the same time, it is necessary to strengthen the applied research in interdisciplinary fields, fully explore its potential value, and promote the coordinated development of related fields.

Declarations

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Fundings

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11761074), Project of Jilin Science and Technology Development for Leading Talent of Science and Technology Innovation in Middle and Young and Team Project (No. 20200301053RQ), the Natural Science Foundation of Jilin Province (No. YDZJ202101ZYTS136) and the Scientific Research Project of Guangzhou College of Technology and Business in 2024 (No. KYZD202404).

Authors' contributions

The authors equally conceived of the study, participated in its design and coordination, drafted the manuscript, participated in the sequence alignment, and read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- [1] S M Ulam. *Problems in Modern Mathematics*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1964.

- [2] D H Hyers. On the stability of the linear functional equation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 27:222–224, 1941.
- [3] T Aoki. On the stability of the linear transformation in banach spaces. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 2:64–66, 1950.
- [4] P Găvruta. A generalization of the hyers-ulam-rassias stability of approximately additive mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 184:431–436, 1994.
- [5] T M Rassias. On the stability of the linear mapping in banach spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72:297–300, 1978.
- [6] L Aiemsomboon and W Sintunavarat. Stability of the generalized logarithmic functional equations arising from fixed point theory. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM*, 112(1):229–238, 2018.
- [7] N Alessa, K Tamilvanan, G Balasubramanian, and K Loganathan. Stability results of the functional equation deriving from quadratic function in random normed spaces. *AIMS Math.*, 6(3):2385–2397, 2021.
- [8] A Bahyrycz and J Sikorska. On a general n -linear functional equation. *Results Math.*, 77(3(128)):1–14, 2022.
- [9] Y Cho, C Park, and R Saadati. Functional inequalities in non-archimedean banach spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 23(10):1236–1242, 2010.
- [10] Y Cho, R Saadati, and Y Yang. Approximation of homomorphisms and derivations on lie c^* -algebras via fixed point method. *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2013(415):1–9, 2013.
- [11] A Kumar and M K Antil. Stability of the functional equation deriving from quadratic function in banach space. *Commun. Math. Appl.*, 15(2):557–569, 2024.
- [12] G Lu, Q Liu, Y Jin, and J Xie. 3-variable jensen ρ -functional equations. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 9(12):5995–6003, 2016.
- [13] G Lu and C Park. Hyers-ulam stability of additive set-valued functional equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 24(8):1312–1316, 2011.
- [14] G Lu and C Park. Hyers-ulam stability of general jensen-type mappings in banach algebras. *Results Math.*, 66(3-4):385–404, 2014.
- [15] C Park, Y Cho, and M Han. Functional inequalities associated with jordan-von-neumann-type additive functional equations. *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2007(41820):1–12, 2007.
- [16] Z Wang. Stability of two types of cubic fuzzy set-valued functional equations. *Results Math.*, 70(1-2):1–14, 2016.
- [17] A Baker. The stability of certain functional equation. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 112(3):729–732, 1991.
- [18] J Sikorska. On a direct method for proving the hyers-ulam stability of functional equations. *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 372(1):99–109, 2010.
- [19] D Zhang, Q Liu, J M Rassias, and Y Li. The stability of functional equations with a new direct method. *Math.*, 10(7(1188)):1–14, 2022.
- [20] D Zhang, J M Rassias, Q Liu, and Y Li. A note on the stability of functional equations via a celebrated direct method. *Eur. J. Math. Anal.*, 3(7):1–19, 2022.
- [21] A Kumar and M K Antil. Stability of the functional equation deriving from quadratic function in banach space. *Communications in Mathematics and Appli-*

- cations, 15(2):557–569, 2024.
- [22] L Shahid, M Rashid, A Azam, and F Ali. Existence results for nonlinear fractional differential inclusions via q-rof fixed point. *Fractal and Fractional*, 7(1):1–12, 2023.
 - [23] M Rashid, L Shahid, F Dar, I Ayoob, and N Mlaiki. Existence of solution of a system of non-linear differential inclusions with non-local, integral boundary conditions via fixed points of hybrid contractions. *Rashid et al. Boundary Value Problems*, 2024(90):1–20, 2024.
 - [24] L Aiamsomboon and W Sintunavarat. Two new generalised hyperstability results for the drygas functional equation. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 95(2):269–280, 2017.
 - [25] A Baza and M Rossafi. Generalized hyers-ulam stability of quadratic functional inequality in modular spaces and β -homogeneous banach spaces. *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 29(1):295–306, 2024.
 - [26] S Bowmiya, G Balasubramanian, V Govindan, M Donganont, and H Byeon. Generalized linear differential equation using hyers-ulam stability approach. *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 17(4):3415–3435, 2024.
 - [27] S Bowmiya, G Balasubramanian, V Govindan, M Donganont, and H Byeon. Hyers-ulam stability of fifth order linear differential equations. *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 17(4):3585–3609, 2024.
 - [28] I El-Fassii. Generalized hyperstability of a drygas functional equation on a restricted domain using brzdek’s fixed point theorem. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 19(4):2529–2540, 2017.
 - [29] G L Forti. Stability of quadratic and drygas functional equations with an application for solving alternative quadratic equation. *Handbook of Functional Equations*, 96:155–179, 2014.
 - [30] Z Jin and J Wu. Ulam stability of some fuzzy number-valued functional equations and drygas type functional equation. *J. Southwest Univ.*, 4:59–66, 2018.
 - [31] S Jung and P K Sahoo. Stability of a functional equation of drygas. *Aequationes Math.*, 64(3):263–273, 2002.
 - [32] B V Senthil Kumar, H Dutta, and S Sabarinathan. Modular stabilities of a reciprocal second power functional equation. *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 13(5):1162–1175, 2020.
 - [33] M Piszczek and J. Szczawi. Stability of the drygas functional equation on restricted domain. *Results Math.*, 68(1):11–24, 2015.
 - [34] W Smajdor. On set-valued solutions of a functional equation of drygas. *Aequationes Math.*, 77(1-2):89–97, 2009.
 - [35] D Yang. Remarks on the stability of drygas equation and the pexider quadratic equation. *Aequationes Math.*, 68(1-2):108–116, 2004.
 - [36] C Park. Additive ρ -functional inequalities and equations. *J. Math. Inequal.*, 9(1):17–26, 2015.
 - [37] J Choi, J Seong, and C Park. Additive ρ -functional inequalities in normed spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 9(1):247–253, 2016.
 - [38] S Nawaz, A Bariq, A Batool, and A Akgül. Generalized hyers-ulam stability of ρ -functional inequalities. *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2023(135):1–18, 2023.
 - [39] B Ebanks, P Kannappan, and P Sahoo. A common generalization of functional

equations charactering normed and quasi-inner-product spaces. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 35(1):321–327, 1992.

- [40] B Ebanks. Generalized cauchy difference functional equations. *Aequ. math.*, 70(1):154–176, 2005.