



Grand Variable Herz-Morrey Type Besov Spaces and Triebel-Lizorkin Spaces

Mehvish Sultan¹, Babar Sultan^{2,*}, Ioan-Lucian Popa^{3,4,*}

¹ *Department of Mathematics, Capital University of Science and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan*

² *Department of Mathematics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad 45320, Pakistan*

³ *Department of Computing, Mathematics and Electronics, "1 Decembrie 1918" University of Alba Iulia, 510009 Alba Iulia, Romania*

⁴ *Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Transilvania University of Brasov, Iuliu Maniu Street 50, 500091 Brasov, Romania*

Abstract. In the article, the boundedness of vector-valued sublinear operators in grand variable Herz-Morrey spaces $M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are obtained. Then grand variable Herz-Morrey type Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces are defined. We will also prove the equivalent quasi-norms by Peetre's maximal operators in these spaces.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 46E35, 42B25, 42B35

Key Words and Phrases: Grand variable Herz-Morrey space, Besov space, Triebel Lizorkin space, maximal operator

1. Introduction

In variable exponent spaces, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator's boundedness is crucial. For instance, it is well known that if the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is bounded in variable exponent Lebesgue space, then numerous conclusions from classical harmonic analysis and function theory also apply for the variable exponent case; see [1–4]. Moreover, a variety of variable exponent spaces are presented, including: Bessel potential spaces, Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, Hardy spaces, Herz spaces, grand variable Herz spaces, grand variable weighted Herz spaces, Herz-Morrey spaces, grand variable Herz-Morrey spaces, Morrey spaces, Morrey type Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, Triebel-Lizorkin-Morrey spaces, Triebel-Lizorkin-Morrey spaces, and so forth; see [5–13, 13, 14,

*Corresponding author.

*Corresponding author.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v18i3.6274>

Email addresses: mehvishsultanbaz@gmail.com (M. Sultan),

babarsultan40@yahoo.com (B. Sultan), lucian.popa@uab.ro (I-L. Popa)

14, 14–35] and references therein. As you can see from [36–40], numerous conclusions about the boundedness of sublinear operators in these spaces have been established.

A sublinear operator T satisfies the size condition

$$|Tg(x)| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-y|^{-n} |g(y)| dy$$

for all $g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support and a.e. $x \notin \text{supp } g$. Then, T is bounded on the grand variable Herz-Morrey spaces and the homogeneous and non-homogeneous Herz spaces (see the monographs [41, 42]). L. Tang and D. Yang then expand these conclusions for the weighted vector-valued situation in [43]. Herz type Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces with variable exponent $\dot{K}^{n,q}_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ were introduced by C. Shi and the second author in [18]. For the Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces of constant exponent Herz type, we direct the reader to [44–47]. M. Izuki [48] used variable exponent $M\dot{K}^{n,\lambda}_{q,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to get the vector-valued boundedness for certain sublinear operators that meet the size requirement on Herz-Morrey spaces. Sultan et al. [49] presented the concept of grand variable Herz-Morrey spaces; see [50–52] for additional findings on these spaces. The boundedness of vector-valued Hardy-Littlewood maximal operators in Herz spaces with variable exponents was established by the authors in [53]. Peetre's maximal operators were used to characterize Herz type Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces with variable exponents.

The current research examines the boundedness of vector-valued Hardy-Littlewood maximal operators on grand variable Herz-Morrey type Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, drawing inspiration from the aforementioned publications. The paper is organised as follows. We provide some conventions in the remainder of the section. Our primary findings, which are a generalization of related findings in [48] and [53, 54], will be presented in Section 2. We provide evidence for our findings in Section 3.

2. Main results

The n -dimensional real Euclidean space is denoted as $\mathbb{R}^n$, as is customary. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Throughout this paper, C and c are always positive constants which may vary from line to line. For a measurable set S , $|S|$ denote its Lebesgue measure and $\mathbf{1}_S$ denote its characteristic function. We write $u \leq v$, if $u \leq cv$, and if $u \leq v$ and $v \leq u$, then $u \sim v$. By variable exponents we mean a measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^n$. For every $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote $B_l = B(0, 2^l) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 2^l\}$. After deducting B_{l-1} from B_l , $\mathbf{1}_{B_l} = \mathbf{1}_l$. By $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we denote the subset of variable exponents with range $[1, \infty]$. Let $q_- := \text{ess inf}_{y \in \mathcal{A}} q(y) > 1$ and $q_+ := \text{ess sup}_{y \in \mathcal{A}} q(y) < \infty$, then we have

$$1 \leq q_-(\mathcal{A}) \leq q(y) \leq q_+(\mathcal{A}) < \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

The notation B is the ball such that $B(z, r) := \{y \in \mathcal{A} : |z - y| < r\}$. Now variable Lebesgue space $L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathcal{A})$ is given as

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ g \text{ is measurable} : \int_{\mathcal{A}} \left(\frac{|g(z)|}{\lambda} \right)^{q(z)} dz < \infty \text{ where } \lambda \text{ is a constant} \right\}.$$

Lebesgue space is equipped with the norm

$$\|g\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathcal{A})} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathcal{A}} \left(\frac{|g(z)|}{\lambda} \right)^{q(z)} dz \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M for $g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{A})$ is defined as

$$Mg(z) := \sup_{0 < r} \frac{1}{r^n} \int_{B(z,r)} |g(z)| dz \quad (z \in \mathcal{A}).$$

The notion of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the collection of $q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ such that M is bounded on $L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathcal{A})$. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ with $|x - y| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $q : \mathcal{A} \mapsto (0, \infty)$. Now log-Hölder continuity condition (or Dini-Lipschitz condition) is given as

$$\frac{C}{-\ln|x - y|} \geq |q(x) - q(y)|, \quad (2.2)$$

where C is called log-Hölder continuity constant.

Let log-Dini-Lipschitz constant (or decay constant) $C_\infty > 0$, $q(\cdot)$ satisfy the decay condition if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} q(x) = q_\infty := q(\infty)$ such that

$$\frac{C_\infty}{\ln(e + |h|)} \geq |q(h) - q_\infty|. \quad (2.3)$$

Let $C_0 > 0$, $q(\cdot)$ satisfy the log Hölder continuity condition at 0 for $|h| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, such that

$$\frac{C_0}{\ln|h|} \geq |q(h) - q(0)|. \quad (2.4)$$

$\mathcal{P}^{\log} = \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathcal{A})$ consists of all functions $q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfying (2.1) and (2.2).

$\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_{0,\infty}(\mathcal{A})$) is the subset of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of functions which satisfy condition (2.3) (resp. both conditions (2.3) and (2.4)). The set of positive integers is denoted by $\mathbb{Z}_+$. For each $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{1}_{A_{t_0}} = \mathbf{1}_{t_0}$; for $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbf{1}_{B_0} = \tilde{\mathbf{1}}_0$; and so on. $\mathbf{1}_{A_{t_0}}$ denote the characteristic function of A_{t_0} . By $a \leq b$ we denote $a \leq Cb$.

Moreover, we define $\mathcal{P}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to be the set of measurable functions p on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the range in $(0, \infty)$ such that $p_- > 0$ and $p_+ < \infty$. Given $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, one can define the space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This is equivalent to defining it to be the set of all functions g such that

$$|g|^{p_0} \in L^{p(\cdot)/p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

where

$$0 < p_0 < p_-, \quad \frac{p(\cdot)}{p_0} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then the quasi-norm is given as

$$\|g\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \| |g|^{p_0} \|_{L^{p(\cdot)/p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1/p_0}.$$

Lemma 1. [55]

Let f belong to the Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and g belong to $L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, the product function fg is integrable over $\mathbb{R}^n$, and the following inequality holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)g(x)| dx \leq r_p \|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \|g\|_{p'(\cdot)},$$

where r_p is defined as $r_p = 1 + \frac{1}{p^-} - \frac{1}{p^+}$.

Lemma 2. [56] Let $\tilde{q}(\cdot)$ be a variable exponent defined as $\frac{1}{p(z)} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{\tilde{q}(z)}$ where $(z \in \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for all measurable functions f and g we have

$$\|fg\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq C \|g\|_{\tilde{q}(\cdot)} \|f\|_q.$$

Lemma 3. [57]

Let $p(\cdot)$ be a function within the class $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any ball B in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we get

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{p'(\cdot)} \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq C,$$

where $C > 0$.

Lemma 4. [57]

Assuming that $p(\cdot)$ is a function in the class $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a positive constant C such that, for every ball B in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and every measurable subset S within B , the following inequalities hold:

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{p(\cdot)}}{\|\mathbf{1}_S\|_{p(\cdot)}} \leq \frac{|B|}{|S|}, \quad \frac{\|\mathbf{1}_S\|_{p(\cdot)}}{\|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{p(\cdot)}} \leq \left(\frac{|S|}{|B|}\right)^{\omega_1}, \quad \frac{\|\mathbf{1}_S\|_{p'(\cdot)}}{\|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{p'(\cdot)}} \leq \left(\frac{|S|}{|B|}\right)^{\omega_2},$$

here $0 < \omega_1, \omega_2 < 1$.

Lemma 5. [1]

Let $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be the sequences of locally integrable functions, $1 < r < \infty$ and $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |Mg_j|^r \right)^{1/r} \right\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |g_j|^r \right)^{1/r} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Definition 6. Let $0 < q < \infty, p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n), 0 \leq \lambda < \infty, \theta > 0$ and $\eta(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\eta \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(i) The homogeneous grand variable Herz-Morrey space $M\dot{K}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$M\dot{K}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ g \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) : \|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\}$$

where

$$\|g\|_{MK_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{\epsilon>0} \sup_{L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L \left\| 2^{k\eta(\cdot)} g \mathbf{1}_k \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}}.$$

(ii) The non-homogeneous grand variable Herz-Morrey space $MK_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$MK_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ g \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) : \|g\|_{MK_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\}$$

where

$$\|g\|_{MK_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{\epsilon>0} \sup_{L \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{-L\lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L \left\| 2^{k\eta(\cdot)} g \mathbf{1}_k \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}}.$$

Lemma 7. [17] Let $D > 1$ and $q \in \mathcal{P}_{0,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{c_0} r^{\frac{n}{q(0)}} \leq \|\mathbf{1}_{B(0,Dr) \setminus B(0,r)}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}} \leq c_0 r^{\frac{n}{q(0)}}, \text{ for } 0 < r \leq 1 \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{c_\infty} r^{\frac{n}{q_\infty}} \leq \|\mathbf{1}_{B(0,Dr) \setminus B(0,r)}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}} \leq c_\infty r^{\frac{n}{q_\infty}}, \text{ for } r \geq 1, \quad (2.6)$$

respectively, where $c_0 \geq 1$ and $c_\infty \geq 1$ depend on D but not depend on r .

Theorem 8. If $1 < r < \infty$ and $\eta(\cdot) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{P}_0^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\eta(0), \eta_\infty \in (-n\omega_1, n\omega_2)$, where $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in (0, 1)$ are constants appearing in (2.1). Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 < q < \infty$, and

$$0 \leq \lambda < \min \{ (n\omega_1 + \eta(0)) / 2, (n\omega_1 + \eta_\infty) / 2 \}.$$

Suppose that T is a sublinear operator satisfying vector-valued inequality on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |Tg_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}, \quad (2.7)$$

for all sequences $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of locally integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then we have the vector-valued inequality on $M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |Tg_k|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |g_k|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Remark 9. Here and below, we only declare our main results in the homogeneous grand variable Herz-Morrey space because the proof for the non-homogeneous case can be treated by the similar way and is much more easier.

Lemma 10. (see [1]) Let $p(\cdot), r$, and $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ are given in Theorem 2.8, then

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\mathcal{M}g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

From Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.7, we obtain the following result for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator.

Corollary 1. Let η, r, q, p , are given in Theorem 2.8 and

$$0 \leq \lambda < \min \{ (n\omega_1 + \eta(0)) / 2, (n\omega_1 + \eta_\infty) / 2 \},$$

then

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mathcal{M}g_k|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{MK_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |g_k|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{MK_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the Schwartz functions and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of all tempered distributions. We define the Fourier transform of a function $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\widehat{\varphi}(g)(y) = 2\pi^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot y} g(x) dx, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

while $\varphi^\vee$ is the inverse Fourier transform. Let $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\varphi_0(y) \geq 0$ then we have

$$\varphi_0(y) = \begin{cases} 1, & |y| \leq 1 \\ 0, & |y| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\varphi(y) = \varphi_0(y) - \varphi_0(2y)$$

and define

$$\varphi_\ell(y) = \varphi(2^{-\ell}y), \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $\{\varphi_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be the resolution of unity, such that

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \varphi_\ell(x) = 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Definition 11. Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a resolution of unity as above, $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < \kappa, q \leq \infty, p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\eta(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\eta(\cdot) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(i) Then the grand variable Herz-Morrey type Besov space is defined by

$$M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta} B_{\kappa}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|g\|_{\ell_{\kappa}(M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta} B_{\kappa}^s := \left\| \{2^{sj} \varphi_j^{\vee} * g\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \right\|_{\ell_{\kappa}(M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta}}.$$

(ii) For $p_+ < \infty$, the grand variable Herz-Morrey type Triebel-Lizorkin space is defined by

$$M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta} F_{\kappa}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta}(\ell_{\kappa})} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta} F_{\kappa}^s := \left\| \{2^{sj} \varphi_j^{\vee} * g\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta}(\ell_{\kappa})}.$$

Here we denote respectively by $\ell_{\kappa}(M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta})$ and $M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta}(\ell_{\kappa})$ the spaces of all sequences $\{g_j\}$ of measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with finite quasi-norms

$$\left\| \{g_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \right\|_{\ell_{\kappa}(M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta})} := \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|g_j\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta}}^{\kappa} \right)^{\frac{1}{\kappa}},$$

and

$$\left\| \{g_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta}(\ell_{\kappa})} := \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |g_j|^{\kappa} \right)^{1/\kappa} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta}}.$$

Let $S \geq 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\Psi_0, \Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$|\widehat{\Psi}_0(\varrho)| > 0 \quad \text{on } \{|\varrho| < 2\varepsilon\} \quad (2.8)$$

$$|\widehat{\Psi}(\varrho)| > 0 \quad \text{on } \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < |\varrho| < 2\varepsilon \right\} \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$D^{\tau} \widehat{\Psi}(0) = 0, \text{ for all } |\tau| \leq S. \quad (2.10)$$

Here, (2.8) and (2.9) are Tauberian conditions, while (2.10) expresses the vanishing moment conditions in Ψ .

In [58], J. Peetre introduced the classical Peetre's maximal operator:

Let a tempered distribution $g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $a > 0$, and $\{\Xi_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then system of maximal functions are given as

$$(\Xi_\ell^*)_a g(x) := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\Xi_\ell * g(x+y)|}{(1+2^k|y|)^a}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $\Xi_\ell * g(y)$ makes sense pointwise, everything is well defined. We will often use dilates

$$\Xi'_\ell(x) = 2^{kn} \Xi(2^k x)$$

of a fixed function $\Xi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\Xi_0(x)$ might be given by a separate function. Continuous dilates are also needed. If

$$\Xi_t := t^{-n} \Xi(t^{-1} \cdot).$$

Then

$$\Psi_{t,a}^* g(x) := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\Xi_t * g(x+y)|}{\left(1 + \frac{|y|}{t}\right)^a} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0.$$

Theorem 12. *If $\kappa, q \in (0, \infty]$, $\omega > 0$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s < S + 1$, and η, q, p , are the same as given in Lemma 2.9. Let $p(\cdot)/p_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_0 < \min(p_-, 1)$. Let $\Theta_0, \Theta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be given by (2.8) and (2.9), respectively. Then*

(i) *For $a > n/p_0$, then the space $M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta} B_\kappa^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be characterized by*

$$M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta} B_\kappa^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta} B_\kappa^s}^{(i)} < \infty \right\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta} B_\kappa^s}^{(1)} &:= \|\Phi_0 * g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta}} + \left(\int_0^1 t^{-s\kappa} \|\Phi_t * g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta}}^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\kappa} \\ \|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta} B_\kappa^s}^{(2)} &:= \|(\Phi_0^* g)_a\|_{M\dot{K}_{q,p}^{\eta(\cdot),\lambda}} + \left(\int_0^1 t^{-s\kappa} \|(\Phi_t^* g)_a\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta}}^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\kappa} \\ \|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta} B_\kappa^s}^{(3)} &:= \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{sk\kappa} \|(\Phi_k^* g)_a\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta}}^\kappa \right)^{1/\kappa} \\ \|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta} B_\kappa^s}^{(4)} &:= \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{sk\kappa} \|\Phi_k * g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta}}^\kappa \right)^{1/\kappa}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\left\{ \|\cdot\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta} B_\kappa^s}^{(i)} \right\}_{i=1}^4$ are equivalent.

(ii) If $p_0 < \kappa$, then for $a > n/p_0$ the space $M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta} F_{\kappa}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be characterized by

$$M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta} F_{\kappa}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta} F_{\kappa}^s}^{(i)} < \infty \right\}, i = 1, \dots, 5$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta} F_{\kappa}^s}^{(1)} &:= \|\Phi_0 * g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta}} \\ &+ \left\| \left(\int_0^1 t^{-s\kappa} |\Phi_t * g|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\kappa} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta} F_{\kappa}^s}^{(2)} &:= \|(\Phi_0^* g)_a\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta}} \\ &+ \left\| \left(\int_0^1 [t^{-s} (\Phi_t^* g)_a]^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\kappa} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta} F_{\kappa}^s}^{(3)} &:= \|\Phi_0 * g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta}} \left\| \left(\int_0^1 t^{-s\kappa} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \int_{|z|<t} |(\Phi_t * g)(\cdot + z)|^\kappa dz \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{1/\kappa} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

$$\|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta} F_{\kappa}^s}^{(4)} := \left\| \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [2^{ks\kappa} (\Phi_k^* g)_a]^\kappa \right)^{1/\kappa} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta}} \quad (2.14)$$

$$\|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta} F_{\kappa}^s}^{(5)} := \left\| \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\kappa} |\Phi_k * g|^\kappa \right)^{1/\kappa} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta}}. \quad (2.15)$$

Then, $\left\{ \|\cdot\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta} F_{\kappa}^s}^{(i)} \right\}_{i=1}^5$ are equivalent.

3. Proofs of the main results

We need the following lemmas to prove our main results.

Lemma 13. Let p, q, λ, η , are given in Theorem 2.8 and $\theta > 0$, then

$$\|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q,\theta}} \approx \max \left\{ \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{k\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)} \|g \mathbf{1}_k\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \right\}$$

$$\sup_{L>0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\epsilon>0} \left[2^{-L\lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)} \|g \mathbf{1}_k\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} + 2^{-L\lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L 2^{k\eta_\infty q(1+\epsilon)} \|g \mathbf{1}_k\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \right]$$

Lemma 3.1 is similar to Proposition 3.8 in [5]. Indeed, when $\eta(\cdot) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{P}_0^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exist positive constants C_1, C_2 such that if $k \leq 0$ and $x \in D_k$ then $C_1 2^{k\eta(0)} \leq 2^{k\eta(x)} \leq C_2 2^{k\eta(0)}$; if $k > 1$ and $x \in D_k$ then $C_1 2^{k\eta_\infty} \leq 2^{k\eta(x)} \leq C_2 2^{k\eta_\infty}$, where $D_k := B_k \setminus B_{k-1}$. Thus, we obtain Lemma 3.1.

Proof.

Now we will give the proof of Theorem 2.8. Let $(\sum_{k=1}^\infty |g_k|^r)^{\frac{1}{r}} \in M\dot{K}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Using the Lemma 3.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |Tg_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}} \\ & \approx \max \left\{ \sup_{\epsilon>0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{k\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |Tg_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_k \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \right. \\ & \sup_{\epsilon>0} \sup_{L>0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[2^{-L\lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |Tg_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_k \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \right. \\ & \left. \left. + 2^{-L\lambda} \left(\epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L 2^{k\eta_\infty q(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |Tg_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_k \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \right] \right\} \\ & =: \max \{E_T, F_T\}. \end{aligned}$$

We also denote F_T by $F_T := \sup_{\epsilon>0} \sup_{L>0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} [G_T + H_T]$ with

$$\begin{aligned} G_T &:= 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |Tg_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_k \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\ H_T &:= 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L 2^{k\eta_\infty q(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |Tg_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_k \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}}. \end{aligned}$$

We need to prove that $E_T \lesssim E_f$, $G_T \lesssim G_f$ and $H_T \lesssim H_f$ respectively, where

$$\begin{aligned} E_f &:= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\ G_f &:= 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{k\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_k \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\ H_f &:= 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L 2^{k\eta_\infty q(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_k \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get $E_T \lesssim E_f$ and $F_T \lesssim F_g$ where F_g denote $\sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L > 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} [G_f + H_f]$. From above all and using Lemma 3.1 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |Tg_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q), \theta}} &\approx \max \{E_T, F_T\} \\ &\lesssim \max \{E_g, F_g\} \\ &\approx \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q), \theta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate of $G_T \lesssim G_f$ is similar to $E_M \lesssim E_f$ so omit the details.

By using the size condition and Minkowski's inequality, for E_T we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_T &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |Tg_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| T \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} g_j^i \right|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_k \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |Tg_j^i|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_k \sum_{i=-\infty}^{k-2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |Tg_j^i|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_k \sum_{i=k-1}^{k+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |Tg_j^i|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_k \sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |Tg_j^i|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&=: E_T^1 + E_T^2 + E_T^3.
\end{aligned}$$

By the same way we consider H_T .

$$\begin{aligned}
H_T &\lesssim 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L 2^{\eta_\infty q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_k \sum_{i=-\infty}^{k-2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |Tg_j^i|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\quad + 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L 2^{\eta_\infty q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_k \sum_{i=k-1}^{k+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |Tg_j^i|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\quad + 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L 2^{\eta_\infty q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_k \sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |Tg_j^i|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&=: H_T^1 + H_T^2 + H_T^3.
\end{aligned}$$

Secondly, we will prove E_T^i and H_T^i , $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Step 1. For E_T^2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E_T^2 &\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \sum_{i=k-1}^{k+1} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j^i|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \sum_{i=k-1}^{k+1} \left\| \mathbf{1}_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_{k-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&+ \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&+ \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_{k+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&=: E_f.
\end{aligned}$$

Then turn to H_T^2 , similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_T^2 &\lesssim 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L 2^{\eta_\infty q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_{k-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&+ 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L 2^{\eta_\infty q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L 2^{\eta_\infty q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_{k+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \lesssim H_f.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2.

Let $\forall i \leq k-2, x \in R_k, 1 < r < \infty$, by the size condition and the generalized Minkowski's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T^r(g_j^i)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} & \lesssim \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(2^{-kn} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g_j^i(y)| dy \right)^r \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-kn} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j^i|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} dy.
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
E_T^1 & \lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_k \sum_{i=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{-kn} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j^i|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} dy \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \|\mathbf{1}_k\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{-kn} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j^i|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} dy \right)^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \|\mathbf{1}_k\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{-kn} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\mathbf{1}_i\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}} \right)^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \quad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by using Lemma 2.4, we have

$$2^{-kn} \|\mathbf{1}_k\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\mathbf{1}_i\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}} \lesssim 2^{-kn} 2^{\frac{kn}{p(0)}} 2^{\frac{in}{p'(0)}} \lesssim 2^{\frac{(i-k)n}{p'(0)}}. \quad (3.2)$$

We put (3.2) into (3.1) and get

$$\begin{aligned}
E_T^1 &\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon>0} \sup_{L\leq 0, L\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)kq(1+\epsilon)} \left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{k-2} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)} 2^{\frac{(i-k)n}{p'(0)}} \right)^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&= \sup_{\epsilon>0} \sup_{L\leq 0, L\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L \left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{\eta(0)k} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)} 2^{\frac{(i-k)n}{p'(0)}} \right)^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&= \sup_{\epsilon>0} \sup_{L\leq 0, L\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L \left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{\eta(0)i} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)} 2^{b(i-k)} \right)^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}}, \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

here $b := \frac{n}{p'(0)} - \eta(0) > 0$.

Let $1 < q(1+\epsilon) < \infty$, then the Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
E_T^1 &\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon>0} \sup_{L\leq 0, L\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L \left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{\eta(0)iq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)} 2^{\frac{bq(1+\epsilon)(i-k)}{2}} \right)^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{\frac{b(q(1+\epsilon))'(i-k)}{2}} \right)^{\frac{q(1+\epsilon)}{(q(1+\epsilon))'}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon>0} \sup_{L\leq 0, L\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L \sum_{i=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{\eta(0)iq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)} 2^{\frac{bq(1+\epsilon)(i-k)}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= \sup_{\epsilon>0} \sup_{L\leq 0, L\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{i=-\infty}^{L-2} 2^{\eta(0)iq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)} \sum_{k=i+2}^L 2^{\frac{bq(1+\epsilon)(i-k)}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon>0} \sup_{L\leq 0, L\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{i=-\infty}^{L-2} 2^{\eta(0)iq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim E_f.
\end{aligned}$$

If $0 < q(1 + \epsilon) \leq 1$, then we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \right)^{q(1+\epsilon)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^{q(1+\epsilon)}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, a_i \geq 0, \quad (3.4)$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_T^1 &\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L \sum_{i=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)i} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{bq(1+\epsilon)(i-k)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{i=-\infty}^{L-2} 2^{\eta(0)iq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \sum_{k=i+2}^L 2^{bq(1+\epsilon)(i-k)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{i=-\infty}^{L-2} 2^{\eta(0)iq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\ &\lesssim E_f. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by using Lemmas 2.5 and 3.2, we have

$$2^{-kn} \|\mathbf{1}_k\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\mathbf{1}_i\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}} \lesssim 2^{-kn} \|\mathbf{1}_{B_i}\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}} |B_k| \|\mathbf{1}_{B_k}\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}}^{-1} \lesssim 2^{n\omega_2(i-k)}.$$

$$H_T^1 \lesssim 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{\eta_\infty i} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{b_1(i-k)} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}}$$

here $b_1 = n\omega_2 - \eta_\infty > 0$.

For $1 < q(1 + \epsilon) < \infty$, using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_T^1 &\lesssim 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L \sum_{i=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{\eta_\infty i q(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{\frac{b_q(i-k)}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{\frac{b_1(q(1+\epsilon))'(i-k)}{2}} \right)^{\frac{q(1+\epsilon)}{q(1+\epsilon)'}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L \sum_{i=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{\eta_\infty i q(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{\frac{b_1 q(1+\epsilon)(i-k)}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\leq 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L \sum_{i=-2}^{k-2} 2^{\eta_\infty i q(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^q 2^{\frac{b_1 q(1+\epsilon)(i-k)}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\quad + 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-3} 2^{\eta_\infty i q(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{\frac{b_1 q(1+\epsilon)(i-k)}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&= I_1 + I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we consider I_1 and I_2 respectively. Due to $b_1 > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{i=-2}^{L-2} 2^{\eta_\infty i q(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \sum_{k=i+2}^L 2^{\frac{b_1 q(1+\epsilon)(i-k)}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{i=-2}^{L-2} 2^{\eta_\infty i q(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim H_f.
\end{aligned}$$

Because $L > 0, b_1 > 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\lesssim 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-3} 2^{\frac{b_1 q(1+\epsilon)(i-k)}{2}} \left[\sum_{m=-\infty}^i 2^{\eta_\infty m q(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_m \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&= 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-3} 2^{\frac{b_1 q(1+\epsilon)(i-k)}{2}} \cdot 2^{i q(1+\epsilon) \lambda} H_f^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \left(\sum_{k=0}^L 2^{-kb_1q(1+\epsilon)/2} \right) \left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{-3} 2^{(b_1/2+\lambda)q(1+\epsilon)i} \right) H_f^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \left(\sum_{k=0}^L 2^{-kb_1q(1+\epsilon)/2} \right) \left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^L 2^{(b_1/2+\lambda)q(1+\epsilon)i} \right) H_f^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-L\lambda} 2^{-Lb_1/2} 2^{(b_1/2+\lambda)L} H_f \\
&= H_f.
\end{aligned}$$

For $0 < q(1 + \epsilon) \leq 1$, using (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
H_T^1 &\lesssim 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{\eta_\infty iq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{b_1(i-k)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L \sum_{i=-2}^{k-2} 2^{\eta_\infty iq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{b_1(i-k)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\quad + 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-3} 2^{\eta_\infty iq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{b_1(i-k)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&=: J_1 + J_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to Step 2 $H_T^2 \lesssim H_f$ is also true for $0 < q(1 + \epsilon) \leq 1$.

Step 3. Let $\forall i \geq k + 2, x \in R_k$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T^r(g_j^i)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} &\lesssim \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(2^{-in} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g_j^i(y)| \, dy \right)^r \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
&= 2^{-in} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g_j^i(y)| \, dy \right)^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-in} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j^i|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \, dy.
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
E_T^3 &\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \left\| \mathbf{1}_k \sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{-in} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j^i|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} dy \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \|\mathbf{1}_k\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \left(\sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{-in} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j^i|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} dy \right)^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)q(1+\epsilon)k} \|\mathbf{1}_k\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \left(\sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{-in} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\mathbf{1}_i\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \right)^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\quad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemmas 2.5 and 3.2 again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{-in} \|\mathbf{1}_k\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\mathbf{1}_i\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}} &\leq 2^{-in} \|\mathbf{1}_{B_k}\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\mathbf{1}_{B_i}\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-in} \|\mathbf{1}_{B_k}\|_{p(\cdot)} |B_i| \|\mathbf{1}_{B_i}\|_{p(\cdot)}^{-1} \\
&\lesssim 2^{n\omega_1(k-i)}.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

We put (3.6) into (3.5) and get

$$\begin{aligned}
E_T^3 &\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{\eta(0)kq(1+\epsilon)} \left(\sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)} 2^{n\omega_1(k-i)} \right)^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L \left(\sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{\eta(0)k} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)} 2^{n\omega_1(k-i)} \right)^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L \left(\sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{\eta(0)i} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)} 2^{d(k-i)} \right)^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}}. \\
&\quad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

where $d := n\omega_1 + \eta(0) > 0$.

Let $1 < q(1+\epsilon) < \infty$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
E_T^3 &\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L \left(\sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{\eta(0)iq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{\frac{dq(1+\epsilon)(k-i)}{2}} \right) \left(\sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{d(q(1+\epsilon))'(k-i)}{2}} \right) \right\} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L \sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{\eta(0)iq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{\frac{dq(1+\epsilon)(k-i)}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L \sum_{i=k+2}^{L+2} 2^{\eta(0)iq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{\frac{dq(1+\epsilon)(k-i)}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L \sum_{i=L+3}^{\infty} 2^{\eta(0)iq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{\frac{dq(1+\epsilon)(k-i)}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&=: I_3 + I_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we consider I_3 and I_4 respectively. For $d > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{i=-\infty}^{L+2} 2^{\eta(0)iq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{i-2} 2^{\frac{dq(1+\epsilon)(k-i)}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{i=-\infty}^{L+2} 2^{\eta(0)iq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim E_f.
\end{aligned}$$

If $d > 0$ and $\lambda - d/2 < 0$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L \sum_{i=L+3}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{dq(1+\epsilon)(k-i)}{2}} \left[\sum_{m=-\infty}^i 2^{\eta(0)mq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_m \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=-\infty}^L \sum_{i=L+3}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{dq(1+\epsilon)(k-i)}{2}} \cdot 2^{iq(1+\epsilon)\lambda} E_f^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^L 2^{dq(1+\epsilon)k/2} \right) \left(\sum_{i=L+3}^{\infty} 2^{(\lambda-d/2)q(1+\epsilon)i} \right) E_f^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ 2^{-Lq(1+\epsilon)\lambda} 2^{dq(1+\epsilon)L/2} 2^{(\lambda-d/2)q(1+\epsilon)L} E_f^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&= E_f.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get $E_T^3 \lesssim E_f$.

For $0 < q(1+\epsilon) \leq 1$, then using (3.4) in (3.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
E_T^3 &\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^L \sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{\eta(0)iq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{dq(k-i)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^L \sum_{i=k+2}^{L+2} 2^{\eta(0)iq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{dq(1+\epsilon)(k-i)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\quad + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{L \leq 0, L \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^L \sum_{i=L+3}^{\infty} 2^{\eta(0)iq(1+\epsilon)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{dq(1+\epsilon)(k-i)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&=: J_3 + J_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we conclude that $E_T^3 \lesssim E_f$ holds for $0 < q(1+\epsilon) \leq 1$.

Then we consider H_T^3 . Similarly, we have

$$H_T^3 \lesssim 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L \left(\sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{\eta_\infty i} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{d_1(k-i)} \right)^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \quad (3.8)$$

here $d_1 = n\omega_1 + \eta_\infty > 0$.

If $1 < q(1+\epsilon) < \infty$, then Hölder's inequality yields

$$H_T^3 \lesssim 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^\theta \sum_{k=0}^L \left(\sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{\eta_\infty q(1+\epsilon)i} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{\frac{d_1 q(1+\epsilon)(k-i)}{2}} \right) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left(\sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{d_1(q(1+\epsilon))'(k-i)}{2}} \right)^{\frac{q(1+\epsilon)}{(q(1+\epsilon))^r}} \Bigg\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^{\theta} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{1}{q}} \sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{\eta_{\infty} q(1+\epsilon)i} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{\frac{d_1 q(1+\epsilon)(k-i)}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \leq 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^{\theta} \sum_{k=0}^L \sum_{i=k+2}^{L+2} 2^{\eta_{\infty} q(1+\epsilon)i} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{\frac{d_1 q(1+\epsilon)(k-i)}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \quad + 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^{\theta} \sum_{k=0}^L \sum_{i=L+3}^{\infty} 2^{\eta_{\infty} q(1+\epsilon)i} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{\frac{d_1 q(1+\epsilon)(k-i)}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& =: I_5 + I_6.
\end{aligned}$$

Because $d_1 > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_5 &= 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^{\theta} \sum_{i=2}^{L+2} 2^{\eta_{\infty} q(1+\epsilon)i} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \sum_{k=0}^{i-2} 2^{\frac{d_1 q(k-i)}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^{\theta} \sum_{i=2}^{L+2} 2^{\eta_{\infty} q(1+\epsilon)i} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\lesssim H_f.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $d_1 > 0$ and $\lambda - d_1/2 < 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_6 &= 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^{\theta} \sum_{k=0}^L \sum_{i=L+3}^{\infty} 2^{\eta_{\infty} q(1+\epsilon)i} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{\frac{d_1 q(1+\epsilon)(k-i)}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
&\leq 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^{\theta} \left(\sum_{k=0}^L 2^{\frac{d_1 q(1+\epsilon)k}{2}} \right) \left(\sum_{i=L+3}^{\infty} 2^{(\lambda - d_1/2)q(1+\epsilon)i} \right) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left[\sum_{m=0}^i 2^{\eta_{\infty} q(1+\epsilon)m} \left\| 2^{-i\lambda} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_m \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} \right]^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-L\lambda} 2^{d_1/2L} 2^{(\lambda-d_1/2)L} H_f \\
& = H_f.
\end{aligned}$$

For $0 < q(1+\epsilon) \leq 1$, we use (3.4) in (3.8) and have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_T^3 & \lesssim 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^{\theta} \sum_{k=0}^L \sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{\eta_{\infty} q(1+\epsilon)i} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{d_1 q(1+\epsilon)(k-i)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \leq 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^{\theta} \sum_{k=0}^L \sum_{i=k+2}^{L+2} 2^{\eta_{\infty} q(1+\epsilon)i} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{d_1 q(1+\epsilon)(k-i)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& \quad + 2^{-L\lambda} \left\{ \epsilon^{\theta} \sum_{k=0}^L \sum_{i=L+3}^{\infty} 2^{\eta_{\infty} q(1+\epsilon)i} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathbf{1}_i \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(1+\epsilon)} 2^{d_1 q(1+\epsilon)(k-i)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(1+\epsilon)}} \\
& = : I_J + J_6.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can get $H_T^3 \lesssim H_f$ where $0 < q(1+\epsilon) \leq 1$.

Hence we completes our proof.

Now we turn to prove Theorem 2.13. Because the proofs of B -parts and F -parts are similar, we only prove F -parts below. Our proof will use the idea that comes from [59]. To continue, we recall some lemmas.

Lemma 14. [60]

Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $-1 \leq M \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$D^{\tau} \hat{\mu}(0) = 0 \quad \text{for all } |\tau| \leq M.$$

Then for any $N > 0$ there is a constant C_N such that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\mu_t * \nu(z)| (1 + |z|)^N \leq C_N t^{M+1},$$

where $\mu_t(x) = t^{-n} \mu\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)$ for all $0 < t \leq 2$.

Lemma 15. [60] If $\omega > 0$ and $q \in (0, \infty]$. Then for a sequence $\{g_j\}_0^\infty$, we have

$$G_j = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} 2^{-|\ell-j|\omega} g_\ell.$$

Then

$$\|\{G_j\}_0^\infty\|_{\ell_q} \leq C \|\{g_j\}_0^\infty\|_{\ell_q}. \quad (3.9)$$

Lemma 16. If $\kappa, q \in (0, \infty]$, $\omega > 0$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and η, q, p , are same as given in Theorem 2.8. For a sequence $\{g_j\}_0^\infty$, we have

$$G_j(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-|k-j|\omega} g_k(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then there are some constants $C_1 = C_1(q, \omega)$ and $C_2 = C_2(p(\cdot), q, \omega)$ such that

$$\|\{G_j\}_{j=0}^\infty\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}(\ell_\kappa)} \leq C_1 \|\{g_j\}_{j=0}^\infty\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}(\ell_\kappa)} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\|\{G_j\}_{j=0}^\infty\|_{\ell_\kappa(\dot{M}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta})} \leq C_2 \|\{g_j\}_{j=0}^\infty\|_{\ell_\kappa(\dot{M}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta})}. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof.

Firstly, (3.10) follows immediately from Lemma 3.3. Next we prove (3.11) for $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and we separate it into two cases.

Case 1. $p_- \geq 1, q \geq 1$. Because $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}}$ is a norm, we have

$$\|G_j\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-|k-j|\omega} \|g_k\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}}.$$

Using Lemma 3.4, we get (3.11).

Case 2. If $q < 1$, let $p_0 < \min(p_-, q)$ then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_j\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}}^{p_0} &= \| |G_j|^{p_0} \|_{\dot{M}_{p_0 \lambda, p(\cdot)/p_0}^{p_0 \eta(\cdot), q/p_0, p_0 \theta}} \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-|k-j|p_0 \omega} |g_k|^{p_0} \right\|_{\dot{M}_{p_0 \lambda, p(\cdot)/p_0}^{p_0 \eta(\cdot), q/p_0, p_0 \theta}} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-|k-j|p_0 \omega} \| |g_k|^{p_0} \|_{\dot{M}_{p_0 \lambda, p(\cdot)/p_0}^{p_0 \eta(\cdot), q/p_0, p_0 \theta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\{G_j\}\|_{\ell_\kappa(M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta})}^{p_0} &= \|\{|G_j|^{p_0}\}\|_{\ell_{\kappa/p_0}(M\dot{K}_{p_0\lambda,p(\cdot)/p_0}^{p_0\eta(\cdot),q/p_0,p_0\theta})} \\
&\lesssim \|\{|g_k|^{p_0}\}\|_{\ell_{\kappa/p_0}(M\dot{K}_{p_0\lambda,p(\cdot)/p_0}^{p_0\eta(\cdot),q/p_0,p_0\theta})} \\
&= \|\{g_k\}\|_{\ell_\kappa(M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta})}^{p_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the power $1/p_0$, we get (3.11).

Lemma 17 ([61], Theorem 6). Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is the resolution of unity, $R \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists functions $\theta_0, \theta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{supp } \theta, \text{supp } \theta_0 &\subseteq \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y| \leq 1\}, \\
|\widehat{\theta}_0(\varrho)| &> 0 \quad \text{on } \{|\varrho| < 2\varepsilon\}, \\
|\widehat{\theta}(\varrho)| &> 0 \quad \text{on } \left\{\frac{\varepsilon}{2} < |\varrho| < 2\varepsilon\right\}, \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^\gamma \theta(x) dy &= 0, \quad \forall \gamma, 0 < |\gamma| \leq R,
\end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\widehat{\theta}_0(\varrho)\widehat{\psi}_0(\varrho) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\theta}(p^{-j}\varrho)\widehat{\psi}(p^{-j}\varrho) = 1, \quad \forall \varrho \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and $\psi_0, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are given as

$$\widehat{\psi}_0(\varrho) = \frac{\varphi_0(\varrho)}{\widehat{\theta}_0(\varrho)}, \quad \widehat{\psi}(\varrho) = \frac{\varphi_1(2\varrho)}{\widehat{\theta}(\varrho)}.$$

Proof. Now we will give the proof of Theorem 2.13.

Step 1. Let $g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta} F_{\kappa}^s}^{(2)} \lesssim \|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta} F_{\kappa}^s}^{(1)} \lesssim \|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta} F_{\kappa}^s}^{(2)}.$$

Using Lemmas 3.2 and 3.5, and the fact $r < \min\{p_-, \kappa\}$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_1^2 \left|2^{ls} (\Phi_{2^{-l}t}^* g)_a(x) \right|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{r/\kappa} &\lesssim \sum_{k \in l + \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{(l-k)(Nr-n+rs)} 2^{krs} \\
&\times \mathcal{M} \left[\left(\int_1^2 |((\Phi_k)_t * g)(\cdot)|^{\kappa \frac{dt}{t}} \right)^{r/\kappa} \right] (x).
\end{aligned}$$

If $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_0 = r \in (n/a, < \min\{p_-, \kappa\})$, $N > \max\{0, -s\} + a$ and $\omega := N + s - d/r > 0$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_1^2 \left| 2^{ls} (\Phi_{2^{-l}}^* g)_a(x) \right|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{r/\kappa} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k \in l + \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{-\omega r |l-k|} 2^{krs} \mathcal{M} \left[\left(\int_1^2 |((\Phi_k)_t * g)(\cdot)|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{r/\kappa} \right] (x). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.5 in $M\dot{K}_{r\lambda, p(\cdot)/r}^{r\eta(\cdot), q/r, r\theta}(\ell_{\kappa/r})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\{ \left(\int_1^2 \left| 2^{ls} (\Phi_{2^{-l}}^* g)_a(x) \right|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{r/\kappa} \right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{r\lambda, p(\cdot)/r}^{r\eta(\cdot), q/r, r\theta}(\ell_{\kappa/r})} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left[\left(\int_1^2 \left| 2^{ks} ((\Phi_l)_t * g)(\cdot) \right|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{r/\kappa} \right] \right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{r\lambda, p(\cdot)/r}^{r\eta(\cdot), q/r, r\theta}(\ell_{\kappa/r})} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.8 yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\{ \left(\int_1^2 \left| 2^{ls} (\Phi_{2^{-l}}^* g)_a(x) \right|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{r/\kappa} \right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{r\lambda, p(\cdot)/r}^{r\eta(\cdot), q/r, r\theta}(\ell_{\kappa/r})} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \left\{ \left(\int_1^2 \left| 2^{ks} ((\Phi_l)_t * g)(\cdot) \right|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{r/\kappa} \right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{r\lambda, p(\cdot)/r}^{r\eta(\cdot), q/r, r\theta}(\ell_{\kappa/r})} \\ & = \left\| \left\{ \left(\int_1^2 \left| 2^{ks} ((\Phi_l)_t * g)(\cdot) \right|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\kappa} \right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}(\ell_\kappa)}^r. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\int_0^1 \left| \lambda^{-s} (\Phi_\lambda^* g)_a(\cdot) \right|^\kappa \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \right)^{1/\kappa} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}} \\ & \approx \left\| \left(\sum_{l=1}^\infty \int_1^2 \left| 2^{ls} (\Phi_{2^{-l}}^* g)_a(\cdot) \right|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\kappa} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \left\{ \left(\int_1^2 \left| 2^{ls} \Phi_{2^{-l}} * g(\cdot) \right|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\kappa} \right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}(\ell_\kappa)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\approx \left\| \left(\int_0^1 |\lambda^{-s} \Phi_\lambda * g(\cdot)|^\kappa \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \right)^{1/\kappa} \right\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}}.$$

This proves $\|g\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta} F_\kappa^s}^{(2)} \lesssim \|g\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta} F_\kappa^s}^{(1)}$.

Step 2. Suppose that $\Psi_0, \Psi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

$$\|g\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta} F_\kappa^s(\mathbb{R}^n, \Psi)}^{(4)} \lesssim \|g\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta} F_\kappa^s(\mathbb{R}^n, \Phi)}^{(2)}. \quad (3.11)$$

By applying Lemmas 3.5 and 3.2, and the fact $\omega = \min\{1, S+1-s\}$, then for $g \in \mathcal{S}$, we get

$$2^{ls} (\Psi_l^* g)_a(x) \leq C \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{-|k-l|\omega} 2^{ks} (\Phi_{2^{-k}t}^* g)_a(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } t \in [1, 2]. \quad (3.12)$$

If $\kappa \geq 1$. By using the $\left(\int_1^2 |\cdot|^\kappa dt/t\right)^{1/\kappa}$, we get

$$2^{ls} (\Psi_l^* g)_a(x) \lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{-|k-l|\omega} 2^{ks} \left(\int_1^2 |(\Phi_{2^{-k}t}^* g)_a(x)|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\kappa}.$$

Applying Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$\left\| \left\{ 2^{ls} (\Psi_l^* g)_a \right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}(\ell_\kappa)} \lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{ks\kappa} \int_1^2 |(\Phi_{2^{-k}t}^* g)_a(x)|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\kappa} \right\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda, p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot), q, \theta}}.$$

Hence we obtain the required result.

If $\kappa < 1$, then $\left(\int_1^2 |\cdot|^\kappa dt/t\right)^{1/\kappa}$ is not the norm. Thus we get

$$\left(2^{ls} (\Psi_l^* g)_a(x) \right)^\kappa \lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{-\kappa|k-l|\omega} 2^{ks\kappa} \int_1^2 |(\Phi_{2^{-k}t}^* g)_a(x)|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Convolution $(\gamma * \eta)_\ell$ of the sequences yields

$$\gamma_k = 2^{-|k|\omega\kappa} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_k = 2^{ks\kappa} \int_1^2 |(\Phi_{2^{-k}t}^* g)_a(x)|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t}$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, using ℓ_1 -norm gives as

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2^{ls} (\Psi_l^* g)_a(x) \right\|_{\ell_\kappa}^\kappa &\leq \|\gamma\|_{\ell_1} \cdot \|\tau\|_{\ell_1} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{ks\kappa} \int_1^2 |(\Phi_{2^{-k}t}^* g)_a(x)|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking $(\dots)^{1/\kappa}$ and using $\dot{K}_{p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta}$ -norm. We obtain desired result (3.11). Similarly, for any $g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we obtain

$$\|g\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta} F_{\kappa}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, \Phi)}^{(2)} \lesssim \|g\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta} F_{\kappa}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, \Psi)}^{(4)}.$$

Step 3. Using $t = 1$ in **Step 1**, we get

$$\|g\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta} F_{\kappa}^s}^{(5)} \lesssim \|g\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta} F_{\kappa}^s}^{(4)} \lesssim \|g\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta} F_{\kappa}^s}^{(5)}.$$

Step 4. We show (2.15) is equivalent to the rest.

First, we will show that for any $g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\|g\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta} F_{\kappa}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{(2)} \lesssim \|g\|_{\dot{M}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta} F_{\kappa}^s}^{(3)}. \quad (3.13)$$

For $0 < r < \min\{p_-, \kappa\}$, see [59], there exists a positive constant C such that for any $g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_1^2 |(\Psi_{2^{-l}}^* g)_a(x)|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{r/\kappa} \\ & \leq C \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{-kNs} 2^{(k+l)n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\left(\int_1^2 \int_{|z| < 2^{-(k+l)t}} |((\Phi_{k+l})_t * g)(z+y)|^\kappa dz \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{r/\kappa}}{(1 + 2^l|x-y|)^{ar}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Let $ar > n$, we get

$$g_l(y) := \frac{2^{nl}}{(1 + 2^l|y|)^{ar}}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_1^2 |2^{ls} (\Phi_{2^{-l}t}^* g)_a(x)|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{r/\kappa} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{-kNr} 2^{kn} 2^{lsr} \left[g_l * \left(\int_1^2 \int_{|z| < 2^{-(k+l)t}} |((\Phi_{k+l})_t * g)(z+\cdot)|^\kappa dz \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{r/\kappa} \right](x). \end{aligned}$$

By applying the majorant property see [62] to obtain

$$\left(\int_1^2 |2^{ls} (\Phi_{2^{-l}t}^* g)_a(x)|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{r/\kappa}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{lsr} 2^{k(-Nr+n)} \mathcal{M} \left[\left(\int_1^2 \int_{|z| < 2^{-(k+l)t}} |((\Phi_{k+l})_t * g)(z + \cdot)|^\kappa dz \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{r/\kappa} \right] (x).$$

An index shift on the right-hand side gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_1^2 \left| 2^{ls} (\Phi_{2^{-l}}^* g)_a(x) \right|^\kappa \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{r/\kappa} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k \in l + \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{lsr} 2^{(k-l)(-Nr+n)} \mathcal{M} \left[\left(\int_1^2 \int_{|z| < 2^{-kt}} |((\Phi_k)_t * g)(z + \cdot)|^\kappa dz \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{r/\kappa} \right] (x) \\ & = \sum_{k \in l + \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{(l-k)(Nr-n+rs)} 2^{krs} \mathcal{M} \left[\left(\int_1^2 \int_{|z| < 2^{-kt}} |((\Phi_k)_t * g)(z + \cdot)|^\kappa dz \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{r/\kappa} \right] (x). \end{aligned}$$

It is simple to note that $\|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta} F_\kappa^s}^{(3)} \lesssim \|g\|_{M\dot{K}_{\lambda,p(\cdot)}^{\eta(\cdot),q),\theta} F_\kappa^s}^{(2)}$, since for any $t > 0$

$$\frac{1}{t^n} \int_{|z| < t} |(\Phi_t * g)(x + z)| dz \lesssim \sup_{|z| < t} \frac{|(\Phi_t * g)(x + z)|}{(1 + 1/t|z|)^a} \lesssim (\Phi_t^* g)_a(x).$$

Hence, we complete the proof.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we proved the boundedness results for vector-valued sublinear operators on grand variable Herz-Morrey spaces. Then we define the idea of grand variable Herz-Morrey type Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and proved equivalent quasi-norms by Peetre's maximal operators in these spaces under some proper assumptions.

5. Ethics declarations

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- [1] D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza, C. Martell, and C. Pérez. The boundedness of classical operators on variable l^p spaces. *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae Mathematica*, 31(1):239–264, 2006.
- [2] D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza, and C. Neugebauer. The maximal function on variable l^p spaces. *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae Mathematica*, 28(1):223–238, 2003.

- [3] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, and M. Ruzicka. *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents*. Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [4] A. Nekvinda. Hardy-littlewood maximal operator on $l^{p(x)}$. *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, 7(2):255–265, 2004.
- [5] A. Almeida and D. Drihem. Maximal, potential and singular type operators on herz spaces with variable exponents. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 394(2):781–795, 2012.
- [6] A. Almeida, J. Hasanov, and S. Samko. Maximal and potential operators in variable exponent morrey spaces. *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, 15(2):195–208, 2008.
- [7] A. Almeida and P. Hästö. Besov spaces with variable smoothness and integrability. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 258(5):1628–1655, 2010.
- [8] L. Diening, P. Hästö, and S. Roudenko. Function spaces of variable smoothness and integrability. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 256(6):1731–1768, 2009.
- [9] J. Fu and J. Xu. Characterizations of morrey type besov and triebel-lizorkin spaces with variable exponents. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 381(1):280–298, 2011.
- [10] P. A. Hästö. Local-to-global results in variable exponent spaces. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 16(2):263–278, 2009.
- [11] K. P. Ho. Vector-valued singular integral operators on morrey type spaces and variable triebel-lizorkin-morrey spaces. *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae Mathematica*, 37(1):375–406, 2012.
- [12] M. Izuki. Fractional integrals on herz-morrey spaces with variable exponent. *Hiroshima Mathematical Journal*, 40(3):343–355, 2010.
- [13] H. Kempka. 2-microlocal besov and triebel-lizorkin spaces of variable integrability. *Revista Matemática Complutense*, 22(1):227–251, 2009.
- [14] H. Kempka. Atomic, molecular and wavelet decomposition of generalized 2-microlocal besov spaces. *Journal of Function Spaces and Applications*, 8(2):129–165, 2010.
- [15] E. Nakai and Y. Sawano. Hardy spaces with variable exponents and generalized campanato spaces. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 262(9):3665–3748, 2012.
- [16] M. A. Ragusa. Embeddings for morrey-lorentz spaces. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 154(2):491–499, 2012.
- [17] S. Samko. Variable exponent herz spaces. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, 10(4):2007–2025, 2013.
- [18] C. Shi and J. Xu. Herz type besov and triebel-lizorkin spaces with variable exponents. *Frontiers of Mathematics in China*, 8(4):907–921, 2013.
- [19] J. Xu. Variable besov spaces and triebel-lizorkin spaces. *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae Mathematica*, 33(2):511–522, 2008.
- [20] J. Xu. The relation between variable besov potential spaces and triebel-lizorkin spaces. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 9(8):599–605, 2008.
- [21] M. Sultan, B. Sultan, A. Aloqaily, and N. Mlaiki. Boundedness of some operators on grand herz spaces with variable exponent. *AIMS Mathematics*, 8:12964–12985, 2023.
- [22] B. Sultan, M. Sultan, and I. Khan. On sobolev theorem for higher commutators of fractional integrals in grand variable herz spaces. *Communications in Nonlinear*

Science and Numerical Simulation, 126, 2023.

- [23] B. Sultan and M. Sultan. Boundedness of commutators of rough hardy operators on grand variable herz spaces. *Forum Mathematicum*, 2023.
- [24] B. Sultan, F. M. Azmi, M. Sultan, T. Mahmood, N. Mlaiki, and N. Souayah. Boundedness of fractional integrals on grand weighted herz–morrey spaces with variable exponent. *Fractal and Fractional*, 6(11), 2022.
- [25] B. Sultan, M. Sultan, Q. Q. Zhang, and N. Mlaiki. Boundedness of hardy operators on grand variable weighted herz spaces. *AIMS Mathematics*, 8(10):24515–24527, 2023.
- [26] B. Sultan, M. Sultan, M. Mehmood, F. Azmi, M. A. Alghaffi, and N. Mlaiki. Boundedness of fractional integrals on grand weighted herz spaces with variable exponent. *AIMS Mathematics*, 8:752–764, 2023.
- [27] B. Sultan, A. Hussain, and M. Sultan. Characterization of generalized campanato spaces with variable exponents via fractional integrals. *Journal of Pseudo-Differential Operators and Applications*, 16:22, 2025.
- [28] B. Sultan, M. Sultan, and A. Hussain. Boundedness of the bochner–riesz operators on the weighted herz–morrey type hardy spaces. *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, 19:49, 2025.
- [29] M. Sultan and B. Sultan. A note on the boundedness of higher order commutators on fractional integrals in grand variable herz–morrey spaces. *Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics*, 50(7):1063–1080, 2026.
- [30] M. Sultan, B. Sultan, and R. E. Castillo. Weighted composition operator on gamma spaces with variable exponent. *Journal of Pseudo-Differential Operators and Applications*, 15:46, 2024.
- [31] B. Sultan and M. Sultan. Sobolev-type theorem for commutators of hardy operators in grand herz spaces. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, 76:1196–1213, 2024.
- [32] A. Hussain, I. Khan, and A. Mohamed. Variable herz–morrey estimates for rough fractional hausdorff operator. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 33, 2024.
- [33] A. Ajaib and A. Hussain. Weighted cbmo estimates for commutators of matrix hausdorff operator on the heisenberg group. *Open Mathematics*, 18(1):496–511, 2020.
- [34] A. Hussain and A. Ajaib. Some results for the commutators of generalized hausdorff operator. *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, 13(4):1129–1146, 2019.
- [35] J. Younas, A. Hussain, H. Alhazmi, A. F. Aljohani, and I. Khan. Bmo estimates for commutators of the rough fractional hausdorff operator on grand-variable-herz–morrey spaces. *AIMS Mathematics*, 9(9):23434–23448, 2024.
- [36] E. Hernández and D. Yang. Interpolation of herz spaces and applications. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 205(1):69–87, 1999.
- [37] X. Li and D. Yang. Boundedness of some sublinear operators on herz spaces. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 40(3):484–501, 1996.
- [38] S. Lu. Multipliers and herz type spaces. *Science in China Series A: Mathematics*, 51(10):1919–1936, 2008.
- [39] S. Lu, K. Yabuta, and D. Yang. The boundedness of some sublinear operators in weighted herz-type spaces. *Kodai Mathematical Journal*, 23(3):391–410, 2000.
- [40] S. Lu and D. Yang. The decomposition of the weighted herz spaces on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and its

- application. *Science in China Series A: Mathematics*, 38(2):147–158, 1995.
- [41] S. Lu, D. Yang, and G. Hu. Herz type spaces and their applications. 2008.
 - [42] M. Sultan, B. Sultan, and A. Hussain. Grand herz–morrey spaces with variable exponent. *Mathematical Notes*, 114:957–977, 2023.
 - [43] L. Tang and D. Yang. Boundedness of vector-valued operators on weighted herz spaces. *Approximation Theory and its Applications*, 16(2):58–70, 2000.
 - [44] J. Xu and D. Yang. Applications of herz-type triebel-lizorkin spaces. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 23B(3):328–338, 2003.
 - [45] J. Xu. A discrete characterization of the herz-type triebel-lizorkin spaces and its applications. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 24B(3):412–420, 2004.
 - [46] J. Xu. Equivalent norms of herz type besov and triebel-lizorkin spaces. *Journal of Function Spaces and Applications*, 3(1):17–31, 2005.
 - [47] J. Xu. Herz-type triebel-lizorkin spaces (i). *Acta Mathematica Sinica (English Series)*, 21(3):643–654, 2005.
 - [48] M. Izuki. Boundedness of vector-valued sublinear operators on herz-morrey spaces with variable exponent. *Mathematical Sciences Research Journal*, 13(2):243–253, 2009.
 - [49] B. Sultan, F. Azmi, M. Sultan, M. Mehmood, and N. Mlaiki. Boundedness of riesz potential operator on grand herz-morrey spaces. *Axioms*, 11(11):583, 2022.
 - [50] B. Sultan and M. Sultan. Boundedness of higher order commutators of hardy operators on grand herz–morrey spaces. *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, 190:103373, 2024.
 - [51] B. Sultan, M. Sultan, and F. Gürbüz. Bmo estimate for the higher order commutators of marcinkiewicz integral operator on grand variable herz–morrey spaces. *Communications of the Faculty of Sciences University of Ankara Series A1 Mathematics and Statistics*, 72(4):1000–1018, 2023.
 - [52] M. Sultan, B. Sultan, A. Khan, and T. Abdeljawad. Boundedness of marcinkiewicz integral operator of variable order in grand herz–morrey spaces. *AIMS Mathematics*, 8(9):22338–22353, 2023.
 - [53] B. Dong and J. Xu. New herz type besov and triebel-lizorkin spaces with variable exponents. *Journal of Function Spaces and Applications*, 2012:384593, 2012.
 - [54] B. Dong and J. Xu. Herz-morrey type besov and triebel-lizorkin spaces with variable exponents. *Banach Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 9(1):75–101, 2015.
 - [55] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník. On spaces $l^{p(x)}$ and $w^{k,p(x)}$. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, 41(4):592–618, 1991.
 - [56] D. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza. *Variable Lebesgue Spaces: Foundations and Harmonic Analysis*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
 - [57] M. Izuki. Boundedness of commutators on herz spaces with variable exponent. *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, 59(2):199–213, 2010.
 - [58] J. Peetre. On spaces of triebel-lizorkin type. *Arkiv för Matematik*, 13(1-2):123–130, 1975.
 - [59] T. Ullrich. Continuous characterizations of besov-lizorkin-triebel spaces and new

- interpretations as coorbits. *Journal of Function Spaces and Applications*, 2012:163213, 2012.
- [60] V. S. Rychkov. On a theorem of bui, paluszyński, and taibleson. *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, 227:286–298, 1999.
- [61] M. Frazier and B. Jawerth. Decomposition of besov spaces. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 34(4):777–799, 1985.
- [62] E. Stein and G. Weiss. *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1971.