



Almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -Continuous Multifunctions

Prapart Pue-on¹, Areeyuth Sama-Ae², Chawalit Boonpok^{1,*}

¹ *Mathematics and Applied Mathematics Research Unit, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mahasarakham University, Maha Sarakham, 44150, Thailand*

² *Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science and Technology, Prince of Songkla University, Pattani Campus, Pattani, 94000, Thailand*

Abstract. This paper introduces new concepts of continuous multifunctions defined between a generalized topological space and a bitopological space, namely upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunctions and lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunctions. Moreover, several characterizations and some properties concerning upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunctions and lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunctions are investigated. Furthermore, the relationships between $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuity and almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuity are considered.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 54C08, 54C60

Key Words and Phrases: Upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunction, lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunction

1. Introduction

The concept of almost continuous functions was introduced by Singal and Singal [1]. Munshi and Bassan [2] studied the notion of almost semi-continuous functions. Noiri [3] introduced and investigated the concept of almost α -continuous functions. Nasef and Noiri [4] introduced two classes of functions, namely almost precontinuous functions and almost β -continuous functions. The class of almost precontinuity is a generalization of almost α -continuity. The class of almost β -continuity is a generalization of almost semi-continuity. The concepts of generalized topological spaces and generalized neighborhood systems were introduced by Császár [5]. The classes of topological spaces and neighborhood systems are contained in the classes of generalized topological spaces and generalized neighborhood systems, respectively. Moreover, Császár [5] introduced two kinds of generalized continuous functions by utilizing the concepts of generalized topological spaces and generalized neighborhood systems. Kanibir and Reilly [6] extended the concept of generalized continuous functions to multifunctions and introduced generalized continuous

*Corresponding author.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v18i4.7052>

Email addresses: prapart.p@msu.ac.th (P. Pue-on),
areeyuth.s@psu.ac.th (A. Sama-Ae), chawalit.b@msu.ac.th (C. Boonpok)

multifunctions between generalized topological spaces. On the other hand, the present authors introduced and investigated four classes of multifunctions defined from a generalized topological space into a generalized topological space, namely upper almost $\beta(\mu_X, \mu_Y)$ -continuous multifunctions [7], lower almost $\beta(\mu_X, \mu_Y)$ -continuous multifunctions [7], upper $\alpha(\mu_X, \mu_Y)$ -continuous multifunctions [8] and lower $\alpha(\mu_X, \mu_Y)$ -continuous multifunctions [8]. Pue-on et al. [9] introduced and studied the concepts of upper (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous multifunctions and lower (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous multifunctions. Klanarong et al. [10] introduced and investigated the notions of upper almost (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous multifunctions and lower almost (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous multifunctions. Quite recently, Viriyapong et al. [11] presented new classes of continuous multifunctions between an ideal topological space and a bitopological space, namely upper almost $\tau^*(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunctions and lower almost $\tau^*(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunctions. Furthermore, several characterizations and some properties of upper almost $\tau^*(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunctions and lower almost $\tau^*(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunctions were discussed in [11]. In this paper, we introduce new classes of multifunctions between a generalized topological space and a bitopological space, namely upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunctions and lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunctions. We also investigate several characterizations of upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunctions and lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunctions.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the present paper, spaces (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) (or simply X and Y) always mean bitopological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. Let A be a subset of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . The closure of A and the interior of A with respect to τ_i are denoted by $\tau_i\text{-Cl}(A)$ and $\tau_i\text{-Int}(A)$, respectively, for $i = 1, 2$. A subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed [12] if $A = \tau_1\text{-Cl}(\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A))$. The complement of a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed set is called $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open. The intersection of all $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed sets of X containing A is called the $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closure [12] of A and is denoted by $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A)$. The union of all $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open sets of X contained in A is called the $\tau_1\tau_2$ -interior [12] of A and is denoted by $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Int}(A)$.

Lemma 1. [12] *Let A and B be subsets of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . For the $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closure, the following properties hold:*

- (1) $A \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A)$ and $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A)) = \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A)$.
- (2) If $A \subseteq B$, then $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A) \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(B)$.
- (3) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A)$ is $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed.
- (4) A is $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed if and only if $A = \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A)$.
- (5) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(X - A) = X - \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Int}(A)$.

A subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -open [13] (resp. $(\tau_1, \tau_2)s$ -open [14], $(\tau_1, \tau_2)p$ -open [14], $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\beta$ -open [14]) if $A = \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Int}(\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A))$ (resp. $A \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Int}(A))$, $A \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Int}(\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A))$, $A \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Int}(\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A)))$). The complement of a $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -open (resp. $(\tau_1, \tau_2)s$ -open, $(\tau_1, \tau_2)p$ -open, $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\beta$ -open) set is said to be $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -closed (resp. $(\tau_1, \tau_2)s$ -closed, $(\tau_1, \tau_2)p$ -closed, $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\beta$ -closed). A subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be $\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -open [15] if $A \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Int}(\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Int}(A)))$. The complement of an $\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -open set is said to be $\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -closed. Let A be a subset of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . The intersection of all $(\tau_1, \tau_2)p$ -closed (resp. $(\tau_1, \tau_2)s$ -closed, $\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -closed) sets of X containing A is called the $(\tau_1, \tau_2)p$ -closure [16] (resp. $(\tau_1, \tau_2)s$ -closure [14], $\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -closure [17]) of A and is denoted by $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\text{-pCl}(A)$ (resp. $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\text{-sCl}(A)$, $\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2)\text{-Cl}(A)$). The union of all $(\tau_1, \tau_2)p$ -open (resp. $(\tau_1, \tau_2)s$ -open, $\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -open) sets of X contained in A is called the $(\tau_1, \tau_2)p$ -interior [16] (resp. $(\tau_1, \tau_2)s$ -interior [14], $\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -interior [17]) of A and is denoted by $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\text{-pInt}(A)$ (resp. $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\text{-sInt}(A)$, $\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2)\text{-Int}(A)$).

Lemma 2. [10] *Let A be a subset of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . If A is $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open in X , then $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\text{-sCl}(A) = \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Int}(\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A))$.*

A subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be $\tau_1\tau_2$ - δ -open [18] if A is the union of $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -open sets of X . The complement of a $\tau_1\tau_2$ - δ -open set is called $\tau_1\tau_2$ - δ -closed [18]. The union of all $\tau_1\tau_2$ - δ -open sets of X contained in A is called the $\tau_1\tau_2$ - δ -interior [18] of A and is denoted by $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Int}(A)$. The intersection of all $\tau_1\tau_2$ - δ -closed sets of X containing A is called the $\tau_1\tau_2$ - δ -closure [18] of A and is denoted by $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Cl}(A)$. Let A be a subset of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . A point $x \in X$ is called a $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -cluster point [13] of A if $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for every $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set U containing x . The set of all $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -cluster points of A is called the $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -closure [13] of A and is denoted by $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(A)$. A subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -closed [13] if $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(A) = A$. The complement of a $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -closed set is said to be $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -open. The union of all $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -open sets of X contained in A is called the $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -interior [13] of A and is denoted by $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta\text{-Int}(A)$.

Lemma 3. [13] *For a subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) , the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If A is $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open in X , then $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A) = (\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(A)$.*
- (2) *$(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(A)$ is $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed in X .*

Let X be a nonempty set, and denote $\mathcal{P}(X)$ the power set of X . We call a class $\mu \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ a *generalized topology* (briefly, GT) if $\emptyset \in \mu$, and an arbitrary union of elements of μ belongs to μ [5]. A set X with a GT μ on it is said to be a *generalized topological space* (briefly, GTS) and is denoted by (X, μ) . For a GTS (X, μ) , the elements of μ are called μ -open sets and the complements of μ -open sets are called μ -closed sets. For $A \subseteq X$, we denote by $c_\mu(A)$ the intersection of all μ -closed sets containing A and by $i_\mu(A)$ the union of all μ -open sets contained in A . Then, we have $i_\mu(i_\mu(A)) = i_\mu(A)$, $c_\mu(c_\mu(A)) = c_\mu(A)$,

and $i_\mu(A) = X - c_\mu(X - A)$. According to [19], for $A \subseteq X$ and $x \in X$, we have $x \in c_\mu(A)$ if and only if $x \in M \in \mu$ implies $M \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

By a multifunction $F : X \rightarrow Y$, we mean a point-to-set correspondence from X into Y , and we always assume that $F(x) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in X$. For a multifunction $F : X \rightarrow Y$, we shall denote the upper and lower inverse of a set B of Y by $F^+(B)$ and $F^-(B)$, respectively, that is, $F^+(B) = \{x \in X \mid F(x) \subseteq B\}$ and $F^-(B) = \{x \in X \mid F(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$. In particular, $F^-(y) = \{x \in X \mid y \in F(x)\}$ for each point $y \in Y$. For each $A \subseteq X$, $F(A) = \cup_{x \in A} F(x)$.

3. Upper and lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunctions

In this section, we introduce the concepts of upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunctions and lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunctions. Furthermore, several characterizations of upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunctions and lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunctions are discussed.

Definition 1. A multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous at a point $x \in X$ if for each $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y such that $F(x) \subseteq V$, there exists a μ -open set U of X containing x such that $F(U) \subseteq \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))$. A multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous if F is upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous at each point x of X .

Theorem 1. For a multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) F is upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous at $x \in X$;
- (2) $x \in i_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))))$ for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y containing $F(x)$;
- (3) $x \in i_\mu(F^+((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\text{-sCl}(V)))$ for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y containing $F(x)$;
- (4) $x \in i_\mu(F^+(V))$ for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -open set V of Y containing $F(x)$;
- (5) for each $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -open set V of Y containing $F(x)$, there exists a μ -open set U of X containing x such that $F(U) \subseteq V$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let V be any $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set of Y containing $F(x)$. Thus by (1), there exists a μ -open set U of X containing x such that $F(U) \subseteq \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))$. Therefore, $x \in U \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)))$ and so $x \in i_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))))$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): This follows from Lemma 2.

(3) \Rightarrow (4): Let V be any $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set of Y containing $F(x)$. It follows from Lemma 2 that $V = \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)) = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\text{-sCl}(V)$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5): Let V be any $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -open set of Y containing $F(x)$. Then by (4), we have $x \in i_\mu(F^+(V))$ and there exists a μ -open set U of X containing x such that $x \in U \subseteq F^+(V)$; hence $F(U) \subseteq V$.

(5) \Rightarrow (1): Let V be any $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set of Y containing $F(x)$. Since $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))$ is $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -open, there exists a μ -open set U of X containing x such that

$$F(U) \subseteq \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)).$$

This shows that F is upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous at $x \in X$.

Definition 2. A multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is called lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous at a point $x \in X$ if for each $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y such that $V \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$, there exists a μ -open set U of X containing x such that $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)) \cap F(z) \neq \emptyset$ for every $z \in U$. A multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is called lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous if F is lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous at each point x of X .

Theorem 2. For a multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) F is lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous at $x \in X$;
- (2) $x \in i_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))))$ for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y such that $V \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$;
- (3) $x \in i_\mu(F^-((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\text{-sCl}(V)))$ for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y such that $V \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$;
- (4) $x \in i_\mu(F^-(V))$ for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -open set V of Y such that $V \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$;
- (5) for each $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -open set V of Y such that $V \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$, there exists a μ -open set U of X containing x such that $U \subseteq F^-(V)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. For a multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) F is upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous;
- (2) $F^+(V) \subseteq i_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))))$ for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y ;
- (3) $c_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(K)))) \subseteq F^-(K)$ for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed set K of Y ;
- (4) $c_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B))))) \subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (5) $F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(B)) \subseteq i_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(B)))))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (6) $F^+(V)$ is μ -open in X for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -open set V of Y ;
- (7) $F^-(K)$ is μ -closed in X for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -closed set K of Y .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let V be any $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set of Y and $x \in F^+(V)$. Then, $F(x) \subseteq V$. Thus by Theorem 1, we have $x \in i_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))))$ and hence

$$F^+(V) \subseteq i_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)))).$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let K be any $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed set of Y . Then, $Y - K$ is $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open in Y and by (2),

$$\begin{aligned} X - F^-(K) &= F^+(Y - K) \subseteq i_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(Y - K)))) \\ &= i_\mu(X - F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(K)))) \\ &= X - c_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(K)))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $c_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(K)))) \subseteq F^-(K)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4): Let B be any subset of Y . Then, $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B)$ is a $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed set of Y and by (3), $c_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B))))) \subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B))$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5): Let B be any subset of Y . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(B)) &= X - F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(Y - B)) \\ &\subseteq X - c_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(Y - B))))) \\ &= X - c_\mu(F^-(Y - \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(B))))) \\ &= i_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(B))))). \end{aligned}$$

(5) \Rightarrow (6): Let V be any $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -open set of Y . By (5), we have $F^+(V) \subseteq i_\mu(F^+(V))$ and hence $F^+(V)$ is μ -open in X .

(6) \Rightarrow (7): The proof is obvious.

(7) \Rightarrow (1): Let $x \in X$ and V be any $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -open set of Y containing $F(x)$. Since $Y - V$ is $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -closed and by (7), $X - F^+(V) = F^-(Y - V)$ is μ -closed in X . Thus, $F^+(V)$ is μ -open and hence $x \in i_\mu(F^+(V))$. Then, there exists a μ -open set U of X containing x such that $F(U) \subseteq V$. It follows from Theorem 1 that F is upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous.

Theorem 4. For a multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) F is lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous;
- (2) $F^-(V) \subseteq i_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))))$ for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y ;
- (3) $c_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(K)))) \subseteq F^+(K)$ for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed set K of Y ;
- (4) $c_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B))))) \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (5) $F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(B)) \subseteq i_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(B)))))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (6) $F^-(V)$ is μ -open in X for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -open set V of Y ;

(7) $F^+(K)$ is μ -closed in X for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -closed set K of Y .

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.

Theorem 5. For a multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) F is upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous;
- (2) $c_\mu(F^-(V)) \subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))$ for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\beta$ -open set V of Y ;
- (3) $c_\mu(F^-(V)) \subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))$ for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)s$ -open set V of Y .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let V be any $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\beta$ -open set of Y . Then, $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)$ is a $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -closed set of Y . Since F is upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous and by Theorem 3, $F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))$ is μ -closed in X . Thus, $c_\mu(F^-(V)) \subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): The proof is obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Let K be any $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -closed set of Y . Then, K is $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)s$ -open in Y . Then by (3), $c_\mu(F^-(K)) \subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(K)) = F^-(K)$ and hence $F^-(K)$ is μ -closed in X . By Theorem 3, F is upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous.

Theorem 6. For a multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) F is lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous;
- (2) $c_\mu(F^+(V)) \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))$ for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\beta$ -open set V of Y ;
- (3) $c_\mu(F^+(V)) \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))$ for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)s$ -open set V of Y .

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 5.

Lemma 4. [20] For a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) , the following properties hold:

- (1) $\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2)\text{-Cl}(V) = \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(V)$ for every $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\beta$ -open set V of X ;
- (2) $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\text{-pCl}(V) = \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(V)$ for every $(\tau_1, \tau_2)s$ -open set V of X .

Corollary 1. For a multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) F is upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous;
- (2) $c_\mu(F^-(V)) \subseteq F^-(\alpha(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\text{-Cl}(V))$ for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\beta$ -open set V of Y ;
- (3) $c_\mu(F^-(V)) \subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-pCl}(V))$ for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)s$ -open set V of Y .

Corollary 2. For a multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) F is lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous;
- (2) $c_\mu(F^+(V)) \subseteq F^+(\alpha(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\text{-Cl}(V))$ for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\beta$ -open set V of Y ;
- (3) $c_\mu(F^+(V)) \subseteq F^+((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\text{-pCl}(V))$ for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)s$ -open set V of Y .

Theorem 7. For a multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) F is upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous;
- (2) $c_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)))) \subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))$ for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)p$ -open set V of Y ;
- (3) $c_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(V)))) \subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))$ for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)p$ -open set V of Y ;
- (4) $F^+(V) \subseteq i_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))))$ for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)p$ -open set V of Y .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let V be any $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)p$ -open set of Y . Then, $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)$ is $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed in Y and by Theorem 3, we have

$$c_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)))) \subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)).$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let V be any $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)p$ -open set of Y . By (2),

$$\begin{aligned} c_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(V)))) &\subseteq c_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))))) \\ &\subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)). \end{aligned}$$

(3) \Rightarrow (4): Let V be any $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)p$ -open set of Y . Thus by (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} X - i_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)))) &= c_\mu(X - F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)))) \\ &= c_\mu(F^-(Y - \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)))) \\ &= c_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(Y - \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)))) \\ &= c_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(Y - \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))))) \\ &\subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(Y - \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))) \\ &= F^-(Y - \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))) \\ &\subseteq F^-(Y - V) \\ &= X - F^+(V) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $F^+(V) \subseteq i_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))))$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1): Let V be any $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -open set of Y . Then, V is $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)p$ -open in Y and by (4), $F^+(V) \subseteq i_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)))) = i_\mu(F^+(V))$. Thus, $F^+(V)$ is μ -open in X . It follows from Theorem 3 that F is upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous.

Theorem 8. For a multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) F is lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous;
- (2) $c_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Cl}(V)))) \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Cl}(V))$ for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)p$ -open set V of Y ;
- (3) $c_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Int}(V)))) \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Cl}(V))$ for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)p$ -open set V of Y ;
- (4) $F^-(V) \subseteq i_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Cl}(V))))$ for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)p$ -open set V of Y .

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 7.

Lemma 5. [21] Let A be a subset of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Then, the following properties hold:

- (1) If A is $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open in X , then $\tau_1\tau_2-\text{Cl}(A) = \tau_1\tau_2-\delta-\text{Cl}(A)$.
- (2) $\tau_1\tau_2-\delta-\text{Cl}(A)$ is $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed.

Theorem 9. For a multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) F is upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous;
- (2) $c_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\delta-\text{Cl}(B)))) \subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\delta-\text{Cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (3) $c_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Cl}(B)))) \subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\delta-\text{Cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let B be any subset of Y . By Lemma 5, $\sigma_1\sigma_2-\delta-\text{Cl}(B)$ is $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed in Y and by Theorem 3, $c_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\delta-\text{Cl}(B)))) \subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\delta-\text{Cl}(B))$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): This is obvious since $\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Cl}(B) \subseteq \sigma_1\sigma_2-\delta-\text{Cl}(B)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Let K be any $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -closed set of Y . Then by (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_\mu(F^-(K)) &= c_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Int}(K)))) \\ &= c_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\text{Cl}(K))))) \\ &\subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2-\delta-\text{Cl}(K)) \\ &= F^-(K) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $F^-(K)$ is μ -closed in X . By Theorem 3, F is upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous.

Theorem 10. For a multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) F is lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous;
- (2) $c_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Cl}(B)))))) \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (3) $c_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B)))))) \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y .

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 9.

Lemma 6. If $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous, then for each $x \in X$ and each subset B of Y with $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Int}(B) \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$, there exists a μ -open set U of X containing x such that $U \subseteq F^-(B)$.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and B be a subset of Y with $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Int}(B) \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$. Since $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Int}(B) \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$, there exists a nonempty $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -open set V of Y such that $V \subseteq B$ and $V \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$. Since F is lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous, there exists a μ -open set U of X containing x such that $V \cap F(z) \neq \emptyset$ for each $z \in U$; hence $U \subseteq F^-(B)$.

Theorem 11. For a multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) F is lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous;
- (2) $c_\mu(F^+(B)) \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (3) $F(c_\mu(A)) \subseteq \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Cl}(F(A))$ for every subset A of X ;
- (4) $F^+(K)$ is μ -closed in X for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta$ -closed set K of Y ;
- (5) $F^-(V)$ is μ -open in X for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta$ -open set V of Y ;
- (6) $F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Int}(B)) \subseteq i_\mu(F^-(B))$ for every subset B of Y .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let B be any subset of Y . Suppose that $x \notin F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Cl}(B))$. Then, we have $x \in F^-(Y - \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Cl}(B)) = F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Int}(Y - B))$. There exists a μ -open set U of X containing x such that $U \subseteq F^-(Y - B) = X - F^+(B)$. Thus, $U \cap F^+(B) = \emptyset$ and hence $x \in X - c_\mu(F^+(B))$. This shows that $c_\mu(F^+(B)) \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Cl}(B))$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let A be any subset of X . By (2), we have

$$c_\mu(A) \subseteq c_\mu(F^+(F(A))) \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Cl}(F(A)))$$

and hence $F(c_\mu(A)) \subseteq \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Cl}(F(A))$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Let B be any subset of Y . Then, by the hypothesis and Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned} & F(c_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B)))))) \\ & \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Cl}(F(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B)))))) \end{aligned}$$

$$\subseteq \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B))) \subseteq \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B)$$

and hence $c_\mu(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B)))) \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B))$. By Theorem 4, F is lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous.

(2) \Rightarrow (4): Let K be any $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ - δ -closed set of Y . Then, $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ - δ -Cl(K) = K . By (2), we have $c_\mu(F^+(K)) \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2$ - δ -Cl(K)) = $F^+(K)$ and so $F^+(K)$ is μ -closed in X .

(4) \Rightarrow (5): The proof is obvious.

(5) \Rightarrow (6): Let B be any subset of Y . Then by (5), we have

$$F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2$$
- δ -Int(B)) = $i_\mu(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2$ - δ -Int(B))) $\subseteq i_\mu(F^-(B))$.

(6) \Rightarrow (1): Let V be any $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -open set of Y . Then, we have V is $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ - δ -open and $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ - δ -Int(V) = V . Thus by (6), $F^-(V) \subseteq i_\mu(F^-(V))$ and hence $F^-(V)$ is μ -open in X . By Theorem 4, F is lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous.

Definition 3. [22] A multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be upper $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous at a point $x \in X$ if for each $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y such that $F(x) \subseteq V$, there exists a μ -open set U of X containing x such that $F(U) \subseteq V$. A multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be upper $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous if F is upper $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous at each point x of X .

Definition 4. [22] A multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be lower $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous at a point $x \in X$ if for each $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y such that $F(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset$, there exists a μ -open set U of X containing x such that $F(z) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for every $z \in U$. A multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be lower $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous if F is lower $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous at each point x of X .

Remark 1. For a multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following implication holds:

$$\text{upper } \mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\text{-continuity} \Rightarrow \text{upper almost } \mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\text{-continuity}.$$

The converse of the implication is not true in general. We give an example for the implication as follows.

Example 1. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ with a generalized topology $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, X\}$. Let $Y = \{p, q, r\}$ with topologies $\sigma_1 = \{\emptyset, \{p\}, \{p, q\}, Y\}$ and $\sigma_2 = \{\emptyset, \{p\}, \{q\}, \{p, q\}, Y\}$. A multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is defined as follows: $F(1) = \{r\}$ and $F(2) = F(3) = \{p, q\}$. Then, F is upper almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous but F is not upper $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous.

Recall that a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be $(\tau_1, \tau_2)s$ -regular [23] if for each $(\tau_1, \tau_2)s$ -closed set F and each $x \notin F$, there exist disjoint $(\tau_1, \tau_2)s$ -open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $F \subseteq V$.

Lemma 7. [23] Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a $(\tau_1, \tau_2)s$ -regular space. Then, the following properties hold:

(1) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A) = \tau_1\tau_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Cl}(A)$ for every subset A of X .

(2) Every $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set is $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-}\delta$ -open.

Lemma 8. [22] For a multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, where (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is a (σ_1, σ_2) - s -regular space, the following properties are equivalent:

(1) F is lower $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous;

(2) $F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Cl}(B))$ is μ -closed in X for every subset B of Y ;

(3) $F^+(K)$ is μ -closed in X for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta$ -closed set K of Y ;

(4) $F^-(V)$ is μ -open in X for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta$ -open set V of Y .

Theorem 12. For a multifunction $F : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, where (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is a (σ_1, σ_2) - s -regular space, the following properties are equivalent:

(1) F is lower $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous;

(2) $F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta\text{-Cl}(B))$ is μ -closed in X for every subset B of Y ;

(3) $F^+(K)$ is μ -closed in X for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta$ -closed set K of Y ;

(4) $F^-(V)$ is μ -open in X for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta$ -open set V of Y ;

(5) F is lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous.

Proof. The proofs of the implications $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4)$ are similar as in Lemma 8.

$(4) \Rightarrow (5)$: Let V be any (σ_1, σ_2) - r -open set of Y . Then, V is $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open in Y and by Lemma 7, V is $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-}\delta$ -open in Y . By (4), we have $F^-(V)$ is μ -open in X . Thus by Theorem 4, F is lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous.

$(5) \Rightarrow (1)$: Let $x \in X$ and V be any $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set of Y such that $V \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$. Since (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is (σ_1, σ_2) - s -regular, there exists a (σ_1, σ_2) - r -open set W such that $W \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$ and $W \subseteq V$. Since F is lower almost $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous, there exists a μ -open set U of X containing x such that $W \cap F(z) \neq \emptyset$ for every $z \in U$. Thus, $F(z) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for every $z \in U$. This shows that F is lower $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous.

Acknowledgements

This research project was financially supported by Mahasarakham University.

References

- [1] M. K. Singal and A. R. Singal. Almost continuous mappings. *Yokohama Mathematical Journal*, 16:63–73, 1968.
- [2] B. M. Munshi and D. S. Bassan. Almost semi-continuous mappings. *The Mathematics Student*, 49:239–248, 1981.
- [3] T. Noiri. Almost α -continuous functions. *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, 28:71–77, 1988.
- [4] A. A. Nasef and T. Noiri. Some weak forms of almost continuity. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 74(3):211–219, 1997.
- [5] Á. Császár. Generalized topology, generalized continuity. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 96(4):351–357, 2002.
- [6] A. Kanibir and I. L. Reilly. Generalized continuity for multifunctions. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 122(3):283–292, 2009.
- [7] C. Boonpok. On upper and lower $\beta(\mu_X, \mu_Y)$ -continuous multifunctions. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 2012:931656, 2012.
- [8] N. Srisarakham and C. Boonpok. Characterizations of upper and lower $\alpha(\mu_X, \mu_Y)$ -continuous multifunctions. *Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, 17:255–265, 2017.
- [9] P. Pue-on, S. Sompong, and C. Boonpok. Upper and lower (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous multifunctions. *International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, 19(4):1305–1310, 2024.
- [10] C. Klanarong, S. Sompong, and C. Boonpok. Upper and lower almost (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous multifunctions. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 17(2):1244–1253, 2024.
- [11] C. Viriyapong, A. Sama-Ae, and C. Boonpok. Almost continuity for multifunctions defined from an ideal topological space into a bitopological space. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 18(3):6566, 2025.
- [12] C. Boonpok, C. Viriyapong, and M. Thongmoon. On upper and lower (τ_1, τ_2) -precontinuous multifunctions. *Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, 18:282–293, 2018.
- [13] C. Viriyapong and C. Boonpok. $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\alpha$ -continuity for multifunctions. *Journal of Mathematics*, 2020:6285763, 2020.
- [14] C. Boonpok. $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\delta$ -semicontinuous multifunctions. *Heliyon*, 6:e05367, 2020.
- [15] N. Viriyapong, S. Sompong, and C. Boonpok. (τ_1, τ_2) -extremal disconnectedness in bitopological spaces. *International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, 19(3):855–860, 2024.
- [16] N. Viriyapong, S. Sompong, and C. Boonpok. Upper and lower $s-(\tau_1, \tau_2)p$ -continuous multifunctions. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 17(3):2210–2220, 2024.
- [17] C. Viriyapong, S. Sompong, and C. Boonpok. Upper and lower slight $\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -continuity. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 17(3):2142–2154, 2024.

- [18] C. Boonpok and P. Pue-on. Characterizations of almost (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous multifunctions. *International Journal of Analysis and Applications*, 22:33, 2024.
- [19] Á. Császár. δ -and θ -modifications of generalized topologies. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 120:274–279, 2008.
- [20] P. Pue-on, S. Sompong, and C. Boonpok. Almost contra- $(\tau_1, \tau_2)p$ -continuity for functions. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 18(2):6038, 2025.
- [21] M. Thongmoon, S. Sompong, and C. Boonpok. Almost (τ_1, τ_2) -continuity and $\tau_1\tau_2$ - δ -open sets. *International Journal of Analysis and Applications*, 22:109, 2024.
- [22] N. Chutiman, A. Sama-Ae, and C. Boonpok. On upper and lower $\mu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ -continuous multifunctions. (accepted).
- [23] M. Thongmoon, S. Sompong, and C. Boonpok. (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous multifunctions and $\tau_1\tau_2$ - δ -open sets. *International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, 19(4):1369–1375, 2024.