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Abstract. In this paper, we establish certain results concerning the quasi-Hadamard product for the classes related to meromorphic p-valent analytic functions with positive coefficients.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let $p \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$ and the functions of the form :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\varphi(z)=a_{p} z^{p}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} z^{n+p} & \left(a_{p}>0 ; a_{p+n} \geq 0\right) \\
\psi(z)=b_{p} z^{p}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n+p} z^{n+p} & \left(a_{p}>0 ; b_{p+n} \geq 0\right)
\end{array}
$$

be analytic and $p$-valent in the unit disc $\Delta=\{z:|z|<1\}$. Also, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{a_{p-1}}{z^{p}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p-1} z^{n+p-1}\left(a_{p}>0 ; a_{p+n} \geq 0\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{i}(z)=\frac{a_{p-1, i}}{z^{p}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p-1, i} z^{n+p-1}\left(a_{p, i}>0 ; a_{p+n, i} \geq 0\right),  \tag{2}\\
g(z)=\frac{b_{p-1}}{z^{p}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n+p-1} z^{n+p-1}\left(b_{p}>0 ; b_{p+n} \geq 0\right), \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}(z)=\frac{b_{p-1, i}}{z^{p}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n+p-1, i} z^{n+p-1}\left(b_{p, i}>0 ; b_{p+n, i} \geq 0\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

be analytic and $p$-valent in the punctured disc $\Delta^{*}=\{z: 0<|z|<1\}$.
Let $\sum \mathscr{S} \mathscr{T}_{0}^{*}(p, \alpha)$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ defined by (1) and satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\alpha, \quad\left(z \in \Delta^{*}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\sum \mathscr{C}_{0}^{*}(p, \alpha)$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ defined by (1) and satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\alpha, \quad\left(z \in \Delta^{*}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq \alpha<p$.
The quasi-Hadamard product of two or more functions has recently been defined and used by Kumar ([7],[8], and [9]), Aouf et al. [3], Hossen [6], Darwish [4] and Sekine [12]. Accordingly, the quasi-Hadamard product of two functions $\varphi(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\varphi * \psi)(z)=a_{p} b_{p} z^{p}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} b_{n+p} z^{n+p} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Aouf [1] defined the Hadamard product of two meromorphic $p$-valent functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f * g)(z)=\frac{a_{p-1} b_{p-1}}{z^{p}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p-1} b_{n+p-1} z^{n+p-1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we can define the Hadamard product of more than two meromorphic $p$-valent functions.

Let $\lambda(z)$ be a fixed function of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(z)=\frac{c_{p-1}}{z^{p}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n+p-1} z^{n+p-1} \quad\left(c_{p}>0 ; c_{p+n} \geq 0\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the function defined by (9), we now define the following new classes

Definition 1. A function $f(z) \in \sum \mathscr{M}_{\lambda}^{0}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right)\left(c_{n+p-1} \geq c_{p}>0 ; n \geq 2\right)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n+p-1} a_{n+p-1} \leq \delta a_{p-1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta>0$.
Definition 2. A function $f(z) \in \sum \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{k}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right)\left(c_{n+p-1} \geq c_{p}>0 ; n \geq 2\right)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{n+p-1}{p}\right)^{k} c_{n+p-1} a_{n+p-1} \leq \delta a_{p-1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta>0$.
It is easy to check that various subclasses of meromorphic and multivalent functions can be (studied by various authors) represented as $\sum \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{k}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right)$ for suitable choices of $c_{n}, \delta$ and $k$. For example:
(1) $\sum \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{k}((n+2 p-1)+\beta(n+2 \alpha-1), 2 \beta(p-\alpha)) \equiv \sum_{k}^{*}(p, \alpha, \beta)$
(2) $\sum_{\mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{0}}((n+2 p-1)+\beta(n+2 \alpha-1), 2 \beta(p-\alpha)) \equiv \sum_{i}^{k} S_{0}^{*}(p, \alpha, \beta)$
(3) $\sum_{\sum} \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{1}((n+2 p-1)+\beta(n+2 \alpha-1), 2 \beta(p-\alpha)) \equiv \sum C_{0}^{*}(p, \alpha, \beta)$
(4) $\sum \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{k}\left((n(1+\beta)+(2 \alpha-1) \beta+1,2 \beta(1-\alpha)) \equiv \sum S_{0}^{*}(k, \alpha, \beta)\right.$ for $\mathrm{p}=1$
(5) $\sum \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{k}(n(n(1+\beta)+(2 \alpha-1) \beta+1), 2 \beta(1-\alpha)) \equiv \sum C_{0}^{*}(k, \alpha, \beta)$ for $\mathrm{p}=1$

The classes $\sum_{k}^{*}(p, \alpha, \beta), \sum S_{0}^{*}(p, \alpha, \beta)$ and $\sum C_{0}^{*}(p, \alpha, \beta)$ have been studied by Aouf [1] and the classes $\sum S_{0}^{*}(k, \alpha, \beta)$ and $\sum C_{0}^{*}(k, \alpha, \beta)$ have been studied by El-Ashwah and Aouf [5].

Evidently, $\sum \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{0}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right) \equiv \sum \mathscr{M}_{\lambda}^{0}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right)$. Further, $\sum \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{k}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right) \subset \sum \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{h}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right)$ if $k>h \geq 0$, the containment being proper. Moreover, for any positive integer $k$ we have the following inclusion relation
$\sum \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{k}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right) \subset \sum \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{k-1}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right) \subset \ldots \subset \sum \mathscr{M}_{\lambda}^{0}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right) \subset \sum \mathscr{C}_{0}^{*}(p, \alpha) \subset \sum \mathscr{S}_{0}^{*}(p, \alpha)$.
We also note that for every nonnegative real number k, the class $\sum \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{k}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right)$ is nonempty as the functions of the form

$$
f(z)=\frac{a_{p-1}}{z^{p}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{p}{n+p-1}\right)^{k} \frac{\delta a_{p+n-1}}{c_{p+n-1}} \mu_{n+p-1} z^{n+p-1} \quad\left(a_{p}>0 ; a_{p+n} \geq 0\right)
$$

where $a_{p-1}>0, \mu_{n+p-1} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n+p-1} \leq 1$, satisfy the inequality (11).
In this paper we establish a theorem concerning the quasi-Hadamard product of functions in the classes $\sum \mathscr{M}_{\lambda}^{0}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right)$ and $\sum \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{k}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right)$. The theorem and its applications generalize the results obtained by Aouf [1], Mogra [11] and El-Ashwah and Aouf [5].

## 2. Main Theorem

Theorem 1. Let the functions $f_{i}(z)$ defined by (2) belong to the class $\sum \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{k}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right)$ for every $i=1,2, \ldots, m$, and let the functions $g_{j}(z)$ defined by (4) belong to the class $\sum \mathscr{M}_{\lambda}^{0}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right)$ for every $j=1,2, \ldots, q$. If $c_{n+p-1} \geq\left(\frac{n+p-1}{p}\right) \delta$, then the quasi-Hadamard product $f_{1} * f_{2} * \ldots * f_{m} * g_{1} * g_{2} * \ldots * g_{q}(z)$ belongs to the class $\sum \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{(k+1) m+q-1}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right)$.

Proof. Let $h(z):=f_{1} * f_{2} * \ldots * f_{m} * g_{1} * g_{2} * \ldots * g_{q}(z)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\frac{\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{p-1, i} \prod_{j=1}^{q} b_{p-1, j}\right\}}{z^{p}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{n+p-1, i} \prod_{j=1}^{q} b_{n+p-1, j}\right\} z^{n+p-1} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{n+p-1}{p}\right)^{m(k+1)+q-1} \prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{n+p-1, i} \prod_{j=1}^{q} b_{n+p-1, j} \leq \delta \prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{p-1, i} \prod_{j=1}^{q} b_{p-1, j} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f_{i}(z) \in \sum \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{k}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{n+p-1}{p}\right)^{k} c_{n+p-1} a_{n+p-1, i} \leq \delta a_{p-1, i} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n+p-1, i} \leq\left(\frac{n+p-1}{p}\right)^{-k}\left(\frac{\delta}{c_{n+p-1}}\right) a_{p-1, i} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which by virtue of the condition (given with the theorem) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n+p-1, i} \leq\left(\frac{n+p-1}{p}\right)^{-k-1} a_{p-1, i} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Further, since $g_{j}(z) \in \sum \mathscr{M}_{\lambda}^{0}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n+p-1} b_{n+p-1, j} \leq \delta b_{p-1, j} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $j=1,2, \ldots, q$. Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n+p-1, j} \leq\left(\frac{n+p-1}{p}\right)^{-1} b_{p-1, j} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (16) for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$, and (18) for $j=1,2, \ldots, q-1$, and (17) for $j=q$, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(\frac{n+p-1}{p}\right)^{m(k+1)+q-1} c_{n+p-1}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{n+p-1, i} \prod_{j=1}^{q} b_{n+p-1, j}\right\}\right] \\
\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(\frac{n+p-1}{p}\right)^{m(k+1)+q-1}\left(\frac{n+p-1}{p}\right)^{-m(k+1)}\left(\frac{n+p-1}{p}\right)^{-(q-1)}\right. \\
\\
\left.\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{p-1, i} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} b_{n+p-1, j}\right\} c_{n+p-1} b_{n+p-1, q}\right] \\
= \\
\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{p-1, i} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} b_{p-1, j}\right)\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n+p-1} b_{n+p-1, q}\right) \\
\leq \delta \prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{p-1, i} \prod_{j=1}^{q} b_{p-1, j}(\text { by (17)) }
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence $h(z) \in \sum \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{(k+1) m+q-1}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right)$. This completes the proof of the Theorem 1.
Taking $k=0$ in the proof of the above theorem, we obtain
Corollary 1. Let the functions $f_{i}(z)$ defined by (2) and the functions $g_{j}(z)$ defined by (4) belong to the class $\sum \mathscr{M}_{\lambda}^{0}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right)$ for every $i=1,2, \ldots, m$, and $j=1,2, \ldots, q$. If
$c_{n+p-1} \geq\left(\frac{n+p-1}{p}\right) \delta$, then the quasi-Hadamard product $f_{1} * f_{2} * \ldots * f_{m} * g_{1} * g_{2} * \ldots * g_{q}(z)$ belongs to the class $\sum \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{m+q-1}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right)$.

Now taking into account the quasi-Hadamard product functions $g_{1}(z) * g_{2}(z) * \ldots * g_{q}(z)$ only, in the proof of the above theorem, and using (18) for $j=1,2,3 \ldots, q-1$, and (17) for $j=m$, we obtain
Corollary 2. Let the functions $g_{j}(z)$ defined by (4) belong to the class $\sum \mathscr{M}_{\lambda}^{0}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right)$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, q$. If $c_{n+p-1} \geq\left(\frac{n+p-1}{p}\right) \delta$, then Hadamard product $g_{1} * g_{2} * \ldots * g_{q}(z)$ belongs to the class $\sum \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}^{q-1}\left(c_{n+p-1}, \delta\right)$.

## Remark 1.

(i) Putting $c_{n+p-1}=(n+2 p-1)+\beta(n+2 \alpha-1)$ and $\delta=2 \beta(p-\alpha)$ in the above theorem, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf [1].
(ii) Putting $p=1, c_{n}=n((n+1)+\beta(n+2 \alpha-1))$ and $\delta=2 \beta(1-\alpha)$ in the above theorem, we obtain the results obtained by Mogra [11].
(iii) Putting $p=1$, in Corollary 2, we obtain the results obtained by El-Ashwah and Aouf [5].
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